The Black Worker Since the AFL-CIO Merger, 1955 to 1980
THE BLACK WORKER

VOL. 8

THE BLACK WORKER SINCE THE

Other volumes in this series:

I  The Black Worker to 1869

II  The Era of the National Labor Union

III  The Era of the Knights of Labor

IV  The Era of the American Federation of Labor and the Railroad Brotherhoods

V  The Black Worker from 1900 to 1919

VI  The Era of Post-War Prosperity and the Great Depression, 1920–1936

VII  The Black Worker from the Founding of the CIO to the AFL-CIO Merger, 1936–1955
The Black Worker
A Documentary History from Colonial Times to the Present

Volume VIII

The Black Worker since the AFL-CIO Merger, 1955–1980

Edited by
Philip S. Foner, Ronald L. Lewis, and Robert Cvornyek

Temple University Press, Philadelphia
FOREWORD
KEONA K. ERVIN

Philip S. Foner, Ronald L. Lewis, and Robert Cvornyek birthed a new generation of Black labor history scholarship with the publication of *The Black Worker: From Colonial Times to the Present*, eight substantial volumes of documentary history. Published over the course of six years, from the late seventies to the mid-eighties, the voluminous compilation of archival materials both anticipated and reflected its moment. Writing at a time of renewed interest in labor history, Black history, and social history, and no doubt deeply influenced by the upsurge of peace, Black freedom, women’s, anti-imperialist, and workers’ rights movements during the 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s, Foner, Lewis, and Cvornyek helped to ensure that the emergent “history from below” included Black workers. This multi-volume documentary history is as wide as it is deep. It is the product of a massive, Herculean effort that involved compiling and organizing thousands of pages of primary source documents and making sense of the complicated and contradictory stories they tell. In the acknowledgements that open the first volume, Foner and Lewis thank no less than 23 libraries and historical societies across the United States. They, along with Cvornyek, would go on to thank many, many more in each successive book. Theirs was big, synthesis-style, social, political, intellectual, and institutional history that tried to capture as broadly as possible the patterns, trends, and themes that made race and class, and the Black labor experience, in particular, significant, shaping forces in United States history. With its compelling perspective on the salience of Black labor history along with its sheer breadth and depth, *The Black Worker* was and is required reading for students of labor and working-class history and African American history.

During the eras that preceded the publication of *The Black Worker*, a racially exclusive academic enterprise largely ignored the scholarship produced by Black labor scholars, preventing it from reaching a wider public audience. Mainstream (white) labor history’s “Black problem” may best be defined as, simply put, erasure. Black workers were largely absent from or mere footnotes in established histories; dominant narratives presented a “house of labor” occupied primarily if not exclusively by white, male, industrial workers. What’s more, these histories tended to frame the story of the making of the American working-class as one of American trade unionism, failing to provide a full examination of most unions’ widespread practice of racial exclusion and discrimination, much less attempts by Black workers to organize their own labor. Of course, the absence of Black scholarship in mainstream accounts did not mean that Black labor scholars did not exist or were not producing works about Black labor history. Beginning in the late nineteenth century, Black social scientists such as Gertrude McDougald, Elizabeth Haynes, W.E.B. Du Bois, Abram L. Harris, Carter G. Woodson, Robert C. Weaver, and Charles H. Wesley created the field of Black labor studies. But the Black scholars who used social science as a weapon against the racist ideologies of their time—ideologies, we should point out, that found their basis in pseudo-scientific arguments about the nature of Black labor—were largely overlooked, though not without significant resistance. Radical scholars like Foner, who were themselves confronting their own particular forms of marginal status within mainstream academic institutions, became key contributors to the opening of fields to marginalized voices. *The Black Worker* should be credited with playing an influential role in shaking up the “house of labor” such that its established residents had to, in some ways, make room for newcomers.
A deep and sustained examination of the history of Black workers was a fitting choice for the editors of *The Black Worker* because personal and professional commitments pushed them to challenge their field of study. Countering economist John R. Commons’s and the Wisconsin School’s theory of labor history, Foner and his co-editors argued that the history of the American working class was fundamentally one of class struggle: workers were aware of their oppression by capitalism; they should act in their own economic and political interests as a subjugated class; and trade unionism could be, with its potential fully realized, the most powerful engine of social democracy for the working classes. The *Black Worker*’s editors pushed industrial unionism over craft unionism, political unionism over non-partisanship or bipartisanship, and the fundamental antagonism between labor and capital over shared interest between the two. The historical experiences of African American laborers powerfully articulated the legitimacy of such an approach. In this vein, one of the central arguments that emerges in the volumes is Black workers’ militancy. From congressional committee hearings of the late nineteenth century in which Black workers discuss their living and working conditions and make a compelling case for national advocacy, to the labor organizing and economic activism of civil rights workers such as Coretta Scott King, A. Philip Randolph, Bayard Rustin, and Martin Luther King, Jr., *The Black Worker* shows that Black workers’ “class consciousness” —to borrow a term in vogue at the time of its publication—was an engine of social transformation. There was a “usable past” of working-class militancy starring American labor’s neglected members, they seemed to say.

*The Black Worker* is a documentary history spanning from “colonial times to the present.” At the opening of each new volume, the editors rightfully point out labor history’s resurgence during the 1970s and count their work as “the first compilation of original materials which encompasses the entire history of Afro-American labor.” Foner and Lewis were right to note that, while there had been a renaissance in Black history during the 1960s and 1970s, during which the field moved into the mainstream in unprecedented fashion, studies that explicitly emphasized the history of Black labor and reached similar magnitude as *The Black Worker* had yet to be published. In the introduction that opens the volumes, they state the clearly important and arguably undertheorized fact that “the vast majority of Afro-Americans are, and always have been ‘workers’,” and as such, were fundamentally central to the shaping of American labor history. An obvious point under even the most hasty of reviews of African American history, yes, but the statement was, in fact, hardly inconsequential. With this observation, Foner and Lewis, and others, issued a challenge to the field of American labor history, noting its glaring oversight of Black workers. One might also say that by pointing out the irony of the preponderance of Black laborers in American history, on the one hand, and the dearth of Black labor history in mainstream accounts, on the other, Foner, Lewis, and Cvornyek were making larger observations about and issuing challenges to the basic assumptions of their field. In other words, the presence of Black laboring bodies into the precious canon of (white) labor history would yield powerful new insights about the history of class in America in the broadest and most illuminating of ways.

Divided in two major parts, with the first four volumes dedicated to antebellum history through the end of the nineteenth century and the second four to the twentieth, *The Black Worker*’s central themes include, most principally, Black workers in industrial slavery and the skilled trades under slavery and following emancipation; free Black workers’ experiences in the labor marketplace; Black unionism and Black
workers’ role in strikes; race relations in labor unions, particularly white workers’ racial hostility and intransigence and white labor leaders’ acquiescence to such reactionary behavior; and debates over the at times fractious civil rights–labor coalition following the mid-1950s when the AFL and CIO merged and as the struggle for Black citizenship took a decidedly influential turn. The volumes also include the writings of prominent Black male political and social leaders such as W.E.B. Du Bois, Kelly Miller, Ira De A. Reid, A. Philip Randolph, and Paul Robeson, for example, as well as proceedings from Black gatherings such at the influential Black Convention Movement and the Colored National Labor Union of the nineteenth century along with sizeable compilations of important twentieth-century Black labor organizations. Documents on AFL proceedings, the organization of the CIO, and Communist trade unions, especially during the 1930s, provide a window into the ways that race and trade unionism were inextricably connected throughout the history of American unionism.

Among its greatest strengths, The Black Worker’s rich collection of primary source materials makes possible the writing of many books on various topics within Black labor history. The history of Black labor during the antebellum period comes alive through articles pulled from local newspapers in, for example, New York, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Louisiana, and South Carolina, and data pulled from field-defining scholars of slavery such as W.E.B. Du Bois, Edmund S. Morgan, and Ulrich B. Phillips. One learns, for instance, about the costs of maintaining industrial enslaved populations from a 1970 article published in Business History Review and about the occupations of free people of color in Georgia in 1819 from data pulled from Phillips’ A Documentary History of the American Industrial Society (1910). Passages from famed abolitionists William Wells Brown and Frederick Douglass recount the experiences of the hiring out system and other firsthand accounts document the processes of escape and the means by which enslaved persons purchased their own freedom.

Records central to grasping collective understandings of work, uplift, and racial progress as defined by Black leaders and ordinary Black workers during the late nineteenth century, when debates about racial politics were especially rich, fill the collection’s second volume. Proceedings of the Colored National Labor Union’s inaugural national conference, its second and third conventions, and meetings from local and state chapters come from records such as The Christian Recorder, The National Anti-Slavery Standard, and The New Era, while papers from Duke University’s Freedmen’s Bureau Project, and statistics from the National Bureau of Labor suggest the critical importance of labor to Black organizational and political life. State Black labor conventions in the late nineteenth century tell the story of what occurred in places such as Richmond, New York, Saratoga, and Alabama. Documenting the rise of local Black militancy immediately following the Civil War, the sources depict striking Black workers across the South, including, for instance, the Galveston Strike of 1877 and a strike led by Black washerwomen. Testimony from Black workers about racial terrorism in South Carolina show the centrality of Black labor to the activities of groups such as the Ku Klux Klan, while Black labor radicalism, perhaps defined narrowly as Black socialism or Black Marxism, finds articulation in a section that includes an 1877 speech by abolitionist and socialist Peter H. Clark.

The documents that make up volumes three and four show the centrality of Black unionism to the debates about labor and capital that profoundly shaped national politics in the late nineteenth and turn of the twentieth centuries. They include, for example, the testimony of Black workers to the 1883 Senate Committee on Relations
between Labor and Capital and debates within the Knights of Labor about whether Black workers were influential political participants. The formation of the Knights and Black workers’ organizing in the South is told through New Orleans local papers such as the Picayune and Weekly Louisiana. Also noteworthy are Frederick Douglass’ address to the National Convention of Colored Men in Louisville, Kentucky and the work of writers who crafted editorials for the New York Freeman, New York Age, and AME Church Review. The proceedings of Knights of Labor conventions are found in local and national papers, the papers of Knights leader Terrence V. Powderly, and excerpts from his account, Thirty Years of Labor (1889). The Colored Farmers’ National Alliance, a crucial organization that inserted Black farmers into the white-dominated and racially exclusive or discriminatory “southern alliances” such as the Farmers’ alliance and the Populist or People’s Party, holds a prominent place.

The fourth volume concerns itself primarily with the robust debate within the AFL over race and the inclusion of Black workers. The documents show just how racially exclusionary were the practices of AFL-affiliated unions. If Gomperism is proven limited in such accounts, then Black worker organizing and militancy, by contrast, is shown to play a decisive role. Key turn-of-the-century strikes, for example, the New Orleans General Strike of 1892 and the Galveston Longshoreman Strike of 1898, are depicted through local and national newspaper coverage. Documents about the United Mine Workers and their unique practice of including Black workers, Black coal miners and the debate around Black strikebreaking, as well as writings by labor leaders Albion W. Tourgee, Ignatius Donnelly, and Du Bois (in this case an excerpt from his important study The Philadelphia Negro), round out the list.

The collection aptly documents Black migration, including the Exodusters movement of the late nineteenth century and the better-known Great Migration of the early twentieth century. In this case, the editors draw upon records from the United States Department of Labor and studies included in the Journal of Negro History. Congressional committee reports on the East St. Louis Race Riot of 1917, records on the Chicago Race Riot of 1919 taken from the Chicago Commission on Race Relations study, and writings in the NAACP organ The Crisis and A. Philip Randolph and Chandler Owen’s The Messenger tell the story of the precarity of Black workers’ lives during the early twentieth century, but also the ways in which they organized to navigate and oppose it. The work of notable Black labor scholars in addition to Du Bois, finds a home in the middle volumes. For example, George E. Haynes, the first African American man to earn a Ph.D. from Columbia University and Director of Negro Economics for the United States Department of Labor, and Helen B. Irvin, an expert on Black women’s labor, have writings that yield social-scientific insight. On the subject of Black women’s labor history, volume six includes a rich collection, with studies on Black women industrial workers in Philadelphia from the U.S. Department of Labor and articles written by labor intellectuals including Helen Sayre, Mary Louise Williams, Nora Newsome, and Jean Collier Brown for publications such as the National Urban League’s Opportunity and The Messenger, as well as for the Women’s Bureau. The Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters has voluminous records dedicated to it. Numerous articles from The Messenger, The New Leader, The Chicago Defender, The Pittsburgh Courier, and records from the Chicago Historical Society capture the work of the historic Black-led labor union of Pullman Porters.

Volume seven is among the richest of the collection because of the high rates of labor union mobilization and worker self-organization that went on during the 1930s
and 1940s. The Congress of Industrial Organizations and its mass organizing efforts that included Black workers receives considerable attention. The organizing efforts of the Steel Workers Organizing Committee, which we learn supported federal anti-lynching legislation, the National Negro Congress, and the Southern Tenant Farmers’ Union are documented through sources drawn from Black newspapers, Communist publications such as The Daily Worker, library archives, the records of civil rights organizations, and the papers of Franklin D. Roosevelt. A. Philip Randolph’s March on Washington Movement of the 1940s and the fight over the Fair Employment Practices Committee and the series of AFL conventions in which Randolph introduced multiple anti-discrimination resolutions, reveal organizing efforts in the watershed years of wartime mobilization and the influence of industrial democracy as a widespread political aspiration. The postwar period concerns the organization of the National Negro Labor Council, which played an important role in infusing an emphasis on jobs and economic justice into a national civil rights platform, and the work of the activist Paul Robeson and the illuminating publication Freedom, his radical newspaper. The final volume delves deeply into the relation between civil rights and labor during the 1950s and 1960s. A notable collection of speeches by civil rights leaders Vernon E. Jordan, Thurgood Marshall, Martin Luther King, Jr., Roy Wilkins, and Benjamin Hooks at AFL-CIO conventions is also included. It concludes with documentation of the organizing efforts of Black and Brown hospital workers, an effort widely supported by the civil rights movement and the Black Power movement.

We should measure the significance of The Black Worker, in part, as a function of the life and times of its principal editor. The author of over 100 published works, Philip Sheldon Foner was an avowed and unapologetic Marxist labor historian. The son of Russian immigrants, Foner earned a bachelor’s and master’s degree in History at City College and a Ph.D. in History at Columbia University under the direction of Allan Nevins, the famed two-time Pulitzer Prize winner and historian. Foner landed his first professorship at his alma mater. In 1941, City College officials fired Foner, who was one of 50 faculty members to lose their positions, and his brothers, Jack, who also taught in the history department at City College, and Moe, who worked in City College’s registrar office. His brother, Henry, a teacher in the city’s public schools, was also blacklisted. This was a prelude to a time, during the Cold War, when leftist scholars were routinely charged with Communist conspiracy and subjected to investigations, committee hearings, and expulsions from their places of employment. Although he was banned from mainstream academic institutions for more than 25 years, Foner continued to research, write, and publish during this time. Forty years after the dismissal, City College leaders issued him a formal apology.

Following his stint at City College, Foner became co-owner of Citadel Press, weathering the turbulent McCarthy period as a self-employed writer and editor. After 26 years of being banned from employment in the academic profession, Foner became a professor at Lincoln University in Lincoln, Pennsylvania, a Historically Black College and University, where he worked during the 1960s and 1970s until his retirement. Lincoln’s graduates included Black luminaries such as Horace Man Bond, Kwame Nkrumah, Melvin B. Tolson, Langston Hughes, Thurgood Marshall, Gil Scott-Heron, and Black Arts Movement architect Lawrence (Larry) Neal. For a historian of U.S. labor and working-class history and an exile of one the country’s most important public higher education institutions, Lincoln University was a welcome home. One notes in Foner’s body of work the influence of the Black educational institution, whose students
were undeniably influenced by and participants in the civil rights and Black Power revolution. A 1994 recipient of the New York Labor History Association’s lifetime achievement award, Foner was also the author of the ten-volume *History of the Labor Movement in the United States, Organized Labor and the Black Worker; Women and the American Labor Movement* and *The Life and Writings of Frederick Douglass*. He is widely recognized by historians of American labor as a key thinker in the field.5

Although his co-editors’ public roles did not match that of their colleague, Lewis’s and Cvornyek’s contributions and professional accomplishments were no less important. After earning a bachelor’s degree in Political Science and Economics at Ohio University and a master’s and doctorate in History at the University of Akron, Lewis joined the faculty at the University of Delaware, holding a joint appointment in African American Studies and History. He taught courses in African American history and produced scholarship that became an influential part of an emerging canon on race and labor. Lewis worked at the University of Delaware for the duration of the publication of *The Black Worker*. His 1978 co-edited volume with James E. Newton, *The Other Slaves: Mechanics, Artisans and Craftsmen*, obviously influenced the structure and content of *The Black Worker*’s volume one, which succeeded in complicating the history of Black labor under slavery by focusing on workers laboring outside the plantation regime. Following the publication of *The Black Worker*, Lewis published books on the history of Black coal miners and Appalachian studies and history. Earning his bachelor’s in Political Science and History a year after Lewis’s arrival to the University of Delaware, Cvornyek received a master’s degree in History at Lewis’s alma mater, the University of Akron, and later earned a master’s of philosophy in History and a doctorate in History at Columbia University. After the publication of *The Black Worker*, Cvornyek published books and articles on African American sports history.

The desire of the editors of *The Black Worker* to promote research in Black labor history was realized. The volumes became a core contribution to the growth and development of the field of Black labor studies. *The Black Worker*, like other publications of its time, was an act of historical recovery that helped usher in and make possible the emergence of new, influential scholarship. It is commonplace for historians of marginalized persons to assert that telling the history of their subjects isn’t simply an act of incorporation but is rather one of revision in that conceptual frameworks are rethought. Reflection on the significance of *The Black Worker* shows this incorporation to be no small or insignificant task. Inserting the voices and actions of the marginal into the canon of history was of monumental importance, and was a defining task of the volume editors’ generation of envelope-pushing historians and progressive and radical intellectuals. By incorporating new voices into the standard chronology of American labor history, *The Black Worker* helped to push the field to revise its core keywords and conceptual underpinnings.

**Keona K. Ervin** is Associate Professor of African American History at the University of Missouri.

**Notes**


FOREWORD


# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## PART I

THE CHALLENGE OF EQUAL ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

| Introduction | 2 |
| Condition of the Black Worker | 3 |
| 2. Statement of Whitney M. Young, Jr. | 13 |
| 3. 35% Black Jobless Rate Says Top Economist | 16 |
| 4. Displaced Farm Workers Lose Industrial Jobs in Rural South, by Roy Reed | 17 |
| 5. Black Workers: Progress Derailed, by Barbara Becnel | 18 |
| 6. Last Hired, and Usually the First Let Go, by Charlayne Hunter | 25 |
| 8. Black Workers Expose Kaiser Racism, by Mike Giocondo | 31 |
| 9. Weber Case Hits Unions, Minorities | 32 |
| 10. High Court Decision Backs Affirmative Action on Jobs, by David L. Perlman | 34 |
| 11. A Kind of 'Tolerance', by Tom Wicker | 36 |
| 12. Court Oversteps Bounds, by George F. Will | 37 |
| 13. Voluntary Affirmative Action Meets Goals of Civil Rights Act | 38 |
| 15. Appeal of Black Conservatives Rings Hollow to Workers, Poor, by Norman Hill | 40 |
| 16. Administration Policies Fail to Address Needs of Blacks, by Norman Hill | 42 |
| 17. Progress of Black Americans Reversed Under GOP Policies, by Gus Tyler | 43 |
| 18. Where Reaganomics Hits Hardest: Minorities & Women | 44 |

## PART II

THE AFL-CIO AND THE CIVIL RIGHTS ISSUE

| Introduction | 48 |
| The AFL-CIO and the Civil Rights Struggle | 49 |
| 1. AFL-CIO Merger Agreement | 49 |
| 2. Correspondence to the Merger Convention | 50 |
| 4. What Goes on Here? | 56 |
| 5. New Day Dawns for Negro Labor in AFL-CIO Merger Here, by Ethel L. Payne | 57 |
| 6. About Randolph and Townsend | 58 |
| 7. Solidarity Forever | 59 |
| 8. AFL-CIO Resolution on Civil Rights, 1957 | 59 |
| 9. AFL-CIO Resolution on Civil Rights, 1961 | 63 |
| 10. AFL-CIO Resolution on Civil Rights, 1963 | 78 |
| 11. AFL-CIO Resolution on Civil Rights, 1965 | 100 |
| 13. Black Power and Labor | 102 |
| 15. AFL-CIO Resolution on Civil Rights, 1969 | 110 |
16. The Fight for Civil Rights Is Alive and Well 113
18. Real Exercise of Civil Rights Linked to Full Employment 118
19. Meany Hails Solidarity of Civil Rights Alliance 119
20. Labor's Civil Rights Goals Linked to Demand for Full Employment 120
21. A Coalition for People 120
22. Lack of Opportunity Thwarts Strides Toward Racial Justice 121

A. PHILIP RANDOLPH: "GENTLEMAN OF ELEGANT IMPATIENCE" 123
23. AFL-CIO Seats Two Negroes 123
24. Randolph Says Negro Not Free 124
26. Council Rejects Randolph Charges, Backs AFL-CIO Rights Record 131
27. Along the N.A.A.C.P. Battlefront 133
28. "Take What's Yours—And Keep It!"—Randolph 134
29. AFL-CIO Resolution on Negro Civil Rights—Labor Alliance, 1965 135
30. A "Freedom Budget" For All Americans 139
31. Minutes, A. Philip Randolph Institute 151
32. $100 Billion Freedom Fund 153
33. Comments on a "Freedom Budget" For All Americans 157
34. Phil Randolph, The Best of Men, Touched and Changed All of Us 159
35. Randolph's Vision Recalled to Nation 160
36. A. Philip Randolph Memorial 161
37. House Votes Gold Medal Honoring Phil Randolph 161

THE NAACP AND THE AFL-CIO 162
38. The NAACP Hails the AFL-CIO Merger 162
40. The NAACP vs. Labor 169
41. Reflections on the Negro and Labor, by Daniel Bell 171
42. AFL-CIO Saves NAACP 175
43. Benjamin Hooks, Executive Director, NAACP, to the AFL-CIO Convention, 1979 175
44. NAACP to Join Labor's Solidarity Day Protest 179
45. Roy Wilkins Provided Strength During Critical Civil Rights Era, by Bayard Rustin 180
46. Delegates Hit Reagan on Civil Rights Retreat 181

BLACK CIVIL RIGHTS LEADERS SPEAK BEFORE AFL-CIO CONVENTIONS 182
47. Thurgood Marshall 182
48. Martin Luther King, Jr. 184
49. Roy Wilkins 189
50. Mary Moultrie 193
51. Benjamin Hooks 195
52. Vernon Jordan, Jr. 201

PART III
RADICAL BLACK WORKERS

Introduction 206

THE BLACK WORKERS CONGRESS 207
| 1. The Black Liberation Struggle, the Black Workers Congress and Proletarian Revolution | 207 |
| 2. Excerpts from the Black Workers Congress Manifesto | 227 |
| 3. Organize the Revolution, Disorganize the State! | 228 |
| 4. Conditions Facing Black and Third World Workers | 229 |
| 5. Black Workers Delegation in Vietnam | 233 |
| 6. Black Workers in Revolt | 234 |
| 7. Wildcat! by Detroit NOC | 246 |
| 8. Confront the Racist UAW Leadership | 254 |
| 9. Black Workers Protest UAW Racism | 256 |
| 11. DRUM Beats Will Be Heard | 263 |
| 12. Black Worker Raps | 265 |
| 13. National Workers Program | 267 |
| 14. Black Workers--Dual Unions | 268 |
| 15. Auto Mongers Plot Against Workers | 269 |
| 16. Black Worker Shoots Foremen: Resolve Problem with Management | 270 |
| 17. MARUM Newsletter | 273 |

**THE PROGRESSIVE LABOR PARTY**

| 18. Black Workers: Key Revolutionary Force | 275 |
| 19. Black Workers Must Lead | 281 |

**MORE BLACK LABOR RADICALISM**

| 20. Racism and the Workers' Movement | 284 |
| 22. Black Workers Fight Imperialism: Polaroid Corporation | 299 |
| 23. Boycott Polaroid | 300 |
| 24. Polaroid Blacks Ask Worldwide Boycott | 301 |

**PART IV**

**THE NEGRO-LABOR ALLIANCE**

Introduction | 304

**NEGRO LABOR ASSEMBLY**

| 1. Minutes of the Negro Labor Assembly, October 14, 1959 | 305 |
| 2. Minutes, Negro Labor Assembly, September 30, 1965 | 308 |

**NEGRO AMERICAN LABOR COUNCIL**

| 4. Unless Something Special Happens, by Whitney M. Young, Jr. | 314 |
| 5. Randolph Fears Crisis on Rights, by Raymond H. Anderson | 317 |
| 6. Negro Jobs for a Strong Labor Movement | 317 |
| 7. Frustration in the Ghettos: A National Crisis | 320 |
| 8. NALC Head Asks Labor Aid March of Poor | 322 |
| 9. Something New in the House of Labor | 324 |
| 10. NALC Delegates Warn Against Redbaiters | 330 |
| 11. NALC Convention Urges Political Action | 330 |
COALITION OF BLACK TRADE UNIONISTS

12. Conference Proceedings, Coalition of Black Trade Unionists
13. Black Unionists Form Coalition
14. A Giant Step Toward Unity
16. Black Caucus in the Unions

BAYARD RUSTIN

17. Morals Concerning Minorities: Mental Health and Identity, by Bayard Rustin
18. Address to the 1969 Convention of the AFL-CIO, Bayard Rustin
19. The Blacks and the Unions, by Bayard Rustin
20. Labor's Highest Award Honors Bayard Rustin, by James M. Shevis

UNITED STEELWORKERS OF AMERICA

21. Steelworkers Fight Discrimination, by David J. McDonald
22. USWA's Civil Rights Program Wins Praise
23. Address by Vernon E. Jordan, Jr.
24. History of the United Steelworkers of America: Steel Union Buttresses Racism, by Staughton Lynd
26. Black Steelworkers' Parley Spurs Representation Fight
27. The Fight Against Racism in the USWA

MUNICIPAL WORKERS

28. Union Battle Won in Memphis
29. Memphis: King's Biggest Gamble
30. Economic Boycott in Memphis to Continue
31. The Struggle in Memphis
32. In Memphis: More Than a Garbage Strike, by J. Edwin Stanfield

UNITED AUTO WORKERS

33. Address of Walter P. Reuther Before the Annual Convention of the NAACP, June 26, 1957
34. There's No Half-Way House on the Road to Freedom
35. Watts: Where They Manufacture Hope
36. A Black Caucus Formed in Auto Union
37. Out of Struggle—Solidarity, by Cornelius Cobbs
38. Bannon Urges More Opportunity for Minorities to Enter Trades
39. Black Caucus Builds Black-White Solidarity at Chrysler Plant, by Johnny Woods
40. Black-White Caucuses Win UAW Offices, by Ted Pearson
41. Stepp Named First Black UAW Head At Big 3 Plant, by William Allan
42. Labor, Blacks Meet, Map Political Push

BUILDING TRADES

43. NAACP Battle Front
44. NY Building Trades Unions Face Discrimination Hearings
45. Building Trades Take Solid Stand Against Discrimination
46. Building Unions Boiling Over Gov't. Hiring Ruling
47. Opposition to Philadelphia Plan
| 48. | Revised Philadelphia Plan | 451 |
| 49. | Black Claims Bias in Union Training Plan, by Martin J. Herman | 452 |
| 50. | LEAP | 454 |
| 51. | Coalition Demands Hiring of Minority Workers | 456 |
| 52. | The Bricks and Mortar of Racism, by Paul Good | 458 |
| 53. | Civil Rights and Church Leaders Warn of Attacks on Black People | 467 |

**PART V**

**1199 AND THE BLACK WORKER**

**Introduction**

**OVERVIEW**

1. Twenty Years in the Hospitals: A Short History of 1199
2. Local 1199 Makes Realistic Gains for its Newly-Organized Members, by Moe Foner
3. Local 1199 Sparks National Union for Hospital, Nursing Home Workers

**HOSPITAL WORKERS ORGANIZE**

4. Hospital Strike is Settled; $40 Minimum, Other Gains Won
5. One Big Union Established for All Hospital Workers: Local 1199 Hospital Division, AFL-CIO
6. More Hospitals Organizing into Local 1199
7. Strike Settlement Sets Stage for Organizing Drive to Build Strong 1199 in Hospitals
8. The Challenge of Bronxville: 1199 Takes It Up With All-Out Drive to Win Lawrence Hospital Strike
9. The Bronxville Strike
10. Truce in Bronxville
11. Ballad of the Bronxville Hospital Strike
12. For Sam Smith, Hospital Orderly: A Battle Whose Time Has Come, by John M. McClintock
13. The Plight of Hospital Workers
14. Hospital Woes
15. Pittsburgh: Hospital Workers Fight for Union Rights
16. Battle in Pittsburgh, by Dan North

**THE STRUGGLE IN CHARLESTON**

17. Hugh A. Brimm, Office of Civil Rights, To Dr. William M. McCord, President of Medical College of South Carolina, September 19, 1968
18. Carolina Strike Unites Rights, Labor Groups, by Murray Seeger
19. Mrs. King's Crusade
20. National Organizing Committee Hospital and Nursing Home Employees
22. Text of Speech by Mrs. Coretta Scott King at Dinner Honoring A. Philip Randolph
23. The Charleston Coalition
24. Charleston's Rights Battleground, by Ronald Sarro
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Text of Address by Mrs. Coretta Scott King to Rally at Charleston's Stoney Field Stadium</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Charleston: Our Strike for Union and Human Rights</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>113-Day Hospital Strike in Charleston</td>
<td>526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Letters from Charleston Strikers</td>
<td>528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Is This Any Way to Run a Union?</td>
<td>537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Bread and Roses, by Moe Foner</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Bread and Roses Union Brings Cultural Events to Members, by Kay Bartlett</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Images of Labor (Gallery 1199), by Cynthia Nadelman</td>
<td>546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>Strong 'Images of Labor', by Benjamin Forgey</td>
<td>547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>&quot;Take Care, Take Care&quot;</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>United We Laugh, by Barbara Garson</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>Union Musical to Premiere at Boro Hospital</td>
<td>551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>Hospital Revue Hits 'Home' for Employees</td>
<td>551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>A Revue That's Good Medicine, by Lucinda Fleeson</td>
<td>553</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES AND INDEX

NOTES

INDEX
This is the eighth volume of THE BLACK WORKER: A DOCUMENTARY HISTORY FROM COLONIAL TIMES TO THE PRESENT, the first compilation of original materials to encompass the entire history of Black American labor. As with the preceding volumes, documents are placed in historical context by introductions and notes. Original spellings have been retained except where they obscure the intended meaning.

Volume VIII begins with an overview of the economic status of the black worker during the era since the AFL-CIO merger. Extensive documentation is presented on the battle waged within the house of labor to purge it of racial discrimination, and the corresponding relations which existed between the labor movement and Negro civil rights groups. The difficulty inherent in this purging process led some young black nationalists to espouse radical, separatist solutions to the race problem confronting black workers. Nevertheless, most Afro-Americans sought to strengthen the traditional alliance of blacks and the more progressive forces in the labor movement, and formed black caucuses in order to reform, rather than destroy, the established unions. The period from the merger to the present, therefore, is characterized by an increasing realization by organized labor that the civil rights of blacks, and social justice for all workers, make them natural allies. Current indications suggest that this realization will deepen with the rise of neo-conservatism in the 1980s.
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PART I
THE CHALLENGE OF EQUAL ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY
American historians have characterized the decade following the landmark Brown v. Board of Education Supreme Court decision in 1954 as one of such dramatic improvement for blacks as to constitute a "Second Reconstruction." Paradoxically, alongside this remarkable social and political progress came a relative, and in some ways an absolute, decline in the economic status of Afro-Americans. In 1960, 50 to 84 per cent of all black wage-earners fell into menial and unskilled job categories; 23 per cent of Negro families in Los Angeles lived below the government's "poverty line" of $3,000 annual income, 24 in Newark, 27 in Chicago and New York, 30 in Philadelphia, and 36 in Pittsburgh. Unemployment figures were also revealing. In Detroit, where blacks were comparatively well off, 16.8 per cent of the black labor force were out of work in 1940 and 17.4 per cent in 1960. Unemployment figures were also revealing. In Detroit, where blacks were comparatively well off, 16.8 per cent of the black labor force were out of work in 1940 and 17.4 per cent in 1960. The rate rose in the inner cities after 1960 as the flight of blacks from the South to the northern ghettos intensified. These migrants arrived at a time when the spread of automation was robbing blacks already resident in the northern ghettos of their traditional unskilled places in industry.

Blacks did make important gains in the labor movement. The days were gone when entire industries were "lily-white" and when unions excluded blacks from membership. By 1970 there were more than 2.5 million black unionists in the U.S., and at 15 per cent their membership in unions was higher than their percentage of the total population. Nevertheless, in 1970 the black unemployment rate was still a little over twice that of whites, median annual income for black families was only 61 per cent that for their white counterparts, and blacks remained grossly over represented in lower paying, lower skilled jobs. Part of the explanation lay in the technological revolution as unskilled jobs increasingly were eliminated by automation. Also, there was the continuing drift of jobs to the suburbs as new plants and new jobs were established outside of the cities where blacks did not live. Moreover, serious economic recessions gripped the nation during the seventies, and black unemployment reached a depression level by the end of the decade, making a mockery of affirmative action programs mandated by federal law. A major reason for this was the seniority rule, the determinant for order in lay-offs and prospects for advancement on the job. While seniority is crucial to the economic interests of the factory worker, it does discriminate against blacks who most frequently are the last hired.

The primary issue underlying the contemporary battle against on-the-job discrimination is how to reconcile equal employment opportunity with seniority rights and, in numerous legal suits during the 1970s and early 1980s, black workers have challenged the rule. The general thrust of the court decisions in these cases has been to establish the principle that seniority plans must intentionally discriminate against the workers it covers in order to be illegal. One of the most important of these cases was that of Brian Weber, a white employee of Kaiser Aluminum, and a member of the United Steelworkers of America. A lab technician, Weber had applied for a craft training position, but was rejected because over thirty whites had more seniority. He had more seniority than two of the five blacks selected from a separate minority list, however, and Weber sued charging "reverse discrimination." The lower courts ruled in his favor, but in June 1979 the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that Congress had "left employers and unions in the private sector free to take . . . race conscious steps to eliminate manifest racial imbalance in traditionally white job categories." The decision left many questions unanswered, however, and the issue remains in flux.
CONDITION OF THE BLACK WORKER

1. ECONOMIC STATUS OF NONWHITE WORKERS, 1955-62

By Matthew A. Kessler

The gradual movement of nonwhite workers (over 90 percent of whom are Negroes) into higher skilled and better paying jobs has continued since the mid-1950's. However, despite these recent gains, large gaps continue to exist between white and nonwhite workers, as measured by most indicators of social and economic well-being.

Nonwhites continue to be concentrated in less-skilled jobs and are subject to more unemployment than whites. The jobless rates of nonwhites are still at least one and one-half times higher than for whites in every age-sex grouping, and for some age groupings are three times as high. Unemployment bears disproportionately on the nonwhite worker whatever his industry or occupation. Not only is he subject to more frequent spells of unemployment; once out of a job, he has tended to remain jobless for a longer period of time.

After achieving relatively substantial gains in money income during the early postwar period, nonwhite families have failed to keep pace with the rise in average income of white families since the mid-1950's, despite the continued shift of nonwhite workers into higher paying jobs.

During the past two decades, nonwhites have narrowed the educational gap that had historically existed between themselves and white persons, a development which has helped to foster their steady ladder. Since the mid-1950's, however, differences in the level of educational attainment between whites and nonwhites have remained essentially unchanged.

Industry and Occupation Changes

Throughout the postwar period, there has been a dramatic shift of nonwhites out of agriculture. In 1962, 12 out of every 100 employed nonwhite workers were employed in agriculture, compared with 16 out of 100 in 1955 and 21 out of 100 in 1948 (See Table 1). The precipitous fall in this proportion throughout the postwar period is a result of the exodus of nonwhites from sharecropping and marginal farms, particularly in the South, as well as the growth of alternative employment opportunities in other sectors of the economy.

In this quest for a higher money income, however, many nonwhites who shifted to nonfarm employment paid the price of greater job insecurity. As they often lack education and vocational training and are limited by discriminatory hiring and layoff practices, their employment opportunities are restricted to relatively unskilled and semi-skilled occupations. These are the very lines of work that are particularly sensitive to the business cycle and are vulnerable to large-scale reductions through automation. Although professional and clerical occupations have provided a major source of both white and nonwhite employment growth since the mid-1950's, nonwhites continue to be overrepresented in such occupations as domestic servants, laborers, and semiskilled operatives.

White-Collar Occupations. Between 1955 and 1962, an increasing number and proportion of nonwhite workers entered the higher skilled and better paying white-collar occupations. In 1962, however, only 17 percent of all employed nonwhites were in white-collar occupations, compared with 47 percent
TABLE 1
EMPLOYED PERSONS, BY INDUSTRY AND COLOR,
1948, 1955, AND 1962
(Percent Distribution)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDUSTRY</th>
<th>WHITE</th>
<th>NONWHITE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total employed:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (thousands)</td>
<td>60,749</td>
<td>56,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goods-producing industries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining, forestry, and fisheries</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service-producing industries</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and public utilities</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service and finance</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private households</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational services</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional services, except education</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business and repair services</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other services, including</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance, insurance, and real estate</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public administration</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of white workers (Table 2). White workers in this group outnumbered nonwhites 28 to 1, in marked contrast to their comparative representation in the civilian labor force (9 white for each nonwhite worker). The number of nonwhites in white-collar jobs has risen by 50 percent since 1955, about the same rate of increase as noted during the early postwar period and two and one-half times the increase for whites. However, unless there is a substantial acceleration of these trends, the percentage of nonwhite workers in white-collar employment will still be substantially below that of white workers for many years.

Nonwhite workers have been entering the professional, technical, and clerical fields faster than other white-collar occupations. These occupations have risen by 60 percent since the mid-1950's, reflecting expanded job opportunities, particularly in public administration. The largest concentration of nonwhite workers in the white-collar group (almost 1 out of 2) is employed in such clerical occupations as office machine operators, bookkeepers, typists, secretaries, stenographers, and filing and recording clerks.

The largest relative gains posted by nonwhites during 1955-62 were in professional services (such as hospital, medical, and other health services, welfare and religious institutions) and business and repair services—all of which grew nearly 70 percent in the 7-year span. This approximated advances noted in the earlier postwar period and compared with about a 35-percent increase for whites since 1955. Nonwhites also recorded relatively sharp gains in the growing field of educational services—up by 60 percent compared
with a 50-percent rise among whites. Governmental policies assuring non-discriminatory employment practices may account for the continued gains registered by nonwhites in the public administration since the mid-1950's—up 40 percent compared with an 18-percent rise among whites.

Nonwhite employment in the professional and technical fields has increased at a somewhat faster rate than for whites since the mid-1950's. Yet in 1962, only about 5 percent of all employed nonwhites were engaged in these occupations compared with 12⅔ percent of all white workers. While teaching provides a major source of professional employment for both whites and nonwhites, a higher proportion of nonwhite than white professional workers (mainly women) were employed as elementary and secondary school teachers in 1962—nearly two-fifths and one-fifth, respectively. Indicating of nonwhites' recent progress in the professional field is the fivefold increase in their employment in the growing engineering occupations during the 1950's compared with a two-thirds rise for the occupational group as a whole. Nevertheless, nonwhites accounted for only 1⅔ percent of all professional engineers by 1960.

### TABLE 2
EMPLOYED PERSONS BY OCCUPATION GROUP AND COLORS, 1948, 1955, AND 1962
(Percent Distribution)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAJOR OCCUPATION GROUP</th>
<th>WHITE</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>NONWHITE</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total employed:</td>
<td>60,749</td>
<td>56,698</td>
<td>53,434</td>
<td>7,098</td>
<td>6,496</td>
<td>5,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (thousands)....</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent..............................</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White-collar workers....</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional and technical workers............</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers, officials, and proprietors, except farm.</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical workers................................</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales workers................................</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue-collar workers................................</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craftsmen and foremen..</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operatives.....................................</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laborers, except farm and mine................</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service workers...............................</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private household workers........................</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other service workers................................</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm workers...................................</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers and managers................................</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laborers and foremen................................</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only 4 of every 100 nonwhites were employed as managers, officials, and proprietors and as sales workers in 1962, a somewhat higher proportion than in 1955 and 1948. The proportion of white workers in these occupations in 1962 was much higher (19 percent).

**Blue-Collar Occupations.** After registering small gains in the early postwar period, the proportion of nonwhites employed in blue-collar occupations fell slightly between 1955 and 1962, returning to levels prevailing in
1948. Blue-collar jobs have accounted for two-fifths of total nonwhite employment throughout most of the postwar period. During the more recent 7-year period, the proportion of white workers in these occupational categories also declined moderately.

More than 8 out of every 10 nonwhite workers in blue-collar jobs (compared with 6 out of 10 white workers) continued to be in either the semiskilled or unskilled occupations. These jobs tend to be concentrated in those goods-producing and related industries (such as transportation) which are quite sensitive to the business cycle. Moreover, the demand for this type of labor has diminished steadily during the postwar period as a result of automation and other technological developments.

**Service Occupations.** Nonwhites are still seven times as likely as white workers to be employed as private household workers (including maids, babysitters, housekeepers, chauffeurs, laundresses). During the earlier postwar period, the number of nonwhite private household workers remained virtually unchanged, while nonwhite employment in other service occupations, such as hospital attendant, barber, and cook, rose significantly (25 percent). During the 1955-62 period, this trend appears to have continued, with little change in nonwhite private household employment and a substantial gain (18 percent) in the number of nonwhites entering other service jobs. Among white workers also there was a steady rise in the proportion of service workers outside of private households throughout the postwar period—up between 20 and 25 percent in each of the two periods. In 1962, as in the earlier postwar period, proportionately twice as many nonwhite as white workers were in these rapidly expanding but still relatively low-paying and low-to-moderately skilled service occupations.

**TABLE 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>WHITE</th>
<th>NON-WHITE</th>
<th>NON-WHITE AS PERCENT OF WHITE</th>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>WHITE</th>
<th>NON-WHITE</th>
<th>NON-WHITE AS PERCENT OF WHITE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>1953</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>1951</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>1949</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>1947</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Manpower Utilization**

**Unemployment.** Throughout the postwar period, unemployment has consistently fallen most heavily on the nonwhite worker. Comprising only a tenth of a civilian labor force in 1962, nonwhites accounted for two-tenths of the jobless total. This disparity was evident among both men and women.

The unemployment rate for nonwhites, at 11.0 percent in 1962, stood at its third highest level in the postwar period (Table 3) and was only slightly lower than rates recorded in the recession affected years of 1958 and 1961. Their 1962 unemployment rate was double the jobless rate of white workers. This relationship has persisted throughout the postwar period, and in fact tended to increase in the latter part of the postwar period. In the years 1947-49, the nonwhite unemployment rate averaged about 60 percent higher than for white workers, whereas in each year from 1954 through 1962, it was consistently twice as high.

Nonwhite boys and girls 14 to 19 years of age continued to have one of the highest jobless rates of any age-color group (See Table 4). In 1962, the unemployment rate of nonwhite teenagers remained near 25 percent, compared
with about 12 percent for white youth of the same ages. Since 1955, the jobless rate of nonwhite teenagers has increased faster than for white youngsters—up about 60 percent among nonwhites compared with a 30-percent rise for white youth.

In 1962, nonwhite men in both the 25-34 and 35-44 age brackets (primarily family breadwinners) recorded unemployment rates about three times as high as for white men (about 9 and 3 percent, respectively). A differential of similar proportions was recorded in 1955.

Even within the same major occupation group large differences in unemployment rates persisted, with rates for nonwhites generally substantially exceeding those of white persons. Among both white and nonwhite workers at the lower end of the occupational hierarchy, both nonfarm laborers and operatives usually have relatively high unemployment rates; however, differences are not (and have not been) as great as in most other occupation groups (Table 5). This may reflect a high proportion of such workers in highly unionized mass-production industries, some of which provide for nondiscrimination clauses in their collective bargaining agreements.

Differences in overall unemployment rates by color are partially explained by the higher concentration of nonwhites at the lower rungs of the occupational skill ladder. Even assuming there were no differences in the occupational distribution of both groups, however, nonwhites still would have had a greater unemployment rate than whites in 1962. But assuming that the experienced nonwhite civilian labor force had the same occupational distribution as the experienced white civilian labor force, and applying actual jobless rates of nonwhites to this adjusted occupational distribution, the difference in the overall jobless rate between whites and nonwhites in 1962 would have been cut in half. Under these assumptions, the unemployment rate for nonwhites would have been 8.1 rather than 11.0 percent of their number in the labor force, compared with an actual rate of 4.9 percent for whites.

Nonwhite workers not only have higher rates; they are also subject to more frequent spells of unemployment. For persons experiencing any unemployment throughout the year, the chances are much greater that nonwhites rather
TABLE 5
UNEMPLOYMENT RATES OF EXPERIENCED WORKERS, BY COLOR AND
MAJOR OCCUPATION GROUP, 1955 AND 1962

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All occupation groups...</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical and sales workers</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craftsmen and foremen...........</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operatives......................</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private household workers</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other service workers...........</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm laborers and foremen</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laborers, except farm and mine.</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

than whites in 1962. But assuming that the experienced nonwhite civilian labor force had the same occupational distribution as the experienced white civilian labor force, and applying actual jobless rates of nonwhites to this adjusted occupational distribution, the difference in the overall jobless rate between whites and nonwhites in 1962 would have been cut in half. Under these assumptions, the unemployment rate for nonwhites would have been 8.1 rather than 11.0 percent of their number in the labor force, compared with an actual rate of 4.9 percent for whites.

Nonwhite workers not only have higher rates; they are also subject to more frequent spells of unemployment. For persons experiencing any unemployment throughout the year, the chances are much greater that nonwhites rather than whites will have repeated spells of unemployment during the year. About 3 of every 10 nonwhite men who had been unemployed sometime during the year were subject to 3 spells or more of unemployment in 1961, compared with 2 of every 10 white men who had some unemployment. Moreover, nonwhite workers spend considerably longer periods of time on layoff or looking for work between jobs. Since 1954 (earliest year for which these data are available), nonwhites have consistently accounted for 20 to 30 percent of both long-term unemployment of 15 weeks or more and very long-term unemployment of 27 weeks or more, as the following tabulation shows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonwhites as a percent of total unemployed for--</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1954</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 6
EMPLOYED PERSONS IN NONAGRICULTURAL INDUSTRIES, BY FULL- OR PART-TIME
STATUS AND COLOR, 1956 AND 1962

(Percent distribution)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All employed persons:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (thousands)</td>
<td>52,661</td>
<td>6,267</td>
<td>5,733</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At work--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On full-time schedules</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On part time for economic reasons</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usually work full time</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usually work part time</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On part time for other reasons; usually work part time</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the peak of the 1957 cycle (on a seasonally adjusted basis), nonwhites have consistently had a higher proportion of their total unemployment concentrated in the group of work 15 weeks or more than have the white unemployed.

In the 1957-59 cycle, after seasonal adjustment, unemployment among both whites and nonwhites rose about 70 percent between the third quarter of 1957 (prerecession peak) and the second quarter of 1958 (recession trough). During the downturn phase of the most recent cycle (1960-62), the number of jobless white and nonwhite workers both increased by similar proportions from prerecession peak to the recession trough—up 30 and 25 percent, respectively. In the upturn of the 1957-59 cycle (four quarters after the trough had been reached), differences in the rate of decline in unemployment among whites and nonwhites were not significant. There was, however, a relatively sharper drop in the rates for whites in the 1961-62 recovery period. During this later period, whites recorded a 25-percent decline in joblessness, compared with only a 10-percent dip among nonwhites. By the subsequent quarter, however, the improvement from the trough was about the same for both groups.

**Part-Time Employment.** In every year since 1956, a higher proportion of nonwhite than white persons were working at part-time jobs. In 1962, 21 percent of all employed nonwhites, compared with 14 percent of all white workers, were working less than 35 hours a week; however, the rate of "economic part time" continued to be three times as high for nonwhites as for white workers—10 percent of total nonwhite employment (Table 6). In 1962, as in previous years, nonwhites accounted for about one-fourth of all nonfarm workers on part time for economic reasons while constituting only 10 percent of nonagricultural employment.

Nonwhite workers in 1962 accounted for 16 percent of those on reduced workweeks because of economic reasons (such as slack work and material shortages), while comprising 35 percent of those in part time because they were unable to find full-time jobs. This latter category is likely to have a high proportion of young workers and adult women, many of whom are employed in private household and other service occupations.

The proportion of nonwhite workers on part time for economic reasons has risen significantly over the past 6 years, while that of white workers has remained about the same. On the other hand, the entire rise in voluntary part-time employment was among white workers.

The difference in the proportion of white and nonwhite workers who work at year-round full-time jobs is appreciable. Only one-half of nonwhite men compared with two-thirds of white men with work experience were reported to have worked steadily at full-time jobs in 1961. This difference has persisted
since the late 1940's when such data first became available. During the postwar period, nonwhite women made sizable gains in full-time year-round jobholding, while the proportion of white women in this category remained relatively stable. This improvement among nonwhite women has resulted in part from their shift away from farm occupations—jobs where work schedules tend to be unstable. In 1961, there were proportionately almost as many nonwhite as white women in full-time year-round jobs (32 and 38 percent, respectively).

Labor Force Participation. A salient development in labor force activity of nonwhite workers in recent years has been the sharp decline in labor force participation rates of teenage boys and older men (Table 7). In 1962, rates for nonwhites in these groups were below those of white men in the same ages. The especially sharp decline for nonwhites continued a secular trend, including the long-term decline in agriculture, increased years of schooling, and liberalized retirement programs—developments which have also affected whites greatly in recent years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE AND SEX</th>
<th>WHITE</th>
<th>NONWHITE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 to 19 years</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 24 years</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 34 years</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 44 years</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 54 years</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 to 64 years</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>88.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 years and over</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 to 19 years</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 24 years</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 34 years</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 44 years</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 54 years</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 to 64 years</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 years and over</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the 1950's, at least 70 percent of the net migration from farms consisted of young people under 20 or who reached 20 during the decade. In general, whether in or out of school, tend to be an integral part of the farm labor force. Their rates of labor force participation are usually higher than those of nonfarm youngsters of the same ages. In view of the continuing decline in the proportion of nonwhites employed in agriculture between 1955 and 1962, it is reasonable to assume that many of these young farm leavers were nonwhite. A sharp rise in the number of youngsters enrolled in school, as well as unusually high jobless rates which have prevailed in recent years among nonwhite teenagers, may have contributed to their drop in participation.

Participation rates of both white and nonwhite older men (65 and over) dropped very significantly between 1955 and 1962—down about 9 and 13 percentage points, respectively. Probably because of the trend toward earlier
retirement, participation has also been declining (although to a much smaller extent) among men 55 to 64 years of age, with the nonwhites again showing sharper declines.

Among men in the central age group 25 to 64 years, where participation rates tend to be the highest, nonwhite men continued to have somewhat lower rates than whites. This may be due to a higher incidence of disabling illness and injury among nonwhite men, associated with their concentration in manual, more hazardous occupations.

Nonwhite women historically have participated in the labor force in greater proportions than white women. The postwar rise in labor force participation rates of adult women has occurred both among white and nonwhite women. Despite these changes, only about two-fifths of all white women 25 to 64 were in the labor force in 1962, compared with nearly three-fifths of nonwhite women of the same ages.

### TABLE 8

**MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME, BY COLOR, 1948-61**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>WHITE</th>
<th>NON-WHITE</th>
<th>NONWHITE AS PERCENT OF WHITE</th>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>WHITE</th>
<th>NON-WHITE</th>
<th>NONWHITE AS PERCENT OF WHITE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1948</td>
<td>$3,310</td>
<td>$1,768</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>$4,605</td>
<td>$2,549</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949</td>
<td>3,232</td>
<td>1,650</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>3,993</td>
<td>2,628</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>3,445</td>
<td>1,869</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td>5,166</td>
<td>2,764</td>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>3,859</td>
<td>2,032</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>5,300</td>
<td>2,711</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1952</td>
<td>4,114</td>
<td>2,338</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>5,643</td>
<td>2,917</td>
<td>51.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953</td>
<td>4,392</td>
<td>2,461</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>5,835</td>
<td>3,233</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1954</td>
<td>4,339</td>
<td>2,410</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>5,981</td>
<td>3,191</td>
<td>53.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Income and Education**

Income. Nonwhites tend to have a somewhat larger number of wage earners per family unit and higher rates of labor force participation than whites, which tend to reduce white-nonwhite income differentials. Partially offsetting this is the relatively high concentration of nonwhites in agriculture, where income received in kind is excluded. Family income is nevertheless a useful criterion of socioeconomic wellbeing since many expenditure patterns relate to the family unit as a separate entity.

The average (median) income of both white and nonwhite families has increased quite substantially in dollar amounts during the past two decades. Very notable income advances by nonwhites were made particularly during World War II and the early postwar period as a result of wartime induced shortages of unskilled workers and governmental action designed to raise the income level of lower paid workers. The family income of nonwhites climbed from less than 40 percent of white family income in 1939 to nearly 60 percent in the early 1950's. Although since then nonwhites have continued to raise their money income, they have failed to bring about a further narrowing of income differentials between the two groups. In fact, on a relative basis, nonwhite family income as a percent of white family income has shown little change since 1952-1953 (Table 8). This phenomenon seems to be due to the fact that during the past decade, professional, technical, and managerial workers (where nonwhites are still very underrepresented) showed much larger relative income gains (up nearly 70 percent) than workers at the lower rung of the occupational skill ladder (where nonwhites are still disproportionately concentrated). The incomes of laborers and service workers rose by only 40 percent during this same period, compared with an increase of about 180 percent during the forties.

In 1948, nearly 8 of every 10 nonwhite families had money incomes of less than $3,000 (See Table 9). This proportion had dropped by 6 out of 10 by 1955 and 5 out of 10 by 1961, but it was about 2½ times the proportion of white families in this relatively low income category. Since 1955, the
proportion of nonwhite families in the $5,000 to $10,000 category had increased by approximately one-half (to 23 percent), but was still well below the comparable proportion of white families in that category (45 percent). At the upper end of the income scale—$10,000 or more—6 percent of nonwhite families were in this group in 1961, in sharp contrast to their negligible proportion in 1948 and 1955 (about 0.5 percent in both years). Despite recent employment gains made by nonwhites, which is reflected by their movement into higher money income groups, a substantial gap continues to exist, with proportionately three times as many white families in the $10,000 or more bracket.

### TABLE 9

TOTAL MONEY INCOME OF FAMILIES, BY COLOR, 1948, 1955, AND 1961

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FAMILY INCOME</th>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1948</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WHITE</td>
<td>NON-WHITE</td>
<td>WHITE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All income classes</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under $3,000</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,000 to $4,999</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000 to $9,999</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000 and over</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median income</td>
<td>$5,981</td>
<td>$3,191</td>
<td>$4,605</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 10

MEDIAN INCOME OF FAMILIES IN 1961, BY COLOR AND EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT BY FAMILY HEAD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>WHITE</th>
<th>NONWHITE</th>
<th>NONWHITE AS PERCENT OF WHITE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elementary.................</td>
<td>$4,074</td>
<td>$4,378</td>
<td>$2,539</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 8 years.........</td>
<td>3,279</td>
<td>3,656</td>
<td>2,294</td>
<td>62.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 years...................</td>
<td>4,772</td>
<td>4,911</td>
<td>3,338</td>
<td>68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School..............</td>
<td>6,032</td>
<td>6,186</td>
<td>3,863</td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 3 years............</td>
<td>5,644</td>
<td>5,882</td>
<td>3,449</td>
<td>58.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 years.................</td>
<td>6,302</td>
<td>6,390</td>
<td>4,559</td>
<td>71.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College..................</td>
<td>8,210</td>
<td>8,288</td>
<td>6,444</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 3 years............</td>
<td>7,250</td>
<td>7,344</td>
<td>5,325</td>
<td>75.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 years or more........</td>
<td>9,264</td>
<td>9,315</td>
<td>7,875</td>
<td>84.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Educational Attainment. Very large strides have been made during the past two decades in reducing the persistent educational gap between nonwhite and white persons. By 1962, the average white person 25 to 29 years of age had completed 12.5 years of schooling, compared with 11.2 years of schooling completed by the average nonwhite person in the same age bracket. For nonwhite men, this represented a gain of some 4½ years of school since 1940; for whites, the average gain was 2 years. This narrowing of the educational gap
during the postwar period can be largely attributed to the rising proportion of nonwhite youngsters who have been enrolled in school. At the elementary school level, the differential has been markedly reduced. But at the high school level, despite some narrowing differentials during this period, the percentage of nonwhites attending school falls appreciably below that of whites.

Since the mid-1950's, however, the gap has essentially remained the same, with both groups showing a rise of about 1 full year in median school years completed, which departs from previous longrun trends. Recent income data by color and educational attainment of the head of the family also support conclusions found in other studies that the income gap between whites and nonwhites is not completely closed even when educational levels of both groups increase (Table 10). However, the differential is substantially reduced at the college level, with the family income of nonwhite college graduates in 1961 about 85 percent of that of white college graduates.
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Mr. Chairman and Members of the Subcommittee:

My name is Whitney M. Young, Jr., and I am Executive Director of the National Urban League. We appreciate your invitation and the opportunity it provides the Urban League to support this vitally needed legislation. To be effective, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission needed enforcement power from its inception.¹

We also wish to take this opportunity to commend Senators Clark and Javits, who are responsible for introducing this important piece of legislation.²

The legislation to which we address ourselves would make an indispensable contribution toward the protection of the equal employment rights of individuals. The major division is that which grants EEOC the power to issue cease and desist orders.

We believe that President Johnson, in his message to Congress, emphasized the need for cease and desist order power when he stated:

Unlike most Federal regulatory agencies, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission was not given enforcement powers. If efforts to conciliate or persuade are unsuccessful, the Commission, itself, is powerless. For the individual discriminated against, there remains only a time consuming and expensive lawsuit.³

It is increasingly apparent, from EEOC's brief operation, that more effective machinery for enforcement authority must be given to the Commission to bring about conciliation.

We note that of thirty-five States with FEPC laws, twenty-eight provide for enforcement procedures. Of the remaining seven that had initially relied upon voluntary compliance, four have amended their laws to provide for enforcement procedures.

Federal enforcement toward Equal Employment Opportunity continues to be a major issue with minority citizens. While the employment status of Negro workers has improved considerably during the past two decades, there remain
significant differentials between white and Negro workers. In spite of the Nation's improved economic status, the employment opportunities of Negroes continues to lag behind their white counterparts.

Nation-wide studies document that they are still confined largely to the unskilled and semi-skilled jobs, when employed. They are employed fewer hours per week and their unemployment rates are twice as high as those of whites. Negro men continue to earn sixty per cent as much as white men, while Negro women earn a little more than half as much as white women. The lower earning power of Negro men makes it necessary for more Negro women to work than white women.

Even more striking data is available on employment and unemployment from a recent study, conducted by the Department of Labor, entitled "A Sharper Look at Unemployment in U.S. Cities and Slums." Gathered from the twenty largest U.S. metropolitan areas, it reveals an unemployment rate that varies greatly from two point seven per cent in Washington, D.C. to five point two per cent in San Francisco and six per cent in Los Angeles. In ten of these areas, the rate is significantly above the national average of about three to four per cent. In five, it is about the same; in five others, it is significantly lower.

The non-white unemployment rate is about three times higher than the white unemployment rate in eight of these areas, two times higher in six and fifty per cent higher in two others. This study partially corrects, for the first time, a fault which had been discovered in the 1960 Census - i.e. the missing completely of a large number of Negroes: one out of every six Negro men between the ages of twenty and thirty. This means that past non-white unemployment figures have understated the situation substantially.

The highest unemployment rates in the twelve larger areas, covered by the study of fourteen to nineteen year-old non-whites, range from eighteen point four per cent in Washington, D.C. to thirty-six per cent in Philadelphia. The rate is above thirty per cent in seven of these areas.

Many of the differentials in the employment status of Negroes are due to their inability to obtain jobs commensurate with their training. Likewise, discriminatory hiring practices adversely affect both the Negro individually and the total economy. They account for the disproportionate representation on the public welfare rolls. Discrimination in employment has a greater direct and indirect impact on the AFDC Program than any other single socio-economic factor. It causes desertion, divorce and unwed parenthood with all of their concomitant personal, social and economic costs. It also has similar significant causal relationships to unemployment.

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, under which the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission was established, engendered great hope that this mechanism would deal meaningfully with the problems surrounding discrimination in employment. The actual agency experience, which has demonstrated that the Commission cannot enforce compliance, has given rise to disillusionment and lack of confidence. These conditions have led the American Negro to suspect that legislation, supposedly guaranteed to provide equality of opportunity, is full of loopholes and political terminology. He is rapidly losing faith in the democratic process to achieve his goal of equality of opportunity.

Within the next few months, the Federal Government will sponsor a Concentrated Employment Program in nineteen of our major cities throughout the country. The Government will spend from two and one-half to eight million dollars in each selected city. The ultimate objective of this program is to make it possible for the unemployed slum residents to obtain and hold regular jobs, primarily in the private sector of the economy. The immediate short range goal is to provide twenty-five thousand to forty thousand new jobs for previously unemployed slum residents. Many of the people participating in this crash effort will be Negroes, who, because of prior deprivation and discrimination need all of the legislative tangibles to assist them in becoming productive and useful citizens. They cannot become discouraged, in their desires to attain full equality of opportunity, by additional "token legislation" to appease the wishes of a few, while ignoring the crying needs of the disadvantaged poor.

The Urban League's fifty-seven years of existence have been dedicated to the cause of equality of opportunity. Through our Job Development Program, Skills Bank Program, and more recently, our On the Job Training Program, we
have worked with thousands of business and industry personnel. In these efforts, we have been and are still being thwarted by subtle and overt discriminatory practices, that are perpetuated by biased employers who hide behind the legislative jargon, to deny compliance. At present, we have no leverage which requires violators to terminate their unlawful policies and practices. We feel that the proposed legislation improves tremendously upon the present Act. Therefore, we are in general agreement with most of its provisions. However, we would like to submit some suggestions regarding the provisions listed below.

It seems appropriate that there should be a provision for the Commission to effect its own litigation. This should also include employers who hold government contracts and are under Executive Order 11246. This would serve as a dual control to insure maximum compliance under the law.5

We strongly recommend that greater consideration be given to the "charging party" involved. Under the proposed legislation, the charging party has little involvement with conciliation and agreements can be worked out between government representatives and management.

We also strongly feel that an aggrieved person should be able to institute a civil action against the respondent named in the charge in the appropriate United States district court, without regard to the amount in controversy, or in any state or local court of competent jurisdiction. This protects the rights of all citizens, regardless of the nature and size of the act.

The National Urban League's experience demonstrates the need for the pending legislation.

I wish to thank you for inviting me to present this testimony, in behalf of the National Urban League. The problems we face in the coming months and years may well rest in your hands.

In addition to the moral implications, there is a dollar-and-cents logic to equal employment opportunity. There are some costs which taxpayers are shelling out, because of Jim Crow, that could easily be eliminated. There are the billions spent on public welfare; there is the cost of public housing, much of which would be unnecessary if more Negroes could buy their own homes; there is the cost of sending thousands of building inspectors into the field every day to ferret out violations of slum landlords; there is the cost of arresting, jailing, trying, and paroling teen-age colored boys for purse snatching because they can't find work.

These items in America's Bigotry Budget cost taxpayers more than twenty billion dollars a year. This twenty billion dollars, which we are virtually throwing away, is equivalent to all U.S. exports abroad with all the one hundred-thirty nations of the world.

In the opinion of W. W. Heller, former chairman of the President's Council of Economic Advisors, lifting the income of Negroes to that of whites would double their current rate of economic growth. If the non-white labor force earned as much as their white counterparts, Negroes would spend an additional three point six billion dollars on food; one point seven billion dollars on clothing; one point five billion dollars on housing; one point three billion on household operation; one point two billion dollars on cars and transportation; one point two billion dollars on recreation and amusement; five hundred million dollars more on utilities; and eight million dollars more on personal care and miscellaneous items.

You have an obligation, I believe, to give a ray of hope to the deprived — those who have been deprived, by circumstances, in the past. You must make sure that disadvantaged persons will have the full equality of opportunity, that our economy demands.

Thank you!
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4. DISPLACED FARM WORKERS loose INDUSTRIAL JOBS IN RURAL SOUTH

By Roy Reed
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5. BLACK WORKERS: PROGRESS DERAILED

By Barbara Becnel

The economic progress of black Americans is determined by many factors, and as a result, the progress has been very uneven. What works for one sector of the black population doesn't always work for another.

Blacks made tremendous economic strides between 1960 and 1969, but the income levels and living standards have been varied. Education, sex, age, family status, geographic location, union affiliation and numerous other characteristics have affected that progress.

Thus sharp income differentials prevail not only between black and white workers, but also among sectors of the black labor force.

Further, a sector of the black population remains outside the mainstream economy—the hardcore impoverished who never benefited from the advances of the 1960s.

Many blacks were able to benefit from the 1960s—a time of advancing national economy, a rising level of social awareness and such vital pieces of legislation as the 1964 Civil Rights Act and manpower and economic opportunity programs.

Unfortunately, the economic and social advances of the 1960s did not last long. The economic policies of a new administration began to slow down—in some instances halt or even reverse—the social and economic progress that had been occurring in America. In 1969-70, a recession took hold and Americans in general, but blacks in particular, were forced to tighten their
belts. And even worse off was that sizable group of blacks who had never benefited from the economic gains of the 1960s.

The evidence is widespread that blacks have been sorely pressed by the economic climate since 1969:

- Unemployment rates for all Americans rose to 7.7 percent in 1976, but for blacks it rose to 13.8 percent.
- Unemployment rates for black teenagers have reached catastrophic levels. In 1976 they averaged 9.2 percent, and in July 1977 they reached an all-time recorded high of 45.5 percent.
- The median income of black families, as a percent of the median income of white families, fell back to 59.5 percent or $9,242 in 1976. In 1969 this figure had been 61 percent of the white median.
- During 1974–75, the number of persons living below the government-defined poverty level rose by 2.5 million—the largest single-year increase observed since poverty data was first kept in 1959. As in previous years, blacks continued to be disproportionately represented, a little more than 31 percent of all low-income persons.
- From 1975 to 1976 the median income for black families, after correction for inflation declined in all regions except the South. In the Northeast it declined by as much as 8 percent. This is in sharp contrast to the 31.4 percent growth in the median income of black families experienced during the period 1965 to 1970.

All of this contrasts with the 1960s, when Americans witnessed a steady decline in unemployment. The decade began with overall unemployment at 5.5 percent and black unemployment at 10.2 percent. Yet by 1969, expansionary economic policies reduced unemployment drastically—3.5 percent for all persons and 6.4 percent for blacks. It was in this period that blacks made their major advances in median income. In 1964, the median income of black families was $3,724, or 54 percent of the white median. By 1969, it had grown to the high point of 61 percent of the white median, or $5,999.

Moreover, by 1970, approximately 25 percent of all black families residing in the North and West were earning incomes of $15,000 or more annually. In contrast, the 1965 figure had been only 14 percent.

Increased educational opportunities, occupational upgrading, and vocational training played a key role in the economic advancement made by blacks during the 1960s. In fact, the gains in educational attainment were startling. Blacks age 20 to 24 completing four years of high school or more rose to 65 percent in 1970—up from 42 percent in 1960. The percentage of blacks completing four or more years of college also increased, from 4.1 percent to 6.1 percent over the 10-year period, 1960 to 1970.

Staying in school longer enabled blacks to begin improving the quality of jobs they held. While in 1964 the proportion of non-white males employed as white-collar workers was only 16 percent, by 1970 it was up to 22 percent. And for black women the increase was even more dramatic—from 22 percent to 36 percent of their ranks in white-collar jobs.

The evidence shows many blacks were able to secure major economic and educational gains during the 1960s—but not all blacks benefited. Millions of black Americans remained impoverished, and for those blacks left behind in the earlier progress, the economic upheavals of this decade have been particularly devastating.

The nation's economy was not given time to recuperate from the 1969-70 recession when in 1973 an alarming rate of inflation set in. And by December 1974, the preceding 28-month period saw a drop in workers' real purchasing power, after taxes, of more than 10 percent. The economy continued into a precipitous decline and by 1975 approximately one-third of the nation's industrial plant and equipment was left idle, with unemployment reaching levels not seen since before World War II. Thus, the nation was left to recover two serious back-to-back recessions—in 1969-70 and again in 1973-75.

Unemployment declined during 1976, but the levels were still very high, averaging 7.7 percent for all Americans and 13.8 percent for blacks. The duration of unemployment also increased, averaging 15.7 weeks for all unemployed person and 16.7 weeks for non-whites in 1976, up from 14.1 and 14.8 weeks, respectively, in 1973.

During the first half of 1977 the overall unemployment rate dropped to a still very high 7.2 percent. Nearly all of the decrease in unemployment,
however, was among white workers. Black adult males witnessed some improve-
ment but black teenagers continued to experience the highest joblessness.
All Americans have been dealt a severe blow by the contracting economy
of this decade. But for blacks the economic upheaval has wreaked havoc with
many of the gains made during the 1960s—in fact, some gains have begun to
vanish.
In this dismal economic climate it is not surprising there has been a
decrease in labor force participation—the proportion of the civilian non-
institutional officially counted as part of the labor force. For non-white
adult males, participation rates have dropped in almost all age categories.

Between 1954 and 1966 the labor force participation rate for adult white
males stayed almost the same, dropping only two-tenths of 1 percent for that
entire 12-year period. Since 1966, however, their rate has declined more
sharply—dropping 2.4 percentage points by 1976, from 97.3 down to 94.9.
But for black men of prime working age, the decline has been continuous since
1958, dropping by 7.5 percentage points, from 96 to 88.5. For white men most
of the decline in rates took place among those age 45 to 54. However, black
men have shown significant drops in the 35 to 44 years age group, and since
1964, among those age 25 to 34.

Proportionally, black men are twice as likely to be outside of the labor
force as are white men, even though the age distributions of black non-
participants and the black population are essentially the same as for whites.
Yet in 1976, blacks accounted for 22.2 percentage of all non-participant men
of prime working age, although they made up only 11 percent of the population.
However, the reverse proved true among non-white adult women. In nearly
all age categories, non-white participation in the labor force was higher than
that of their white counterparts. This points up a fact now widely recognized
about women in the workplace: they work for the same reasons men do, because
they have to; and black women have to work more often. Consequently, overall
labor force participation rates for black women 16 years and older has changed
only slightly over the past 12 years, registering 50.5 percent in 1976.

During the 1960s black adult men made tremendous strides in the number of
jobholders, recording employment rates that rose to twice the rate of the
total labor force growth. During the same period white adult males, while
experiencing comparable growth in the number of people eligible for the labor
force, did not experience the same growth in employment. However, from 1970
to 1974, employment increased at about the same rate for both races, approxi-
mately 8 percent.

But during 1974, the employment of blacks did not grow at all, and by
the end of 1974 and the beginning of 1975, the number of employed blacks
dropped substantially. In contrast, white employment grew moderately through-
out most of 1974. Nonetheless, as the year drew to a close, whites too began
experiencing a rapid decline in employment.

Employment growth resumed in 1975, accelerated at the onset of 1976, but
slowed down considerably by the end of the year. The average annual gain in
1976—2.7 million—was primarily a result of the increases occurring during
the first six months. The employment patterns of white and non-white workers
were roughly the same during 1976, marked by gains that were strong at the
beginning of the year, while tapering off in the final two quarters.

Total employment increased rapidly during the first half of 1977. How-
ever, almost half of the rise occurred among adult women. Both white and
black workers shared in the growth, but job gains among whites were propor-
tionately greater. And for black teenagers, employment did not rise at all.

The lackluster performance of the economy during the bicentennial decade
has brought frustration and despair to many American workers. Thousands have
been out of work and unable to support their families for weeks, months, or
even years. In fact, about 64 percent of men in the prime working age who
were not in the labor force reported in 1976 that they had been unemployed
for more than a year. And more than 25 percent of this group had worked at
some point during the previous year.

For blacks, however, the problem is even more acute. Non-participation
is of longer duration for non-white men age 25 to 34 years than for their
white counterparts. In 1976, 20.4 percent of the non-white men not in the
labor force reported that they had been outside the labor force for more than
five years. That same age category of non-white men reported that 17.9
percent of them had in fact never worked. The corresponding white figures are 15.6 percent and 14.7 percent, respectively. Also, a substantially smaller proportion of the younger blacks than whites had worked within the preceding year.

Many workers have given up the search for a job. Older blacks and black teenagers have faced an impossible situation in securing employment. Thus, many blacks have joined the ranks of "discouraged workers"—workers who after trying for many months and sometimes years to obtain employment, finally give up because they know no jobs are available.

The downturn in the economy has also brought an increase in the number of "involuntary" part-time workers—those who want full-time employment, but because of workweek cutbacks and the inability to locate full-time work in a declining economy, have been forced to accept part-time work. Involuntary part-time work can be found disproportionately among the less educated, unskilled and the young—thus making the problem particularly serious for blacks.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics does not count discouraged and involuntary part-time workers in the jobless rates, and thus it seriously understates the nation's real unemployment rate.

For the first 11 months of 1977, an average of 1.4 million non-white workers were without jobs, or 14 percent of the 9.7 million non-whites in the civilian labor force. Adding to the average 303,000 non-white discouraged workers and one-half of the 610,000 non-whites working part-time involuntarily—and one-half of them should be counted as unemployed—brings the non-white jobless total in the first 11 months of 1977 to 1.8 million, or 19.7 percent of the adjusted non-white civilian labor force of 10 million. That is decidedly more than the "official" non-white unemployment rate of 14 percent.

To a degree, blacks have been able to hold onto the higher occupational status obtained during the 1960s. In 1964, 48 percent of non-white men were employed in low-income, unskilled occupations like non-farm laborer, service worker and farm worker, as compared to 19 percent of white men. This proportion had dropped dramatically by 1970, to 37 percent of all non-white men, whereas the white male proportion remained about the same. The proportion of non-white men holding more skilled jobs as craftsmen increased slightly in the same period, from 12 percent to 14 percent. Again, the comparable percentage among whites barely changed, remaining at approximately 20 percent.

Black women made remarkable progress on the occupational ladder during the period 1964 to 1970. A large number of black women moved out of low-income unskilled jobs in private households and farm work into higher paying jobs as clerical workers and professional and technical workers. In 1964, 62 percent of all non-white women were employed as private household employees, other types of service workers and farm workers.

By 1970, the proportion of non-white women employed in these occupations had dropped to 45 percent. At the other end of the occupational scale, the proportion of non-white women employed as white-collar workers moved up from 22 percent to 36 percent over the same period. However, at least half of the women employed as white-collar workers are in clerical occupations—the lower paying end of the white-collar occupations. Thus the move into "white collar" is not as distinctive for them as it is for black men, who are more concentrated in the professional, technical, managerial, and administrative (except farm) positions. In fact, at least two-thirds of all male white-collar workers are in the higher paying white-collar occupations.

Since 1969 the favorable change in the occupational status of black workers has slowed down considerably. By 1976, the proportion of non-white men employed as white-collar workers had risen to only 25.4 percent, up from 22 percent in 1970, and registering an actual decrease since the 1973 figure of 26 percent. There was a dramatic improvement in the proportion of non-white males who make up the nation's non-farm laborers, service workers and farm workers between 1964 and 1970, decreasing from 48 to 37 percent. But between 1970 and 1976, the improvement was only marginal—from 37 percent to 34.7 percent. And in between, there was a period between 1974 and 1966 when the figures went the other way, moving from 34 percent to 34.7 percent.

For black women the occupational gains continued, but were not nearly as great as in the preceding period. Thus despite major improvements during the 1960s, blacks are still over-represented in the low-paying, unskilled jobs
and under-represented in the higher-paying professional, technical, and managerial jobs.

Black teenagers, black women, and the black elderly are the three major groups for whom much remains to be done in improving job prospects and economic status. The position of black teenagers in the U.S. economy has worsened during the past 15 years, and has been relatively unaffected by both the progress of black people in general and by conditions among other young people. In spite of an increase in the number of years spent in school, unemployment rates for young blacks are still at catastrophic levels.

By 1960, the jobless rate for young non-whites was approximately 45 percent. In 1970, it was down to 29.1 percent, but by 1976 the unemployment rate for black teenagers had jumped back to 39.2 percent and in July 1977 it registered 45.5 percent—an all-time recorded high. The black teenage unemployment problem has become so chronic as to prompt a commonly accepted rule of thumb measure: the black teenage unemployment rate will be generally twice the white teenage rate and a little more than four times the unemployment rate of the total civilian labor force.

These high rates of unemployment have been coupled with a downward trend in the labor force participation rate of black youth. An increasing number of black teenagers are giving up and dropping out of the labor force. By 1976, their participation rates had reached the point where only two of every five made an effort to obtain a job. Even though some of this lack of participation can be attributed to school attendance, black teenagers still consistently maintain lower participation rates than their white counterparts.

Traditionally, young blacks have held jobs that were in urban centers and were related to the service and retail trade industries. In recent years, however, those employers have been migrating toward the suburbs, leaving black youths behind—unemployed and isolated in the central cities. The impact has been devastating.

To the extent that a job can keep young people in high school and college, high unemployment rates seriously affect black schooling and education.

To the extent that a job can keep young people off the streets, earning an income while learning job skills and good work habits, high unemployment and low labor force participation rates can lead to high crime rates—increased incidents of burglary, drug addiction and rape.

Across the country, inner city youths are between 10 and 20 times more likely than other young people to be arrested for violent criminal offenses—inventive by-products of long periods of idleness coupled with feelings of little self-worth. Discouragement and despair, resulting from one job turn-down after another, can cause a permanent undermining of self-confidence of black youths.

A study commissioned by the congressional Joint Economic Committee shows that all Americans pay social costs when national economic policy permits high levels of unemployment to persist for long periods of time. For example, a sustained 1 percent rise in unemployment will be followed by an increase in the homicide rate over that year and the subsequent five years. Thus the effect is cumulative. Moreover, the increase in homicide is comparable to 5.7 percent of the homicides which occur in the fifth year following the sustained rise in unemployment. This conclusion is based on 34-year data from 1940 to 1973.

That same 1 percent rise in unemployment was also found to be followed by state prison admissions. That increase was comparable to 4 percent of all state prison admissions occurring in the fifth year following the rise in unemployment. The analogous rate for suicides was 4.1 percent.

This study reveals the potent impact that unemployment has on society. Since black youth have consistently maintained higher levels of unemployment and longer periods of unemployment than most of the nation, the results of this study underscore the urgency of the need to provide jobs for all Americans in general, and black teenagers in particular.

For black women, the expanding economy of the 1960s coupled with a changing social attitude in favor of career-oriented women, combined to encourage them to seek employment and establish careers. In large part, however, women came to the job market generally unskilled and lacking experience. Consequently, they have a disproportionate share of low-level, low paying occupations, and a high susceptibility to layoffs and involuntary part-time employment.
The economic status of black women is an important factor in the overall progress attained by blacks. In the past, proportionally more black wives than white wives worked to maintain family income. Recent figures show that this trend has not changed. In 1975, 60 percent of black wives with pre-school children and 70 percent of those whose youngest child was 6 or older worked at some time during the year. The respective proportions of whites were 46 and 59 percent. Moreover, 46 percent of black mothers who worked at some time in 1975 held full-time jobs all year, compared with 32 percent of the whites.

Additionally, black wives contribute a greater proportion to family income than white wives do. In 1975, black wives' earnings averaged 32 percent of family income—42 percent if they worked year-round, full-time—compared with 26 percent for white wives. The difference is explained in part by the greater likelihood of black wives working year-round, full time. Also black husbands had much lower earnings than white husbands—a median income of $7,800 for black husbands vs. $11,600 for whites.

Families headed by women with no husband present represent a growing proportion of all American families, increasing by one-third since 1970—black female heads increased by 45 percent and white female heads increased by 29 percent. The proportion of black female-headed families has grown from 28 percent of all black families in 1967, to 36 percent in 1976. During that period, however, the comparable white female proportion was only 9 percent in 1967 and 11 percent in 1976. What is more, the percentage of low-income, female-headed black families is on the increase. In 1967, 46 percent of all poor black families were female-headed, compared to 26 percent of all poor white families.

Yet by 1976 the proportion of low-income, female-headed black families had increased to 69.4 percent, while the comparable white figure had increased to 38.7 percent.

Black families with a female-head had a median income of $5,069 in 1976—$7,804 below that of male-headed black families. This represents an increasing gap since in 1974 the median income of female-headed black families was only $5,900 below the black male counterpart. Among whites, female-headed families also trailed badly. Their median income was $8,226 in 1976, or $8,192 below that of male-headed white families. Still, white female-headed families maintained a median income that was $3,157 greater than that of their black-female headed counterparts. Furthermore, from 1975 to 1976, after correction for inflation, the median income of black female-headed families registered a decrease of 2.2 percent while the corresponding white figure increased by 1.7 percent.

Since 1970, a downward trend has developed with respect to the number of black families with both husband and wife present as a percent of all black families. Although the percentage of white husband-wife families barely changed between 1970 and 1976, black husband-wife families have registered a considerable decrease, dropping from 68.1 to 60 percent. And since husband-wife families commonly have a higher median income than most other types of families—because more frequently both husband and wife are employed—the percentage decrease in black husband-wife families could have a downward effect on black family income.

Thus the importance of the role played by black women in the overall advancement of the black population cannot be overstated. The data prove that how black women fare in the labor force has a major influence on how black families fare.

The South—where the majority of blacks reside—is still the primary location of black poverty. Approximately 53.7 percent of all blacks maintained residence in the South by 1976, as compared to 17.1 percent residing in the Northeast and 8.7 percent residing the West. Although the 1976 figure of 53.7 percent is well below the 60 percent of the black population that lived in the South in 1960, it represents an increase over the 1974 figure of 53 percent.

During the 1960s, 1.4 million blacks migrated to the North. However, from March 1970 to March 1974, according to the Bureau of the Census, only 184,000 blacks migrated to the North while 276,000 blacks migrated to the South. And by the end of this period, the South was left with a net increase in black population of 35,000, while the North had a net decrease of 158,000.
One explanation for the reverse migration is that many of the traditional types of employment found in the northern industrial centers were eliminated during the 1960s by technological change, and by the move of factories from urban centers to the suburbs. Since many of the blacks migrating from the southern farmlands were unskilled, their occupational mobility was severely limited, and they were left abandoned in the central cities. Yet, 57.1 percent of all blacks existing on incomes below the poverty-line still resided in the South in 1976, vs. 35.7 percent residing in the Northeast and North Central part of the United States. However, there appears to be a change occurring in the location of black poverty. The percent of poor blacks residing in the South has declined from the 1974 figure of 60.5 percent; and the percent of poor blacks residing in the Northeast and North Central part of the United States has increased from the 1974 figure of 33.3 percent.

The median income of black families residing in the South is lower than that of black families residing in the Northeast, but is growing at a much faster rate than that of northern black families. Over the period 1970 to 1974, the median income of southern black families increased by 28.8 percent, in contrast to only a 13 percent increase in the Northeast. And from 1975 to 1976, the median income of black families, after correction for inflation, declined in all regions except the South. In fact, black families residing in the South saw a growth in median income over that period of 4.7 percent, while declines were 8 percent in the Northeast and 4.9 percent in the West.

Still, in 1970, the median income of southern black families was $5,226, or 57 percent of southern white median income. But in the Northeast, the median black family income was $7,774, or 71 percent of the comparable white figure. However, the declining economy of recent years has resulted, for some regions, in a dramatic decrease in the ratio of black to white median incomes, and by 1976, black families residing in the Northeast recorded a median income of $9,727, only 61.5 percent of white median income.

The South, however, has been able not only to hold on to its gain, but what is more, the ratio of black to white median income actually increased slightly—moving to 59.2 percent of white median income, or $8,526, in 1976 vs. 57 percent, or $5,226 in 1970.

Increased educational attainment has played a major role in the economic advances obtained by young black families, and is perhaps the most impressive black gain of the 1960s. In 1966, the median years of school completed by blacks was 10.5 years, as compared to 12.3 years for whites. By 1976, however, that gap had almost closed—with 12.3 years of school completed by blacks vs. 12.6 years for whites.

Blacks have also made tremendous progress in college enrollment. Indeed, college enrollment has increased more rapidly for blacks than for whites. Between 1970 and 1976, black college enrollment increased 27.9 percent. By 1976, blacks accounted for 11 percent of all college enrollment, up from 7 percent in 1970.

College completion has also continued to increase among blacks, but the gap in the proportion of young blacks completing college and young whites completing college was larger in 1976 than in 1960. An approximate eight-point gap in 1960 had expanded to a 12.2 point gap in 1976. The percentage of blacks between the ages of 25 and 34 who completed 4 years of college or more was 4.1 percent in 1960, 5.7 percent in 1970, and 11.3 in 1976—far behind the white proportions of 11.9, 16.6 percent and 23.5 percent. Still, a great deal of the gain in young black family income can be attributed to the educational advances made by blacks.

Older blacks, however, have not been able to avail themselves of the educational opportunities made available to younger blacks. By 1969, 30.5 percent of all non-whites 65 years old and over were still illiterate. This represented more than twice the proportion of illiterate whites of the same age.

Thus, not surprisingly, many older blacks had extremely low incomes. In 1975, 35.5 percent of blacks age 55 to 64 years old had incomes below $2,500. This was true of 18.9 percent among whites the same age. The median income of blacks age 55 to 64 was $3,800, or 52.3 percent of the comparable white income. With blacks age 65 years old and over, 56.7 percent received incomes of less than $2,500. Their median income was $2,359, or 64.6 percent of whites with the same characteristics.
In 1976, nearly 35 percent of all blacks age 65 years and over were existing below the poverty threshold. Thus, whatever the gains of other age groups, poverty is rampant in the older black community.

Black union members fare considerably better than non-union blacks. Not only are their incomes much higher, but within the ranks of union members, the income gap between black and white is less than among non-union workers. Additionally, a research paper prepared for the Industrial Relations Research Association shows that blacks in the unionized, middle-aged blue-collar category are less likely to experience unemployment than their non-union counterparts.

In May 1974, the median earnings for all full-time black union workers were $169 a week, 82 percent of the median for full-time white union workers. For non-union black workers, the median weekly earnings were $124, or 76.5 percent of the comparable white income. Among black women union members, the gap was even smaller, with their median weekly earnings of $141 representing 96.6 percent of white female union income. And their median weekly earnings were 28.2 percent higher than the $110 median for non-union black females.

Black men are part of a similar phenomenon. Black males who were full-time workers and union members had median weekly earnings of $183, or 83.6 percent of the comparable white figure. Non-union black male workers had a median of $141, or 70.2 percent of the median of their non-union white counterparts. For black male union members, their earnings were 29.8 percent greater than their non-union counterparts.

During the 1960s, progress was made toward eliminating overt job discrimination, but the rights alone could not take care of the entire task. A black person gains little in the right to a job if jobs do not exist. Further, the right to a job also needs to be backed up with the training and skills to perform the duties.

An expanding and healthy economy that was providing jobs, training and educational opportunities gave meaning to the social legislation of the 1960s. The Manpower Development and Training Act of 1962 and the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 provide examples of the types of programs that were initiated during the 1960s.

Only full employment can make it possible for blacks to attain work-experience and seniority in better jobs, and thus begin to participate fully in the mainstream of the American economy.

Since blacks have suffered the most from the mismanagement and social neglect that has characterized the nation's economic policies since 1969, they also have the biggest stake in programs that will transform the present weak and ailing economy into a healthy, full employment economy. Only then will blacks be afforded the opportunity of achieving their full potential.


6. _LAST HIRED, AND USUALLY THE FIRST LET GO_

By Charlayne Hunter
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Whatever the reasons, Miss Brown is one of a growing number of people hit hardest by the economic downturn: the last hired-first fired. Calling it "an exceedingly critical issue that needs to be approached," the president of the National Urban Coalition, M. Carl Holman said that he and a national task force were in the process of setting up an affirmative action conference in Washington in February. But, he said, although early responses indicate that some of those invited from both the public and private sectors of the economy welcome a chance to work in the problem—W. Willard Wirtz, a former Secretary of Labor, has agreed to chair the conference—others are 'leery' because they see the problem as a very prickly one. "It's so potentially extremely explosive that they feel you have to approach it the way you approach a live hand grenade," Mr. Holman said. "What makes it tough," he said, "are the conflicting claims—both of which have merit. You don't want to erode all that has been done in the last five years and after a great deal of pressure. "But the claims of seniority of service are serious claims and have to be taken into account."

Despite the confusion that reigns, however, many lawyers argue that "the consistent logic of the courts," according to one employment law specialist, "is that people are not to be adversely affected as a result of race or sex."

New York City Human Rights Commissioner Eleanor Holmes Norton has issued stern warnings to city agencies, as well as to trade associations, about the legal liability of placing the burden of reductions, layoffs and reduced opportunities unequally on minority and female workers. In proposing alternatives, she has also urged employers not to suspend affirmative action efforts. "The economic risk to employers of large monetary awards to such laid-off employes is substantial, and the complications and practical difficulties arising from remedial orders have a tremendous potential for creating dislocation and discord," she stated in a widely circulated memorandum. The memorandum goes on, however, to suggest that employers consider cost savings "by other cuts and economies, such as reduced work weeks, shift changes, payless work days, payless holidays and cuts in various areas, departments, and in different job categories and levels to spread the burden."

In the event of unavoidable layoffs, Mrs. Norton advised employers to "take measures to lessen the impact on terminated employes, such as transfers to other areas of the company not experiencing retrenchment, offering counseling on unemployment benefits and job seeking."

She also suggested that they "establish and explain employe-recall rights, so that employes who are terminated will be called back before outside hiring is begun."

Mrs. Norton and others have expressed concern, however, over maintaining the gains for minorities while not exacerbating racial hostilities. "The polarization effect cannot be underestimated," she said in an interview. "And this is the hardest issue we've come up against."

Concurring, another civil rights lawyer said of polarization: "The prospect is deepening. It has not ripened yet because not enough people are hurting. The whole notion of sharing the burden is new because there've always been blacks to lay off."

In anticipation of such a problem, Mrs. Norton said that she had been meeting with whites, blacks and women "asking for ideas."

"We have an obligation when there is a clash of rights to search for remedies that are as equitable as possible," she said.
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In the lower paying employment sectors, blacks are confronted with a different set of problems. First, many of the jobs in the lower paying sectors do not require extensive training, and they have historically been subjected to high unemployment. Second, in the areas which do require skills and pay higher earnings (finance, securities, etc.), the percent of blacks is low, and outlets for training are few. Third, a large number of younger blacks are disproportionately concentrated in lower paying service employment and retail trades requiring few skills.

The third point deserves increased attention because those persons employed in retail trades and services are expected to represent 44 percent of total employment in 1985. Retail trades, services, and finances represent different problems for blacks. The percent of blacks in the retail trades has remained around 7.7 percent of that employment in 1968. Within the black labor force, however, employment in retail accounted for approximately 14 percent of employment in 1976. Blacks in service employment have declined as a percent of those jobs since 1968. Still, service employment accounted for one third of black employment in 1975. The percentage of blacks in finance, insurance and real estate has increased since 1968, but most of that was due to lower paying jobs in banks and real estate organizations.

The data only briefly describe the problems of blacks in these lower paying employment sectors. Overall demand for services is increasing, as is employment. However, there are not enough training programs geared for the higher skilled and higher paying jobs in the service-producing employment sectors. This is a critical factor. Data analyzed from unpublished Bureau of Labor Statistics sources show that as the higher paying employment sectors have declined as a point of entry for black workers, the lower paying employment sectors have remained a source of the low wage jobs. In 1968, 44 percent of employed blacks 25-34 were located in services, finance, and retail trades. By 1976, approximately half of this age group was employed in these sectors. Equally, or more important, these employment sectors have become a dominant area for blacks in the age interval 20-24. Over half (52 percent) of the employed blacks in that age interval in 1975 had jobs in the three sectors.

The major changes in manpower policy for blacks in the service-producing sector should emphasize upgrading of skills and redistribution of opportunities outside of the lowest paying employment sectors. Policies targeted to the employment sectors projected to increase in demand are a basic avenue to shift the emphasis of manpower programs. Three of the basic areas are health, environment and data services. Training linked to demand is the key. In a service society in which 87.4 percent of the labor force 20-40 years of age is expected to have completed at least four years of high school by the 1980s, and almost a quarter of those 25-34 four years of college, technical skills are a prerequisite for job competition. Traditional educational institutions will not provide the necessary programs for blacks. For black youth, there is an immediate need to address programs for areas of employment demand. Black adults need training programs, which recognize the changes expected in the 1980s.

Historically, blacks have placed a heavy reliance on the public sector for good jobs. In the economy as a whole, jobs developed in the public sector have been much better paying than those developed in the private sector, and for blacks, this factor was particularly important. As employment in state and local government increased by over 50 percent between 1950-77, blacks have been able to increase their opportunities for numerous higher paying jobs. In 1974, black employers on government payrolls earned about 14 percent more than their counterparts in the private sector in 1974.

Partially because of the heavy reliance on these sectors, a large number of younger blacks continually seek public service employment. In 1976, blacks comprised approximately 14 percent of governmental employees, and because of the projected growth in public employment, this remains an important sector. State and local government employment is projected to grow by 3.3 percent, but Federal jobs by less than 1 percent.

The reliance on the public sector has many limitations. The first, of course, is the sensitivity of these employment sectors to economic crises. The second is the strain this reliance has placed on local governments, and the third is the political limitation it places on the black community. From the perspective of manpower policy, the first two points are important in...
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8. BLACK WORKERS EXPOSE KAISER RACISM

By Mike Giocondo
Miller was fired on charges of some activity that took place off the job. After six months he was rehired. He said that no white worker was ever fired for similar off-the-job activity.

Another worker, Clinton Wiltz, said he was fired on charges of insubordination. "I never heard of any white worker who was fired on that charge, even though they refused to obey a Black foreman," he said.

"Harassments and firings prevented Blacks from getting seniority and advancement," Wiltz affirmed.

The Kaiser Company has denied there was any past discrimination at their Gramercy plant, despite the fact that the total workforce in the community is 40 percent Black and only 15 percent of the workers in the plant are Black and less than two percent are employed in skilled jobs.

Prior to 1974, Kaiser was under pressure by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission to initiate an affirmative action program. With the United Steelworkers Union, they worked out a program that called for 13 workers, six whites and seven Blacks and women, to be upgraded in on-the-job training.

The selection for the program was based on seniority. Weber applied and was not selected because of his low seniority rating.

Kaiser said that the program was a voluntary effort and was not designed to correct past discrimination. By not admitting to past discrimination, the company seeks to avoid suits by Black and minority workers seeking remedy.

Voluntary affirmative action programs are seen as important means to help upgrade workers. But in the Weber case and the charge of "reverse discrimination," the issue of past discrimination and the record of hiring and firing becomes crucial in deciding the case.

In his legal case Weber is being supported by a number of right-wing and reactionary groups. Legal foundations with funding from big business, like the Pacific Legal Foundation, which receives major funding from Arco oil and Dupont Corporation, filed briefs supporting Weber.

A decision in the case is expected sometime this month.


9. WEBER CASE HITS UNIONS, MINORITIES

GRAMERCY, La.—This community is a part of the most industrialized area of the "New South." About 30 miles up river from the port of New Orleans (second only to New York City in this country), is part of the complex of grain and oil docking facilities, light industry, and commerce that abut the Mississippi River.

This is the area chosen to launch the "Weber Case," a major attack against the labor and civil rights movements. It is the site of a Kaiser Aluminum Company plant where Brian Weber, a white worker, filed the "reverse discrimination" case which has now reached the Supreme Court. If Weber wins affirmative action in industry will be set back by about 30 years.
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The Weber-Kaiser case began back in 1974 when an apprentice training program was negotiated between the company and the United Steel Workers of America. Prior to that time Kaiser refused to upgrade its employees, Black or white, but hired skilled workers at the gate.

When the new training program was instituted it followed the example of the Basic Steel Consent Decree in that it set up procedures to guarantee that minority workers would at last have a chance to enter the skilled trades on a one-to-one ratio to make up for past exclusion.

**Program Stopped**

Weber filed suit when he was passed over in the first selections (he would have been next in line and would now have finished his training). As a result of his suit the apprenticeship program was halted and Kaiser went back to the old system of hiring outsiders.

"This case is holding back a whole lot of people, both Black and white," says Charles Pittman, a leader of rank and file workers in the plant. "We can lose all the gains we have won over the years since I started working here."

Lionel Turner expressed surprise that Weber had sued the union as well as the company. "It isn't right to be an officer of the union and then sue it like that," he felt.

Kernell Goudia, a Black worker in a skilled job who credits the affirmative action program with getting him a better deal, defended the union program. "A lot of people saw the need to have minorities in the crafts. None of us had experience, so how could we get into the training program otherwise? It would have been 10 to 15 years before we got in. We didn't have the damn seniority."

Women were part of the agreement too, but it didn't last long enough to get to them.

The Brian Weber case has become a rallying point for the anti-labor and racist forces throughout the country. A batch of "friend of the court" briefs have been entered by right-wing foundations. The traditional enemies of the labor and civil rights movements - the press and radio, big industry, and of course, the right wing organizations - have either distorted or buried the stories about it.

But the labor movement is becoming more and more aware of the inherent dangers of the Weber position. The AFL-CIO recently filed an amicus brief with the Supreme Court and other unions are in the process of doing so. Rank and file groups, including National Steelworkers Rank and File Committee, plan to do so.

Our own union, USWA, has had a mixed reaction to the suit. As a defendant along with Kaiser the union opposed Weber. But it did not bring in testimony necessary to the case - that there had been prior discrimination at Kaiser, fearing that it would throw itself open to suit. It thereby let Weber win.

It is astonishing to note that up to this point no Black worker or organization has had any testimony in this case!

**Lynch Lending Fight**

Since the acceptance of the case by the Supreme Court USWA has taken a better stance. Vice President Leon Lynch has been going around the country (where he can be invited by the district directors) rallying the members of the union to express opposition to the appeals court decision in favor of Weber. He was the featured speaker at a civil rights conference called by District 31.

The rank and file must continue to press for more support of the USWA fight. We need more district meetings. We need resolutions and motions in the local unions. We need invitations extended to Brother Lynch. We need to create a climate of rejection of "reverse discrimination."

Labor Today, the rank and file paper, is sponsoring a conference in March to rally support (write Labor Today Associates, 343 S. Dearborn, Room 600, Chicago, IL 60604 for more information).

This is an important fight against the attack on the right of all unions to bargain collectively.
The resolution below was adopted unanimously by USWA Local 65, an 8,000 member local at U.S. Steel in Chicago. Similar resolutions have been passed by other major locals; such as 1010 (18,000 members), 1014 (14,000 members) and 1104 (7,500 members).

We strongly urge that you help such a resolution adopted in your local. Let us know if you do so we can help get the word out.

WHEREAS; The recent 19th Constitutional Convention of the USWA adopted a Civil Rights Resolution which states: "Employer sponsored right-wing 'Legal Foundations' and others have challenged our Union's right to negotiate fair and even handed affirmative action programs such as The Steel Industry Consent Decree and comparable programs in other industries, and our Union has vigorously resisted these challenges;" And

WHEREAS; The court suit of Brian Weber in challenging the Affirmative Action Program of our Union at the Kaiser Aluminum Plant in Gramercy, La., strikes at the heart of our unity of our Union as well as undermining all agreements reached between the USWA and the steel companies; And

WHEREAS; Affirmative action programs such as the one at Kaiser are a small step towards correcting the many years of discriminatory hiring and promotional practices of the steel industry;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED; That Local reaffirms its support of Affirmative Action Programs with quotas to Guarantee Job Equality for minorities and women;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED; That we support our International Union in its action to alert our Union Membership and the Labor Movement to the threat to all working people posed by the Weber case now before the U.S. Supreme Court;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED; That copies of this resolution be sent to the press, the U.S. Supreme Court, and to our International Officers in Pittsburgh.

(Willie Hill, Recording Secretary & John Chico, President of Local 65).

National Steelworkers Rank and File Committee Report (1979?). Mimeograph flier in possession of editors.

10. HIGH COURT DECISION BACKS AFFIRMATIVE ACTION ON JOBS

By David L. Perlman

Labor Hails Ruling As 'Total Victory'

The Supreme Court upheld a voluntary affirmative action agreement negotiated by the Steelworkers and agreed with the AFL-CIO that a union and an employer can set up a plan to overcome racial imbalance even if neither party has been guilty of past discrimination.

"We are delighted with the decision," AFL-CIO President George Meany said. It allows unions and employers to use the collective bargaining process to speed up "elimination of the vestiges of centuries of racial injustice."

The 5-2 decision, rejecting a "reverse discrimination" charge brought by a white worker at a Kaiser Aluminum plant in Louisiana, was hailed as "a total victory" by the Steelworkers.

It validates similar affirmative action training agreements with other aluminum producers and the major steel and container companies, the union said, and will encourage voluntary action elsewhere. The national agreement with Kaiser that was tested in the Supreme Court sought to remedy a virtual absence of blacks in skilled craft jobs at a number of plants. The imbalance reflected the lack of opportunities for minorities in the communities and not illegal discrimination at the hiring gate, which could have been corrected by a court order.
At the bargaining table, the union and the company agreed to set up in-plant training programs that would give both black and white production workers an opportunity to acquire higher-paid skills.

The agreement set aside 50 percent of the training slots for under-represented minority workers until their ratio in skilled jobs matched their proportion in the community.

Once in the program, all trainees had to meet the same rigorous standards that require an average of three years of on-the-job training, supplemented by classroom instruction and home study.

A divided federal appellate court agreed with the reverse discrimination charge of a white worker, Brian F. Weber, who didn't have the seniority for one of the training slots open to white workers but had longer service than several black workers accepted for the program.

The Steelworkers and the AFL-CIO contended in a joint brief to the Supreme Court and in oral argument that the imbalance in skilled jobs was undesirable and voluntary efforts to remedy it should not be blocked by a narrow reading of the Civil Rights Act that distorts the law's intent.

While the path it took may not have been required by law, the union said, it was certainly not prohibited by law. And the fact remains that the affirmative action program "created opportunities for both black and white USWA members which neither would have enjoyed otherwise."

Justice William Brennan, writing for the Supreme Court majority, found as the AFL-CIO had urged that Congress had "left employers and unions in the private sector free to take . . . race-conscious steps to eliminate manifest racial imbalance in traditionally segregated job categories."

Since the agreement does not involve state action and was adopted voluntarily, he said, the only issue before the court was whether Title VII of the Civil Rights Act—the fair employment provision—forbids such a plan.

It does not, the court majority concluded, and "It would be ironic indeed if a law triggered by a nation's concern over centuries of racial injustice" were used to prevent voluntary, private measures to overcome inequities.

Brennan cautioned against an assumption that all affirmative action plans would be upheld by the court, and his decision declined to "define in detail the line of demarcation between permissible and impermissible affirmative action plans."

But he did give a strong indication of the criteria the Supreme Court would apply by noting that the purpose of the Kaiser plan "mirrors" the intent of the Civil Rights Act, "to break down old patterns of racial segregation and hierarchy."

Furthermore, the program "does not unnecessarily trammel the interests of the white employees" and "does not require the discharge of white workers and their replacement with new black hires."

It provides an opportunity for whites as well as blacks, and its racial quota is a temporary measure to be dropped after the racial imbalance has been eliminated.

Dissenting from the opinion were Chief Justice Warren E. Burger and Justice William H. Rehnquist, who contended that the court majority was substituting its own views of desirable social conduct for the specific language of the law. Justices Lewis F. Powell, Jr., who has been ill, and John Paul Stevens did not participate in the case.

Meany said the reasoning of the Supreme Court majority exactly paralleled the AFL-CIO understanding of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act.

He found the decision "a victory for all who, like the AFL-CIO, believe in racial justice and who are committed to private voluntary action to end discrimination."

Leon Lynch, Steelworkers vice president for human affairs, expressed appreciation to all of the organizations that backed the union's position in the case and said the decision will enable unions and employers to adopt similar programs "without having to worry about reverse discrimination suits."

The Supreme Court decision makes a clear distinction between the Weber case, involving a voluntary affirmative action agreement in the private sector, and the earlier Bakke case in which the court overturned a governmental quota system involving admission to a state medical school.

While the AFL-CIO did not take a position on the Bakke case, an Executive Council statement following the Supreme Court decision stressed the federation's continuing commitment to affirmative action.
That council statement, which set the stage for the AFL-CIO to join the Steelworkers in defending the negotiated job training program, urged "aggressive, positive efforts to integrate, instead of mere passive agreement not to discriminate."


11. A KIND OF 'TOLERANCE'

By Tom Wicker
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12. COURT OVERSTEPS BOUNDS

By George F. Will

Content removed at rightsholder’s request.
Rehnquist demonstrates that what the Court previously called Title VII's "uncontradicted legislative history" is unambiguous, and contradicts the majority's bizarre contention that Congress intended to "permit" reverse discrimination.

Rep. Emanuel Celler, D-N.Y., who introduced the 1964 Act in the House, said it would "prevent . . . employers from discriminating against or in favor of workers because of race." In 83 days of Senate debate, supporters of the Act took pains to refute all other interpretations. 14

Sen. Hubert Humphrey, D-Minn., the prime mover, said Title VII forbids action "based on race." It "provides that race shall not be a basis for making personnel decisions." It "would prohibit preferential treatment for any particular group." 15

Sen. Thomas H. Kuchel, R-Calif., said: "Employers and labor organizations could not discriminate in favor of or against a person because of his race . . . The bill ... is color-blind." 16

Sen. Leverett Saltonstall, R-Mass.: Title VII "provides no preferential treatment for any group of citizens. In fact, it specifically prohibits such treatment." And so on.

Reverse discrimination may be the most direct way of building a black middle class. A black middle class is devoutly to be desired. Nevertheless, it should be promoted lawfully.

Perhaps the Court majority has expressed what Congress should have intended in the 1964 Act; or what Congress somehow "really" intended but for some reason gave no evidence of intending; or what Congress would intend were it to pass the 1964 Act in 1979. But Rehnquist's dissent is unanswerable on what is, or should be, the central point: What does the language of the Act and the record of the debate show that Congress actually intended?

Neither the words of the statute, nor words said about it, sustain the majority's social preferences. So the majority baldly asserts that the "spirit" of the Act permits what the letter of the Act forbids.

The majority opinion is reasoned, but there is precious little judicial reasoning about social justice and how best to achieve it; there is the reasoning of well-intentioned legislators. But the reasoning is not discernibly grounded in a judicial responsibility.

The Weber decision suggests that the pertinent question is not whether this is a "conservative" or "liberal" Court, but whether this is, properly speaking, a court at all.


13. VOLUNTARY AFFIRMATIVE ACTION MEETS GOALS OF CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

The following is excerpted from the majority opinion by U.S. Supreme Court Justice William Brennan in the Weber case upholding a voluntary affirmative action agreement negotiated by the Steelworkers and Kaiser Aluminum.

Congress's primary concern in enacting the prohibition against racial discrimination in Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 was with "the plight of the Negro in our economy." (Remarks of Sen. Humphrey). Before 1964, blacks were largely relegated to "unskilled and semiskilled jobs." Because of automation the number of such jobs was rapidly decreasing. As a consequence "the relative position of the Negro worker (was) steadily worsening. In 1947 the nonwhite unemployment rate was only 64 percent higher than the white rate; in 1962 it was 124 percent higher." Congress considered this a serious social problem.

Congress feared that the goals of the Civil Rights Act—the integration of blacks into the mainstream of American society—could not be achieved unless this trend were reversed. And Congress recognized that that would not be possible unless blacks were able to secure jobs "which have a future."

Accordingly, it was clear to Congress that "the crux of the problem
EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

( was) to open employment opportunities for Negroes in occupations which have been traditionally closed to them," and it was to this problem that Title VII's prohibition against racial discrimination in employment was primarily addressed.

It plainly appears from the House Report accompanying the Civil Rights Act that Congress did not intend wholly to prohibit private and voluntary affirmative action efforts as one method of solving this problem.

Given this legislative history, we cannot agree with the respondent that Congress intended to prohibit the private sector from taking effective steps to accomplish the goal that Congress designed Title VII to achieve.

The very statutory words intended as a spur or catalyst to cause "employers and unions to self-examine and to self-evaluate their employment practices and to endeavor to eliminate, so far as possible, the last vestiges of an unfortunate and ignominious page in this country's history," Albemarle v. Moody, cannot be interpreted as an absolute prohibition against all private, voluntary, race-conscious affirmative action efforts to hasten the elimination of such vestiges.

It would be ironic indeed if a law triggered by a nation's concern over centuries of racial injustice and intended to improve the lot of those who had "been excluded from the American dream for so long," constituted the first legislative prohibition of all voluntary, private, race-conscious efforts to abolish traditional patterns of racial segregation and hierarchy.

We need not today define in detail the line of demarcation between permissible and impermissible affirmative action plans. It suffices to hold that the challenged Kaiser-USWA affirmative action plan falls on the permissible side of the line. The purposes of the plan mirror those of the statute. Both were designed to break down the patterns of racial segregation and hierarchy. Both were structured to "open employment opportunities for Negroes in occupations which have been traditionally closed to them."

At the same time the plan does not unnecessarily trammel the interests of the white employees. The plan does not require the discharge of white workers and their replacement with new black hires. Nor does the plan create an absolute bar to the advancement of white employees; half of those trained in the program will be white.

Moreover, the plan is a temporary measure; it is not intended to maintain racial balance, but simply to eliminate a manifest racial imbalance. Preferential selection of craft trainees at the Gramercy plant will end as soon as the percentage of black skilled craft workers in the Gramercy plant approximates the percentage of blacks in the local labor force.

We conclude, therefore, that the adoption of the Kaiser-USWA plan for the Gramercy plant falls within the area of discretion left by Title VII to the private sector voluntarily to adopt affirmative action plans designed to eliminate conspicuous racial imbalance in traditionally segregated job categories.


14. THE WEBER DECISION

By James Johnson

The recent decision of the Supreme Court to turn back the challenge of Brian Weber to affirmative action represented a major victory for Black people, the labor movement and indeed all progressive-minded individuals in this land.

Brian Weber, a white factory worker, contended in his suit that the Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Corporation and the United Steelworkers of American illegally discriminated against whites when they set up an on-the-job craft-training program at a Gramercy, La., plant that reversed half of its slots for minority workers. The program was initiated to correct an imbalance that saw only 1.8% of skilled jobs going to Afro-Americans in a city whose population is 40% Black.
Justice William Brennan, writing for the 5 to 2 majority, stated:

"It would be ironic indeed if a law triggered by a nation's concern over centuries of racial injustice and intended to improve the lot of those who had been excluded from the American dream for so long constituted the first legislative prohibition of all voluntary, private, race-conscious efforts to abolish traditional patterns of racial segregation and hierarchy."

The court's decision dealt a major blow to racism, those who profit from it, and the main ideological weapon used against affirmative action programs, the myth of reverse discrimination.

The ruling provided clear affirmation of the immense power of public opinion, for the decision was not arrived at in the court's chambers alone. The court was forced to rule against Weber because hundreds of thousands of Americans, recognizing Weber's challenge as a threat to the working class as a whole, marched, rallied, lobbied and wrote thousands of letters in support of affirmative action.

Weber's racist challenge evoked a united response and fightback from the Black community, which spearheaded the struggle. There were other forces though which helped to make victory possible. Trade unionists throughout the land forced their leadership to take a forthright position against the Weber challenge. Consequently, the mighty power of labor which includes a disproportionately large percentage of Black workers in the basic industries, united with the Black liberation movement into a coalition which recalled the glorious days of the CIO and the civil rights movement when other major victories were won.

The women's role, especially in the trade union movement, contributed significantly to the victory. This unity of forces foreshadows the type of coalition that must be built if affirmative action and other people's victories are to be extended.

The victory, however, is not complete. The decision should be viewed as a door opener for more vigorous action. The court's decision leaves open the possibility that other affirmative action plans may be impermissible. It also failed to define what constitutes "traditional patterns of segregation."

The victory will not be complete until affirmative action moves from the voluntary to compulsory stage. Compulsory affirmative action must begin with all public sector jobs. Nor should the federal government be permitted to provide contracts to any employer who does not have the enforceable affirmative action program.

In 1952, Charles E. Wilson, the president of General Motors, expressed the sentiments of all monopolists and other reactionaries when he stated, "What's good for General Motors is good for the country." We must untiringly explain the relation of Black freedom to progress and democracy by proclaiming loud and clear, "What's good for Black folks is good for all the exploited and oppressed in this country!"


15. APPEAL OF BLACK CONSERVATIVES RINGS HOLLOW TO WORKERS, POOR

By Norman Hill

In mid-December, newspapers devoted front-page coverage to a conference of blacks in San Francisco. However, what made the conference noteworthy was not its size—there were about 100 participants—nor the range of the organizations which were represented—few of the participants could be said to represent significant black constituencies. What was special about the meeting, what made it news, was the fact that it was a gathering of black conservatives.
Of equal importance was the fact that the conference was sponsored by a conservative foundation which Ronald Reagan helped found in the early 1970s. In 1980 Ronald Reagan failed to attract a significant portion of the black vote. The overwhelming majority of black community and political leaders endorsed President Carter in his unsuccessful bid for re-election. Yet despite little black enthusiasm for Ronald Reagan, a number of black intellectuals and professionals have begun to embrace Reagan's conservative economic positions.

To some extent there is evidence to suggest that there is a small constituency for conservative political ideas in the black community. However, despite the growth of a black upper-middle class of professionals and business men who might be drawn to the conservative siren song of tax cuts, the overwhelming majority of blacks are workers and the poor. For them the appeal of black conservatism will right hollow, and for this reason one can confidently predict that blacks will continue to be a solid voting block which backs pro-labor candidates who support policies dedicated to a more equitable distribution of wealth.

Nonetheless, the two-day conference in San Francisco indicates that a debate will have to begin for the first time within the black community on political options. This debate, in my view, will be a healthy one insofar as it helps sharpen the arguments of black liberals and moderates.

Who, then, are the black conservatives and who do they stand for? The two leading proponents of black conservatism are Prof. Thomas Sowell, an economist from the Hoover Institution, and Prof. Walter Williams of Temple University in Philadelphia. Both are strong opponents of organized labor, which they claim limits opportunities for blacks. Their view, however, flies in the face of the evidence that organized labor, more than any other institution in American life, has opened its doors to increased black participation. Indeed, today, over 30 percent of black workers are union members. Rather than adopting a policy of exclusion, the labor movement has sought better working conditions for all its workers, irrespective of race. And, most importantly, unions effectively represent their members, who on the average receive $2,000 a year more than non-union workers and have better health and retirement benefits.

A second favorite target of Sowell and Williams is the minimum wage. They argue that the minimum wage is responsible for the inordinately high rate of black teenage unemployment and propose that it be eliminated entirely. At the least, they feel, a sub-minimum wage should be established for teenagers. Their prescription for black teenage unemployment would be worse than the disease it is meant to cure. For a teenage sub-minimum wage would displace unskilled adult workers, a large proportion of whom are blacks with family obligations.

Under conditions of full employment, where every adult is guaranteed a job, the implementation of the youth sub-minimum wage would be of less serious consequence. Under conditions where unemployment stands at nearly 8 percent nation-wide and with figures several percentage points higher among blacks, the implementation of a youth sub-minimum wage would produce disastrous consequences for adult black unskilled workers.

Yet despite the fact that the views of Sowell and Williams are not in the interests of most blacks, the black conservatives cannot be dismissed as mere oddities. They are reflective of the sense of frustration with the state of the economy most blacks have felt in the last decade. In this way they mirror the dissatisfaction which moved many Americans to vote for Ronald Reagan.

If the conservative policies which Walter Williams and Thomas Sowell espouse are implemented by the new Administration, blacks and all working Americans will be able to judge the merits of the conservative approach. If history is to serve as any guide, these policies will be found wanting and will be repudiated in future elections.

And within the black community, those who have consistently favored progressive social programs and who have actively participated in the labor movement should welcome the opportunity to challenge the views of the black conservatives. Complacency can hardly be characterized as indicative of leadership. And the coming Reagan years give little reason for us to be complacent.

16. ADMINISTRATION POLICIES FAIL TO ADDRESS NEEDS OF BLACKS

By Norman Hill

In the six months he has been President, Ronald Reagan has failed to articulate anything approaching a coherent policy on matters of racial inequality and poverty. Aside from generalities concerning the need to combat discrimination and to bolster productivity, the Administration shows no sign of having given serious thought to the plight of what has come to be called the black underclass. This underclass has been a persistent fact in the life of American society for many decades, and its ranks continue to swell.

The typical Reagan Administration response to the problem of black poverty and unemployment has been to assert that only the private sector can resolve the problems faced by blacks and others who are poor. Help business and industry, the Reagan argument goes, and you will help poor blacks and all poor Americans improve their lot. However, a glance at the recent history of black unemployment and impoverishment gives little indication that the Reagan approach will work.

Since World War II, the rate of black unemployment has stood at roughly twice that of white unemployment. This ratio has remained constant in times of economic prosperity and through periods of economic decline, through periods of inflation and periods of price stability. No matter how the economy has been doing, blacks seem disproportionately to shoulder the burdens of unemployment.

What improvement has been made by blacks in the last 35 years can be attributed to increased education. Blacks who have received adequate training and education have made tremendous strides economically. Black women college graduates, for example, today earn slightly more than their white counterparts. But the Reagan Administration has implemented massive cuts in federal aid to education and has drastically cut funding for a number of training and employment programs which seek to prepare minorities and the poor for meaningful private sector employment—precisely the initiatives one would expect the President to support.

Of equal importance is the Reagan approach of "states' rights." The Administration is a vocal proponent of block grants and of giving increased power to the states. Yet it is precisely at the regional and state level that, for historical reasons, discrimination remains a significant problem. For example, due to a variety of complex factors, black workers in the South earn 78 percent of the income of white Southerners. Throughout the rest of the country, however, the earnings of black and white workers are nearly identical.

An approach that puts emphasis on "states' rights" will prove unable to diminish the discrepancy between the earnings of Southern whites and blacks. Federal action must be a component in diminishing these differences. And it is precisely such federal intervention that President Reagan appears to oppose.

Recently, the Gallup Organization conducted a poll of the views of the black population. The results are both interesting and ominous, for they indicate a deepening division between blacks and whites, in some measure as a direct consequence of the policies of the Reagan Administration.

The Gallup study found that while 55 percent of whites were optimistic about what 1981 would bring to them personally, only 18 percent of blacks expected a better year and 48 percent expected things would be worse.

Similarly, in February a Newsweek magazine poll found that 52 percent of blacks expected things would get worse for them during Reagan's presidency and only 8 percent felt things would improve. In April, while President Reagan enjoyed a 74 percent approval rating among whites, only 25 percent of blacks approved of the President's performance.

In the late '60s and early '70s, when blacks were experiencing some social advancement, polls registered a significant sense of progress. In 1969, for example, 70 percent of blacks felt that the situation of blacks had improved during the previous five years. In 1981 only 30 percent felt this is true.
The Gallup survey reveals one very dangerous trend. Only the barest majority of blacks (51 percent) rejects violent protest as a means of accomplishing goals, and two-thirds of blacks agree that the only time the federal government really pays attention to blacks is when they resort to violence. This sense of frustration and rage must be confronted. Yet in the context of the Reagan Administration's retreat from necessary social programs and in the Administration's failure to confront the reality of racial inequality, there are the seeds of disaster.

Black Americans have viewed the Administration with skepticism since Ronald Reagan took his oath of office. Yet the President has made no serious effort to diminish this skepticism by developing a policy which addresses the specific needs and problems faced by blacks. Glib generalizations and assertions that the private sector will resolve the plight of the black poor do not constitute a coherent policy on black inequality. They constitute a serious failure of leadership.

In defending his economic policies, Ronald Reagan frequently asserts that "a rising tide lifts all boats." He, however, appears to have forgotten that those who are without boats may very well drown.


17. PROGRESS OF BLACK AMERICANS
REVERSED UNDER GOP POLICIES

By Gus Tyler

In Source, the official publication of the Republican National Committee, there appears an intriguing short piece under the title: "The Reagan Economic Program: An Agenda for All Americans." The brief item makes it clear that "all Americans" very specifically includes "black Americans."

Here is a word-for-word repetition of this most unusual story:

"Black unemployment declined from 10.7 percent to 6.4 percent during the '60s, but increased from 6.4 percent to 11.3 percent during the '70s.

"Black unemployment declined faster than white unemployment during the '60s, but rose faster during the '70s.

"Between 1959-59, a decade of growth and private prosperity, median black family income, in constant dollars, rose 6.4 percent--from $7,200 to more than $11,800.

"Yet, between 1969-79, the decade of public sector prosperity, real black median income declined by 3 percent."

That's the full account. It makes two points: first, that blacks did much better in the 1960s than in the 1970s; second, that jobs and income fell in the 1970s because of "public sector prosperity," whatever that means.

Now let's tap our memory banks. Who were the Presidents of the United States in the 1960s, when things were going better--jobs and income--for the blacks? For eight of the ten years, the Presidents were Democrats John F. Kennedy and Lyndon Baines Johnson.19

In the 1970s, Republican Richard Nixon and Gerald Ford were in the White House for seven of the 10 years. That decade of the '70s included the deep recession year of 1974-75.20

If any conclusion is to be drawn from the GOP figures, Democrats do better than Republicans for black America.

Putting parties aside, the '60s were characterized by expansionist policies, a desire to grow. The '70s were marked by restrictionist policies, a decision to create unemployment on the theory that, if people were out of work and could not buy, prices would not climb so rapidly.

As to that statement about "public sector prosperity," the implication that government was spending too much in the '70s seems strange since those years were dominated by Republican Presidents who were busy impounding funds and pinching pennies.
Actually, the government was tightening up on spending in that decade. Federal employment was 4.19 percent of all wage and salaried people in 1960, but at the end of the '70s was down to 3.16 percent. Government spending (purchases) fell from 10.60 percent of the gross national product in 1960 to 8.77 percent by the end of the 1970s.

This should not come as a surprise, of course, since all three Presidents in the '70s—Nixon, Ford and Carter—believed that "cooling the economy," including the public sector, was the way to check inflation. As we know, these painful policies did not hold the price line, but they did do much damage to America, including its minorities as Source, the voice of the GOP, so succinctly proves.21


18. WHERE REAGANOMICS HITS HARDEST: MINORITIES & WOMEN

You don't have to be a statistician to figure it out. Just visit the unemployment lines in any racially mixed neighborhood and you'll see a disproportionate number of blacks, Latinos, other minorities, and women. The last hired, they're often the first fired during a recession or forced to hustle from one marginal job to another with long stretches in between. While Reaganomics aids Big Business and the banks, working and middle-class Americans continue to suffer. What makes matters worse is that the American minorities are suffering even more. This country's lopsided distribution of wealth has a flip side: the lopsided distribution of joblessness and poverty when hard times hit.

The statistics merely confirm what you can see with your own eyes. Reagan has wrung inflation out of the economy by pursuing a deliberate policy of industrial slowdown, disinvestment, and layoffs. Record post-World War II unemployment has thrown a devastating number of white males out of work in the last two years -- but the impact on minorities has been doubly devastating. In February, when white joblessness stood at 9.2%, the unemployment rate for blacks and Latinos was 19.7% and 15.8%, respectively.

The greater job losses of blacks and Latinos stem from many causes -- the "last-hired, first-fired" syndrome, racism (including lack of educational opportunity), and the disproportionate numbers of minority workers in marginal shops and hard-hit industries.

The auto industry demonstrates some of these factors. Of the 30 largest auto plant shutdowns since 1980, all but a handful have occurred in the frostbelt or on the two coasts where Latinos and blacks have paid heavily. Minorities constituted about 11.2% of all U.S. workers in 1978-79 but held exactly twice that percentage of auto jobs; the 1979-83 auto slump has hit them especially hard. Of 270,000 indefinite layoffs in auto, 100,000 are minorities and women.

Did Reagan, David Stockman, and other White House "supply-siders" deliberately set out to make minorities pay for their trickle-down experiment? Some of them may have done exactly that. The perpetuation of a distinct, highly visible underclass of unemployed and marginally employed minorities not only tends to undercut the solidarity of all American workers, but it forces down the real wages of white workers as well. These facts are not lost on Big Business strategists.22

But there are other supply-siders -- and Reagan is probably one of them -- who honestly believe their massive cutbacks have been even-handed. "The Administration has reaffirmed the original vision which lies at the heart of our national commitment: an America that is color-blind," declares a recent White House defense of the Fiscal 1984 budget. Yet this belief is a good part of the problem, for the truth is that America is still more color-bound than color-blind. Blacks, Latinos, women and other minorities were never in as good a position to survive the budget cuts.

Take housing. Nearly a quarter of all black households are so poor that they have relied, until now, on some degree of federal aid for shelter.
Latinos have increasingly found themselves in the same predicament. Thus, when Reagan slashed federal housing subsidies by $20.4 billion and upped the rent that poor tenants pay, he destroyed far more minority households, proportionately, than white ones.

What about Reagan's 1981 tax cuts for homeowners? Not only did they continue the old mortgage interest deduction, but they created new tax subsidies for "gentrification" (well-to-do people moving into once-poor neighborhoods), the sale of homes by people 55 or older, and real estate speculators, while ignoring the real housing needs of minorities.

Blacks, Latinos, and other minorities were simply not as well-positioned as whites to pluck these plums. About 40% of all black families and 33% of all Latino families can be found in the bottom-fifth of all U.S. population when the measure is income; the top 50%, however, is 95% white. It is no surprise that while two-thirds of all white households own their own homes, only about two-fifths of all blacks and Latinos do.

The same inequalities mark the Administration's attack on nutrition, child welfare, job training, and health programs. When Reagan chopped $3.3 billion from the food stamp program in 1981-82, he drove a million people off the rolls. Another six million -- in particular the working poor -- had their food stamp allotments cut. Since 3 out of every 10 black families depended on food stamps for an adequate diet at the time of the cuts, blacks suffered disproportionately. So did Latinos.

Last year a million children from low-income families dropped out of the federal school lunch program; they couldn't pay the higher prices schools charged after Reagan cut back the federal contribution. We have already seen how blacks, Latinos, and other minorities are clustered in the low-income brackets. More of their children had to stop eating lunches, proportionately, than children from white families.

Black families represented 10% of the U.S. population but make up 30% of all the families living in poverty. Twice as many blacks and Latinos are unemployed, proportionately, as whites. Two-fifths of the families receiving Aid for Dependent Children (AFDC) benefits in 1981 were blacks.

Thus, when Reagan cut $1.5 billion from AFDC in Fiscal 1982-83, $1.7 billion from Medicaid, and $4.4 billion from job retraining programs, his budget axe was not "color-blind" but fell hardest, and proportionately most often, on black and brown necks.

The attack which Reaganomics has launched on the American working class has been so prolonged and severe that it has hurt the white majority more than any economic program since President Hoover's (1928-32). If proportionately the greatest impact has been on blacks, Latinos, and other minorities, numerically the greatest impact has been on whites. The basis for a multi-racial, multi-ethnic alliance of working people against Reaganomics is firmly in place.23

PART II

THE AFL-CIO AND THE CIVIL RIGHTS ISSUE
During the AFL-CIO merger negotiations, Walter Reuther announced that the CIO would insist that all affiliated unions be required to enlist members without regard to their race. Exactly what this meant, however, was unclear. The AFL had issued declarations against racial discrimination repeatedly since its formation, but it had not taken action against an affiliate on these grounds since the 1890s. In fact, the CIO's Committee on Civil Rights had refused even to recommend disciplining its own affiliates for discrimination. Nevertheless, most blacks initially were satisfied with the merger agreement's statement that the new federation would "constitutionally recognize the rights of all workers without regard to race," and that it would establish the internal machinery to guarantee non-discrimination. The statement did not specifically state by what means the principle would be implemented. Therefore, as early as the founding convention, those few black delegates in attendance demanded that the federation deny affiliation to any union that practiced racial discrimination. Their effort failed as did all subsequent efforts to pressure the federation along these lines. Thus, a long internal struggle began to force the organization to take firm action against the problem.

The leader in this struggle was A. Philip Randolph, one of two black vice presidents of the 27-man AFL-CIO Executive Council. Randolph had maintained his silence on the racism issue since the merger, but he and other black delegates came to the 1959 convention with a plan calling for the AFL-CIO's Civil Rights Department to determine the extent of discrimination and segregation in member unions, and then to initiate stern measures against those refusing to reform. Randolph demanded, however, that action be taken immediately against the railroad brotherhoods which barred or segregated Negroes for more than a half century. The resolution failed to pass under repeated confrontations between Randolph and the AFL-CIO leadership, and ultimately resulted in his censure at the 1961 convention. The chairman of the subcommittee which presented the report against Randolph was George M. Harrison, president of the Brotherhood of Railway Clerks, a union which had "Jim crowsed" Afro-Americans into segregated locals for decades.

The censure report also indicted the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People. The protests of black workers and their leaders had gained the attention of the NAACP, and just after the merger it announced that it would begin concentrating on the problems of Negro labor. In numerous speeches and reports, the civil rights organization attacked organized labor for its failure to correct even the most blatant forms of racism practiced in some of the member unions. The AFL-CIO executives denounced the NAACP for criticism so unrelenting that it was considered detrimental to the Negro-labor alliance.

By the mid-sixties George Meany had unveiled a stepped-up civil rights program calling for a more aggressive educational campaign, and assistance for black workers who wanted to file complaints of discrimination with the federal government under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. This was the first step toward reestablishing the alliance forged by the CIO prior to its merger with the AFL between black civil rights workers and the more progressive labor leaders. Soon, A. Philip Randolph had been pacified as well, and the alliance again seemed secure.
THE AFL-CIO AND THE CIVIL RIGHTS ISSUE

THE AFL-CIO AND THE CIVIL RIGHTS STRUGGLE

1. AFL-CIO MERGER AGREEMENT

AGREEMENT FOR THE MERGER OF THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR AND THE CONGRESS OF INDUSTRIAL ORGANIZATIONS

Signed February 9, 1955

1. Agreement to Merge

The American Federation of Labor and the Congress of Industrial Organizations agree to create a single trade union center in America, through the process of merger which will preserve the integrity of each affiliated national and international union. They further agree upon the following principles and the procedures to accomplish this end.

2. Principles of Merger

(a) It is recognized, as a fundamental basis for the merger of the AFL and CIO, that each national and international union, federal labor union, local industrial union and organizing committee (hereafter referred to as affiliated union) holding a charter or certificate of affiliation granted by either federation shall retain its charter or certificate and become, by virtue of the merger, an affiliate of the merged federation.

(b) It is further recognized and agreed that the integrity of each affiliated union in the merged federation shall be maintained and preserved. In order to effectuate this principle, the Constitution of the merged federation shall contain a constitutional declaration for respect by each affiliate of the established bargaining relationship of every other affiliate and against raiding by any affiliate of the established collective bargaining relationship of any other affiliate. The merged federation shall provide appropriate machinery to implement this constitutional declaration.

(c) The parties further agree that, subject to the foregoing, each affiliated union shall have the same organizing jurisdiction in the merged federation as it had in its respective prior organization.

(d) The parties recognize that the above provisions may result in conflicting and duplicating organizations and jurisdictions. Where such is the case, affiliates of the merged federation will be encouraged to eliminate conflicts and duplications through the process of agreement, merger, or other means, by voluntary agreement in consultation with the appropriate officials of the merged federation.

(e) The merged federation shall be based upon a constitutional recognition that both craft and industrial unions are appropriate, equal and necessary as methods of trade union organization.

(f) The merged federation shall constitutionally recognize the right of all workers, without regard to race, creed, color or national origin to share in the full benefits of trade union organization in the merged federation. The merged federation shall establish appropriate internal machinery to bring about, at the earliest possible date, the effective implementation of this principle of non-discrimination. . . .

Then we must think sobriety of our position as a nation and of the things we like to feel are really in the tradition of America. We speak of our freedom, we speak of the Founding Fathers, we speak of the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. I think we have some right to be proud of those things, to be proud of our tradition and our heritage; but I think we have no right to complacently sit by as long as those rights are denied to any portion of the population of this great country.

We have had striking evidence in the last few days, if we needed any such evidence, that the Constitution of the United States and the Bill of Rights
and the civil liberties that we all like to boast of do not prevail in certain parts of our country for people whose skin is a little different in color than that of ourselves. We have men who call themselves statesmen, who are public servants elected by the people, and still who, in the interest of white supremacy, defy a decision of the United States Supreme Court in regard to desegregation. Yes, they are amending the Constitution to suit themselves insofar as its application is concerned, and what they are saying in effect is that this Constitution does not prevail in the Southland.


2. CORRESPONDENCE TO THE MERGER CONVENTION

December 5, 1955

New York, N.Y.
President George Meany and President Walter Reuther, AFL-CIO Merger Convention, 71st Regiment Armory, Park Ave., 34th St.

The Negro Labor Committee sends you fraternal greetings and best wishes for a most successful merger convention. For twenty years the Negro Labor Committee has been the advocate of unity and has diligently practiced it. From our birth we have served all sections of labor regardless of affiliation or race or craft or creed except communism. We have survived every attempt to capture or destroy us. Your final merger will be appreciated around the world by all workers and progressives who have faith in the survival of democracy and those who brought unity about will live forever in the memory of man. Again success. Fraternally.

FRANK R. CROSSWAITH, Chairman,
The Negro Labor Committee

December 5, 1955

New York, N.Y.
George Meany, President, AFL-CIO
71st Regiment Armory, N.Y.

The National Association for the Advancement of Colored People hails the birth of the AFL-CIO as a propitious step toward industrial democracy. As the world's largest and most powerful trade union movement, the AFL-CIO now has the opportunity to demonstrate to all the peoples of the world that American labor is united in support of our nation's democratic ideals of equality, freedom and justice for all, irrespective of race, color, religion or national origin. We in the NAACP are confident that you will insist upon and adhere to these principles within your ranks and invite you to join with us in the struggle to secure for all Americans the rights and privileges guaranteed by the Constitution. As you enter this new era we congratulate you and assure you of our cooperation in our common cause of making our country a happy and prosperous land in which no man is favored or rejected by reason of such irrelevant considerations as race or religion or nationality.

ROY WILKINS,
Executive Secretary
3. REPORT OF THE RESOLUTIONS COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS, 1955

The AFL and the CIO have always believed in the principle and practice of equal rights for all, regardless of race, color, creed or national origin. Each federation has separately played a distinguished role in the continuing struggle to realize for all Americans the democratic rights promised to all by the Constitution of the United States. The AFL-CIO is similarly pledged and dedicated to promote and defend the civil rights of all Americans. Its Constitution declares that one of its objects and principles is:

"To encourage all workers without regard to race, creed, color or national origin to share in the full benefits of union organization."

Another such object and principle of the new Federation is:

"To protect and strengthen our democratic institutions, to secure full recognition and enjoyment of the rights and liberties to which we are justly entitled, and to preserve and perpetuate the cherished traditions of our democracy."

Our Constitution likewise provides for a "Committee on Civil Rights" which:

"Shall be vested with the duty and responsibility to assist the Executive Council to bring about at the earliest possible date the effective implementation of the principle stated in this constitution of non-discrimination in accordance with the provisions of this constitution."

Thus the AFL-CIO stands dedicated no less than its predecessors to bring about full and equal rights for all Americans in every field of life.

Discrimination in Employment

Both the AFL and the CIO have been pre-eminent in the campaign to secure equality in the campaign to secure equality of employment opportunity to all workers. This campaign has several different facets.

Both federations have in the past repeatedly supported and urged the enactment of Federal fair employment practices legislation, to prohibit discrimination in employment because of race, creed, color or national origin. During the past year several states and municipalities have enacted fair employment practice laws or ordinances, but year after year the threat of filibuster by Dixiecrat Senators has prevented fair employment practices legislation from receiving any real consideration by the Congress. This determined minority has been able to impose its will upon the Congress because Senate Rule 22 invites filibuster by making cloture virtually impossible. The authority vested in the Rules Committee in the House of Representatives has likewise sometimes enabled that Committee to act as a roadblock to progressive legislation.

In 1953, President Eisenhower established the President's Committee on Government Contracts, a revival of a similar committee which had functioned under President Truman. The Committee's function is to coordinate and assist the federal departments and agencies in the enforcement of the clauses prohibiting discrimination in employment which all government contracts are required to contain. Representatives of the AFL and the CIO were appointed and are serving as members of this Committee. 26 This Committee has developed a strengthened non-discrimination clause, which specifically prohibits discrimination by government contractors in all phases of the employment relationship, including hiring, placement, training, promotion, tenure of employment and compensation. Since a large percentage of business firms have contracts with government agencies, this clause, if vigorously enforced, can do much to eliminate discrimination in employment. Already, on the initiative of the labor members of the Committee and with the cooperation of the International unions involved, the Committee has made limited progress toward eliminating discrimination in a number of industries and areas where heretofore discriminatory practices had prevailed.
Discrimination in employment, promotions or lay-offs because of race, color, religion, or national origin violates both the legal and moral rights of those who are discriminated against. Already substantial progress in ending discrimination in employment has been made by the negotiation and diligent policing of non-discrimination clauses in collective bargaining agreements. By giving full support to these clauses our affiliates can make a notable contribution toward the elimination of discrimination in a large sector of American industry. By creating appropriate internal machinery, our affiliates can assist in realizing these objectives.

Removal of Segregation in Public Facilities

One of the most notable triumphs for democracy in recent years is the progress which has been made toward ending segregation in public schools.

In 1954 the Supreme Court of the United States unanimously, and in clear and unequivocal language, declared that segregation in the public schools violates the United States Constitution. A year later it reiterated this decision, and ordered that those localities where segregation in the public schools still exists proceed with "all deliberate speed" toward its elimination. In response to these decisions of the nation's highest tribunal, a number of states and localities have already ended segregation in their public schools. The experience of these areas, and particularly of the District of Columbia with its large Negro population, has shown that there is no insurmountable obstacles anywhere to complying with the requirements of the nation's Constitution.

Unfortunately, however, some states and localities have sought to delay the end of segregation, and even to perpetuate it indefinitely, by a variety of flimsy and discreditable subterfuges and devices. We are confident that the courts will rebuke these tactics as rapidly as the cases come before them. Still worse, in one or two states the forces of racism and reaction are using the segregation issue as a rallying point for the creation of Ku Klux Klan-type organizations, such as the White Citizens Councils which seek by the vilest and most brutal methods to deny all political and civil rights to America's Negro citizens.

There is every reason to expect that the Supreme Court will apply the doctrine of non-segregation to other types of public facilities, including all those which are supported or aided by federal or local taxes. It has already taken such action in the case of public parks. There have already been several lower court decisions to this effect, and even in the absence of such decisions, progress has been made in many communities in the elimination of racial barriers in trains and buses, public housing, public parks, and theatres and restaurants. The ICC has recently prohibited segregation on the nation's railroads and their facilities. In only a few years all branches of the Armed Forces have shifted from almost complete segregation to almost complete integration. Despite dire predictions of disaster, this change has been accomplished smoothly and without incident; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, 1. The AFL-CIO declares its strong support for an effective and enforceable fair employment practices act. We urge the enactment of similar legislation by all states and cities that do not now have such laws on their books.

2. As an essential preliminary to the enactment of civil rights legislation, and particularly of a fair employment practices act, we urge that the rules be so amended that the will of the Congress may not be stultified by a recalcitrant minority. Rule 22 should be changed to permit a majority of Senators present and voting to limit and close debate.

3. Our affiliates should see to it that employers with whom they deal who hold federal contracts adhere to the letter and spirit of the non-discrimination clause required in government contracts. In addition, our affiliates should seek to have non-discrimination clauses included in every collective bargaining agreement they negotiate.

4. The AFL-CIO wholeheartedly supports the decisions of the Supreme Court outlawing segregation in the public schools. We urge all of our affiliated state and local bodies to work with other liberal forces in their communities to facilitate a peaceful and effective transition to an unsegregated American educational system. We urge the Administration to
utilize the full powers of the federal government to frustrate and punish unlawful attempts to block implementation of the Supreme Court's decision.

5. We urge the Congress to enact legislation making lynching a federal crime, and to invalidate state laws requiring the payment of a poll tax as a prerequisite to voting.

COMMITTEE CO-SECRETARY CURRAN: I move the adoption of the resolution.

PRESIDENT MEANY: You have heard the resolution on Civil Rights. The motion is to adopt. The Chair recognizes Vice President Carey.

VICE PRESIDENT CAREY: Mr. President and delegates, I rise in support of the resolution. All of us, I am sure, are exceedingly grateful for this inspiring address by Brother Marshall. In one sense, however, Vice President Willard Townsend, Vice President A. Philip Randolph and I can feel that we were the victims of discrimination, because doubtless everything that we were going to say in addressing ourselves to this question of civil rights was splendidly covered by Thurgood Marshall's remarks.

Therefore, I ask permission of President Meany and the Convention to place in the record the statement I have prepared to give.

I hope everyone will subscribe to this resolution so ably presented by the Resolutions Committee and co-Secretary Joseph Curran.

PRESIDENT MEANY: You have heard the motion and the request that Vice President Carey be permitted to put his full intended remarks in the record. Is there objection to that? Hearing none, we will vote on the motion with that understanding.

. . . The motion to adopt the resolution on Civil Rights was seconded and carried unanimously.

. . . Vice President Carey's complete remarks are as follows:

VICE PRESIDENT CAREY: Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the Resolution before this Convention.

The issue of Civil Rights was high on the agenda of the basic principles that concerned the AFL-CIO Unity Committee during its negotiations leading to this historic convention. This issue has been high on the agenda of public discussion and political controversy for the last decade—a decade marked by substantial progress, undreamed of a few years ago. Also, this progress has produced the paradoxical situation that finds many persons' civil rights being violated daily.

We, as a labor movement, have moved forward to carry out the principles enunciated in this Constitution. The majority of the organizations comprising the AFL-CIO have always believed in the principle of equal rights for all. The labor movement has always played a distinguished role in the continuing struggle to realize for all Americans the democratic rights promised by the Constitution of the United States.

The AFL-CIO is similarly pledged and dedicated. We are constitutionally bound to encourage all workers without regard to race, creed, color or national origin to share equally in the full benefits of union organization. However, being practical men, we also recognize that worthy ideals and principles are inadequate, unless we create machinery to implement and translate these ideals into reality. Therefore, we established constitutional machinery which we sincerely believe provides the necessary tools. In view of our experiences and traditions, we believe the most practical kind of machinery for the implementation of this non-discrimination policy is a constitutional committee carefully drawn from a cross section of the new Federation.

This kind of machinery proved effective in the CIO. In 1942, we created the CIO Committee to Abolish Racial Discrimination which was succeeded by the CIO Committee on Civil Rights. We discovered shortly after the creation of CIO that enunciating a principle in a constitution was not enough. To put that principle into effect required machinery and concentrated effort. Without machinery, this principle would have remained a pious hope instead of becoming one of our finest traditions.

We found our next task was the creation of similar machinery in our affiliated international organizations and state and city bodies. Today, many of the former CIO unions have developed functioning machinery within their own organizations, constantly working to extend these principles to the local plant and community level. The next step was to recommend that our affiliated unions include anti-discrimination clauses in their contracts with management.
This is where discriminatory patterns generally begin,—at the hiring gate, which in most instances, is management's sole responsibility.

Looking back, important milestones can be identified. One of the early milestones was the end of wage differentials based on race. This issue was fought out by a CIO union, aided by the CIO Committee to Abolish Discrimination, to a successful conclusion before the old War Labor Board.

We joined the AFL at the end of the war in lending our experience and resources to President Truman's Committee on Civil Rights. Boris Shishkin and I, working as a team, were successful in having many of the concepts that guide the labor movement accepted by this group of good citizens. The results of our efforts are reflected in the final report accepted by the American people entitled, "To Secure These Rights." Following publication of this report, the Supreme Court began to translate the Federal Government's responsibility to preserve the civil rights of each individual into decisions that are changing the patterns of American life. The Supreme Court in 1948 declared that racially restrictive covenants were no longer enforceable in the Federal Courts. The Supreme Court banned discrimination in eating places in the District of Columbia. In a series of decisions in the field of education the framework of segregation was narrowed. These decisions eloquently reaffirmed that our Constitution can and should be color blind.

In retrospect, we can now see that these decisions were just a prelude to the important one. On May 17, 1954, and again in May, 1955, the Supreme Court unanimously and in clear unequivocal language, declared that in the field of public education, segregation has no place, that it is a denial of the equal protection of laws. This historic declaration promises our children a greater and more equal share in our democracy than we experienced. Moreover, the Court lost no time in applying the doctrine of non-segregation to other Federal and local tax-supported institutions and facilities. We have associated ourselves with this point of view and have implemented it with every means at our command. In this struggle, although the NAACP has taken the leadership in forging the law into an instrument of social precision to accomplish these objectives, the labor movement has always been closely associated and identified with the NAACP and other like-minded groups in this struggle. We supported amicus curiae briefs before the Supreme Court in this series of cases. But more important, we began utilizing our resources to implement these decisions through our machinery on the local level.

At the same time, we were working to put our own house in order. Our General Counsel and also a member of the Committee on Civil Rights, recommended we issue a directive that has proved to be prophetic and historic. We directed all state and city bodies to abolish segregated facilities in rest rooms, drinking fountains and other facilities. We banned separate meetings and functions on our property. This directive preceded the latest series of Supreme Court decisions declaring segregation in public facilities unconstitutional.

We next initiated a campaign to take the race tag off jobs. Working with one of our major unions, we began to develop a program designed to permit any worker, regardless of his color, to be promoted to any job which his seniority and skill entitled him to occupy. As this campaign has succeeded, we have developed tools and techniques available to other unions. This campaign marked the first time that the problems of discrimination in an entire industry had been attacked simultaneously.

We are confident that with the added strength and enthusiasm our new Federation will bring to this struggle, the advances of the last decades can be accelerated. We believe we can bring greater vitality to the task of completing democracy's unfinished business. We know in so doing we will immeasurably strengthen the American labor movement.

In view of the nature of its task, the AFL-CIO Civil Rights Committee must be regarded as the agency in the new Federation responsible for the formulation of policy in this vital area. Broadly speaking, the committee should recommend policies and programs for our new Federation. It should develop procedures and programs for the consideration and acceptance of our International Unions and state and city bodies. The committee should be the spokesman with governmental agencies, for our new Federation. It should have the responsibility of maintaining appropriate relationships with approved private organizations working in this field.
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The resources and skills of the committee will always be available to our International Unions in working out the practical day-to-day problems that constantly arise as they seek to breathe life into our ideals. We must have faith—faith enough to dedicate ourselves to the realization of these values.

Also, we must clearly recognize that this task cannot be accomplished in a vacuum—it cannot be accomplished within the confines of the labor movement without, at the same time, fighting for the extension of these principles in the local communities in which we live and work.

We must constantly seek to strengthen those civic and community forces whose ideals and convictions and programs of action are consistent with ours. We must continue to support, plan and work with the NAACP, the National Urban League, the Jewish Labor Committee, and the many other organizations with which we share common ideological convictions.

The recent wave of terror and denial of constitutional rights in Mississippi and other Southern states must enlist our grave concern. They not only do violence to the rights and dignity of the victims, but they do violence to you and me. Our constitutional rights are also attacked. The emergence of the "White Citizens Councils" in Mississippi, the "States Rights in North Carolina," the "Tennessee Society to Maintain Segregation" and other similar organizations represent a new type of Ku Klux Klan.

We must realize that a more terrible, a new and more powerful type of Klan is attempting to rise in the South today than the Ku Klux Klan which followed the first World War. This time it is more dangerous, because it is ultra-respectable and does not hide behind sheets. This time it is openly led by prominent citizens, many of whom are elected local and state officials. This time it counts among its members and supporters: bankers, lawyers, powerful industrialists and plantation owners. It counts among its supporters state Governors, United States Senators and Congressmen.

Remember its birth! The White Citizens Councils came into being shortly after the 1954 decision of the Supreme Court outlawing segregation in public schools. Its organization was inspired by a speech made by Senator Eastland.

While this movement was organized on the surface to mobilize public opinion to delay and prevent the enforcement of the U.S. Supreme Court decisions outlawing segregation in the schools, the real purpose behind this movement is to use the desegregation issue to stop economic and social progress in the South.

There is substantial evidence that the movement is directed at trade unions. This fear stems from the AFL-CIO announcement that we will launch an effective organizing campaign among the working people of the South. This can be demonstrated by the fact that among the leaders of this new subversive movement are a number of individuals active in the anti-labor organizations who succeeded in securing enactment of "right-to-work" laws in our Southern states.

On October 23, 1955, they merged into a Southern Confederation of Pro-segregationists, under the name of the "Federation for Constitutional Government," directed by John U. Barr, who has been a spokesman for the manufacturers' associations in the South, a leader in the Dixiecrat Party of 1948, and a leader in all of the anti-labor organizations created in recent years.

In Charleston, South Carolina, a successful organizing campaign, conducted in a rubber fabric plant by the United Rubber Workers, came to an end when the local unit of the White Citizens Councils applied economic and social pressure on the white members to withdraw from the union, because it included both white and Negro workers on an equal basis. Other examples can be cited.

Every area of the South, where these councils have been organized, and have become a political and economic power, the normal process of justice has been diminished. At the same time, this campaign of terror and intimidation is showing its effect among prominent Southern liberals who are silent and lonely and have not spoken out against this menace. Many of the large Protestant church denominations have gone on record as approving the abolition of racial segregation as a public policy. However, when the local ministers attempt to put their religious beliefs into practice, they are immediately threatened and intimidated by these White Citizens Councils.

Organized labor constitutes the only other group which has economic and political influence in these major industrial centers of the South. Unless we of the trade union movement and like-minded community groups develop a program to expose this type of subversion, our liberties and future
union organizing campaigns will be jeopardized. Equally important, unless we act promptly and decisively, our local unions risk being infiltrated by these organizations with their totalitarian philosophy. Such a situation could well sound the death knell to our efforts to bring the benefits of trade union organization to Southern workers.

This development has underscored the need for Federal legislation which will arm the Department of Justice to protect the civil rights of each citizen. More than one hundred civil rights bills were introduced during the last session of Congress. Not one was debated or voted upon,—a negative record consistent with that of previous Congressional sessions. The Administration continued to exercise no leadership in bringing any of these bills out of committee. Moreover, this negative performance of Congress is a total repudiation of the platforms of both parties, which have repeatedly pledged support of civil rights legislation.

The reign of terror in Mississippi, where three Negroes have already been killed under lynch law conditions, has dramatized the helplessness of the Federal government in protecting the civil rights of all Americans. Thus the United States, which has protested brutality and violence throughout the world, now stands mute and helpless when brutality and violence are used against United States citizens. This condition is the more tragic for these citizens were only seeking to exercise their right to vote and to enjoy other rights guaranteed under the Constitution. This cynical disregard of pledges by both major political parties will continue to leave our Government helpless, until we convince our elected representatives that there is a widespread demand and need for Congressional action on civil rights in the coming sessions of Congress. As President Meany has said, we must answer this challenge by increased political action.

Probably the most important event in the long history of the American labor movement is occurring in this historic convention. I am completely convinced that a united, democratic labor movement of 16 million Americans can be the greatest single force in our society for the swift expansion of civil rights and liberties in every sphere of our national life.

For the same reason our new merged labor movement should be more effective in organizing the unorganized, in legislative activity and politics because of its greater dedication and numerical strength. Our new movement must be more effective in both the quantity and quality of its efforts in the fields of civil rights and anti-discrimination.

Merger can be the threshold of a new future . . . a new future for the nation's working men and women, for the underprivileged and for minorities. Basically a unified labor movement inspires this hope!


4. WHAT GOES ON HERE?

As the American Federation of Labor and the Congress of Industrial Organizations, totaling fifteen million workers in 145 international unions, formalize their merger next week in New York, the question of whether or not a Negro unionist becomes a member of the AFL-CIO executive council is a most pressing one.

This governing body will consist of twenty-seven vice presidents, but although Negro unionists number over 1,500,000, there seems at this writing no likelihood that any Negro will be a council member.

Alarimgly, the CIO executive committee has just voted against promoting Willard S. Townsend, president of the United Transport Service Employees and an outstanding Negro trade unionist, to a vice presidency, which would have automatically made him an AFL-CIO executive council member.

Considering that Mr. Townsend's union is a CIO pioneer and that the CIO has always boasted, with justice, of its championship of Negro labor and its vigorous opposition to racial job discrimination and exclusion, his rejection is ominous.
However, it is being rumored that Townsend was rejected because he is seeking Congressional nomination as a Republican in Chicago, while from the beginning the CIO has been the labor arm of the Democrats, through the political operations of CIO-PAC, which its members have been annually pressured into financially supporting.

The AFL, which will have seventeen of the twenty-seven vice presidents on the AFL-CIO executive council, has not named a Negro vice president either, although A. Philip Randolph, head of the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters, joined the federation a quarter-century ago and is one of its most capable and honest executives.

Despite the fact that President George Meany (AFL) and Walter Reuther (CIO) expressed the desire that at least one Negro be on the executive council, nothing has been done—and the deadline is close.

This failure has understandably agitated Negro unionists who fear the new organization will be less forthright and determined in pressing for fair employment practices as a monopoly than the two groups did as competitors.

Reportedly immediate attention will be focused on organizing the millions of unorganized workers but there seems little likelihood that there will be any "Operation Dixie" approach for fear of antagonizing Southern white workers by too rapid organization and integration of Negroes.\footnote{31}

CIO unions have pledged themselves to contribute $1 per member to "organizing the unorganized" and the AFL hopes to do the same (which presages a $10-15 million fund); but that's far in the future, because the merger agreement requires that all present union officers be "frozen" into their jobs, which unduly burdens the combined treasury.

Most unions have done no big organizing since 1940, and now with the necessity for administrative economy, there will be a temptation to take the line of least resistance racially and to seek increasingly to win favor by identification with "community interests" (which in Dixie means following the racial pattern).

Nor is it reassuring that the AFL, the dominant partner in the new setup, has a far worse record than the CIO, the junior partner, in fighting labor jim crowism.

Under these circumstances, it is imperative that at least one Negro sit on the new executive council to help keep it in line with progress; and failure to elect one will intensify pessimistic speculations.


**5. NEW DAY DAWNS FOR NEGRO LABOR IN AFL-CIO MERGER HERE**

By Ethel L. Payne

A. Philip Randolph, president of the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters and Willard S. Townsend, president of the United Transport Service Employees, were among 27 vice-presidents named to the newly merged AFL-CIO labor union last week.

The 27, together with George Meany, head of the unified body and William F. Schnitzler, secretary-treasurer, will comprise the Executive Council which will be the governing arm of the organization. And 11-member executive committee will handle the administrative details and carry out the policy.

Randolph's nomination was no surprise as a movement to get him on the council has been quietly booming for months, but it was unlikely until the eve of the ratification convention that a second Negro would be named. Townsend's appointment was virtually assured after CIO leaders emerged from an agreement caucus sparked by the news that Randolph was already in.

History Made

Thus, history was made and the significance of the rising prestige of Negroes in the American labor movement was duly paid tribute to when for the
first time, two will have key policy making spots in running the affairs of an organization numbering 15 million workers, the largest in the world.

The gloom of pessimism shown by many union rank and file members that a moderate conversatism would nullify any attempts to vigorously attack discrimination within and without trade unionism was lifted somewhat when both the AFL and CIO moved decisively to assure strong action on this.

Some Grumbling

In separate conventions before the joint ratification meeting, both unions adopted resolutions against racial discrimination in all its forms. A center of interest was around the newly set up civil rights department of the unified organization. Critics of the clause in the constitution, providing for its establishment protested that it lacked authority to invoke sanctions against unions which practiced discrimination, and there was strong feeling that it would be just another token gesture.

Named as chairman was James B. Carey, and selected to direct the department was Boris Shiskin, former research director of the AFL. George L. P. Weaver, assistant to Carey got the post of executive secretary under Shiskin.

Following the conference between Meany and Randolph, it was learned that the committee which will direct the operation of the civil rights unit will be composed of seven AFL representatives and four CIO. Two of the AFL members it was learned are Milton P. Webster, first vice-president of the Sleeping Car Porters and Frank Evans, head of the Cleveland division of the UAW, AFL.

In an exclusive interview for the Defender Publications, Randolph had this to say: "I believe the civil rights department of the unified labor movement can be made effective, despite its lack of sanction to be applied to unions that still maintain color bars. This can be accomplished if Negro trade unionists will be ever vigilant and intelligently aggressive in fighting against discrimination on account of race or creed. The fight to completely eliminate color bars in trade unionism will never be abandoned by the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters."


6. ABOUT RANDOLPH AND TOWNSEND

For the first time in the history of the American labor movement, Negroes will be included in the top echelon which will govern a huge force of more than 15 million people. Here are some thumbnail sketches of the two named last week at the ratification convention of the AFL-CIO.

A. PHILIP RANDOLPH—Now 66 years old, but handsome and erect, Randolph is a philosopher with many qualities of mysticism about him. To millions of American Negroes, he symbolizes the best in honest leadership and integrity. A life long Socialist and militant fighter, he has been crying out discrimination and injustice for more than 40 years.

Born in Crescent City, Fla., he came to New York as a young man and has made it his home ever since. With Chandler Owen, he edited and published a number of Socialist pamphlets and periodicals and lectured at the Rand School of Social Sciences. He was a struggling young visionary writer when he was approached in 1925 by a group of Pullman Porters and asked to lead them in their fight for better working conditions.

Long Battle

The story of that long hard battle is a success saga, but it was literally achieved through blood, sweat, tears and harrassment. Now 18,000 strong with jurisdiction over Canada and U.S. it has over a million dollar reserve and is strong and solvent.

Randolph has used the power of his union to wage a fight on a broad front. Called the "Father of FEPC," he organized the March on Washington Movement in 1942. It grew out of the desperate need for action to break down color bars in
employment and the war industry. When Randolph threatened to lead a march of a hundred thousand Negroes on Washington, Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt arranged the historic meeting at the White House with he and other Negro leaders.36

WILLARD S. TOWNSEND: President of the United Transport Service Employees since its founding 20 years ago, Townsend is 60 years old. He was born in Cincinnati but has made Chicago his home for over 30 years.

His union of about 4,000 members includes railroad, bus and airline redcaps, Pullman, laundry and repair-shop workers, dining car employees and tobacco workers. He attended school at the Royal College of Science in Toronto, Canada, and worked to support himself as a dining car waiter.


7. SOLIDARITY FOREVER

Tears flowed freely at the CIO convention during the pageant of its 20 years of existence and the thought that now it was ending.

CIO staffers — in fact, nobody was sure what was going to happen because the machinery for running the new organization had not even started up its motors.

President George Meany brought some relief to worried members when he emphasized that the small unions must be allowed to keep their independence and not to be swallowed up by the bigger ones.

The clasped hand became the symbol of unity, and there were truce meetings all over the New Yorker and Statler hotels between AFL and CIO leaders.

The lions and the lambs were lying down together and the quip was going the rounds that the shotgun wedding had finally come to pass.

Disappointed office seeker was William Hartung of the CIO woodworkers, a union of a 100,000 membership.

Reports were that he was sacrificed as a vice-president of the merged union after word went down that a place must be made for a Negro.

Immediately after being approved as a vice-president, Philip Randolph was named to the all-important resolutions committee by Meany.

New York Age Defender, December 17, 1955.

8. AFL-CIO RESOLUTION ON CIVIL RIGHTS, 1957

In the course of its first two years, the AFL-CIO has carried forward with diligence and vigor its policy of equal rights and of equal opportunities for all, regardless of race, color, creed or national origin. Our Federation has taken firm steps to give practical application to its non-discrimination policy and to win for it widest acceptance both within the ranks of labor and in the community at large.

Dedicated to bring about the full and equal rights for all Americans in every field of life, the AFL-CIO has provided leadership in the American community in taking timely actions to affirm and to secure these rights.

The AFL-CIO Executive Council, assisted by the Committee on Civil Rights, initiated a number of practical programs to implement the principle of non-discrimination proclaimed in the AFL-CIO Constitution.

In this work, prior consideration was given to the removal of discrimination within the ranks of the AFL-CIO itself. For the enduring goal of our Federation is to assure to all workers without regard to race, creed, color or national origin their share in the full benefits of union organization.

To this end, machinery has been established to effect compliance with the AFL-CIO civil rights policy throughout the ranks of the labor movement. Complaints, charging existence of discrimination by an affiliate, after staff investigation, are handled by a specially constituted Compliance Subcommittee
of the Civil Rights Committee. If, after diligent efforts to enlist the cooperation of the affiliate concerned and, after due notice and hearing, it is found that discrimination complained of still exists, the Committee on Civil Rights may certify the case to the Executive Council for appropriate action to effect full compliance with the AFL-CIO civil rights policy.

Gratifying and responsive cooperation has been extended by our affiliates in the effectuation of this vital program. A growing number of our affiliates, including national and international unions, as well as state and city central bodies, have established machinery of their own to administer and further their civil rights programs.

An important contribution to labor's progress in the civil rights field was the calling of the First National Trade Union Civil Rights Conference by the AFL-CIO in Washington last May. To exchange experiences, share the know-how and to hold common counsel on the best ways and means to win broad acceptance and support of labor's non-discrimination policy is to lay groundwork for future progress, whether at the local union or the national level.

Of foremost concern to us also has been the assurance of equal employment opportunity to all workers. The use of non-discrimination clauses in collective bargaining contracts has been extended and now effectively bars discrimination in hire, tenure, and conditions of employment as well as in advancement to a better job, in a major portion of unionized establishments. Progress has also been made, on union initiative, to assure equal opportunity in vocational training and apprenticeship training programs.

We have participated in the work of the President's Committee on Government Contracts which coordinates and assists Federal agencies in the enforcement of non-discrimination clauses in government contracts and have pressed for effective administration of this important program.

We have continued to back the enactment of enforceable state and local fair employment laws and the vigorous application of such laws.

On the national scene, the last two years have seen both progress and reverses in civil rights. The courts of the land have continued to insist that discrimination and segregation in schools, in public transportation and in other public facilities are repugnant to basic constitutional guarantees of equality. Hundreds of communities have successfully implemented these decisions. But there has also been willful defiance of the law of the land, culminating in the disgraceful incident at the Central High School in Little Rock, Arkansas.

Labor's reaction to Little Rock was made unmistakably clear on September 24, 1957, when the AFL-CIO Executive Council unanimously declared "that the defiance of law and order in Little Rock by a mob of demonstrators against school integration is completely intolerable." The Council voiced its support of Federal troops to enforce compliance with court orders, for failure to have used full power of the Federal Government would have meant defiance of the law of the land, threatening national sovereignty and bringing lawlessness in its wake.

While supporting the action of President Eisenhower's action in the "Little Rock" situation, we nevertheless feel morally obligated to express our keen disappointment, shared by millions of other Americans, at the failure of President Eisenhower and his administration to provide vigorous and positive leadership and initiative essential for the implementation of the historic Supreme Court decision of May 17, 1954. This failure created the tragic political and moral vacuum which encouraged the attitudes manifested in the "Little Rock" incident.

The passage of the Civil Rights Act of 1957, won after bitter struggle, with strong support from the AFL-CIO, is a significant forward step in the ever-continuing struggle for human rights. The bill, as finally enacted, was weakened by the elimination of Title III. President Eisenhower's failure to give backing to the inclusion of Title III in the bill, led to this setback. Despite this and other weaknesses, the new law establishes new and far-reaching safeguards of civil rights proclaimed by the Constitution and the Bill of Rights.

The President has appointed the members of the Civil Rights Commission established by the Civil Rights Act of 1957. We look to the Commission and the Department of Justice to act vigorously to carry out the objectives of the law. It will be their responsibility to assure the enforcement of the right
to vote guaranteed to every American citizen. It will be their joint responsibility to expose the areas where civil rights are still being violated and to study and interpret the effects of these violations. It will be the Commission's responsibility to bring forward meaningful and practical suggestions for further action to assure inviolate exercise of civil rights by every American.

The role of government, national, state and local, is vital to the maintenance of freedom and democracy in our land. In the final count, however, the triumph of human rights will be best assured by the understanding, dedication and action of the people themselves.

Labor with other liberal groups will carry on its historic struggle for human justice in the spirit of brotherhood. As unionists, we hold affirm and secure equal rights for all Americans in every field of life.

The AFL-CIO continue to assure to all workers without regard that intolerance of race, creed, or color in our ranks or in our communities is incompatible with the principles embodied in our constitution.

RESOLVED: That the AFL-CIO carry forward its historic drive to affirm and secure equal rights for all Americans in every field of life, and that the AFL-CIO continue to assure to all workers without regard to race, creed, color, or national origin, the full benefits of union organization.

We recommend that our affiliates set up internal Civil Rights Committees and machinery for effective administration of a meaningful civil rights program within their ranks, working in close cooperation with the Civil Rights Committee and the Civil Rights Department of the AFL-CIO.

We recommend that our affiliates insist on non-discrimination by employers in hire, tenure and conditions of employment, and in advancement of their employees. We urge our unions to include a non-discrimination clause in every collective bargaining agreement they negotiate and to provide for effective administration for such a clause.

We recommend that our affiliates take the initiative in assuring equal opportunity in all apprenticeship training and vocational training programs.

We recommend that the President's Committee on Government Contracts withdraw government contracts from those companies consistently guilty of violating the Federal Government's policy of non-discrimination. We pledge our continued cooperation with the President's Committee on Government Contracts and ask our affiliates to make sure that employers with whom they deal holding Federal contracts, adhere to the letter and spirit of the non-discrimination clause required in each government contract.

We renew our support for the passage of an enforceable Federal fair employment practices act. We also call for enactment of enforceable fair employment practice laws by all states and cities not having such laws and for strengthening of such existing laws where necessary to ensure their effectiveness.

We again urge that, in order to assure full and fair consideration by Congress of proper civil rights and fair employment practice legislation, Senate Rule 22 be changed to permit a majority of Senators present and voting to limit and close debate.

We renew our support of the decisions of the Supreme Court outlawing segregation in the public schools, in public transportation and in places of public accommodation. These decisions represent a heartwarming reaffirmation of the democratic American principles that are embodied in the Constitution of the United States. We call upon President Eisenhower to recommend and the Congress to enact legislation that will endorse and support, by implementing, constitutional guarantees of Civil Rights, including those affirmed by the Supreme Court decisions. We call upon the Executive Branch to make use of its full authority to effect implementation of these decisions.

We urge the National Labor Relations Board to adopt the policy that the use of race-hate propaganda during union organization campaigns is deemed to be interference with, and coercion of, employees and constitutes an unfair labor practice; and, further, that the use of such propaganda will be sufficient ground for setting aside an election upon request of the union.

We call upon President Eisenhower and the Department of Justice to launch an immediate and full-scale investigation into the activities of the so-called citizens councils now operating in Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Tennessee, Arkansas, Louisiana, South Carolina and Florida, or anywhere else
they may be operating, to determine if their activities and methods violate any Federal statute or the Constitution.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY McDonald: Mr. Chairman, in behalf of the Committee, I move adoption of Resolution No. 83.

. . . The motion was seconded.

VICE PRESIDENT RANDOLPH: Brother Chairman and delegates of this Convention: I rise, of course, to support the resolution and to say that since Labor itself is not yet fully free, it is an act of enlightened self-interest that Labor should support the principle of civil rights.

Civil rights represents democracy and democracy represents civil rights. No individual in a state is a full citizen of that state unless he has the attributes of citizenship, and the attributes of citizenship are involved in civil rights and civil liberties.

The foundation of civil rights is the Judeo-Christian ethic—the Christian tradition that the personality of every human being is sacred, that the personality of every human being is entitled to recognition, respect and reverence. This principle was enunciated by Jesus Christ for the first time in human history upon his advent upon the earth. Mankind prior to that had no concept of the dignity of the personality of an individual. The individual had no worth as such. He had no value as such because prior to that the whole world was under the concept of slavery, and the concept of slavery recognized an individual as an inanimate thing, subject to use and exchange.

Therefore, the labor movement, representing great masses of workers, has the moral responsibility for its commitment to the principle of civil rights.

I am glad to say that the American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations has set a high standard on this principle; not only have standards been set but machinery has been put into operation for the implementation of the principles.

In this connection I want to commend the Director of the Civil Rights Department, Boris Shishkin, for his ability and resourcefulness in carrying out the principles of this doctrine of civil rights.

I want also to commend the Civil Rights Committee, under the splendid leadership of Charles Zimmerman, who succeeded the distinguished leader of the International Electrical Union, Brother Carey, as the chairman. I think this Committee is doing a splendid job. It has a small but competent staff. Therefore, it may be looked forward to that progress will be made in the implementation of the program of the AFL-CIO on this question.

However, it is well to say that we have just begun. While we have only a few unions here that still have color clause in their constitutions, there are still unions in the AFL-CIO that practice discrimination, and it shall be the obligation and the responsibility of the Civil Rights Committee, the Civil Rights Department, to work toward the complete elimination of all forms of discrimination.

It is to the great credit of our movement that its head, the President, Brother Meany, has been a consistent inspiration to the whole cause of civil rights. He has never retreated from the position set forth in the Constitution.

It is my hope that this Committee will give consideration to the whole field of apprenticeship training. Here we have a wide scope of discrimination based upon color and race. The Government is also partially responsible for discriminatory practices in this field.

May I say, fellow delegates, that there are other facets to this question. Ordinarily we think of civil rights in connection with individuals, but today organizations also have civil rights. The right of individuals to associate themselves together for the achievement of certain objectives, the right to achieve certain objectives by organization, is being denied to certain groups.

I have in mind the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People. It has been barred from Texas, Alabama and Louisiana, and there are a number of other States that are now beginning to develop a program to bar this organization, because it is committed to the principle of civil rights and is responsible for the Supreme Court decision of May 17, 1954. Now, this is a tremendous crisis to this organization. If it does not have the right to exist in a State, then the people for whom it is struggling to abolish evil discriminatory practices will be the victims of discrimination. As a result of barring the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, it has lost tremendous resources and is now compelled to look for
support from various groups in order that it might be able to carry on its
program. . . .

So, I am glad to add my voice to the support of this resolution and to
commend the work of the Civil Rights Committee and the Civil Rights Department
of the AFL-CIO.

Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT MEANY: Is there further discussion on Resolution No. 83?

May I just re-emphasize the importance of one of the points referred to
by Vice President Randolph, that there is a definite indication in the South
that more and more of the white people of the South are coming to the conclu­
sion that it is not good business to discriminate against the Negro. . . .

Are there any further remarks on the motion to adopt Resolution No. 83,
which covers the broad field of civil rights?

. . . The motion to adopt the resolution was carried.
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9. AFL-CIO RESOLUTION ON CIVIL RIGHTS, 1961

RESOLUTION NO. 84—By Delegate Walter P. Reuther, Industrial Union
Department.

WHEREAS, The AFL-CIO constitution and the resolution on civil rights
adopted at its Third Constitutional Convention in San Francisco set forth clear
and unmistakable principles. It remains for us to now translate these
principles into firm action; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That this Fourth Constitutional Convention of the AFL-CIO
reaffirms the dedication reflected in its constitution to the achievement of a
labor movement wholly free of racial discrimination and segregation of any
kind; and be it further

RESOLVED, We call for the creation of a Fair Union Practices Board within
the AFL-CIO that shall be armed with authority and jurisdiction over all
matters of racial discrimination and segregation involving affiliates of the
Federation; and be it further

RESOLVED, We urge that the President of the AFL-CIO appoint to this
Board only those leaders of the labor movement who have evidenced devotion to
the principles of this resolution and who are connected with trade unions
which have likewise evidenced devotion to the principles of this resolution;
and be it further

RESOLVED, That this Fair Union Practices Board be given the power to
investigate and discover discriminatory practices or threats of such
practices, with or without prior complaints, and that it be authorized to
direct international and local unions to make investigations and to furnish
the Board with reports thereon; and that the Board be further authorized to
hold hearings and to take any other steps necessary to carry out the mandate
of this resolution; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this Fair Union Practices Board to enforce the
mandate of the AFL-CIO Third Constitutional Convention calling upon all
affiliates "to set up without delay internal Civil Rights Committees" and
empower the Board to work with such committees; and be it further

RESOLVED, We further authorize the Fair Union Practices Board to certify
any findings of racial discrimination to the AFL-CIO Executive Council to
recommend to the Council such remedial action as may be required; and be it
further

RESOLVED, Where the findings of the Board disclose practices of racial
discrimination in any form on the part of an employer, and where there has
not been an earnest collective bargaining effort to correct the situation, we call upon the Board promptly to present its findings and recommendations to the President's Committee on Equal Employment Opportunity.

DELEGATE LOUIS MANNING, Transport Workers Union of America: Point of order, Mr. Chairman. Point of order.

PRESIDENT MEANY: State your point of order.

PRESIDENT MEANY: The resolution is in the hands of every delegate and the practice of the committee to comment on the various paragraphs rather than reading it over is to conserve time of the convention. Unless the convention disagrees, we will follow that practice. You have the resolution in front of you and you can read it along with the Secretary of the Committee as he describes the various paragraphs.

DELEGATE MANNING: And we will get a chance to discuss it?

PRESIDENT MEANY: Of course you will get a chance to discuss it. That's why the Committee is reporting on it.

DELEGATE MANNING: Thank you.

. . . Committee Secretary McDonald then summarized the balance of the resolution on Civil Rights.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY McDONALD: Mr. Chairman, in behalf of the Committee I move adoption of the Civil Rights resolution.

PRESIDENT MEANY: You heard the reading and the presentation of the report of the Committee on Resolutions on the Civil Rights resolution. The motion is to adopt the report. The Chair recognizes Delegate Randolph.

DELEGATE PHILIP RANDOLPH: This resolution on civil rights was debated in the Resolutions Committee for quite a long time. As a matter of fact, I presented several amendments for the change of the sanctions in the interest of strengthening the sanctions of the resolution against unions that practice race bias. One of the amendments I presented involved a requirement that each international and national union advise the Executive Council of the Civil Rights Committee on the specific time it planned to initiate action for the desegregation of its racially segregated unions, but this amendment was rejected.

Now, we went over this resolution in detail and I, of course, wanted that the sanctions be stronger inasmuch as the idea of expelling the union on account of race bias was turned down. However I agree that the structured machinery of compliance with a view to the solution of cases of race bias is set up with some care and some elaborateness; and I rather think that if a strong Civil Rights Committee is appointed by President Meany that that committee can make this resolution work.

As I was commenting on this matter with Walter Reuther, Walter Reuther said, "Well, the words of the resolution are not as significant as is the strength of the committee that may be appointed to work in the interest of implementing the resolutions."

The resolution is not wholly satisfactory to me but I prodded the Chairman so continuously about the matter that he finally told me, "Well, Phil, this is the best that you are going to get." So, although, as I have said, the sanctions are not strong enough for me, I think it is the best resolution on civil rights that the AFL-CIO has yet adopted. And I am determined, in connection with the Civil Rights Committee which may be appointed by the President, and with the Civil Rights Department, with adequate personnel, to make the resolution work. And I hope that it will.

PRESIDENT MEANY: The Chair recognizes Brother Carey.

VICE PRESIDENT JAMES B. CAREY: Mr. President and delegates: I consider it highly appropriate that this matter of civil rights comes before this convention during Human Rights Week as proclaimed by President John K. Kennedy. It will be 170 years ago this Friday that our nation had the wisdom to adopt the Bill of Rights. It was 80 years ago that a labor leader, starting with Sam Gompers and others, set up the predecessor organization to the AFL. That was Jeremiah Grandison, an American Negro, who pleaded eloquently for racial democracy in the AFL's founding convention in 1881.40

This resolution that we are considering is extremely important in terms of the relationship of the American labor movement, not only to the Negro community, but also to the entire community of our nation and to this nation's relations with the world.
Mr. Chairman, I propose that the Committee's report be amended as follows: That on page 15, under the first Resolve, the first paragraph, that we add the words, "... as guaranteed in the AFL-CIO Constitution."

Mr. Chairman, I propose that on page 16 the resolution be amended to include the following paragraph, following the paragraph starting with the words: "In order to strengthen the procedure for compliance . . ." and ending with the words "being practiced":--

"The President of the Federation shall appoint to the Civil Rights Committee only leaders of the labor movement who have evidenced devotion to the principles of this resolution and who are connected with trade unions which have likewise evidenced devotion to the principles of this resolution. The Civil Rights Committee shall have power to investigate and discover discriminatory practices or threats of such practices, with or without prior complaints, to direct international and local unions to make investigations and to furnish the Civil Rights Committee with reports thereon; to hold hearings, and to take any other steps necessary to carry out the mandate of this resolution."

I propose the resolution should be amended to include the following paragraph:

"We commend the non-violent demonstrators for their passionate devotion to racial equality. We proudly identify ourselves with these dedicated groups of Americans and we propose to make common cause with them wherever possible, for they have provided the nation with a true example of courage and of honor. We ask our government to exhibit the same devotion to racial equality by adopting the necessary executive orders and legislation to bring true equality to every corner of the land."

The resolution should be amended to substitute for the existing language concerning the NLRB and employer use of race-hate, the following language:

"For more than four years the AFL-CIO has been on record urging the National Labor Relations Board to find that when an employer uses race hate to frustrate organization, he violates the federal law and such conduct should be enjoined and any election results affected by this tactic should be set aside at the request of the victimized union. We note that the National Labor Relations Board has announced that it is considering establishment of such a policy for representation election cases. We urge that this policy be enunciated and put into effect in this area and, further, that the policy be extended to unfair labor practice cases."

Mr. Chairman, the first three proposals made are on matters to be considered by the Resolutions Committee in strengthening the machinery to comply with the Constitution of the AFL-CIO. But I do urge consideration for the last proposal made, namely, that we repeat the action that we took at our convention in 1957, and again in 1959, when we unanimously called upon the National Labor Relations Board to declare the use of race hate campaigns by employers to be an unfair labor practice, and at the request of the union, the results of an election could be set aside.

Mr. Chairman, I would appreciate the acceptance of especially that last proposal by the Committee on Resolutions.

PRESIDENT MEANY: The Chair recognizes Delegate Reuther.

VICE PRESIDENT REUTHER: Brother Chairman and fellow delegates, I rise to support the resolution and the specific amendment that Brother Carey submitted as it relates to declaring the use of materials of an anti-civil rights or hate race basis as a basis for declaring an unfair labor charge.

Now the matter before us is a very serious one, and, like Brother Webster, I don't think the Negroes are looking for sympathy, they are looking for understanding. This is the deep human problem that the labor movement must deal with, not just by adopting a resolution, but by demonstrating the will to translate that resolution into affirmative action, not only in the ranks of labor, but in the whole of our society wherever the ugly and immoral forms of discrimination exist.

We have heard during this convention, and there are resolution after resolution that we have already adopted or will be adopting talking about the struggle between freedom and tyranny, how democracy faces its greatest challenge in the face of the Communist thrust. I say that American democracy will be unworthy of leading the forces of freedom in the world unless we begin to bridge that ugly and immoral gap that separates American democracy's
noble promises and its ugly practices in the field of civil rights because there are hundreds and hundreds of millions of people who are looking at America, and they find it very difficult to square Little Rock and Montgomery and New Orleans and the other areas of American life on the job front where Negroes are denied their rightful opportunities. They can't figure how we square that with a society that professes to believe in the worth and dignity of every human being. Therefore, we in the labor movement have a lot of unfinished work inside the movement and outside the movement.

I support the resolution because the resolution says that we shall intensify our efforts. This means that we are going to pursue more vigorously for affirmative action in trying to deal with providing equal opportunities in the labor movement and equal opportunities in the balance of our society.

As one human being, I believe in civil rights, in the right of every person to equal opportunity as a matter of simple human decency, and as a matter of simple moral justice. But I believe in civil rights and equal opportunity, because freedom and equality rate, like peace, very indivisible. You can't have them unto yourself. You can only have them, and be secure in having them, as you share them with your fellow man and as you make them universal so all men may share them.

George Meany and I both are serving on the President's Equal Employment Opportunity Committee. We served together on the committee set up by President Eisenhower, the Government Contract Compliance Committee. And it was with a sense of deep shame that we found unions in the AFL-CIO were denying people the opportunity to work on federal contracts because of race discrimination.

The old Nixon committee didn't do very much except issue pious proclamations. But I can assure you that the committee is going to do differently now. Arthur Goldberg is working on the President's committee. Vice President Johnson, too. That committee now has an executive order with teeth in it, and we intend to implement that executive order, and we intend to fight racial discrimination on the job front in every federal contract. I want everyone to know that that executive order has the kind of provisions that if there is non-compliance on the part of the union or the employer or both, the committee has the right to recommend the cancellation of those contracts. And we intend to press for cancellation where we can't get compliance.

I know something about the practical fight for equality on the job front because the union that I have the privilege of being associated with has been engaged in this struggle for a long time. We have not achieved perfection. We still have work to do, but we are working at it. We learned a long time ago in the early picket lines and when we faced the company goons and the Pinkertons that if the employer can divide you, he will divide you.

The labor movement has to unite all people. General Motors in the early days tried to pit the skilled worker against the production worker. They tried to pit the American-born against the foreign-born. They tried to pit white against black. We learned we all had the same problems and we all shared the same hopes and aspirations in a dream about a better tomorrow, and none of us made progress until we all stood together, skilled and unskilled, American and foreign-born, white and black. That's how we built the labor movement.

We have had discrimination on the job front. We have wiped it out inside pretty much where we have contractual control. But at the hiring gate, we couldn't make any progress. In our last negotiations we made some. In some cases we made a great deal. In other cases we made a first step towards beginning to fight against discrimination at the hiring gate. The companies took the position "we decide who we hire, and after they punch the time clock the first day and are working under the contract, then you have something to say about it." But we have been fighting for a model fair employment clause to eliminate discrimination at the hiring gate. We have made some progress. The whole labor movement has got to work on this. We have made progress, no one can deny that. No one can deny that no other organization in American life has worked as hard on the question as has the labor movement.

But progress is a relative thing. We aren't moving fast enough. We have to do a more effective job of getting our own house in order so that we can make a greater contribution to the whole of our society in the struggle for equal opportunities for all of our people.
I happen to believe, and I feel this way very deeply, as one dues-paying member of the UAW and of the AFL-CIO. We took the Communists out of leadership because they betrayed the principles of the labor movement and because they would rob us of our freedom. We opposed the crooks and the racketeers for the same reason because they betrayed the principles for which the labor movement stands and they would rob us of our money. And those people in the leadership who knowingly and willingly pursue racial policies that discriminate and violate the Constitution also betray the principles of the labor movement, and they would rob us of our dignity. One is as bad as the other in my book of values. We have to deal with all of them with equal firmness.

Dr. King says that the people in the Negro communities expect the labor movement to behave differently and more responsibly and to do more than they expect other people to do. There are people in the labor movement who haven't understood that. But we need to understand it because the Negroes of this country have a right to look to the American labor movement to join them in this struggle to wipe out the last ugly vestige of racial discrimination, because the labor movement is about people. It is about the struggle for dignity and security and human values.

The NAM is not interested in these values. We have got to lead that struggle. We cannot be the symbol in America until we first take care of our own housekeeping. It is hard to conceive even if you do your best to try to understand the deep inner feelings of the Negro brother or a Negro sister, but you will never understand it.

I worked in the German underground movement fighting fascism. I have been beat up by gangsters, shot at and thrown in jail, but I can't remotely begin to understand what a black human being feels deeply in his heart. He is filled with impatience because justice is too long in coming, and he is not going to wait.

And you and I who the good Lord gave a lighter skin to need to comprehend and share that impatience of our Negro brothers and sisters. Out of that deeper understanding as humans, we have to find a deeper sense of dedication because the value standards that we believe in in the world are in jeopardy because millions of people look to America and they think we don't believe in the things that we profess to believe in.

Therefore, my plea is to adopt this resolution, but then go back home and let's do something about it.

I was not at the council meeting when the report was considered. I was at the bargaining table and missed that council meeting. I have respect for my fellow council members, but I think that this document is not helpful to the labor movement, and I hope that we can reconsider it because I think that we cannot afford to divide ourselves on these issues when we need to be together. We need to be together to fight the White Citizens Council, the hate mongers. That is what we are talking about here today.

I hope this resolution can be the first step in a whole series of vigorous steps to give meaning and purpose and substance to these noble words in the resolution so we can go back home together and begin to fight the practical fight to give every American equal rights and equal opportunities and equal dignity in the labor movement and all over America.

Thank you.

DELEGATE CHARLES HAYES, United Packinghouse, Food and Allied Workers:

Mr. Chairman, I couldn't sit here and live with my own conscience and not get up and at least voice an opinion on an issue so vital as this, not only to me as an American Negro, but to the trade union movement as a whole.

Yesterday we heard what amounted, in my opinion, to one of the greatest and deepest addresses that I ever had an opportunity to hear at a labor convention by Rev. Martin Luther King, one who in the eyes of many people of my race in this country and in the world as a whole, is looked upon as "Mr. Civil Rights." I feel this question quite deeply because I think that there is not enough recognition in the minds of labor given to the full depth of this problem.

It is easy enough in convention after convention to pass resolutions. But somewhere along the line we have to close the gap between the adoption of resolutions and the performance of unions after adopting those resolutions.

I happen to feel this quite keenly because I come from an area—that is Chicago, Illinois—where over the past six years I have seen approximately
10,000 jobs disappear from my industry where some 8,000 people who used to work on those jobs were members of my race. Here six years later some of those people have not been able to find employment anywhere else; too young to retire, yet too old, by employer standards today, to be hired anywhere else. This I think is one of the tragedies that we don't quite recognize and certainly the situation is not looking too bright for the future. Where there are jobs, people are denied the right to those jobs, regardless of their skill, because of the color of their skin.

I heard Rev. Martin Luther King mention yesterday—I say again I think he did a beautiful job—pointing out that inescapable ally that organized labor has in the Negro people.

I don't think anyone can deny that the Negro votes in this country played a real role in electing the current administration. And I think some credence and some credit has to be given to that contribution in the form of trying to help them remain at least in the unions and in a position where they can make a living for themselves and their families. It is a tragic situation when a person is denied a job because of the color of his skin. Many people who I knew as butchers in our industry, if they have found employment today, are found at the airports as a skycap and in downtown Chicago parking cars.

A great number of those 10,000 that I mentioned can be found on the public relief rolls in the city of Chicago. They are not looking for handouts, but merely looking for an opportunity to work to provide for themselves and their families.

I say to you people here who represent leaders of the highest body of organized labor and who are leaders of different international unions, if I could do nothing more in my remarks than to arouse your consciences to the depth of this problem because technological changes which we say are fine for American society, are not only going to affect the Negro worker but they are going to affect to some extent every industry and are already doing it. And it seems to me we as organized labor have to come up with some kind of program, like we have been talking about here, designed to place some responsibility of the governmental bodies of our country and our states and our cities to come up with some kind of program that will provide a way of life for people who are thrown out of a job as a result of technological changes.

Yes, I say to you unequivocally that Negroes by and large are the hardest hit. In Chicago alone I guess the unemployment figure runs somewhere near 5 percent. When you put it on the basis of how many Negroes, it is at least 10 percent, according to statistics put out by the Chicago Urban League, and I have no reason to doubt it.

But I say to you people in closing, let's not just talk about this resolution or its content as I have heard it. A great responsibility rests on the shoulders of the Executive Council to implement the procedures outlined therein, and I would hope that it be approached with some sincerity as to the depth of the problem so that two years from today when another convention comes around for this great body that we will not have to come back here talking about what we didn't do or what we should do; we can spend our time talking about some of our accomplishments.

PRESIDENT MEANY: The Chair now recognizes Committee Secretary McDonald.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY McARDONALD: Mr. President, at this juncture I speak as President of the United Steelworkers of America. I have a very short statement. It is not emotional, but I just want to try to tell the delegates something which has been done by our union, and something which I think can be done by other unions on this subject of civil rights. In order to keep it short, I am going to read just a few paragraphs.

In May of this year, I and other officials of our union met with the President's Committee for Equal Employment Opportunity and pledged the full support of our union in the implementation of the President's Executive Order No. 11028, which was created for the purpose of dealing with the problem of discrimination in employment.

Following this meeting I informed all of our local unions, staff representatives and district directors, of our action and requested that copies of the Executive Order and our own statement of policy on civil rights be posted in all union facilities and plant bulletins and that immediate steps be taken to implement both documents with the greatest possible speed.
On November 27, 1961 we took what we consider to be the most significant step yet taken by a trade union to unite the forces of labor and industry in support of a positive program for using their joint resources and influence to eradicate whatever aspects of discrimination in employment exist.

The United Steelworkers of America sent letters to every company with which we have collective bargaining agreements stating our desire to work with the several companies to implement the President's Executive Order and requesting them to join with us in signing a statement which would clearly and without question state our mutual intentions.

I would like to quote just a couple of paragraphs from the letter we sent to about 2,800 companies with which we have labor agreements:

"November 24, 1961

"As you know, President John F. Kennedy issued Executive Order No. 10925 on March 6, 1961, which created the President's Committee on Equal Employment Opportunity for the specific purpose of ending the many discriminatory employment practices which have prevailed for so long at certain companies against members of minority groups in our country.

"At the time the order was issued the President called for the full cooperation of all representatives of labor and management in implementing the principles of the directive. His appeal, of course, was not limited to those companies and unions where discriminatory practices may exist. He sought also the continued cooperation of companies and unions whose practices are non-discriminatory and consistent with the principles of the directive.

"On May 3, 1961, I and other officials of the United Steelworkers of America met with President Kennedy, Vice President Lyndon B. Johnson, Secretary of Labor Arthur J. Goldberg and members of the committee staff and pledged the union's full support of the President's program to end discrimination in employment.

"On June 27, 1961, we took the first step to implement this support within the union by formally acquainting all our officers, staff representatives, office personnel, local union officers and members regarding their individual and collective responsibility in helping to fulfill our pledge. As part of this action, copies of the Executive Order and a Statement of Principles, defining the Union's policy on civil rights, were ordered posted in all union facilities.

"We now feel that a second step should be taken to insure a realization of the full intent of the President's program.

"Accordingly, we are taking this opportunity to invite the chief executive officer of each company with which we have collective bargaining agreements to join us in signing the attached statement which forthrightly declares our mutual determination to work together to implement the principles of the President's program within the areas of industry under our jurisdiction.

"I hope that you will accept this invitation to sign this important statement and that you will further agree to have your representatives meet with the union's representatives to review the situation at your company and determine what steps, if any, may be required to realize our expressed intentions.

"I know that you and all of the officers of your company are aware of the gravity of this problem we face in our country, particularly so in view of present conditions and the position we must maintain among the free and uncommitted nations of the world.

"It is because of this that I look forward hopefully to an early and unfavorable reply from you signifying that you will join us in what should prove to be an outstanding example of the best in democracy at work.

This is the statement which we sent to the 2,800 companies:

"The Corporation/Company and the United Steelworkers of America hereby pledge their individual support and joint cooperation to the President of the United States in his request to all management and labor for assistance in implementing the principles of Executive Order No. 10925 which aims to stamp out the evils of discrimination in employment wherever it may exist.

"We take this opportunity to publicly declare our intention to aid the President's Committee on Equal Employment Opportunity
in every way possible to attain the objectives for which it was created. In addition, we will have our representatives meet to review the situation at the plant level and determine what steps, if any may be necessary to implement the principles of the President's program.

"/s/ Corporation

"/s/ United Steelworkers of America"

We sent that statement out to the 2,800 companies, and I am very happy to say that several of the most important steel companies in America have returned these signed statements to us, and others have signified their willingness to sit down with us and review their employment policies with an aim to correcting any abuses which may exist.

On the afternoon of the 14th of December—this week—we will be meeting with a number of representatives of the greatest corporations in America in order to discuss this problem. Right now I want to say that I hope that the affiliated unions of the AFL-CIO will consider doing something similar to what we have done, and that we will find additional ways for working together where the occasion permits to bring the all too-widespread discrimination in employment which still exists under control once and for all.

Mr. President, perhaps you will be happy to note that the secretary and several members of the United Steelworkers Civil Rights Committee have already met with the leaders of seven unions of the AFL-CIO. They had a meeting this morning and they intend to work out something along this line, something affirmatively for the employers, to get them to agree with us to get rid of this terrible problem of discrimination. I think that this is an affirmative way to approach this problem, not by emotion, but by positive action.

PRESIDENT MEANY: The Chair recognizes Delegate Curran of the NMU.

VICE PRESIDENT JOSEPH CURRAN: Mr. President, I rise in support of the resolution. I represent a union that learned in its early life how difficult a situation can be where there is discrimination in industry. Going to sea, we had ships that were divided—some black, some white, some Chinese, and some Spanish. When the whites would strike for better wages, hours and conditions, the employes would use the blacks and the Chinese and the Spanish against them on the basis that they were not acceptable to the whites and, as a result, the strike was broken. This occurred for many years, and conditions were very bad in our industry. When we formed our organization in 1937, one of the first steps that we undertook was to write into our Constitution a "no discrimination" clause, and it has been in our Constitution ever since 1937. We sail, we live together, and we work together—black, white, yellow and green—on these ships, and there are no colors in our union. They are all members of our organization.

The first collective bargaining agreement that we made in 1938 contained a clause stating, "There shall be no discrimination on a job because of race, color and creed." I think it is a step in the right direction, if you please. But at this late date, it seems to me that what we are talking about as being progress is really something that we should not discuss with any pride. The labor movement, insofar as I am concerned, consists of workers. It does not consist of any colors. It consists of all Americans, and it consists of all members of unions. There should be no discrimination.

The passage of this resolution will not in effect, as the brother from the Butchers' Union pointed out here, stamp out the evil that has infested our country and made the image of America something for the Communists and others to use in their drive around the world to build communism. After we leave this convention and adopt this resolution, what will count is what we do when we go back home.

It is not enough to get on this floor and engage in fine platitudes or engage even in real sincere declarations unless we go out of here, as the brother said, and come back in two years with this situation licked, so the anti-labor elements in our country, the Communists and, yes, the Fascists, too, and the right wingers cannot use this problem against us.

It seems to me that a resolution of this type does not need any real discussion insofar as I can see. What it needs is the unanimous agreement of
all of us to recognize one fact: Whether you like it or not, what happens to your black brother will happen to you. A worker is a worker. There is no difference between the skin. If the employer is able to defeat a white worker, he will then defeat the black worker; and if he defeats the black worker, he will defeat the white worker. It is that simple.

We have always said that an injury to one is an injury to all. That is a good trade union symbol. There should be no regard for color. It should be a situation in which every worker is considered as a worker and is recognized on the basis of his ability and his character, and not on the color of his skin or who he knows.

Our organization fully supports the resolution. However, we are puzzled. We have here a complete resolution on the question of civil liberties. As I read it, it takes care of almost every problem that has been raised in the report made by President Randolph of the Sleeping Car Porters. It takes care of almost every problem. Yet we have the subcommittee report referred back to the Resolutions Committee. I do not understand how we can adopt the resolution on the one hand and have referred to the special subcommittee the questions that President Randolph raised on this floor. I think they are one and the same. They deal with civil liberties. They deal with rights. And I say that we not only should adopt this resolution unanimously, but we ought to say to President Randolph that not only is he not censored for bringing problems to our attention, but that we agree with him wholeheartedly that civil rights and the rights of all workers must be considered as our paramount problem if we are going to have a labor movement.

PRESIDENT MEANY: The Chair recognizes the Chairman of the Resolutions Committee, Brother Harrison.45

COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN HARRISON: Mr. President—

DELEGATE MILTON WEIHRAUCH, IUE: Do I have the floor?

PRESIDENT MEANY: Brother Harrison, the Chairman of the committee, has the floor; you will have the floor next.

COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN HARRISON: All I want to say is that the report of the Committee on Resolution No. 143 represents the combined best thoughts of all of the members of the Resolutions Committee, and the resolution emerged as a report to this convention after a series of compromises, give and take in many respects, in the words of many of the members of the Resolutions Committee.

You heard here today the endorsement of the resolution by Phil Randolph, although he made it plain it does not go as far as he would like the resolution to go. But it is the opinion of your Resolutions Committee that the machinery we have provided in the resolution for the implementation of the civil rights that we set forth in our Constitution is good machinery that will bring good progress.

I am confident that President Meany will appoint a good Chairman to the Civil Rights Committee of the AFL-CIO and he will appoint good members to that committee who will in good faith try to implement the policy of the Federation and use the machinery.

I hope that we can approve the resolution as it has been presented by the Resolutions Committee, and for that reason I now direct my remarks to the three or four suggestions offered by Brother Carey. Brother Carey offered one suggestion, to insert in the beginning of the first "Resolve" the following language: "as guaranteed in the AFL-CIO Constitution."

I would not subscribe to approval of this suggestion, because it seems to offer something that may create serious difficulties for all of these affiliates if it is not carried forward.

I think the Constitution of this Federation has been accepted by all of our affiliates, and I think every one of our affiliates is bound to observe the Constitution in good faith and practice.

I don't think we need to say that anybody is guaranteed what rights we have set forth in the Constitution.

We also have responsibilities set forth in the Constitution, and I would urge that you not approve that proposal.

The second suggestion offered by President Carey was to put shackles on the President about the kind of people he can put on the Civil Rights Committee. And to be plain about it, Carey wants a packed jury. I want an impartial, dedicated Civil Rights Committee that will enforce the Constitution of the Federation.
The next suggestion that Brother Carey proposes has to do with the second to last paragraph, and the paragraph in the committee's resolution of particular significance reads, as follows:

"We deplore and denounce the use of reprehensible and venomous race hate propaganda by unscrupulous anti-labor employers. We urge that the President's Committee on Equal Employment Opportunity establish procedures whereby it can bar from government contracts any employer who engages in this contemptible practice.

"We further urge that the National Labor Relations Board carefully review every anti-labor practice case, and representation proceedings where false assertions and epithets are so used to arouse race hatred and coerce employees in their choice of a bargaining representative, or preclude the holding of a fair election."

That says everything that intelligence would warn us to say. In other words, if an employer uses false hatred propaganda that ought to be an unfair labor practice. But our Committee was advised by competent counsel that if they told the truth in their propaganda that there is nothing we can do to stop them because that is their right under the Constitution, to speak the truth.

Carey in his suggestion wants to knock out the word "false propaganda" because he makes no exceptions.

I'm not going to quarrel with Brother Carey about his language, but I don't think his language is as good or as comprehensive or as all-inclusive as the language we use in our report. If he wants to weaken our report with his language; I'm not going to quarrel with him, but I think he is making a mistake.

PRESIDENT MEANY: The Chair recognizes Brother Weihrauch of the IUE.

DELEGATE MILTON WEIHRAUCH, IUE: Thank you, Mr. President. I am under the impression that those amendments that were offered by Brother Carey were going to be referred back to the Committee and not be discussed, I thought that that was what was going to take place. However, I think we ought to recognize that this great country of ours from history on has been made up of minorities, and I think we ought to recognize that bias and discrimination and hate all go hand in hand.

I have had the experience of seeing our people call each other slanderous names. I have seen Swedish people come to the plants, and they have been slandered as "Squareheads." I saw the advent of the Irish people into the plants and they were called "Micks." Then I saw these people get a little place in the sun; and I saw the Italian people come into the plants and, boy, what names they were called.

During the war our Negro brothers came into the plants, and what trouble we had getting the white and the Negro people work side by side. I think we made our greatest step toward civil rights in that particular period, because it was our local union's position that people willing to die for this country could work together in the factory for the same country.

Now a question. What is going to happen to the advent of the new minority, the Puerto Rican? There are almost one million Puerto Ricans in the New York-New Jersey area. Believe me, are they being discriminated against! They are exploited, they are condemned to ghettos and miserable apartments with outrageously high rents.

We have to do something about this, because the whole world watches this country. They watch us, the Kremlin and the satellites watch us. They watch Alabama, Arkansas, and Mississippi, and if we don't bring our house in order we give the Communists a great deal of room for exploitation of the miseries that we don't take care of.

The question is, are we to fail the minorities in 1962 and drive them away from the AFL-CIO? If we do that, we must put these minorities on the meat chopper. They will be ripe and ready for the exploitation of the Communists, and they will be ripe and ready for the exploitation of the racketeers.

Oh, yes, the racketeers—I have seen contracts between unions and companies that have enslaved the workers. The racketeer is the twin to the Communist. What protection did the people get in these sweetheart agreements?
None. They get the lousiest wages possible, and with the collusive arrangements and the contract for these low wages, dues must come out.

I will tell you, Puerto Rico is ready for organization. But they think that the AFL-CIO is trying to exploit the workers in Puerto Rico. Of course, that is not true. But the people commute back and forth, and they see the ghettos and the slums that their brothers work in the New York-New Jersey area.

Our union has done some substantial organizing in Puerto Rico. Just last year we invested about $200,000. Our income is probably ten percent of that. But it is our fight in the field of civil rights.

I am for this resolution, provided that we all talk the same language, whether it be in the north and the east, where it is a bit more popular to talk that way, in the south and in the west.

I say that the job of eliminating discrimination primarily belongs to us. The other organizations that are involved in this field, they are our allied organizations, but primarily this job is ours.

If this resolution is to be meaningful, we must have real integrated Civil Rights or Human Relations Committees in every single local that we have. These Civil Rights Committees shouldn't just be all one color, they must be integrated. We must see to it that the white and the black mix together and discuss the problems of human relations, and that is the way they get along, and understand each other. It shouldn't be one color just talking to itself.

It has been recognized that we are in a nuclear age, that that resolution, to mean something, is to mean we have to have action, we have to have job training programs on the jobs of the day and the jobs of tomorrow. It means that we have to have apprenticeships thrown open, vocational schools thrown open to train the minorities to do the work of tomorrow. It means that our organizations must invest some of their treasuries in housing to take these people, these minorities out of the ghettos. And above all, this resolution that I have confidence in, if it is going to be meaningful, . . . and we have to look all around this room and particularly behind the dais where we see a symbol of the AFL and the CIO workers together shaking each other's hand, . . . that is what this is all about. That is what civil rights is all about, that we all work together in human relations and understanding so that we bring a better tomorrow for all of us.

DELEGATE LOUIS MANNING, Transport Workers Union of America: Mr. Chairman, brothers and sisters, I have been on the board of our union for the past 27 years. In the outset, I want to say that in my union, this feeling of discrimination ranks second to none.

What is civil rights? Civil rights coming from a Negro—and I am a Negro—is the right to live. Is there any man or women in this auditorium who would stand and tell me that I have no right to live? I came into this world I should say, some 50 years ago. I had no say. I find myself here and I believe every delegate in this house finds himself or herself here in that same manner.

Brothers and sisters, we Negro people look toward you—and when I say "You," I mean the labor movement—for relief. Where else should we go? We must come to you. We are asking you here to examine your hearts and to tell us Negro people how long will you keep us in servitude. How long will you keep us in slavery? We are asking you to do something and to do it now, not tomorrow, not a month from now, not a year from now, but for Jesus Christ's sake, do it now.

Brothers and sisters, the eyes of the world are watching us in America. And every time a Negro is lynched and every time a Negro is denied his civil rights, communistic Russia and the satellites are looking on. You are giving them food and you are giving them ammunition to use against us.

I want to see a strong resolution passed here today so that the brothers in the back of the hall can take it back to their country and tell about it. I am telling you, brothers and sisters, that would be selling America. If we would do that, we would be in a position to cut out some of the grants to buy good will. The African people are looking on and they are watching every move that we are making.

I say, brothers, you have to be a Negro to know what it is to be discriminated against. When I walk down these streets, I wonder if they would serve me at the lunch counter. Yet I am an American and am willing to give my life for America, I am willing to die for America like my brothers of the past. I say to you, fellow delegates, that the time is now; now, not next year. Don't
put it off but give us real honest to goodness civil rights.
I thank you.

DELEGATE RUSSELL R. LASLEY, United Packinghouse, Food and Allied Workers:
Mr. Chairman, there is one particular phase of the civil rights program that I don't believe any delegate to the convention has spoken on yet, and yet I consider it to be basic if we are to have any kind of a meaningful civil rights program within the Federation. That is the whole question of representation of Negroes and other so-called minority group members and all members of our trade union life.

Look around us today in this hall and you will see only a handful of Negro delegates present here. Over the past several years that I have been attending conventions, which has been for quite a number of years, first in the CIO and now in the AFL-CIO, the number of Negro delegates attending conventions has been dropping off year by year. The reason for that is that there has been no real push and aggressive movement to integrate Negroes into the full life of the labor movement. And I mean by that, the code of ethics for civil rights—written by A. Philip Randolph and to be presented to this convention now included in the omnibus resolution—does not pinpoint exactly what I'm talking about. In the code of ethics it shows that Negroes should be brought into activity in the local unions and then brought up to the international union level so that Negroes play a role as administrators and policy makers within the Federation.

It is not enough to be only a dues paying member. It is a fact that Negroes have the capacity and the ability to give leadership as well as be led. And until we recognize that particular point, until we recognize the significance of this, then we will not be able to carry out a meaningful civil rights program.

I hope that by the time of the next convention of this Federation, the program that is intended and anticipated will bring about the result of more Negroes as delegates to this convention, because they are in positions of leadership in their particular local unions, federated bodies and in their international union.

Thank you.

DELEGATE CLYDE ROGERS, International Brotherhood of Pulp, Sulphite and Paper Mill Workers of the United States and Canada: There is one thing that I am really happy that has come out of this convention. I am a Southerner and I am proud of it.

In the mill where I work, I can testify to two colored men that are printing press operators in the heart of Dixie; top paid jobs. We haven't at any time attempted to take these men from this job. That's their job. It is their seniority right. Their rate is the same as any others. This is in the heart of Dixie.

A little more than ten years ago it would have been a far-fetched idea that a colored man would have been a deputy sheriff of Mobile County, Alabama. But I can boastfully say today that we have a number of colored sheriffs in Mobile, Alabama.

Ten years ago it would have been a far-fetched idea to have thought about a colored man being a city officer. But we have them on the job now that patrol our streets every day. And I am proud of it. That's in the heart of Dixie.

We have colored bus drivers in Mobile. That's in the heart of Dixie.

I have been sitting here and I have been having myself a field day. I heard from Chicago, I heard from Denver and also about the problems that you have over in other major cities. I heard from many of them and you have convinced me of one thing here today. Somebody has been talking out of both sides of their mouth. For years and for years you have been trying to work with the problem, trying to convince the South of how we ought to work with it, but you haven't found the solution yet by your own testimony, and it is the truth.

I would like to say this. I am opposed to any person being brought down that has the right, ability, and claim to a job. Once he gets that job, he should have the right to work on that job. I do not oppose that right. But I think, as I have heard right here from the delegates, that you need a Martin Luther King somewhere else, too. I would like to say this. I think a
lot of the guns are shooting at a sinking ship. I am reminded that the same principle would be involved if my wife should come and say, "Roger, your breakfast is ready. Everything is just right. I love you more than anybody in the world"—and then say, "I want a divorce." It really would not make sense. We have been talking about how we have solved the problem, and by the words of the testimony of these men from the north and in various parts of the United States, you have not solved the problem. You have not solved the problem. This is a problem you have been working with for over 100 years and, mind you, when we train our attention on a few southern states, let us not be blinded by the problems involved in "right-to-work" laws around other parts of our country.

There is something that we can say here on the matter of "right-to-work" and on the subject of civil rights, President Meany. We have racial problems. You have convinced me that you have them also, and you have not ironed them out. You have had 100 years and you have not done so yet. But there is one thing that I can proudly and boastfully stand here today and tell every one of you delegates. Our problems in some of our situations right now would be the next thing to a Sunday afternoon picnic compared to some of the problems that you have in Chicago. And it is the truth.

Friends, let me say this to you today. I think that our thinking covers a central part of the United States instead of a limited area. Every one of us would do good and well to take some of the thinking that is written in the civil rights resolution today back home for some real down-to-earth serious consideration. I make that statement based upon your own words of testimony, from the various states of this great union of ours. I wanted to hear how you were doing in other places. I certainly feel that after hearing some of the words of testimony from the various parts of the United States, we could walk away from this convention saying, "The job we are accusing the Southerners of not having been able to accomplish we have not been able to accomplish either," which should give us the initiative to work even harder than we ever have before in the history of this nation to prove the thing that we are hollering about the most—that we are doing the fair thing and the right thing. I do not think the fair thing and the right thing has been done in the other places. It has not been proved. So the civil rights program is not being carried out. The resolution has not been worked out. I feel that it is something that everyone could work with if we find the solution and devote the time and effort to prove to one another that it can work. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MEANY: The Chair recognizes Brother Carey.

VICE PRESIDENT JAMES B. CAREY: Mr. President, I rise to present my own explanation of my own proposal. I am not in agreement with the way it was presented by Chairman Harrison of the committee. I present a proposal that was adopted by the Convention of the AFL-CIO in 1957 in the civil rights resolution. It was reaffirmed and adopted in the 1959 AFL-CIO Convention in San Francisco. It reads as follows:

"We again urge the National Labor Relations Board to adopt the policy that the use of race hate propaganda during union organization campaigns is deemed to be interference with and coercion of employees and constitutes an unfair labor practice and, further, that the use of such propaganda will constitute sufficient grounds for setting aside an election upon request of the union."

The IUD Convention, in keeping with the position taken by the AFL-CIO on the Civil Rights question in 1957 and 1959, adopted the following:

"We believe that when an employer resorts to racism to interfere with union activity, it violates Federal law. We urge the National Labor Relations Board and its general counsel to effectuate the Federal labor policy."

Mr. Chairman, I submit that the resolution adopted on this subject by the AFL-CIO conventions of 1957 and 1959 and the IUD Conventions of 1961 is a sound position, despite any reference that any lawyer may have made on the action of the AFL-CIO at two of its conventions and the IUD at its recent convention. I suggest that the present resolution falls short in this respect of the resolutions previously adopted on this subject. It says:

"We further urge that the National Labor Relations Board carefully review every unfair labor case," and emphasizes that the board shall find
that the use of race-hate material by an employer shall be declared an unfair labor practice. I have been charged with seeking to pack a committee. Nothing of the sort; I merely want to see this crucial committee guided by men who are solidly devoted to AFL-CIO policies."

I would suggest that the committee not have in its membership people who are not dedicated to the AFL-CIO Constitution and the precepts of this resolution. It would be unheard of to suggest that we cannot find interested people in the leadership of the American labor movement who are dedicated to the furtherance of this important objective and principle of the AFL-CIO as it is contained in the Constitution.

Mr. Chairman, I do urge the Chairman of the committee to accept at least the wording with respect to the Labor Board procedure that was adopted by two previous conventions to the AFL-CIO.

DELEGATE FRANK RILEY, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers:

Mr. President, I subscribe to the policy of Brother Harrison and Brother Curran. I have had considerable experience with the problem of the dark-skinned man in my local union in the city of Detroit. I cannot subscribe to the policies, that is, many of them, of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People. I do not know whom they represent when they say "colored people," because the black man, in my estimation, is not a colored person.

When I went to school black was not a color. We are the colored people, the white, yellow, brown, and the red races—but when they try to shove something down my throat that I cannot digest, then they are going to have trouble with me. That is what has happened in the city of Detroit.

Recently, not I myself personally, but my organization was criticized on the front page of the Detroit Free Press for discriminating against the Negro race in our local unions. The brother was an officer of the Wayne County Federation of Labor, a Vice President. I sat on committees with the same brother when I was a member of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Wayne, and never at any time did this brother ever discuss the problem of the Negro entering the union that I represent.

I also sat as a member of the Public Lighting Commission of the City of Detroit for 11 years, and on that Commission sat another gentleman who is a member of the Detroit Urban League. Many times we discussed the problems of the Negro in Detroit. He explained to me the policy of the Detroit Urban League and the way that they tried to help the Negro and the other colored people that may also be protected by the Detroit Urban League. He asked me if I would sit down with the Committee of the Detroit Urban League and discuss the problems of the Negro in my local union.

I said "Yes, I would be glad to," and I did sit down with the Committee of the Detroit Urban League. I sat with them for three hours. They asked me how many Negroes did I have in the local unions that I represented. I told him I did not know. And I was truthfully telling him I did not know because in our organization we do not ask the race, color, creed or nationality of any member who becomes a member of our organization. To this Committee, who were all, I think, members of the Negro race—I never asked them, but I think they were—I outlined the policy of our organization and the qualifications that they would have to meet if we were to accept any of the Negro contractors in the City of Detroit who themselves had an organization of their own.

After I outlined the policy to them, I said, "You take this to your people. If they accept the qualifications that I am offering you, which are not any different than any other contractor—white, yellow, brown, or any other race—I will be willing to meet with them, and if they can meet those qualifications, we will sign an agreement with them and accept them into our organization."

You know that I never heard again from that Committee until I read in the newspaper that we were accused of being discriminatory. Later on we received applications from about 14 employees of a contractor in the City of Detroit. We were asked to accept them into our organization. All we could go on was what we read on the applications that they had filled out. It was not our application. It was one that they had typed up themselves. Most of them were from Tuskegee Institute. They had had two or three years in there, which qualified them as electrical workers. Well, we did not accept them right away, but it was not long after that we were charged with discrimination. In the State of Michigan there is an antidiscrimination law. At that time I was
bowing out as business agent of Local 58 for health reasons. Later on these members were accepted into our organization and we had an agreement with this contractor. As I told that committee when I sat with them in the Urban League, I did not think that they would ever be able to compete with the white contractor with the same wages and on the same basis as other contractors. They didn't believe me. But I want to tell you here that just two months ago that contractor sent a letter to our organization—at the time I happened to be president of the Executive Board in my organization—canceling the contract. He could no longer operate under the contract with our local union.

And we are accused of being discriminatory.

Now the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People on many, many occasions tried to shove people down our throat.

PRESIDENT MEANY: Frank, please get to the resolution.

DELEGATE RILEY: And we refused to accept them. But I want to say this, that I think the resolution covers this situation very thoroughly. I think the amendments and the speeches of others of the self-appointed world-savers that are vying for the front pages of the newspapers at the present time do not know what they are talking about. They are only using it as a means to obtain publicity. I hope, delegates, that you vote for the acceptance of this resolution. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MEANY: I would like to put the resolution at this time. I think we have discussed it at great length.

I would like to point out, however, first, that this is the most comprehensive resolution ever presented to any convention I have attended on this subject. I think it represents the thinking of those who feel that we can do something on this problem, do more than we are doing.

But I want to emphasize that it is not going to be done in Washington alone. We will get a good committee and we will get a good staff—we have a good staff. We will add to that staff and we will get a good committee. But when it is all said and done, it must be done at the local level with the cooperation and assistance of the international unions of the state federations and of the local central bodies. The local central bodies can perhaps be more helpful than the international union in this respect, because I have experience, and I know what is going on in the City of New York.

Puerto Ricans were mentioned. Let me say that if the Puerto Ricans didn't have the labor movement in back of them in the City of New York, they wouldn't have any friends.

For many years, the trade union movement in the City of New York has had a committee to help these people who came up from the South and landed in New York, not knowing the language in a good many cases, unable physically to cope with the climate, and they landed on relief rolls and were given the most menial jobs at the poorest wages.

The local labor movement under Charles Zimmerman and Harry VanArsdale set out to do something, and they are doing something every day in the week, with the cooperation of the city authorities. And I am proud of what they are doing. So this calls for something more than speeches. This calls for action at the local level, the international union level and in Washington where we will have our Civil Rights Department and our Civil Rights Committee in operation.

I like the resolution. I hope that we can put it into effect so that we can come back to the next convention with a report of progress and of having eliminated a good deal of these problems.

Everybody is in favor of the resolution, but it is boiled down now to a question of substitution of language for the last portion of the resolution where the committee brings in a report urging the National Labor Relations Board to carefully review unfair labor cases and representation proceedings where false epithets are used, and so on and so forth. And Brother Carey brings in an amendment which he feels represents stronger language, in which he asks that they set aside any of these cases where race hate is being used to frustrate union organization.

So I will now put the question on the amendment proposed by Brother Carey. Those in favor of the amendment signify by saying aye; those opposed, no. The amendment is lost.

I will now put the question on the original resolution as presented by the Committee. Those in favor of the resolution as presented by the Committee
signify by saying aye; contrary minded no. The ayes have it and it is so ordered.


10. AFL-CIO RESOLUTION ON CIVIL RIGHTS, 1963

RESOLUTION NO. 116—By Delegate Walter P. Reuther, Industrial Union Department.

RESOLVED: We earnestly resolve to do whatever is within our power to tear down the barriers between us:

IN UNIONS—Trade Unions were formed to secure social justice for workers. The reason for our being is to build a free society dedicated to human dignity and happiness. The achievement of this goal is predicated on equality and brotherhood. Thus, our history and our very purpose demand that we be in the forefront of the struggle to assure first class citizenship to all people—to all colors; to all creeds; to all citizens, without regard to national ancestry.

We call upon all union members in our ranks to search out and eradicate all vestiges of discrimination and segregation in the labor movement. Labor can hardly point a finger of scorn at others when there are still segregated locals and when Negroes and other minorities are barred from apprenticeships because of race.

Time is of the essence! We cannot wait any longer.

IN CONGRESS—The moral crisis in American race relations grows worse. The President warned in June that "continued Federal legislative inaction" will result in increased "tension, disorder and division." We call this warning again to the attention of Congress and urge Congress to redouble their efforts to enact a broad comprehensive civil rights bill in the remaining weeks of this session.

While the bill approved on October 29 by the House Judiciary Committee contains significant improvements over the original bill proposed by the Administration, it can still be strengthened. While we are gratified to see an FEPC provision in the bill, we want a Commission with more power, one that could issue its own final orders, enforceable in the courts. We pledge ourselves to work for the strengthening of the FEPC provision as well as for provisions that will open all places of public accommodations to all citizens and give the Justice Department greater power than the compromise bill does, to protect peaceful demonstrators and workers for constitutional rights from harassment and police brutality.

THE EXECUTIVE—We note with satisfaction that President Kennedy and the Administration through executive action have pursued an affirmative and aggressive policy and program of achieving equality for all Americans. We applaud this achievement of the President and his Administration, but there is much more to be done. Therefore we urge the President to extend Executive Order 11063 so that it covers not only FHA and VA-insured mortgages but also conventional mortgage activities of such federally-assisted lenders as banks insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or federally-insured savings and loan companies. We also urge him to establish by Executive Order, a Community Relations Service such as he originally pledged to do in his Civil Rights message of June 19. The fact that the Service has been deleted from the bill approved by the House Judiciary Committee puts an additional obligation upon the President to establish a service that would seek voluntary solutions of community relations problems arising out of discrimination.

IN THE NATION—We call upon all individuals in and out of the labor movement to speak out against discrimination and to act in their daily lives to end it. Only the hourly, daily actions of millions of us will make a brotherhood more than a platitude and launch, at last, "a great moral crusade to arouse America to the unfinished work of American democracy to the end that all Americans may enjoy the privileges of first class citizenship in all phases of our national life."
COMMITTEE SECRETARY MCDONALD: Mr. Chairman, I am proud to move the adoption of this resolution.

PRESIDENT MEANY: You have heard the reading of the resolution and the motion is to adopt.

The Chair recognizes Vice President Philip Randolph to open the discussion on this subject.

VICE PRESIDENT A. PHILIP RANDOLPH, Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters: President Meany, members of the Executive Council, platform guests, fraternal delegates, brothers and sisters:

We are on the threshold of what may well be the most important discussion of this convention. The labor movement is now called upon to take a stand on the civil rights revolution that has gathered momentum during the past year. I do not propose to launch this discussion with a chapter-and-verse recital of labor's failure to keep pace with that revolution. Nor do I propose to rehash altercations that are better left to labor's past than projected into labor's future. I intend instead to analyze the plight in which the Negro finds himself in the year 1963, and to make concrete proposals for action by the labor movement.

Let me say that I have been very much encouraged by President Meany's discussion of civil rights in his opening remarks Thursday. Those remarks help to establish an atmosphere in which we can plan constructive action.

Let me also say that our deliberations today proceed under international scrutiny. I am delighted by the presence here of representatives from labor movements around the world, especially from the developing countries of Latin America, Asia, and Africa. They have first-hand knowledge of the American labor movement's unshakable commitment to the development of free, democratic institutions throughout the world. They know that the AFL-CIO has backed up this commitment with investments of millions of dollars, so that fledging trade unions may achieve viability under difficult circumstances. But, while foreign observers are duly impressed with the achievements of the American labor movement, as with the achievements of American technology, they sometimes point to spiritual or moral deficiencies which we cannot defend or rationalize. Foremost among them is the persistence of racism in American institutions.

The labor movement cannot afford to measure its achievements in the field of racial justice by the standards of other institutions. As a force for social progress, we have always prided ourselves on being in the forefront. Similarly, in assessing labor's contribution to civil rights, it is not enough to measure how far we have come; it is not enough to compare ourselves favorably with government or management. We must measure our achievements against the needs of our time, the demands of our democratic creed, the imperatives of the Judeo-Christian traditions.

The Negro is caught in a severe economic crisis. The mass of black Americans stand today in the same relative economic position they occupied in the depths of the great depression. The destructive forces that have been at work on the American economy over the past decade have hit the Negro especially hard. Two out of three Negro families subsist on less than $4,000 a year. More important, the gap between Negro and white median incomes has actually grown wider in recent years. The same is true of the gap between Negro and white unemployment rates.

Thus, the Negro worker finds that, despite progress toward social and political equality, his relative economic position is deteriorating or stagnating. The desperation and frustration that this paradoxical situation engenders is responsible for much of the militance and impatience of the current civil rights revolution. And that militance will not abate. For long ago—during Reconstruction—the Negro learned the cruel lesson that social and political freedom cannot be sustained in the midst of economic insecurity and exploitation. They saw that land in an area which was the chief source of life, they had none. Freedom requires a material foundation. Our recent civil rights gains are based largely on the economic progress the Negro registered, with labor's help, in the 1940's and early 1950's. We are fearful that these gains will be wiped out by the economic stagnation that has characterized the Negro community since 1953.

This is the economic background which gives rise to much of the apparently irrational and excessive behavior accompanying the revolution. But
the labor movement must not ignore or ridicule those who lie down before delivery trucks, climb cranes, or engage in other allegedly "extremist" actions. These actions are frequently led by responsible and dedicated Negro ministers who are determined to shake the white community out of lethargic indifference. These ministers recognize that their actions may be only symbolic. But they also know that, in the words of the scriptures, if they do not speak out, "there is nothing left but the stones to cry out in their place."

Moreover, labor is no stranger to these techniques, I bid you to recall the unbridled hysteria which greeted labor's first sit-down strikes. If the Negro's nonviolence movement owes a great deal to Gandhi and Thoreau, it is also indebted to the American labor movement. If the behavior of militant Negroes baffles many white Americans, it should not baffle the house of labor. For, more than any other segment of American society, we have intimate knowledge of the forces blocking the Negro's stride toward freedom.

Among those forces are automation and technological change, of which Brother Meany has spoken. Automation is destroying tens of thousands of the unskilled and semi-skilled jobs to which Negroes have traditionally been relegated. Meanwhile, centuries of discrimination and exploitation have deprived Negro workers of the education and training required by the new skilled jobs opening up. Thus, we find that approximately 25 percent of the long-term unemployed are black Americans.

As unemployment becomes increasingly structural, the Negro is increasingly rendered economically useless. His old job is obliterated by the machine, and he does not qualify for new jobs. We hear a great deal of talk about the need to accelerate our rate of economic growth, and to increase purchasing power as a means of increasing the labor demand. These are goals to which labor is correctly committed, just as we are committed to a shorter work week. But we must bear in mind that these remedies are not enough so long as millions of Americans, black and white, are not prepared for the new jobs that an expanding economy will create. There is already a shortage of highly skilled technical and professional workers. These skilled workers are so much in demand that they work over-time and enjoy high standards of living, while millions of other workers are unemployed, underemployed or unemployable. These millions are creating what the great Swedish economist Gunnar Myrdal has described as a vast "under-class" in American society. They are the pariahs, the exiles, the untouchables of our economy.

The Negro finds himself trapped in this under-class. He finds himself trapped in the growing slums and ghettos of the big cities, while more prosperous white workers are migrating to the pleasant suburbs. A racial and occupational separation is taking place which dooms our aspirations for integrated housing and schools. In fact, residential and educational segregation is actually increasing in our metropolitan centers. Deprived of decent integrated education, how are Negro youth to acquire the skills demanded by our technologically advancing economy? This is the vicious circle in which the Negro finds himself. And the Negro is not alone. Many white workers also find themselves caught short by the profound transformations our economy is undergoing. The problem of these workers, black and white, is not merely an economic problem. It is a social problem. Social justice and economic reform have become inextricably intertwined in our time. If we are to speak to the needs of these workers, the labor movement will have to tap its wellsprings of social idealism. It will have to renew its crusading spirit. It must move toward a new evangelical spirit to reach down and lift up the poor workers, the disinherited, those in poverty and despair.

Our failure to meet this challenge can bring only the ugliest consequences, of which the first signs are already evident. Make no mistake about it, brothers and sisters, there is a growing feeling of alienation from the labor movement in the Negro community. The Negro's traditional loyalties toward organized labor have been put to a severe strain. The Negro-labor alliance, needed now as never before, is being pulled apart. It is being pulled apart not only by the persistence of racial discrimination in a number of unions, but also by the failure of labor to throw its full weight into the civil rights revolution in every community.

The Negro will become more critical of labor precisely because he has learned to expect more from labor. These expectations are just and must be
fulfilled. Otherwise, I fear, brothers and sisters, that advocates of so-called "right-to-work" laws and other anti-union legislation will receive a better hearing from the Negro community than they have been accustomed to in the past. And I ask you to remember the role which Negroes played in defeating "right-to-work" laws in California and other states only a little while ago. Any alienation of the Negro from organized labor, any breakdown of the natural alliance between the Negro and labor, can only encourage the reactionary currents in American political and cultural life. The Negro-labor alliance is our strongest weapon against the coalition of reactionary Republicans and Dixicrats who would deprive the Negro of his civil rights and drag organized labor back to the nineteenth century. The political power of this coalition must be shattered. It must be shattered in Congress, where the seniority system and the disfranchisement of Negroes enables the coalition to exercise a stranglehold over congressional committees. It must be shattered on the local level, where radical right-wing groups are launching a reactionary counter-revolution against the civil rights revolution. The coming struggle for power between liberalism and reaction can eventuate in victory for the liberal-labor forces only if the Negro is liberated from the thralldom of exploitation, from the remnants of a feudal caste system. The reactionary coalition which denies us medicare, old-age security, higher minimum wages, and other social needs—and which at this very moment is blocking both civil rights and tax-cut legislation—this coalition can be smashed only by a strong Negro-labor alliance, which rests on a new faith and confidence on the part of Negro workers in the AFL-CIO. For when masses of white workers join black workers in the streets and at the polls, we will be well on the way to the democratic political revolution which will free all Americans from minority rule.

Let our alliance be strengthened. It is in labor's own interest. For the Negro's protest today is but the first rumblings of the "under-class." As the Negro has taken to the streets, so will the unemployed of all races take to the streets. To discuss the civil rights revolution is therefore to write the agenda of labor's unfinished revolution. The labor movement cannot ignore this under-class. It cannot degenerate into a mere protective association, insulating the "have-nots" in the working class.

And so the Negro looks to the labor movement to lead the struggle for full employment now. We cannot accept economic policies which envision 4-1/2 percent chronic unemployment by 1980, when current trends indicate that most of that 4-1/2 per cent may be black. We certainly need a tax-cut. But even more, we need a massive federal works program to put all Americans back to work at decent wages. We need higher minimum wages. We need a massive federally-administered training program to prepare unskilled and semi-skilled workers for the new jobs that the coming decade will bring. These are among the most important planks of the March on Washington Movement. They must be inscribed on labor's banner.

I have heard many sincere criticisms of the demand for preferential or compensatory treatment raised by numerous civil rights leaders. Rather than debate the validity of this demand, let us recognize that it will grow louder as unemployment continues. For history shows that as unemployment rises, the gap between Negro and white unemployment rates widens. As this gap widens, the Negro's demand for preferential treatment to close the gap becomes more vociferous. But, my friends, there is no need to demand preferential treatment in full-employment economy. To achieve a full-employment economy, in fact, labor must be in favor of preferential treatment—not just for the Negro, but for all the unemployed, the poor, the aged, and the deprived youth of this nation. These groups would benefit from a domestic "Marshall Plan" organized on the basis of need. On their behalf we must insist on a constitutional right of every worker to a job.

As the labor movement struggles for full employment, there are immediate tasks we can execute to strengthen the Negro-labor alliance. To accelerate the eradication of discrimination and segregation within the house of labor, we need better lines of communication between Negro trade unionists and labor's leadership. I would propose the establishment of a representative committee of Negro trade unionists and officers of the AFL-CIO to plan programs and evolve new techniques to deal with discriminatory practices on the local level.

Just as we need more communication within the labor movement, so must the
labor movement establish closer official relations with the civil rights organizations. The coalition of civil rights, labor, religious, and fraternal groups that organized the March on Washington vividly demonstrated the value of such relations. I would therefore propose that a committee of the AFL-CIO leadership be appointed to meet periodically with leaders of the six national civil rights organizations to work out mutually beneficial policies and programs that concretely strengthen and substantiate the Negro-labor alliance. Civil rights is labor's day-to-day business, just as economic justice is the Negro's day-to-day business. We must therefore infuse our efforts on behalf of civil rights with the same enthusiasm and rugged determination that we bring to the collective bargaining table. Thus, I should like to see this committee stimulate vigorous local and national mass-action campaigns by labor for a strong civil rights bill by Christmas!

I should like to see both these committees cooperate on a close examination of the economic crisis of the Negro as it relates to the problems of technological change and automation—problems of urgent concern to labor. These problems need to be clarified and solutions to them must be advanced, if the Negro community is not to succumb to demoralization and frustration, and if it is not to fall victim to false leadership.

All of us are heartened by the creation of the Special Task Force "to help affiliated central bodies in community-wide drives to eliminate discrimination from every aspect of community life." We must vigorously support and expand this Task Force so that its influence will be felt in every city in the country. We must give it the means of moving into communities where vigorous civil rights campaigns are under way, to mobilize local unions on the side of those campaigns. This Task Force must have maximum moral and financial backing if it is to succeed in educating rank and file workers to their responsibilities to themselves, to their black brothers, to the cause of human rights, and to the sacred principles of free, democratic trade unionism.

But this vast educational task cannot be shouldered by the Special Task Force alone. President Meany, Brother Reuther, and other outstanding national leaders of the AFL-CIO must lend a hand. They must themselves be prepared to go into areas of racial tension—into cities like Birmingham and Danville, in Houston, in various areas of the North—and speak to the rank and file in the moral and economic terms they can understand. The principles of labor solidarity and of racial equality will be seriously undermined if we permit a cleavage to develop between the rank and file and the leadership of the vital issue of civil rights. The leadership of organized labor seems to be in advance of the membership in their comprehension and attitude toward the civil rights resolution.

Brothers and sisters, the resolution before us is the strongest statement of labor's position in civil rights ever to come before a convention of the AFL-CIO. I am happy to have played a part in drafting it. It firmly commits organized labor to a frontline role in the civil rights revolution.

The resolution unreservedly commits us to a strong civil rights bill. That bill must contain a solid Part III, authorizing the Attorney General to initiate injunctive civil suits against violators of any constitutional right. Remember, brothers and sisters, that this provision will not only help protect southern Negroes from police dogs and fire hoses. It will also protect southern labor organizers.

The civil rights bill must also contain a strong FEPC with commission-enforcement rather than costly court-enforcement procedures. Neither the labor movement nor the civil rights movement has fared well at the hands of southern judges.

Let me then commend this resolution to your solemn and undivided attention. It speaks forcefully of the wrongs of segregation, discrimination, exploitation, and disfranchisement suffered by black Americans. And it says in unmistakable terms:

"These wrongs cry for correction. And it is the responsibility of all of us, individually and collectively, to work diligently for that correction.

"As trade unionists, we must work in two directions. We must set our own house in order, removing the last vestiges of racial discrimination from within the ranks of the AFL-CIO. Secondly, we must cooperate with our neighbors in the general community to assure every American the full rights of citizenship."
Brothers and sisters, it is for the purpose of expediting progress toward these twin goals that I have proposed the establishment of two committees to facilitate communication with Negro trade unionists within the house of labor and with the civil rights organizations on the outside of the house of labor.

For it is not enough—though it is our task—to give resounding approval to this resolution. We must each go forth from this historic convention determined to implement this resolution—its letter and its spirit—in every city and town, in every village and hamlet across the country.

Let each of us individually and unequivocally embrace the Negro's struggle for freedom, and the labor movement will rise to its full moral stature. Let us do this, and when labor's rights are threatened, you will see an outpouring of black Americans into the streets in defense of those rights, as they have taken to the streets in defense of their own rights.

Let us so implement this resolution that the day will come and not be far off when the white steelworkers of Birmingham will take the hands of black children in the crusade to redeem the South.

Let us so implement this resolution that the mill workers of Danville will join the struggle for civil rights in that beleaguered city—so that white unemployed miners in West Virginia and Tennessee will march arm-in-arm with their black brothers to transform their bleak hills into flourishing country-sides.

Let us so implement this resolution that the dispossessed migrant farm workers—Negro-American, Mexican-American, Indian-American, and poor white alike—will stand together for dignity and economic justice—so that the sharecroppers and tenant farmers of Alabama, Mississippi, and Georgia will know that decisions made this day and implemented this year sounded the deathknell of their bondage and heralded their liberation.

In the last analysis, my brothers and sisters, the essence of trade unionism is social uplift. The labor movement traditionally has been the only haven for the dispossessed, the despised, the neglected, the downtrodden, and the poor. We must pledge our lives to the social revolution which will bring all of them into labor's crusading fold—into the pale of dignity and economic well-being.

This is labor's ultimate faith. This is the basis of our program. This is the challenge before us. This is the task to which we must now rededicate ourselves.

PRESIDENT MEANY: May I congratulate Phil Randolph for a very moving, intelligent address on a subject in which he has been a leader for many, many years.

Before going into a general discussion of this subject, in order that this convention will have before it all of the information which I feel is pertinent, I would like to have a report from our own Standing Committee on Civil Rights under the Chairmanship of Secretary-Treasurer Schnitzler. Then I will make a very short report on the special Task Force Committee which Phil mentioned in his address.

I would like to now call on Secretary-Treasurer Schnitzler to give a report for the Standing Committee on Civil Rights, particularly on what progress has been made and what plans the Committee has for solving the internal civil rights problems. That is in other words, the problem within our own organization.

I call on Secretary-Treasurer Schnitzler.

SECRETARY-TREASURER SCHNITZLER: Mr. President and delegates: the work of the Standing Committee on Civil Rights has been with the international, local unions, state bodies and central bodies throughout the country. While numerous complaints have been received and processed through the Committee, through our Subcommittee on Compliance, and through our Civil Rights Department, nevertheless the discussion through the five meetings we have had since the last convention has been directed towards developing a mass approach to this entire problem.

True, on many occasions we received complaints in which only one person was involved. That received our immediate attention, but the Committee was conscious of the fact if we were to deal only with complaints involving one, two or three persons, we would run out of years in our life before we could make any kind of an impact on this entire question.
I would like this morning to break down the report I am going to make in three sections. First I want to talk about some of the work that has been done in cooperation with the government.

Many of you, of course, were present at the White House conference on June 13 when over 300 of our presidents of international unions, state federations, and the larger of the central bodies were present. The President's Committee on Equal Opportunity has up until this month had three conferences throughout the country, in St. Louis, in Detroit and in Los Angeles last Thursday. In every one of these conferences the major part of the work was done by trade unionists from these respective areas.

I may point out that President Meany, at the time he was Chairman of the Subcommittee on Apprenticeship & Skilled Training of the President's Committee on Equal Employment Opportunity, proposed that minority group specialists be added to the staff of the United States Bureau of Apprenticeship. They complied with the recommendation, and five minority group specialists on apprenticeship are presently working in the offices in Chicago, New York, Atlanta, Los Angeles and St. Louis.

We had also recommended on numerous occasions that the Department of Labor expand its activities and open up what we call information centers throughout the country, centers where minority groups can go to find out the various requirements for entering the various trades, apprenticeship and so forth. There are at present in operation four centers in the cities of Washington and New York, and there are four in California cities.

In February of 1962 President Meany issued a directive to all of our state, local and the central bodies in which he laid down the policy for convening conventions, meetings, conferences, schools and seminars by those groups. On July 22 of this year he appointed a special committee and a task force that would be engaged in community-wide racial activities.

These are reports that we have. I know somebody is going to come up and say probably, later on, that I didn't make mention what they may have done. The only reason I can't mention what they may have done is because they didn't tell us about it; and one of the big problems we have had is getting the information from our affiliated organizations.

There are at present 38 state councils that have standing Civil Rights Committees that are on the job all of the time. In some of the lesser populated states the state organizations don't have the necessary income to be able to set up a committee, so the work is carried on by the officers of the organization, together with nominees or those that serve on committees that are established by the governor of the state or by the mayors of the respective cities.

We have recorded now in the headquarters that 45 of the larger central bodies throughout the country have standing committees on civil rights.

I want to point out, as I go along, some of the things that represent highlights in the work of some of our affiliated organizations. It was through the efforts of our Vermont State Council that they were able to pass an FEPC law in that state; and whenever you meet the officers of that organization they don't talk about all of their activities; they are so happy about this, which they consider to be a tremendous accomplishment on their part.

In the state of Texas, the State Council made up a half-hour film that has been shown throughout the state at various meetings, and has also been used on television throughout the state of Texas.

Since our last convention there have been desegregated conventions of state organizations,—and I don't only mean meetings, I mean for housing and health as well,—in the states of Texas, Florida, Arkansas, Kentucky, North Carolina, Virginia, Tennessee, and South Carolina. Our COPE organization reported that four area conferences in the early part of this year that were held in Tennessee, Arkansas, Virginia and Texas, were completely integrated.

The state of California has been in the forefront of receiving applause for what they have been doing and it has been through the work of our state council in California that the governor set up a statewide Committee on Equal Opportunity in Apprenticeship & Training for minority groups. Many splendid reports have come from that state of the work that has been done by this committee, which has been staffed more or less by representatives from the trade union movement.

In New York City and in Chicago, through the efforts of our central
labor bodies, they have had civil rights conferences.

The states of Minnesota, Wisconsin and Massachusetts as state organizations have had a state conference on civil rights.

Let me get into some of the work of our affiliated international unions. I want to say first that at the convention in July of this year, the Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen removed the white Caucasian clause from their constitution by convention action, which now means that there is not a single affiliate of the AFL-CIO that has any kind of discriminatory regulations against application for membership in its organization.

118 of our international unions have signed agreements with the President's Committee on Equal Employment Opportunity. In addition, 87 of those international unions have designated an executive officer as the contact man; that is, the man that would be contacted if there would be any complaint of any kind against any of the locals of that affiliated organization. These are mostly, of course, the larger international unions. Among all of the smaller international unions the president of the organization serves as the contact man.

Of the 130 international unions, there are 111 at the present time that have no segregated locals of any kind, and of the 19 international unions that do have segregated locals left, there are only 172 out of over 55,000 local unions in the AFL-CIO. One of the international unions in the railroad crafts has merged 22 locals so far this year, and it always maintained that they weren't segregated locals by color as such; rather, the segregation came about through work jurisdiction and charters being granted in particular work jurisdiction fields. As I go on through my report, I am going to point out where there have been mergers recently that have come to our attention affecting segregated locals in our international unions.

Our reports at headquarters indicate that there are five unions, the IBEW, Bricklayers, Plasterers, Machinists and Painters, who have signed national agreements with their employers in which they have written into the national agreements non-discrimination clauses.

Just about two months ago, the Executive Board of the Carpenters International Union issued a directive to all of their affiliate local unions in which they laid out a four-point program with which each local union is to comply.

You have heard quite a lot about apprenticeship, but before I get into that subject let me point out to you that insofar as the Building Trades are concerned, back in the years of 1950, '51 and '52, there were approximately 168,000 apprentices indentured every year in their certified apprenticeship programs. That has been reduced to approximately 100,000 at the present time; and while everybody is talking about apprenticeship, they haven't had a clear vision of the entire problem of apprenticeship.

There are only approximately 100,000 apprenticeships indentured into certified apprenticeship programs every year, which is only two-thirds of what it was 10 years ago. It seems to be going downhill constantly, and with the few openings that you have in apprenticeship, there isn't any opportunity to take care of many of the youngsters coming out of school that want to enter the apprenticeship of the skilled trades.

In the District of Columbia, there are 104 Negroes presently serving as apprentices in the trades in the District of Columbia; and since July 1st, 29 more have been added, so there are presently in apprenticeship service 133 in the District, and of six other unions there are 82 out of 401 presently in the apprenticeship programs in the District.

Brother Randolph mentioned Danville, Virginia. Based on the information we have at headquarters, the two local unions of the United Textile Workers voted to merge and have merged in that city. As I understand it, there are 7,000 members in the white local union. There are 1,100 members in the colored local union. So as a merged organization that will represent over 8,100 workers in the City of Danville, Virginia, and we can look forward to them utilizing their best efforts to get rid of many of the problems that have plagued that city for much too long.

In June of 1963 the union presidents of the Building Trades Department wrote a four-point program designed to grant equal opportunity and admission to members and job referrals and apprenticeship and training, and then in September of this year the Committee on Equal Employment Opportunity of the Construction Industry Joint Conference adopted what they call non-discrimination
guide lines for all of the local joint and apprenticeship committees throughout the country.

In Charleston, South Carolina, through the efforts of the local union of the Retail and Wholesale and Department Store Workers, they were able to eliminate all departmental segregation and racially separate lines of promotion and seniority and eliminate whatever wage differentials existed.

There are seven Philadelphia Printing Trades unions that have signed a 12-point agreement with the employers in the graphic industry, and while I don't want to particularly keep mentioning the names of local unions, there's some I just have to.

The Tobacco Workers have been at work in merging with segregated unions that they have had. The segregation that exists in the tobacco, cigarette and tobacco companies in America was there long before the plants were organized, and it is through the direct activities of the officers of the International who are working with the officers of their affiliated local unions that I am able to report to you that in the Philip Morris plant of Richmond, Virginia, the American Tobacco Company in Richmond, Virginia, the American Tobacco Company in Reidsville, North Carolina, the U.S. Tobacco in Richmond, Virginia, the Brown & Williamson Tobacco Company in Louisville, that the ten segregated local unions in these five plants have been completely merged; and the two local unions in the Liggett & Myers Tobacco Company in Richmond, Virginia, have already voted to merge, but they haven't completed all of the details of putting the two locals together, even though it has been voted by both of the local unions involved. They are presently working on, and have favorable reports from the officers and executive boards of the local unions of Brown & Williamson in Winston-Salem, North Carolina, and the Lazrus & Brother Company of Richmond, Virginia.

Reports have come to us from the Musicians that locals have been merged in Denver, San Francisco, Sioux City, Cleveland and Hartford.

The Retail Clerks granted a charter, a new charter in Shreveport, Louisiana, in which there are 800 members of that local union on a completely integrated basis.

Reports have come to us from the various trades that work at the shipyard in Pascagoula, Mississippi; and our figures take us up now to somewhere 18 or 19 workers have been entered into the apprenticeship program in that yard.

The two segregated locals of Carpenters in Knoxville, Tennessee, have been merged, and the Buckeye Oil Company in Memphis, Tennessee, was persuaded by a local union of the Retail and Wholesale Clerks to get rid of the dual seniority list and are promoted on the basis of their qualification and qualification only. Through the work of the local of the UAW in Memphis, Tennessee, the facilities in the cafeteria and locker rooms have been desegregated, and Negro workers have been hired for the office force of that plant. In Memphis, Tennessee, also, the two segregated locals of the American Federation of Government Employees, employed at the Kennedy Hospital, have merged into one integrated local union.

Also, in Memphis, the Rubber Workers at the Firestone Tire & Rubber Company report that 21 Negroes have been indentured for the first time on tire-building machines, and the seniority rosters were merged into one roster where heretofore there were separate rosters.

The Aluminum Workers report that two segregated locals in Richmond, Virginia, were merged, and two segregated local unions in Sheffield, Alabama, were merged, meaning that there are no longer any segregated locals within that international union. Their job is now 100 percent complete.

In Atlanta, Georgia, the State, County, Municipal Employees merged two local unions at the Grady Hospital. In Baltimore, representatives of the Building Trades have gone to the vocational schools and told of their trade, the training required, the qualifications necessary, and pay scales as they go through apprenticeship, and the pay once they reach journeyman status.

As a result of their efforts, there have been more applicants from the minority groups, many of whom have been accepted into the apprenticeship programs for the first time.

The Pulp, Sulphite and Paper Mill Workers have had a number of segregated local unions in the South, and they have merged locals in Lufkin, Texas, Savannah, Georgia, and Calhoon, Tennessee. Recently, as a result of organizing campaigns, when they chartered locals in Crossett, Arkansas, North
Little Rock, Arkansas, Atlanta, Georgia, and Dallas, Texas, they chartered completely integrated locals to start with.

In Birmingham, Alabama, the Steelworkers, through their efforts in the Tennessee Coal and Iron Division, have eliminated separate lines of seniority and set up one line of seniority governing all of the workers in that plant. The Steelworkers have non-discrimination clauses in all major agreements.

In the State of Oklahoma we have a report that of 41 apprentices that are presently in the trades, there are seven Mexicans, seven Indians and 27 Negroes.

The Oil and Chemical Workers merged two segregated locals in Beaumont, Texas and in Port Arthur, Texas. Those were the last remaining segregated locals in that international union, so they have no further segregated locals and their job has been completed 100 percent as well.

In Cincinnati we have reports that seven local unions have taken in colored members either directly or in apprenticeship programs for the first time.

The ILA here in New York has merged two segregated local unions.

In the Rochester area in upstate New York 19 local unions will have taken in colored or minority group workers for the first time.

In the Batavia section there are four; in the Geneva section there are seven, and in the Syracuse-Schenectady section there are four.

In the City of Philadelphia, six local unions, and in Pittsburgh seven local unions have made agreements with the Philadelphia, Pittsburgh and Pennsylvania Human Rights Commission. In addition to that, we have been working very closely with NTLE recently --the National Institute of Labor Education--working with the Office of Manpower, Automation and Training, initiated a union-sponsored training program for unemployed and under-employed youth. I might add that the President of the Building Trades Department served on that committee and was very active in helping develop the program. Their No. 1 project will involve 100 youngsters in the District of Columbia who will participate in a training program for apprenticeship in the carpenters trade. There are two programs in Newark, New Jersey, in which 40 youngsters will be working with the Newark Paint Trades Joint Board.

IATSE Local 152 accepted into membership a Negro American. The Laundry Workers chartered a completed integrated local union in Shreveport, Louisiana. A completely integrated local union has been organized at the Atlanta Metallic Casket Company, Villa Rosa, Georgia, with single job posting and promotion lists.

Community Progress, Inc., a private foundation of which former Connecticut State Labor Council President Mike Sviridoff is Executive Director, has helped place minority groups in apprenticeship programs and reported 17 of these apprentices have been placed.

Chicago Building Trades reports placing 90 American Negroes on federal building projects in that city.

St. Louis Bricklayers' union reports 18 Negro Americans placed--two bricklayers and two apprentices. The Machinists' local of St. Louis at the McDonald Aircraft Corporation reported upgrading two American Negroes to supervisory positions.

Houston, Texas, IBEW local and the Printers' union accepted Negro journeymen into membership.

The Glazers union of Houston, Texas negotiated a contract calling for complete integration in a manufacturing plant.

The Boilermakers, Machinists, and Molders union jointly negotiated a contract with the Lufkin Foundry in Texas, calling for complete integration and upgrading and promotion.

Pantex of Amarillo, Texas, under Metal Trades Council contract is completely integrated, including promotions and supervisory jobs.

The Boilermakers negotiated contracts with the Exchange Parts Company and Stratoflex, Inc., in Fort Worth, Texas, with everyone having the same rights to promotion and higher paid classifications.

The Boilermakers in Houston, Texas, merged two segregated unions.

The Office Workers union, holding bargaining rights in General Dynamics plant in Fort Worth, have negotiated contracts covering Negroes who have been employed and they can bid for upgrading and promotion.

In Fort Wayne, Indiana, Negro apprentices have been placed in the
International Harvester Company and several Building Trades unions have Negro apprentices for the first time.


These are the things that are going on.

I mentioned that many complaints have been received. They have been processed. We have at the present time at headquarters nine complaints that are being processed. Never have we failed to complete a complaint. Where it was an improper complaint, of course, it was eliminated, but where it was a proper complaint, through the help of our international unions, and local unions, state and local bodies, we have been able to make the necessary adjustment to eliminate the complaint.

Let me just enjoy a little luxury this morning and talk about ourselves. I must say that our Director, Boris Shishkin, and his two Assistant Directors, Don Slaiman and Walter Davis, have been running back and forth across this country jumping in wherever necessary to try to carry out the obligation we had under the Civil Rights Resolution that was passed at the last convention.

In my travels throughout the country I have come in contact with many representatives of many recognized national organizations, and I have talked to them about this problem.

I have mentioned these various instances to give you an idea of the work that's going on in all of the 50 states by our trade union movement. As I examine the work that our people have been doing, there isn't anybody in this country that is more determined, that shows more good will in eliminating the discrimination as it has existed, than the representatives of our trade union movement.

I think that on this platform I ought to say we haven't done all that we should have done, but I'm certainly interested in harnessing all of your good will and your efforts, so we can move on and do the total job that our resolution calls for.

Before concluding, I want to announce that the members of the Civil Rights Committee are: Eugene Frazier, George Harrison, Dave McDonald, Lee Minton Louis Simon, Richard Walsh, Charles Zimmerman, A. J. Hayes, Ralph Helstein, Joseph Keenen, Emil Mazey, John Murphy, James Suffridge, Milton Webster, Robert Powell and Dave Sullivan. 48

PRESIDENT MEANY: Thank you, Secretary Schnitzler.

I will have a report on this special Task Force a little later. I think at this time we should allow the discussion to proceed on the resolution itself.

The Chair recognizes Vice President Reuther.

VICE PRESIDENT REUTHER: President Meany, fellow delegates and friends: I rise to support the resolution on civil rights and to lend my voice on the sentiments expressed by Brother Phil Randolph.

American democracy is in deep moral crisis. I believe that as a people and as a nation we face the challenge of whether or not we are equal to being true to our faith, whether we are equal to being true to America's promise of the equality of all men.

We in the labor movement share a heavy and unique responsibility in this crisis, for it has been the historic role of the labor movement to take the concept of human brotherhood out of the stratosphere of pious platitudes and bring it down to earth and give it new meaning and substance in the lives of people. The civil rights struggle is about that practical job.

For 100 years Negro Americans have been denied, they have been deprived, they have been degraded, and they have been discriminated against. Today they are on the march to achieve freedom and first-class citizenship.

As one member of the trade union movement, I share the view that the labor movement of America has the sacred duty, it has the moral responsibility and it has the historic opportunity to join in that march with Negro Americans so that freedom can be a blessing of every American regardless of race or creed or color.

Our Negro brothers and sisters will not be satisfied, nor should they be satisfied, with tokenism, because there are no half-way houses on the road to freedom. Each of us, every American of every creed, every color, of every
political persuasion, shares the responsibility for joining together so that we can build a bridge over the moral gap between what American democracy has promised and its failure to perform up to that promise in the field of civil rights.

I believe that we all recognize that we must be deeply involved in this struggle because the labor movement is about the struggle for freedom; it is about the struggle for human dignity, it is the struggle for social justice not for a few but for every man and his family.

It is our task to strip the mask from those Americans who practice what I call high octane hypocrisy, who sound off and make noble noises about human brotherhood and, having made these noble noises, they drop the "brother" and keep the "hood."

We have understood that no man is an island, that freedom is indivisible and that you can make freedom secure for yourself only as you make it universal. Abe Lincoln knew that and he said that those who deny freedom to others deserve it not for themselves and they will not long retain it.

We stand on trial before the world. The world knows we have the most productive economy in the world, that our technological progress is second to none, and that we do have the highest living standards of any people in the world. But we are not going to be judged by material standards.

We are going to be judged by moral standards, by the ability of our free society to relate its technical progress to human progress. They are going to judge us in the field of civil rights and human rights not by what we preach but by what we practice. And I believe, with the President, when he stood before the Berlin wall, which is a tangible monument to the moral bankruptcy of communism, that we are committed to defend freedom in Berlin. But I think we need to understand that we cannot successfully defend freedom in Berlin so long as we deny freedom in Birmingham, because the two are tied together.

Now, what does the resolution call for? First, it says that we shall join with men and women for good will throughout our nation to secure passage of the strongest civil rights bill, a bill with teeth in it, a bill that will give America effective tools to end the ugly forms of discrimination in every aspect of our national life.

The bill that came through the committee in the House is a move in the right direction, but we have to be eternally vigilant to work to strengthen that bill so that we can go to the Senate with the strongest possible bill.

Then we face the practical task of joining with church groups, with civil rights groups, with civic and fraternal groups in the creation of a great coalition of conscience to try to bear upon the United States Senate the total pressure of an aroused people so that we can smash the filibuster. If we do that, I say we can sweep aside the Eastlands and the Goldwaters, and we can get a civil rights bill through the United States Senate.

But after we have that bill, the job will not be done. Our job will just be beginning because we then will be called upon to implement in every state and in every community the purposes of that bill and the use of the tools that it will provide. This means we must work on the job front, on the housing front, on the education front, in the broad field of public accommodation. Then we must work to get legislation at the local and state level to fill those areas where the federal government does not have adequate jurisdiction.

Then it calls upon the labor movement to set its house in order. And I think this Convention has to say in a loud and clear voice that cannot be misunderstood that there is no room for Jim Crow in the house of American labor.

I believe that we have to say that labor's solidarity is colorblind and that we are going to build that solidarity to encompass every American, regardless of race or creed or color.

We have heard Bill Schmitzler's report. We have made progress, but progress is a relative thing. We are in the middle of a social revolution and when you are dealing with the dynamics of a revolution, people will not judge where we are or where we have come from; they will judge us based upon how far we still must go.

It isn't what we have done that is important; it is what remains to be done.

There will be many pressures. There will be much criticism. Yes, there will be times when that criticism directed against the American Labor movement
will be unfair, it will be unreasonable, and many times the criticism will be most sharp against the very people and the very organizations who are doing the most.

But that is the nature of the struggle to make human progress, because the people who are impatient, who are reaching out to be free, to be whole human beings, they are not going to use microscopes and work out the refinements of niceties.

They are going to look at the NAM. They know the NAM is not committed to human values, it is committed to property values. The American labor movement historically has put priority on people and not profits because we have been committed to human rights over property rights. And for this reason they are going to expect the American labor movement to do more and more and more.

The important thing today is not will we adopt this resolution. Every delegate here knows that that is precisely what we are going to do. The important thing is not what we say. The important thing is what we do to implement the purposes of this resolution. The words are good, but our task is to commit ourselves to implement those words.

It is not going to be easy to tear down the structure of hatred and prejudice that has disfranchised millions of Negro Americans. This is a hard task, but was building the labor movement an easy task? It was not. It took sacrifice, it took struggle, it took dedication, it took determination, it took courage and it took compassion.

The struggle for civil rights will require nothing less than what we put into building the labor movement in the early days when the going was rough.

What we need to keep in mind, I believe, is that the measure of our conviction and the measure of our commitment as a labor movement cannot be measured in the area of convenience and the area of comfort of articulating brave goals in an area where it is easy, where everyone agrees. That is not the best.

The test is, what do we do in those areas when we are dealing with the forces of change and challenge and controversy? Will we have the deep moral conviction to stand up and fight to do the right thing when it is hard?

That is the task on civil rights. The civil rights fight has to be won in the areas where it is difficult, not in the areas where it is easy.

Our problem in America is further compounded by the fact that we are dealing with two parallel but separate revolutions.

We are dealing with the great social revolution of civil rights, in which the structure of American society must yield to the morality and the reality of the 20th Century. Parallel to that revolution is a technological revolution which complicates the social revolution of civil rights.

We cannot solve either of those revolutions in a vacuum. We have got to find a common solution to both. What we need to avoid more than anything else is to be trapped in a dead end alley where people believe that you can solve the problem of employment opportunities for Negroes outside of a broad full employment program for every American.

We should not get ourselves boxed in where the discussion will be whether a black American walks the streets unemployed or a white American walks the streets unemployed. The position of the labor movement has got to be that there must be a job for every American, whether he is white or black or brown or yellow, because there is no other answer.

I am confident that we in the American labor movement, in cooperation with men and women of good will—and the church groups are one of the encouraging elements of this whole development—can by rational and responsible action find answers to America's and democracy's great dilemma.

If we fail to work together and find the answers in the light of reason, in the spirit of brotherhood, then the apostles of hatred will move in to fill the vacuum created by our failure. Reason will yield to riots, and brotherhood will yield to bitterness and bloodshed, and we can tear asunder the very fabric of American democracy.

This is the great challenge. Let us adopt the resolution, but then let us go back home, each of us, and commit ourselves to the practical task of implementing the high purposes of this resolution. If we do that, I believe that American democracy can be equal to this challenge. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MEANY: Thank you, Brother Reuther.
The Chair recognizes Vice President Keenan.
VICE PRESIDENT KEENAN: Mr. Chairman, I rise to support this resolution. I would like to say a few words about the present. I am not ready to stand for this labor movement being put on trial. Many of our organizations date back some 60 or 70 years, and 60 or 70 years ago they had to meet the requirements of that time in order to maintain their existence. The skilled trades had to develop an apprentice system because of the conditions in the industry. Years ago the contractors generally maintained their offices in their vest pockets, and called the organizations for men. Then continual employment was normal. In late years, in most of the industries, we have had full employment, and that set up another set of circumstances. I get quite concerned every time I pick up a newspaper and read about the discrimination in the Building Trades or the Printing Trades.

Just a few years ago we had the Taft-Hartley Act passed. A few years later we had the Landrum-Griffin passed, and in Landrum-Griffin they placed on the labor organizations of this country some of the most dastardly regulations that could be conceived in the minds of men. You all have the reporting forms. You all have the regulations for bonding, and Bill Schnitzler, I believe, can make the greatest dissertation on bonding that I know of.

Just some six months ago the government handed out a questionnaire asking the unions of this organization to render detailed reports on the makeup of their unions. I don't mind that, if it is general. But when they talk about hiring halls, they are talking about just a small percentage of the total membership of this organization. What about the hiring halls of AT&T and all of the great corporations of this country?

I have been in this field for just 22 years. In 1940 I came to Washington to serve on the National Defense Council, and this was one of the first issues that we were faced with, on construction jobs. There were 23 international unions with a color bar then. I can say that there has been progress all the way along the line. Slow, yes. Discouraging at times. I spent hours in certain cases trying to get the regulations changed, or some modification in order that we could cope with people of other than white races on the job. Today I would think that the time has come to look into the large corporation and their hiring practices. This is one issue on which more people talk out of both sides of their mouth than on any other issue that I know of. I think the time has come that we must stand on our own feet and stop being on the defensive.

We are known as the family of labor. Every family, no matter how large or small, has its complications and its heartaches. I am not going to say here today that we have been perfect. Most of us have tried to do our job. Most of us have tried in our own way to overcome the handicaps we find in our local unions. This is a question on which in every section of the country you find a different flavor. I just want to say this: after we adopt this resolution, let us implement it in this organization. We have a Committee on Civil Rights. As the complaints come in they go to the Committee, and we will be coming to you with those cases.

I am sure, if we all cooperate, that we can put the wording of this resolution into effect a whole lot quicker than we now realize. I say, let us do the job ourselves, and let this organization go out and do the job that it is set up to do and that it is destined to do. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MEANY: Thank you, Brother Keenan. Any further discussion?

DELEGATE CLEVELAND ROBINSON, Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union: Brother Chairman, I rise to support the resolution. It is hardly necessary for me to do that because, as Brother Reuther said, everybody in this room will vote for the resolution. I do believe that there are some things that ought to be said in this Convention which have not yet been said, because in my opinion, unless we face the facts as they are, and unless we are prepared to tear away the mask of hypocrisy which exists in too many places in our labor movement the job is not going to be done.

We have heard much talk about help internationally, and I am all for it. I am very happy to see that there are delegations here from Africa, Asia and other nations. All to the good. It is fine when our Negro community reads about the amount of money that our government is pouring into these countries,
and what AFL-CIO is doing, and it is very fine and heartwarming to know that we have the ability, by the Marshall Plan for example, to restore Europe after its devastation in war. Nobody can question the ability of the United States when we really mean to go to work.

If we do these things, why, in 1963, 100 years after the Emancipation Proclamation, do we have to have these despicable conditions that pertain to the Negro in America?

This is the basic question, and to those who are talking about the progress that has been made, let us come to one conclusion: Either a man is of the Negro race or he is not. If he is a man, then one day more is too long for him to suffer, and he does not want to suffer 350 years of slavery. He has suffered 100 years since Emancipation, and as far as he is concerned, he reads a little better today, his kids know a little more, and that is why they are ready to fight for democracy, because it is working for everybody but them.

Let's examine some of the things we have heard here today. Brother Schnitzler reported about the Building Trades, and he talked about the fact that there are declines in jobs, number of apprenticeships, but there are still 100,000 apprenticeships that go out each year.

May I ask how many of them go to Negroes—that's a basic question—in the year 1963, and you will find what the answer is.

We hear a report a hundred and eighteen unions signed with the Vice President of the United States that they will not discriminate. Well, I'm informed that no more than 30 of these unions as much as published it in their publications, said nothing about doing anything else about it. Now, I know what we did in RWDSU. We had a doubt. We adopted a policy, and we went ahead with the job. It is not, for a fact, perfect, but things are being done.

I don't think many unions as much as took it to their Executive Boards to say anything to acquaint their membership with this fact. As far as they are concerned, the day of their signing was they day they forget about it.

Are we going to have these kinds of situations? If we do, the labor movement will continue to receive severe criticism from the Negro community, and justifiably so, because the Negro has supported the labor movement all down the years, and the labor movement has betrayed the Negro worker.

Let's go a little further. We talk about the fact that there are such vast areas when Negroes and other minorities work without any kind of protection by federal laws. No unemployment benefits, no minimum wage, no workmen's compensation and no social security. I think the figures run into the millions. They are to be found in hospitals, working as janitors in schools, in some instances working for state governments at wages as low as 50 cents an hour.

This is happening not yesterday. It's happening today. It's happening right here in New York, and one of the things I haven't heard mentioned here is that one local union of RWDSU, under the leadership of a man known as Leon Davis, undertook a campaign to shed some light on this picture, and, from nothing, in less than four years, has organized some 10,000 of these Negro-Puerto Rican workers, and has by so doing doubled their wages from $28, $30 a week to today $60 a week, and what was the crime that this man committed?

He, along with leaders of the New York labor movement, and with consultation with state officials and in the labor movement, agreed with Governor Rockefeller that legislation should be passed which would give the people collective bargaining rights which they did not have before. It didn't take anything from them. It gave them something they didn't have, and for this this man is being punished. He is being called a criminal. He went to jail for 30 days, the only union leader I know who has been to jail for picket line activity in recent years, and was sentenced to another six months, and I will say this: That if we could get others in the labor movement, other segments of the labor movement, to move in on the millions of workers, under-privileged workers, throughout the length and breadth of our country who are working at starvation wages, who are literally in slavery, if we could get this to be done, it would be a fine thing on the part of the labor movement.

I must commend Brother Reuther that he saw to it that the Industrial Union Department did give some money to support these down-trodden, forgotten workers in their struggle for dignity. I hope that something will be done to continue to organize these workers throughout the length and breadth of our country.
I believe that Brother Randolph's suggestion about upping the minimum wage is quite in order, because while the President talked of the tax cut, there are millions of workers to whom a tax cut means nothing. They never made enough to pay taxes in the first place, so what can a tax cut do for them? But if we were to broaden the minimum wage law to cover these workers and bring it up from where it is today, from a dollar and a quarter to somewhere about $2.00 an hour, this would be money which would be going to people who would have to spend it for food, clothing and shelter, and American business would hum.

More people would be on jobs, and the greater measure of security would be found for these downtrodden people.

There is one other basic question we have to face. What do people do who are in the low income brackets with education of their kids? The government tells us it takes $6,000 or better for a family of four to live. Well, all of us have reports about how many people are making less than $6,000, how many are making less than $3,000, and, certainly, you know that Negroes, Puerto Ricans and other minorities form the bulk of these numbers.

What are we supposed to do in terms of educating our kids? How can we carry a kid through high school? We hear about the eight million dropouts. Wouldn't it be in order that the labor movement find some way of formulating some policy where we could pressure the federal government to grant subsidies and loans to these families so that they can see their kids through high school, if not through college, at least?

I think it is in order, because the money that we would advance and invest on our kids today will pay off bigger dividends for tomorrow, and if we do not do it now, in 1970 or 1980 our problems will be so very great that today will be a picnic.

All in all I'd say that we have a big problem ahead of us, and the labor movement, I believe, can do a big job. In some areas some unions are doing a fine job. In other areas it must be said that less than a fine job is being done.

I tell you that when upwards of 250,000 people marched on Washington on August 28th, with the churches in the vanguard, with the civil rights organizations in the vanguard, and with the official labor movement not approving it, the Negro community was very, very disappointed.

I hope that this will not happen in the future. I think that the official body of the AFL-CIO missed the boat despite the fact that many fine trade unionists, including Walter Reuther and others, found ways and means to support this to the hilt. But this was a fine demonstration of what is necessary if we are to put a minority on the road to success, to freedom and equality for all. Thank you.

DELEGATE FRANK EVANS, Allied Industrial Workers of America: Certainly we are happy to have a resolution coming from the Resolutions Committee on Civil Rights, and there is no question in any of the members of organized labor's minds as to how far organized labor has gone into the field of civil rights. I think I can stand here today and say that organized labor has gone further into this field of civil rights than any other organization in America, but at the same time say that we are not satisfied with resolutions. We do want resolutions passed, but we want agencies that are able to support and back up the positions that are outlined in the resolution.

We know that this body here cannot hand down orders to local unions where discrimination prevails in the lower echelons of organized labor. I do know that this Convention can give orders to international unions, national unions and city central bodies, but the international unions will have to order the local unions that are discriminating against Negroes to stop discrimination and do the job that is outlined here in Convention.

I want to say that we should do the thing that was done in Cleveland this year, during July and August, on the question of discrimination in the trades, by calling in all the executive boards of the local unions, the representatives of the local unions, and in some cases of the international unions, and sit down around the table to negotiate this question to a satisfactory conclusion. While on the floor, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to point out that when Brother Cruikshank and I, went into Chicago in 1962, meeting with the convention of the National Medical Association, and we got passed
in that convention a resolution on aid to the aged in spite of the AMA's position on that question. After getting this resolution passed, we then had, for the first time in the history of America, for doctors of America to say to you that I went with a delegation of doctors to the offices of the AFL-CIO, met with Brother Meany on this question, and there we did receive the aid and assistance for these doctors who had filed suits against some 56 hospitals and medical organizations in Cook County, Illinois.

I don’t want to say too much on this question at this time, because they're in litigation, but I can say to you that we are now in the process of a settlement agreement on the question of discrimination against Negro doctors and Negro patients who could not get into these hospitals in Cook County, Illinois, and I can say I’d like to commend the Executive Council of the AFL-CIO on their position in getting this thing passed. I thank you.

DELEGATE LEO SMITH, IUE: Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the resolution. Let me say that the Negro is fed up with delay and indecision. Let me say that we want jobs. We want freedom, and let me say that the time is now. Let me point out to the delegates of this Convention that all of you have a stake in the Negro's fight for first and not second class citizenship.

Let me point out that three-fourths of the world's people are members of the so-called darker races. Yet they say also that despite this sweetness and light there is a tremendous bout being waged for the controls of the minds of men in the United Nations.

Let me also say now racial difficulties are being phonographed in sound and are being played by Russia all over the world. This is used to blacken the name of America: the snarl of the police dog as he rips the flesh, the filthy language of the police as they turn water hoses on our people.

Let me say that the concept of nonviolence has grown very, very thin; that the Negro is at the breaking point and that unless our elected officials from President Kennedy on down assert themselves in the cause of freedom, then we are going to witness a blood bath that will make the purge of Adolph Hitler look like a tea party.

Let me say again, in support of the resolution, that I urge each delegate to this convention to go back into their communities and do their part in implementing it. Thank you.

DELEGATE H. S. BROWN, Texas AFL-CIO: As one of the states represented at this Convention South of the Mason-Dixon Line, Mr. Chairman, I rise in full support of the remarks and full support of the resolution.

I do this not on my own individual action, but with the unanimous decision of the state convention, which concluded without a dissenting vote on August 29 in the great city of Houston, to support the fullest and strongest civil rights measure than can be passed by the Congress of these United States, and calling upon eliminating from our membership any local union that has any form of discrimination.

It requires that every central body shall have an active civil rights committee that shall meet on a regular monthly basis and shall submit its grievances to the State Committee with the right to suspend that organization from its membership.

In addition to that, however, and in support of the remarks of Brother Randolph and Brother Reuther, I think that we must take this matter from this Convention to the local level. In our state, it is not just the Negro. There are two million Latin Americans who receive less than 50 cents an hour.

This resolution is applicable in South Texas where 100,000 Mexican citizens cross the bridge every day as so-called commuters and take jobs in Laredo and in Brownsville and in El Paso where the trade union movement is non-existent today except for a few crusaders. It means that the employees who are working, the maids in the biggest hotel in one of the national chains, are working 60 hours at $11 a week. Many of you in this hotel carry a carte blanche card to that kind of an operation.

We will take our stand with the Negro, with the Latin American, or ten years hence we will not stand at all in our state, and I don't know about yours.

We called on the governor of our state of Texas five times in five weeks to call a special session of the legislature to denounce all forms of discrimination, including the damnable "right-to-work" law, which is a device
and a scheme that is being peddled to our minority friends as a way in which they can be guaranteed a job—and 268,000 Texans are looking for a job today with a phony set of Texas Employment Commission figures that says that there are 3.9 percent unemployed but fail to mention the people over 50 that can't get a job, and, therefore, not being in the labor market are not reported in the official unemployed statistics.

These are the conditions of which we speak when we talk about civil rights. Not Negro, not Latin American. It's jobs and decent wages with a collective bargaining agreement, with a right to be heard, with a right to be grieved.

There are a half million Negroes in our state working for less than fifty cents an hour. There are nearly a million unorganized Latin Americans in our state working for less than fifty cents an hour, so when we put our TV show that Brother Schnitzler talked about on every station, we didn't talk about color. We showed an actual family of Juan Hernandes and nine kids trying to live on 31 cents an hour, and that a union contract would mean decent wages and decent conditions.

Ask the ILGWU what happened in San Antonio, where they once had 4,000 members in eleven plants, and today, under right-to-work and the prejudice of one person against another, not a single member is left, not a single union contract.

Ask Brother Potofsky of his fight in El Paso today to organize 50,000 garment workers who are working under pitiful substandard conditions. Civil rights means more than just doing something for the Negro. Politically we can't win in Texas against the money changers that run the temple, called the state government, with just organized labor. We formed, Brother Randolph, the alliance you speak of a year ago then known as the coalition, and if you are interested in how it works, come and see our movie, a second one we are producing for state-wide TV. It will be shown at four o'clock today in the Georgian Room of this hotel.

It is a premiere, and we would like for other states to take the hand of the Negro, the Latin American, the Indian, whatever he is, if he is a worker, and he is not making at least $2.00 an hour. That is the brother that we need to get with and win the kind of progress we are talking about. And we are proud to support the resolution. Thank you very much.

DELEGATE MARY CALLAHAN, IUE: I rise in support of the entire civil rights resolution. However, on the implementation I think there was one thing that could have been brought out a little more clearly and something that I think every one of us can address ourselves to. We who are parents and teachers, who are delegates here today, we have a message to take into our own homes. We don't want ten years hence to have another social revolution, because we have been remiss in our duties and responsibilities in not following through on the precepts of equality and equal rights for all peoples.

Therefore, we can sort of make up for our remissions and omissions of the past by starting out with our children in our homes and in the schools and teach brotherhood of people and children, because children aren't born, you know, with hatred and discriminatory practice. They learn it somewhere.

Should we examine our consciences and see if maybe we who are standing here today and applauding and preaching have done our job? It is not too late. If our children are grown up, certainly we have grandchildren whom we can reach. I think this should be part of the resolution, Mr. Chairman.

PRESIDENT MEANY: The Chair recognizes Vice President Walsh.

VICE PRESIDENT WALSH: Mr. Chairman and delegates: I rise in support of the resolution. But in support of that resolution, I would like to call to the attention of the delegates and to the governmental agencies that we, the international unions, need help. Too long now the government of this country has been enacting laws which have been tying the hands of the international unions and then sending them out to do jobs with their hands tied behind their backs.

Not too long ago the AFL Convention suspended an organization from the American Federation of Labor, and very shortly thereafter in an election which was held the same people were designated as the bargaining agency for that organization. As an international president, I want to see segregation wiped out in our international union.
I want, as president, to have the power to instruct our local unions to comply with the action of this Convention, and if they don't comply with the action of this Convention, I want to discipline them, even to the extent of removing the charter of that organization, if necessary. But I would like a promise from the people who are handling the laws of this country, especially Taft-Hartley, and Landrum-Griffin, to give a promise to our international unions that when we discipline a local union because they will not carry out the mandates of this Convention, that they won't certify the same people as the bargaining agent for the people whom we expel from our international union. I think we are entitled to that support.

From this platform today I say to the agencies, give us the help to help us to stop segregation in our international unions. Permit us to discipline the people who won't carry out the orders, and we'll try to do the job.

Thank you.

DELEGATE MATTHEW GUINAN, Transport Workers Union of America: I rise in support of the resolution on civil rights, and also in support of the expressions of Brother Randolph and Brother Reuther, and particularly Hank Brown from the State of Texas, because I think the job that Hank Brown is doing in Texas deserves a great deal of credit, because they are doing it under great difficulty.

The Transport Workers Union has never taken a back seat to any union or any other organization in the country when it comes to the fight for civil rights, because from its inception in 1934 we adopted principles for equality and for anti-discrimination against all minorities, not just Negroes.

I am happy to stand up here before this Convention today and am proud to say that we have practiced these principles that we adopted back in 1934 and have continued to practice them. We distributed this pamphlet that we put out here this morning, so it gives you a record of the Transport Workers Union on the question of civil rights over the past 25 years.

But, Mr. Chairman, I have been at many conventions and listened to resolutions on the question of political action, on the question of civil rights, and I'm sorry to say that to this day I have never seen effective implementation of these resolutions of the past.

I know, Mr. Chairman, it is in your heart at this moment to see to it that this resolution has effective implementation.

There is just one thing I would like to add, Mr. Chairman. I think that it is most important in the fight on Capitol Hill to get out a strong civil rights bill that we mobilize the full labor movement to lobby on Capitol Hill to bring about an effective bill.

I say that some people here perhaps feel that the progress is too slow. I particularly refer to Cleve Robinson, who thinks that we are not going fast enough, and I agree that perhaps we are not going fast enough. But this, Cleve, is one of the major problems that faces the labor movement today.

We, you, say that the labor movement had the full support of Negroes right from the beginning, going back many years, let's not talk too freely about that, because I've seen the problem in my own organization—and we went through some rough times from 1937 until 1950. I'm sorry to say that many of the Negroes did not join our organization when we had the open shop. But I'm glad to say that they are getting more sense, they are coming into the organization, and we're giving them every support in fighting for their civil rights and all of their rights.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

DELEGATE HERRICK S. ROTH, Colorado Labor Council: I would like, if this is seconded, to move an amendment to the resolution, Mr. Chairman, which would add the remarks of Brother Randolph as the preamble to this resolution and the recommendations of Brother Randolph to the resolves of this resolution. If it is seconded, I would like to indicate why.

PRESIDENT MEANY: You are moving to amend the report of the Committee.
DELEGATE ROTH: Yes, sir.
PRESIDENT MEANY: Is there a second?
A DELEGATE (Name not given): Second.
PRESIDENT MEANY: Who seconds the motion? Give your name.
DELEGATE EVANS: Evans.
DELEGATE MAZEY: Mazey.
DELEGATE ROTH: Evans and Mazey, among others.

PRESIDENT MEANY: Very well.

DELEGATE ROTH: Brother Chairman, I think perhaps one of the most eloquent speeches we have had here on the floor was by my good brother, Hank Brown, from Texas, and illustrates why Brother Randolph's remarks themselves are even more stirring than this resolution. The trouble, I suppose, with resolutions is that we don't often get down to statistics. Brother Randolph gave a number of specifics that keyed to the kind of thing that's going on in Texas and that some of us in some states, I think, are trying to do at our own levels, because we are not going to solve this, I know, without getting to the grass roots.

I want to make it perfectly clear that the AFL-CIO and our own state organization are working harmoniously on this with the full support of President Meany. The thing is that in the specifics, Brother Randolph mentioned at least two things that, if you adopt this amendment, would be added that are of great importance from our point of view, after trying to tackle this at the grass roots pretty hard for the last four months.

One of these specifics is that we need a coordinating body between the leaders of the minority communities and the labor movement in every town and city in this country. If we did this at the top level officially, which seems to me his remarks would direct us to do and which I am certain will be done anyway, this would convey the sense of this Convention and would not just be another committee report. The sense of the Convention then would say, do this not only at the national level, but at the local level.

Then I think, too, in his remarks, as he was emphasizing the importance of this communication, that we will find that we will begin to open up job opportunities. Right now we aren't talking to the people in business and industry who have job opportunities, but the nice part about it is that when you do this, you do it exactly as Brother Brown has said, you find that your building trades people want to expand the apprentice program and not cut it back in job opportunity. You find that leaders of business and industry decide that it is worth working with the labor movement to give this kind of opportunity.

I think the last reason, though, that we should really support this is that over the years Brother Randolph within the labor movement and on the outside has been a key spokesman not just for the Negroes but for the Latin Americans, the Spanish Americans. Better than ten percent of the population of our state is in this category; less than three percent is in the Negro category. But this is the great unemployed, the great underemployed, the great undereducated segment of our society.

Nobody but the labor movement can do this. The NAACP over the years has not done it until this sudden movement of peoples. If they had harkened to Brother Randolph long ago historically, even we would have done more in the labor movement.

So I would like to suggest that we add this, and I would say fittingly as a preamble, and with the recommendations as part of the resolve to this report, because it makes concrete sense and comes from the great leader within our own movement and would, therefore, be strong support of this Convention for it. I urge your support of the amendment.

PRESIDENT MEANY: Now, Brother Roth, you spoke of the idea of the AFL-CIO doing something at the local grassroots level in conjunction with other groups of citizens. I said at the beginning of this discussion, after the resolution was read and Phil Randolph made his address, that I had a report to make on a special committee, a special task force committee. I am rather surprised that Brother Roth is unfamiliar with this, because his city is one of the cities where we have already gone to work. He must know that we are doing this work, because we have not only gone to work there, but we are helping the Denver local central body finance this activity.

Last July, after a meeting at the White House of a number of trade union leaders, the question came up as to the best way to accelerate progress in the field of civil rights, with the idea of placing more reliance on community action.

In the final analysis, this problem is an old one of about a hundred years, more than one hundred years. We have to do something more than pass resolutions and use the weight of the labor movement and pass laws. We have
to change the thinking of a great many people in this country, people who do not think of themselves, if you please, as being segregationists or being anti-Negro, but people who don't hate, don't think of themselves as having prejudices, but who have gotten into a certain way of life that excludes any recognition of those with a different color of skin. There is sort of an indifference on the part of a lot of people. They don't think in terms of this being their responsibility.

So we in the labor movement felt that we have to go to the local level, that it involves all Americans, that all Americans can be adversely affected by this business of race discrimination. So we set up a Special Task Force of the AFL-CIO, consisting of Secretary-Treasurer Schnitzler, Vice Presidents Randolph and Reuther, President Haggerty of the Building Trades Department and myself.

The purpose of this committee is to assist AFL-CIO local central bodies to initiate the establishment of bi-racial human rights committees, or civil rights committees, whatever they want to call them, in the major cities across the nation; where none exists, to initiate the action, and where they do exist, to help support and strengthen these committees.

The idea was to have a broadly based committee involving every important segment of the community, with labor in the forefront, with labor initiating, labor pushing for action, parent-teacher associations, religious groups, civic leaders, and so on, right down the line.

This is to fight discrimination every place, not just on the job, but in the schools, in housing, in stores, in theaters, in local recreation areas; and this, of course, means the full cooperation of the entire community.

We have started. July is not so long ago, but we got started. I assigned two assistant directors of the AFL-CIO Department of Civil Rights to head this committee staff. We have already begun work in eight cities in the brief time since our August Executive Council meeting.

Our staff leaders have met with local central bodies in Boston, Cincinnati, Washington, D.C., Milwaukee, Oakland, San Francisco, Denver, Houston and other places. We have plans under way in practically all of these places.

We have received a tremendous fine response from the local labor movement. They like the idea of our staff people coming and assisting to set up these meetings, and here at a meeting of the five-man committee the other day we decided to double the staff; in other words, instead of having a two-man team, to have two two-men teams, because in each of these cities, when they go there, it takes at least two or three days.

The success of this program will play a large part, I think, in this field, because it gets right down to the local level. This is where you have got to eliminate discrimination. You have got to eliminate it right down at the local level, right at the grass roots level, and we can use the assistance of every national union, the national representatives throughout the country, the state federation people, and the central body people. I think this will be a definite contribution to this problem.

I would like to talk very briefly on the resolution. If you read the resolution you will find that it covers a wide range of activities, legislation, action within the unions, discrimination, apprenticeship, all the way down the line.

I recall when we didn't have such an active interest in this field. Oh, yes, I recall when Phil Randolph, Milton Webster, and two or three of us would sit down during a convention and see how far we could go. We had Matt Woll, Dave Dubinsky, and Bill Green, who carried the ball on this for many, many years, convention after convention of various international unions, meeting with the officers, pressing officers to reach down and bring about the elimination of these clauses. 51

We had in the AFL in 1940 23 national unions with a color bar in their constitution. Whether we should be proud of that or ashamed of it is hardly the question today. We did have it. It was there. It was a fact. It has been eliminated.

Of course this represents progress of some kind, but we are told that this doesn't mean much today. I think it means something. I know that Phil Randolph came here year after year after year, and made his speech, made his plea. He didn't have too much help. There wasn't too much activity in those years as we have seen this past summer.

I had an old friend who came in to see me just a few days ago, a fellow
by the name of George Hunton, head of the Catholic Interracial Council. He is over 80 years of age. He came in and I talked to him. I have known him for many years. He said, "You and I remember when this was kind of a lonesome field. We didn't have any bishops talking about this. We didn't have the great religious communities." Yes, we had clergymen here and there, but we didn't have the top people in those days. We had the Catholic Interracial Council. We helped finance it. It didn't have much money. It did the best that it could.

So, as I say, my mind goes back in this field, and I refuse to accept the idea that the American trade union movement should be scolded and berated because it is not doing enough, because I remember the time when this was the one segment of American society that was out in the front and fighting in this field, and we didn't have too much help.

I know the Negro is impatient. I know he has a right to be impatient. He has a right to say he wants these things, and he wants them now. He has waited a long time. I can understand that perfectly, but I can't understand the idea that the way to get these things is to abuse your friends. The way to get these things is not to abuse the International Ladies Garment Workers Union, the one union that stood out in this city over the years, and hold them up for abuse. I don't buy this idea at all. I think the White Citizens Council should be abused. I think the big corporations, who give lip service to this idea of equality should be abused, and I think the trade unions should be criticized wherever there are shortcomings, but I don't get the idea that it is good policy to abuse the people who are doing the most for you, to get them to do more, on the ground that you are wasting time criticizing those who are fundamentally opposed to you.

I think we can do a job. I am confident that we can do a good job in this field. I don't know of any time where I have seen the American trade union movement, right down to the very grass root level, more conscious and more awake on this particular problem. We have to do it from a trade union point of view. It has got to be the trade unions, and there is no reason why it shouldn't be trade union action, because the fundamentals of this American trade union movement, the fundamental principles upon which we are founded, indicate that this activity is a normal activity of the trade union movement.

I am quite sure that the resolution covers the subject very, very well. The committee that has considered this resolution includes in its membership practically every president of every fair-sized international in this Federation, and I am sure that they went over this resolution with a great deal of care. I think it represents the sentiment of the convention. I think it gives us a program that we can well set our minds to carrying out, and if we carry it out, I think we will do a really good job.

I realize that you have an amendment before you, and I would suggest, if the resolution is not satisfactory, rather than vote for that amendment, that you send it back to the committee, because if we are going to consider those amendments, I would like to talk to the committee about it.

I think the proposals made in Philip Randolph's speech will certainly be given consideration by the officers of the Federation, and by the Executive Council. I think the portions of this resolution which direct action on the part of the officers and the Executive Council certainly can be carried out, and I am certain that all the members of the Executive Council will put their best efforts forth to carry them out.

As I say, you have before you the question of amending this resolution or of passing it as is, so I would like to first put the question before you and take a vote on the amendment to the resolution proposed by Brother Roth and seconded by Brother Evans. Those who favor the amendment signify by saying aye; those opposed, no.

The amendment is lost.

You will now vote on the resolution as presented by the Committee. The amendment was rejected and you are now going to vote on the resolution as presented by the Committee, signify by saying aye; contrary, no.

The motion has carried and it is so ordered.

I would like to announce for the record that the resolution was adopted unanimously.

11. AFL-CIO RESOLUTION ON CIVIL RIGHTS, 1965

RESOLUTION NO. 95—By Delegate Walter P. Reuther, Industrial Union Department.

The industrial unions of America have long been in the forefront of the civil rights movement. Through the years, they have joined with others in helping to make the important progress of recent years possible. But to achieve real civil rights for all our citizens, much more remains to be done.

The Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Voting Rights Act of 1965 transformed into law the beliefs of the Declaration of Independence and the promises of the post-Civil War constitutional amendments. The Civil Rights Act of 1964 opened up the public accommodation of the nation, provided for the desegregation of all facilities receiving federal funds and sought to guarantee equal employment opportunities for all. The Voting Rights Act of 1965 provided the means to end discrimination at the ballot box. We commend President Johnson for his courage, his skill and his leadership in securing the passage of these laws—a truly historic code of freedom and equality.

But laws do not enforce themselves. Laws form the basis of change; only enforcement produces change. The challenge now is to convert these laws into solid and continuing accomplishment; unfortunately this challenge has not been fully met.

The Voting Rights Act of 1965 provides for Federal registrars in counties where there is discrimination against Negro registration and voting and against registration and voting by other minority groups. Today almost four months after the law took effect the Justice Department has sent registrars into only thirty-two counties; Congress has provided a ready and effective means for breaking through the voting discrimination pattern but unless registrars are promptly appointed in every county where discrimination exists the 1966 elections will once more see massive disenfranchisement of Negroes. After a hundred years of delay we cannot wait longer for "voluntary" compliance; the tools for the enfranchise of Negroes are at hand and they should be used.

Nor has the Department of Health, Education and Welfare protected the school desegregation rights recognized and enforced by the 1964 Civil Rights Act. What the Supreme Court required in its historic 1954 decision was public school desegregation; that is what Congress has required HEW to foster through the withholding of federal school aid. Yet instead of requiring desegregation, HEW is accepting so-called "freedom of choice" plans permitting the Negro child to choose white or Negro schools. But the choice is illusory; the option to a Negro child in the deep South is only freedom to invite harassment, whatever the virtues, if any, of freedom of choice in areas of the country free of intimidation, freedom of choice in the deep South today is nothing more than laundered segregation.

But this is not all. There remain urgent civil rights areas where we have yet to make even a significant beginning. Every day new all-white suburbs spring up in metropolitan areas and tighten the white noose around the deteriorating central city with its Negro, Latin-American, Puerto Rican and other minority ghettos. For all the promise of desegregation in employment, education and public accommodations, the pattern of segregation in housing continues unabated as we create new apartheid communities.

And there are other fronts on which action is vitally necessary if we are to make equality a reality in America. It is high time to begin the reform of judicial administration in the South. The judicial system in some of our southern states today is racially segregated and discriminatory in purpose and effect at every significant stage. The courts themselves—from the judge to the prosecutor to the marshals—all these are "for whites only." Negro citizens in these localities cannot obtain fair treatment from the courts when their most fundamental rights are at stake—to purchase property, to have their contracts honored, to be protected from physical assault. Moreover, Negroes continue to be denied participation in the jury system; a Negro charged with a crime thus comes before "twelve good men and true" drawn exclusively from the hostile white community in a discriminatory and segregated fashion.

Thus Negroes cannot use the courts either to preserve and vindicate their rights or to obtain a fair trial when they are accused of violating the rights
of others. And possibly worst of all, those who dare to stand up for the protection of the Negro in his civil rights are assaulted and attacked, and even murdered in cold blood while the southern system of "justice" fails to operate or actually protects the white citizens who perpetrated the crime. The unpunished killings of William L. Moore, Medgar Evers, four young girls in a Birmingham church, James Chaney, Michael Schwerner, Andrew Goodman, Colonel Lemuel Penn, Jimmy Lee Jackson, Reverend James Reeb, Mrs. Viola Liuzzo and Jonathan Daniels demonstrate that southern prosecutors, judges and juries will not or cannot punish cold-blooded murders of Negroes and their civil rights supporters.

The rule of prejudice in many southern courts is destructive of our basic precepts of justice and strikes at the fundamentals of a free and civilized society. Government by the lawless, by the ruthless night-riders of the Ku Klux Klan and their ideological allies, must come to an end!

But even when the last law providing for equality has been passed and when the full resources of the federal government are put behind the enforcement of all the laws, there will still be a gigantic task ahead. Centuries of discrimination have left Negroes and members of other minority groups disadvantaged to a degree that even full legal rights cannot overcome. Undereducated, underemployed, the alienation and hopelessness of many Negroes and others is the fault of a society too long indifferent to their cause. A massive poverty program—on which we have made only a small down payment—is the responsibility of a society which has permitted this alienation and hopelessness to take place.

We do not urge this massive program out of fear of repeated Watts-type riots. We urge it as the responsibility of civilized society to see that none shall be denied where means exist to help. This is no time for a slow-down in civil rights; speed must be the watchword until the job is done. And, as we call upon the nation to redouble its efforts toward equality, we pledge to speed up our own work to bring full equality to all within the ranks of labor...

RESOLVED: 1. We call upon the Administration to move forward with the implementation and enforcement of the 1964 and 1965 civil rights laws with all the vigor and resources at its command.

2. We urge President Johnson to extend the 1962 Housing Executive Order to cover not only FHA and VA insured mortgages, but to cover mortgage activities of all federally-assisted or federally-insured bank and savings and loan companies.

3. We strongly recommend the passage in the next session of Congress of legislation making acts of physical violence against persons attempting to exercise civil rights or to peacefully protest the denial of civil rights federal crimes to be tried in the federal courts. And we call for immediate federal criminal prosecution of local law enforcement officers who by condonation, conspiracy and inaction deny equal protection of the law to citizens.

4. We urge that the federal government enforce civil rights laws to the limit of its ability, especially in curbing the vicious lawlessness of the Ku Klux Klan and its sympathizers among public officials and private citizens. Federal agents should be ordered to act promptly to arrest violators of all federal laws. Federal prosecutions should be multiplied. Better appointments of judges will make those prosecutions more likely to result in justice.

5. We urge that jurors in both federal and state courts be chosen from jury rolls made up completely by chance selection, so that systematically all-white juries in both federal and state courts in the South will become a thing of the past.

6. We pledge to continue our past close cooperation with men, women and organizations of good will outside the labor movement who seek wholesome and democratic solutions to the vexing problems of discrimination. The hope of the future lies in the continuation of this alliance of labor with other groups and individuals in search of justice. Together we can find the way.

12. STATEMENT BY THE AFL-CIO EXECUTIVE COUNCIL ON CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1966

Chicago, Illinois
August 23, 1966

On September 6, the Senate will begin consideration of the Civil Rights Act of 1966 (H.R. 14765), which meets the major objectives of the 1965 AFL-CIO convention's civil rights resolution.

This bill is not as strong as we would like but it is a positive step forward in the achievement of full and equal opportunity for all Americans.

The bill contains provisions assuring non-discrimination in the selection of federal and state juries; broadening federal laws against acts of racial violence, and authorizing federal court injunctions against anticipated violence or intimidation.

Probably the single most important section of the bill is Title IV, establishing for the first time a federal guarantee of the principle of fair housing. We wish this section were stronger but the hard, practical fact is that this was the strongest possible title that could have been passed in the House of Representatives.

As passed by the House, this section does guarantee the principle of fair housing. It does provide an important first step in implementing that principle. It applies to an estimated 40 percent of the nation's homes (about 23 million dwellings) as well as to all new housing developments and high-rise apartments.

We would have preferred 100 percent coverage but such a proposal would not have passed. We supported, without apologies, the Mathias amendment as an acceptable compromise to insure passage of this title. Without it, the title would have been defeated and there would have been no open housing section at all.

The compromise contains less than we wanted and less than justice demands, but by establishing the principle of fair housing it makes a significant start.

However, the fight is far from over. In the Senate, a determined filibuster is planned by those who traditionally oppose any civil rights measure. That filibuster must be broken before the Civil Rights Bill of 1966 can become law.

We intend to do everything in our power, in cooperation with others who believe in full civil rights, to break the filibuster and pass the bill. We earnestly solicit the support of all in America who believe in the principle of open housing. Certainly the inhumanity of the ghetto, the injustice of segregated housing, the denial of equal opportunity to Negroes is just as reprehensible when it occurs in Northern cities as in the South.

Therefore we urge the Senate to pass H.R. 14765 with speed and without crippling amendments.


13. BLACK POWER AND LABOR

An Exchange of Views Between Jerry Menapace, Executive Secretary of Local 117, Amalgamated Meat Cutters and Butcher Workmen, and Abe Feinglass, Vice President and Director of the Fur and Leather Dept. of the A.M.C.B.W.

July 21, 1966

Abe Feinglass, Vice-President
A.M.C. and B.W. of N.A.
2800 North Sheridan Road
Chicago, Illinois 60657

Dear Brother Feinglass,
I write at this time because I am disturbed and disillusioned by the change in direction of the Civil Rights Movement.

As you know, Local 117 has always supported the movement, vocally, physically and financially, and our Union meets C.O.R.E.'s standard as a "good" organization.

When C.O.R.E. selected Baltimore as its Target Project, I was pleased, and I felt that those of us in Labor who are and have been genuine friends of the Civil Rights Movement could join hands with C.O.R.E. and clean up the stinking injustices which still prevail in certain sections of Baltimore, including certain all-white Local Unions in the Building Trades.

The Building Trades elected a new President, a young, active and rough former Iron Worker, and they rented offices in our building. Largely through my efforts at getting close to this new President and convincing him that he must use his position to break down the barriers to minority groups, he has embarked on a bold, new (for Building Trades) program to integrate his Council, and in less than a year, he has accomplished more integration than anyone could have predicted. We used our influence on him and on one of our Employers who happens to be Negro to settle a dispute between them as to who would construct a new plant. We also were responsible for the Building Trades hiring a Negro girl as private secretary to the President.

All of this was done only to improve Labor's image with the Negro community, and especially with C.O.R.E.

Then came the introduction of what's called the Maryland Freedom Union. As in a few other areas, this so-called Union is attempting to organize workers in all-Negro plants or stores, in all-Negro areas, and using the emotion-charged momentum of the Civil Rights Movement to accomplish their goals. The Freedom Union began by organizing a small department store employing twelve or fifteen Negroes, which, of course, brought loud cries from the Retail Clerks Union here.

Our Central Labor Council's Civil Rights Committee, acting on a letter from the Clerks, recommended to the Council (of which I am Secretary) that we condemn the actions of the Maryland Freedom Union. Not wanting to put our Council in the position of opposition or condemning any legitimate effort to organize workers, especially an all-Negro effort, I successfully amended the Civil Rights Committee's report to refer the entire matter to the Council Executive Board, of which I am an Officer, and forbad the press in the meeting to print any reference to the battle which took place on the floor.

Subsequently, I met with leaders of the Maryland Freedom Union, one of whom is a full-time C.O.R.E. activist and a very intelligent, dedicated young man, in an effort to learn more about their purpose and goal. They assured me and the Amalgamated Local 117 that we were a "good" Union and that they would not attempt to organize in our jurisdiction. They agreed further to turn over any leads that might pick up in our jurisdiction, if we would agree to help them financially and organizationally.

I explained to them that as an Officer of the AFL-CIO Council and as head of the fourth largest Local Union in the Baltimore area, I could not help them organize workers in other Union's proper jurisdiction, but if they could assure the Council that their goal was to organize workers into legitimate Unions and not to perpetuate this Freedom Union in direct competition to Locals of our Council, I was sure that together with C.O.R.E., we could set this town on its ear.

I am sad to say, not only would they not give this assurance, but they went right to the task of raiding two Unions affiliated with our Council, using the "black brother" approach.

Then came the much publicized Baltimore C.O.R.E. Convention, which I attended as "Community Observer." I'm sure you have read the results.

The day after the Convention, two leaders of C.O.R.E. came to my office to ask for financial support for their "Baltimore Project." I underscore "financial" because they no longer want my personal physical support and their reasoning makes me doubt very seriously the moral and practical application of "Black Power."

These two C.O.R.E. leaders told me quite matter-of-factly that they do not intend to accept white liberals in positions of leadership in their movement. That Negroes must develop "their own" leaders, even if it means serious and costly mistakes in the process. That Negroes cannot continue to "accept the patronage" of white liberals, because to do so somehow leaves the Negro feeling inferior and unable to do for himself.
When I suggested that this new concept was "separatism" of a different color, and that the phrase "Black Power" would undo much of the work that had been done by so-called white liberals like myself, I was politely told that I was afraid of Black Power, I never was a true friend of the Civil Rights Movement.

It took a few seconds to let my blood cool down, then I not so politely told the young gentlemen to get the hell out of my office.

Abe, I am very disappointed. And, from reading the remarks of Dr. King, Roy Wilkins, and several of our Baltimore Negro leaders, I'm not alone.

The problem for me is one of mixed emotions at this moment.

I agree wholeheartedly with the goal of true equality for all Americans. But I find myself in complete disagreement with this new "Black Power" approach. And I am incapable of defending C.O.R.E.'s actions, because they have neutralized me to the point of complete apathy insofar as Community Action is concerned.

Please give me the benefit of your thoughts, Abe, because I feel that I have not deserted the cause of Negro rights, rather the cause has deserted me.

Fraternally,
Jerry Menapace,
Executive Secretary

July 28, 1966

Mr. Jerry Menapace,
Executive Secretary
Local Union No. 117
1216 East Baltimore Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Dear Brother Menapace:

I have your letter of July 21st, and have read it carefully.

It is clear that the problems you raise are serious, and do complicate our efforts to play a role in the struggle for Civil Rights. Nevertheless, it seems to me that the fight we have developed, and which people like you have carried forward, to achieve equality and integration was motivated by our own conscience, and our own understanding that a great wrong had been committed against the Negro people. We have the responsibility of attempting to correct it.

Nothing that any Negro leader or organization does changes this fundamental premise or justifies the abandonment of this worthwhile cause. It is a fact of life that in all revolutionary causes and upheavals, there develop some mistaken and distorted policies. These usually reflect inevitable responses to delays, sellouts, frustrations and continued massive exploitation.

I am sure that you will recall from reading recent history that in the years after the Automobile Workers were organized, there were hundreds of wildcat strikes all over the country. You will also recall the arguments of the employers and many public officials who said that although they could understand these outbreaks prior to an agreement, now that machinery had been established for the solution of grievances, these wildcats were indefensible.

Our answer was that you cannot spoon feed liberty. We asked for understanding, however illogical individual acts were.

I am confident that you understand that there are many in the labor movement who do things that we cannot accept as proper. Nevertheless, we would fight against anyone who would deny the decent objectives of all of labor because of some actions of a union or a few unions.

I do, therefore, believe that this period requires the greatest understanding of those of us who are really concerned with the whole struggle. I cannot, in good conscience, on the basis of what you tell me in your letter, recommend that you give money to CORE's present community project. This would be to support the long term goal of a labor movement divided along lines of color.

On the other hand, I would suggest even more energetic activity by our
Local Union and other local unions in bringing opportunity for employment to Negro workers everywhere in the area. I would suggest development of even stronger Negro leadership inside the ranks of our own and other local unions. Only by developing such a Negro leadership within our own ranks can we carry forward the fight which can be successful only as a joint and common effort -- the elimination of bigotry, racism and all Jim Crow practices.

Beyond that, by developing a Negro leadership within our Union, there is the hope that such a leadership can play a role in bringing clarity to the Civil Rights organizations whose basic purpose we honor and share, but whose current tactics we believe, frankly, to be mistaken.

I hope this letter will help you in clarifying your own thinking on the matters you raised with me.

With best wishes and best personal regards, I am

Fraternally yours,
Abe Feinglass, Vice President
Director, Fur & Leather Dept.


---

14. AFL-CIO EXECUTIVE COUNCIL REPORT ON CIVIL RIGHTS, 1967

How can we explain riots and eruptions in cities and the emergence of the black power slogan after civil rights victories in the courts and in the Congress?

There are those who say nothing has happened except a few token steps in eliminating discrimination and segregation. There are others who say too much freedom has been routed too fast.

At the February 1967 executive council meeting we said: "Sweeping changes have taken place on the American scene in the area of civil rights over the past few years but the forward momentum has clearly slowed ... Yet the problems of civil rights remain and continue to affect basic components of our social fabric--schools, jobs, housing and other urban problems."

It is true that the public accommodations issues which generated the sit-ins and the freedom riots have been all resolved and that some progress has been made in the areas of employment, voting, hospitals and school desegregation.

There is still a long way to go in ending the dual school system in the South. De facto school segregation in the North exists as well. Equal justice and freedom from intimidation have still to be finally won. The struggle to end discrimination in housing has barely begun.

It may be safe to say that, but for housing, legal remedies exist for most practices of segregation and discrimination based on race, color, national origin and religion. However the country, white as well as nonwhite, expected that with the acceleration of the pace by which segregation and discrimination were being eliminated, minority group citizens would move up the economic ladder into the mainstream of American life.

From 1939 until the Supreme Court Decision in 1954, the gap between the average income of minority group citizens and the population as a whole narrowed slowly but steadily and perceptively. Indeed it did so on an average of one percent a year, so that by 1955, the average income of minority group citizens had moved from 45 percent of the national average to 60 percent.

Since discrimination and segregation were a substantial reason for that gap, it was logical for many to assume that a more rapid elimination of discrimination would lead to a more rapid elimination of this gap.

While for many individual minority group citizens the dropping of barriers led to better jobs, better education and better housing, for large masses of minority group citizens it did not. In the last decade, during a period of rising standards of living for the population as a whole and for
many minority group citizens as well, the median income of minority group citizens has not kept pace with that of the population as a whole. Not only has the gap not narrowed at a faster pace, it has not narrowed at all. Our great urban centers have developed overcrowded, decaying center cities with high unemployment rates, and increasing problems and difficulties in providing adequate education, housing, and social services.

In the last few years, we have reduced unemployment to 4 percent and lower. Minority group unemployment has also come down from 11-12 percent to between 7.5-8 percent. However it remains double that of the national average and minority group youth unemployment has maintained itself at between 21 to 30 percent. In the hard-core ghetto areas it is as high as 35 percent.

Here is the powder keg. Legal guarantees have been established, expectations have risen. But for many, no significant, tangible benefits have been experienced.

Earlier this year this council said:

"Equal opportunity in employment requires full employment and education and training needed for higher-skilled and better-paid jobs—in addition to the elimination of discrimination. Equality of opportunity in education requires that sufficient funds are provided to raise the quality of our schools, North and South, to meet present day needs as well as that these schools be integrated.

"Equality of opportunity in housing requires that we eliminate slums and build up the homes that are needed for all of America's population—in addition to eliminating discrimination in sale and rental of housing. Indeed we must make our cities a healthy and decent place to live and repel our selves to the elimination of poverty in America."

However it has become increasingly clear that to accomplish the foregoing requires complex and massive action.

To start on this monumental endeavor it is necessary that Congress enact the civil rights legislation put before it by the President and adequately fund model cities, anti-poverty, housing, education and job training legislation.

In addition, there is a crying need to enact an emergency job creation bill which would provide employment in public services in our cities for a million unemployed, largely unskilled, workers. Finally Congress and the nation must develop a comprehensive program for the reconstruction of our cities that will end slums and urban decay while providing fruitful employment at adequate incomes.

It is only on this base that we can expect the "Fulfillment of These Rights."

In August 1967, the AFL-CIO participated in the Urban Coalition Conference convened by the U.S. Conference of Mayors. At the Conference, the delegates, consisting of representatives from labor, business, civil rights and religious institutions called for such a program.

The AFL-CIO Compliance Program

While government action is essential, there is an important role for voluntary institutions: business, labor, civil rights, religious and others. We in the AFL-CIO have long assumed a responsibility not only to help get legislation but to help work for its effective and intelligent implementation. We have also acknowledged the responsibility of working in our own house to eliminate discriminatory practices that may exist.

The program that was developed by the AFL-CIO after the passage of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 to help bring about compliance with the act still provides a meaningful guide.

Point One was an education campaign in regard to civil rights problems. This is a continuing program. The AFL-CIO through its departments of civil rights, legislation, education, public relations, community services, publications and political education does a year-round job in this area.

Civil rights programs are conducted at summer schools of international unions and state federations, at conferences and special education institutes. In the spring of 1966 a third four-week staff training institute for full-time southern union staff members was held at the University of Georgia. There was a full week on civil rights problems, and Roy Wilkins, Executive Director of the NAACP, was a guest speaker at the institute.
Following the 1966 elections, the November issue of the *Federationist* was devoted fully to civil rights, with articles by President Meany, A. Philip Randolph, Roy Wilkins, Whitney Young and Bayard Rustin. It also carried articles by the directors of the Civil Rights and Education Departments and by Professor Ray Marshall, well known authority in the area of labor and civil rights. This issue had an additional run of 80,000 copies by now exhausted because of requests and orders by unions and others.

In addition to other AFL-CIO pamphlets and material, 100,000 pamphlets on "Right to Work, A Trap for America's Minorities," prepared by the A. Philip Randolph Institute, and 30,000 pamphlets on President Johnson's civil rights message to the 90th Congress have been distributed.

The Civil Rights Department also makes monthly mailings of important material on civil rights to key union leadership.

Another point of the program called upon the labor movement to observe the workings of the Civil Rights Act, "so that any inadequacies which may develop in practice can be presented to Congress for further legislative action."

We have, following this mandate, supported and helped get enactment of the Civil Rights Act of 1965 and are now supporting various proposals before Congress in the areas of equal protection under the law, fair housing and the strengthening of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. President Meany testified in August 1967 on fair housing legislation.

Next, the AFL-CIO pledged to support adequate funding of effective implementation of existing legislation. We have continued to support adequate budget requirements for those federal agencies entrusted with the responsibility of implementing existing legislation.

We have also worked with the compliance committee of the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights, both in helping and pressing these federal agencies.

**Liaison Programs**

Most importantly as we have said before, "The promotion of equal opportunity in employment is a major concern of unions. Unions, of course, do not control the overwhelming majority of hiring, but unions can . . . make a significant contribution to achieving voluntary compliance with this section of the law."

It is significant that the recent survey of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and other studies show that the employment area that has been least penetrated by minority groups is that of white collar. This area also has been much less penetrated by unionization.

Another growing segment of the labor force is that of government. In some sections of the country, especially in state and local government employment, minority group representation is extremely low. It is for this reason that the AFL-CIO recommended that Title VII be extended to state and local government employment.

Even prior to the appointment of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, President Meany had asked each international union president to appoint an officer to work on fair employment problems with the commission and the AFL-CIO Department of Civil Rights. Today, some 85 international unions have assigned an officer to work in this area.

Shortly after the appointment of the EEOC, the Civil Rights Department obtained an agreement with EEOC that a copy of all discrimination charges which involved local unions would be sent to the Civil Rights Department and to the international union whose local was involved. Many similar agreements have since been obtained with a number of state fair employment practices commissions.

In the past two years the work of the AFL-CIO Civil Rights Committee and its Subcommittee on Compliance has increased tremendously. In many cases international unions, working with the Civil Rights Department, have helped the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission to conciliate complaints with local unions and have also been able to provide staff investigators with an understanding of why some charges were not justified.

Since December 1965 the Civil Rights Department has received notification of over 350 charges of discrimination involving AFL-CIO affiliates. Besides work on individual complaints, there are complicated policy problems which the AFL-CIO attempts to help the commission to resolve. An
all-day meeting between the commission and a representative group of international unions on problems of seniority and a second similar all-day conference on problems of sex discrimination have been held.

In February 1967 the EEOC published in the Federal Register an announcement of an open hearing to discuss proposed reporting forms to be issued to unions and joint apprenticeship committees. The AFL-CIO Civil Rights Committee devoted a good portion of its March meeting to a discussion of these proposed forms. The AFL-CIO testified at the public hearing and asked that the commission take the forms back for review because some questions were unclear, some unnecessary. This was done. Although there is still not complete satisfaction with these forms, significant improvements have been made.

Shortly after the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission became operative, Walter G. Davis, then assistant director of the AFL-CIO Civil Rights Department, became deputy executive director of the commission on a temporary basis. He helped develop its field office structure and, even more importantly, he helped familiarize the commission and its personnel with the structure and policies of the labor movement.

Since his return to the AFL-CIO and the resignation of Winn Newman, a labor liaison officer, who is now with the United Steelworkers Union, the EEOC has appointed two labor liaison officers.

The Civil Rights Department, working with labor liaison officers and regional EEOC offices, has helped to promote a series of conferences for key union leadership at regional and state levels. Two fruitful conferences have been held this year, in Cincinnati, Ohio, and in Washington, D.C. Others are scheduled.

At the same time, the staff of the AFL-CIO Civil Rights Department has begun a series of visits to the various regional offices of EEOC and one and two-day conferences for EEOC staff. The purpose of these conferences is to provide background and information about union structure, principles of collective bargaining and union policy.

Although the EEOC has had difficulties with turnover in staff and with budget and has experienced many problems, being a new agency, there have been some important achievements.

The AFL-CIO has fought long and hard for a FEP law and we will continue to work with EEOC for effective and intelligent enforcement of the act, as we continue to work with our affiliates in maximizing the elimination of discriminatory practices that may exist in local unions.

In addition to work with the EEOC, the AFL-CIO Civil Rights Committee has seen a need to develop avenues of cooperation with other government agencies such as the Office of Federal Contract Compliance and the Justice Department.

The Civil Rights Department is attempting to develop a procedure for conciliation between international unions and these two government agencies.

The last point of the 1964 program was a call upon our state and city central bodies to work with other groups in the community to help solve civil rights and related problems at the grass roots level. The need for this is, if anything, far greater than even before.

Mergers of Segregated Locals

The AFL-CIO began working on problems of discrimination before there was a Civil Rights Act.

At the founding merger convention, the Civil Rights Committee was established.

At the 1959 convention, the various types of discrimination that were known to exist within the labor movement were identified. The convention called on all affiliates to cooperate with the Civil Rights Committee to solve such problems.

One such problem is that of segregated locals. Affiliates were asked to effect mergers of such locals, separated because of race.

Many of our affiliates that had segregated locals responded to the AFL-CIO convention mandate calling for merger with all possible speed before the Civil Rights Act was on the books.

The first interpretive guideline issued by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission stated that separate locals and separate lines of seniority
based on race were a violation of the Civil Rights Act. President Meany responded immediately by stating that the AFL-CIO was in agreement with this guideline and that it was perfectly consistent with the AFL-CIO policy.

Since that time there has been acceleration in the activity of affiliated international unions in effecting mergers of segregated locals. The American Federation of Musicians for instance has assigned James C. Petrillo, its former president, to work with local unions in bringing about mergers. He has been successful in effecting mergers of separate locals in Baltimore, Md., Washington, D.C., Norfolk, Va., Chicago, Ill., and other cities. 53

The Molders International Union earlier this year instructed separate local unions in Virginia and Alabama to appoint committees to work out satisfactory merger agreements in compliance with the law and with AFL-CIO policy. This has been successfully done in Holtz, Amiiston, and Mobile, Alabama and in Richmond, Virginia.

The Chemical Workers, Oil Workers and Tobacco Workers also have completed the mergers of all their remaining separate locals.

Other affiliates have successfully completed mergers and continue to work on those still existing.

Out of the 60 thousand-plus local unions that our affiliates have, at the latest count 142 racially segregated locals remain. Plans for merger of many of these are presently under way.

Apprenticeship Programs

Although there are less than 250,000 registered apprentices in the U.S., approximately half of which are in the building and construction trades industry, access to these jobs by minority group youth has generated a very high degree of interest.

This is understandable because these apprentice openings lead to skilled and well-paid jobs and frequently to supervisory and business opportunities. Since the technological revolution we are going through is eliminating many semi-skilled and nonskilled jobs, entry into the skilled and technical job section of the labor force becomes even more significant. What is involved is not merely finding better jobs but being left increasingly in low-paid service jobs or finding no job at all.

It was assumed by many that if nondiscrimination was assured, significant numbers of minority group youth would automatically find their way into large numbers of apprenticeship openings.

Nondiscrimination regulations were enacted by the Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training. Apprenticeship was specifically covered in Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, and regulations were adopted by the contract compliance agencies as well.

We have discovered however that unless special efforts are made to bring information about apprenticeship opportunities, actively recruit and motivate minority group youngsters and frequently help them prepare for admission to programs, very little progress results.

However, in the last couple of years some government and private efforts have been successful in bringing significant numbers of minority group youngsters into skilled apprenticeship jobs.

The Apprenticeship Information Center of the Employment Security Office in Washington, D.C. has placed some 500 Negro youngsters in apprenticeship openings since June 1963, almost 400 of these from June 1965 to June 1967, as printer, bricklayer, carpenter, cement mason, electrician, plumber, steamfitter, ironworker, sheetmetal worker and plasterer apprentices.

The Labor Department has established information centers in more than a score of other cities and while none have worked as effectively as the one in Washington, the one in Chicago has achieved some significant results. It has placed over 100 minority group apprentices in the last year alone. It is hoped that other centers will increase their effectiveness.

In New York City, the apprenticeship program of the Workers Defense League and A. Philip Randolph Education Fund has been successful in placing over 250 minority group youngsters within the last two years— as electricians, carpenters, steamfitters, iron workers, stone derrick men, metal lathers, plasters, sheet metal and elevator construction operators.

This program has been funded by the Labor Department to expand its
activities into New Rochelle and Buffalo, New York; Cleveland, Ohio; and Newark, New Jersey. It has already placed electrical, plumber and bricklayer apprentices in New Rochelle and Westchester County. In Buffalo, New York, where the program has only been in operation since May, it has placed apprentices in sheet metal; other youngsters have made application and are being prepared to qualify for steam fitters, roofers and ironworkers programs.

It helps willing youngsters to apply and prepares them to qualify and brings information to the schools and the community and it has, by demonstrating its competency, received cooperation from the trades and the contractors.

In Baltimore, Maryland, the Urban League has received a grant to develop the same type of program and has also received the cooperation of the Baltimore Building Trades Council and its affiliated unions in carrying out such a program. The goal of at least 30 minority group youths placed as apprentices by the first of the year, has already been reached in such trades as iron workers, steam fitters, operating engineers and carpenters.

In Detroit, Michigan, a similar grant has been made to the Trade Union Leadership Council, which has signed a contract with the support of the Detroit Building Trades Council to recruit and refer minority group youth in the coming year.

In Oklahoma City and Tulsa, the Building Trades Council has cooperated with community groups to insure opportunities for minority group youngsters. In the past few months Negro apprentices have been placed in sheetmetal, roofers, operating engineers and carpenters.

In Philadelphia, Pennsylvania the Opportunities Industrialization Center, in cooperation with the Building and Construction Trades Council, the Negro Trade Union Leadership Council and the Urban League has referred and placed 65 Negro apprentices in the lathers, sheet metal workers, plumbers, roofers, carpenters, bricklayers, electricians and iron workers programs.

Although the number of jobs involved can have no great impact on overall Negro youth unemployment figures, these job openings, for the reasons we have mentioned above, have more than symbolic significance. It is for this reason that Secretary-Treasurer Schnitzler, Chairman of the Civil Rights Committee, assigned a good portion of his speeches to the subject of equal opportunity at the Southern States Apprenticeship Conference in New Orleans two years ago and the Mid-Atlantic States Apprenticeship Conference in Norfolk, Virginia this year. Director Don Slaiman of the Civil Rights Department did the same at the Southern States Apprenticeship Conference in Biloxi, Mississippi this year.

Although not receiving as much publicity as the question of apprenticeship entry and that of merging segregated locals, the AFL-CIO and its affiliates continue to work on other matters pertaining to the elimination of discrimination and the expansion of equal opportunity for all.

The following are some of these areas: Equal access to membership, equal wages and conditions of work, elimination of discrimination in seniority and promotion systems, nondiscriminatory representation and finally union initiatives to expand equal opportunity by negotiation of nondiscriminatory clauses in collective bargaining agreements and other affirmative measures, including helping workers to file complaints with government agencies where necessary.

The organization of minority group workers into unions is in itself a very significant step towards expanding opportunities, increasing wages and improving working conditions for these workers.


15. AFL-CIO RESOLUTION ON CIVIL RIGHTS, 1969

Fifteen years ago, the Supreme Court ruled that racial segregation in schools violated the Constitution and called for its ending with all deliberate speed. But compliance with the law came very slowly. After ten years, only 1% of Negro children were going to integrated schools in the South. Today, the figure is still far from satisfactory.
During the Kennedy-Johnson Administration, Congress passed comprehensive civil rights legislation to end discrimination in all aspects of American life—public accommodation, voting, education, employment, housing and in the administration of justice.

Today, we can see the results of substantial progress not only in this fight against discrimination but in bringing minority group citizens into the mainstream of American life.

But despite this progress, there are no grounds for complacency. The increasing crisis proportions of problems in our cities, the abnormally high rates of unemployment among Negro and other minorities and the remaining pockets of discrimination are festering sores. It would be idle and tragic to ignore them or pretend that they will go away.

Indeed, it cannot be stressed too strongly that the country as a whole, and we in the labor movement in particular, must pay greater attention at every level—national, state and local—to bring about sound, effective and democratic solutions to these problems.

In fact, since the last election, the momentum for sound progress has slowed.

It is no exaggeration to say that the great gains that have been made as a result of the efforts of the civil rights movement, the American labor movement and religious and liberal organizations are in peril today. The Nixon Administration has failed to provide forthright and unambiguous support or adequate and consistent implementation of the laws. The United States Civil Rights Commission recently observed that "for the first time since the Supreme Court ordered school desegregation, the Federal Government has requested, in court, a slowdown in the pace of desegregation."

This is no isolated incident. In failing to oppose the Whitten amendment in the House of Representatives and by moving from established, successful regulations to complete reliance on the courts, the Nixon Administration is permitting a major threat in the struggle to achieve meaningful school desegregation.

Another example is in the area of voting, where the Voting Rights Act of 1965 aided by vigorous implementation by the Department of Justice under President Johnson resulted in 800,000 new Negro registered voters in those states where voting discrimination had been most onerous. But here too, the Administration has failed to press for a simple five-year extension of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 that would insure that the clock not be turned back. Nixon Administration officials are covering this retreat in civil rights enforcement by trying to make a whipping boy of unions, especially those in the building trades. They have resorted to an unsound double standard in attempting to deal with the legitimate problem of expanding the opportunities for minority group workers in higher paid skilled and technical jobs.

It is part of a calculated strategy of accommodating conservative elements in the South while, at the same time, trying to divide minorities, labor and liberals who have been the backbone of the effort to achieve progress in the civil rights area.

The unity of these forces for equality are vitally necessary today to maintain the gains that have been made and to start a new march forward toward the goal of an integrated society with equal opportunity and equal justice for all.

The AFL-CIO is proud of its record in the struggle for civil rights and we have particularly sought to end discrimination in employment. The AFL-CIO played a major role in getting a Fair Employment Practice section into the Civil Rights Act of 1964 which covered unions as well as employers. It has worked with its affiliates to attain compliance with the law. Today, it strongly supports efforts to strengthen the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission by giving it cease-and-desist powers needed to make it more effective. The Administration is opposed, seeking enforcement in the federal district courts. Here as in the school desegregation situation, the Administration moves in a direction that the civil rights movement can only view as dilatory and ineffective.

Nor has the AFL-CIO limited its efforts to the legislative front. It has taken the initiative to work with affiliates in developing affirmative action programs on a sound basis that would bring significant results, whether required by law or not.
Specifically in the construction industry, the AFL-CIO has worked with the Building Trades Department, international unions, local building trades councils and groups like the Workers Defense League--A. Philip Randolph Education Fund Committee, the Urban League's LEAP Program and other community groups. The results obtained speak for themselves.

By July of 1969, 4,248 minority group apprentices were placed by Outreach Programs. Of these, 3,958 were in building and construction trades apprenticeship programs. The bulk of these were not in the so-called "trowel trades." Electricians, carpenters, iron workers, plumbers, steamfitters and sheet metal workers accounted for 2,293 of these apprentices.

 Minority group apprentices in the construction industry have moved from less than 2.5% in 1960 to 7.2% in 1968. The majority of regular apprentices in federally serviced programs are in the construction industry. The percentage of minority apprentices is higher than in metal manufacturing, non-metal manufacturing and public utilities and transportation.

At its last Convention, the AFL-CIO endorsed the Outreach Programs that then existed in sixteen states and recommended that these programs be emulated in other states. Today, Outreach Programs for recruiting, motivating and preparing youngsters for building trades apprenticeship programs have been founded by the U.S. Department of Labor in fifty-five cities after agreement by local building trades councils for full cooperation.

This is an excellent example of a sound policy being designed and implemented with effective results.

The AFL-CIO is encouraged by the policy statement of the Executive Council of the Building and Construction Trades Department, which was passed unanimously at its recent 1969 Convention. This statement reaffirms the Building Trades policy of non-discrimination and calls for the acceleration and extension of apprenticeship Outreach Programs which have proved successful in actual operation. Moreover, it does not limit itself to these successful programs.

It also outlined a program for increasing minority group participation outside of the apprenticeship route, saying:

"We make flat and unqualified recommendation to local unions throughout the United States that for a stated period of time they should invite the application of qualified minority journeymen for membership in their respective local unions and should accept all such qualified minority journeymen provided they meet the ordinary and equally administered requirements for membership."

It also recommends for study by local unions the establishment of training programs for minority workers who are not either qualified journeymen or eligible for apprenticeship. The statement says:

"We also recommend that the local unions and the local councils explore and vigorously pursue training programs for the upgrading of minority workers who are not of apprenticeship age. Such programs should be developed in such manner as to prevent under-cutting the established apprenticeship programs. The recommendations which have been previously made on model cities should furnish an appropriate guideline for development of these journeymen training programs."

The implementation of this excellent statement is a sound basis for bringing increased participation by minority group workers in skilled jobs in the construction industry, especially in those locals which now have low participation rates. The use of quotas is a bad substitute for sound, effective programs. It is not only of questionable legality under the Civil Rights Act, but it does not even insure permanent skilled jobs for minority workers.

The Administration's so-called "Philadelphia Plan" sets up unsound procedures used in no other industry, segment of the labor market or in government itself. The excuse for this is the low percentage of Negroes and other minorities in the construction industry. In most cases, the figures that have been used for justification have been erroneous. For example, in Philadelphia, government officials implied that less than 2% of building trades membership were minority group workers. The facts are that over 30% of Philadelphia Building Trades members are Negro, and excluding Laborers, 12% of all journeymen are Negro.
Government figures on white collar occupations and in industries, such as textile, banking and newspaper, show far less overall minority group participation than in construction and no higher percentages in skilled categories. In these industries, employers unilaterally do the hiring. Unions have little if any, say. There is no excuse for the Administration to play a "numbers game" as they did in the Philadelphia Plan publicity. Falsely portraying the facts won't help minorities get jobs or end discrimination.

The AFL-CIO urges that vigorous efforts be made to expand opportunities for minority group youth and workers in the better-paid and more skilled jobs throughout our economy, including the building and construction trades industry. But we urge that it be done on a sound, fair effective continuing basis and we are opposed to making a political football out of an issue as important as the issue of ending discrimination in employment—everywhere, in all kinds of employment, for all time.

Discrimination and deprivation are problems not only of the American Negro, but of other minority groups. Americans of Mexican descent are the second largest minority. Puerto Rican, Indians, Japanese, Chinese, Eskimos and Aleuts today face problems of discrimination.

Some of the Spanish-speaking minorities have a disproportionate number of people unemployed, under-educated, and below the poverty line in income.

The AFL-CIO especially and its state federations in those areas where these minorities are concentrated must pay increasing attention to their special problems. We are proud of our efforts and those of our affiliated unions in helping the farm workers, under the leadership of Cesar Chavez, to bring dignity and an end to exploitation to this segment of the working poor. The AFL-CIO is also proud of the increased participation by minority group workers in the trade union movement and of the contributions that they are making.

The AFL-CIO reiterates its dedication to the principle established in its Constitution—when the merged federation was founded—equality and equal benefits of union membership for all workers regardless of race, creed, national origin or sex. We urge that all international unions, state federations and central bodies insure that their civil rights committees be active and work with the Civil Rights Department of the AFL-CIO toward the end of eliminating any vestige of discrimination that may remain in labor's house.

We must—and we will—continue our fight for full civil rights.

We will continue to measure progress and not seek to hide it. We will continue to delineate shortcomings and move to eradicate them. We will continue the unity of labor, liberal and civil rights forces.

We stand firm in the conviction that has brought us this far—our belief in the dignity of a man, the worth of an individual, the classlessness of citizenship.

The answer is the keystone of the trade union movement—brotherhood.


16. THE FIGHT FOR CIVIL RIGHTS IS ALIVE AND WELL

Thanks to the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights—25 Years Old

Several hundred trade unionists, civil rights leaders, church leaders, community leaders and members of Congress recently gathered on the Senate side of the U.S. Capitol for a very special occasion.

They were marking the 25th anniversary of the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights—the vast coalition, now made up of 135 national organizations, that spearheaded the successful drives for national civil rights legislation during the 1950s and 1960s.

It has been through the LCCR that organized labor has been able to play a significant role, itself, in the civil rights fight. Today, in addition to the AFL-CIO, 24 labor organizations are affiliated with the Conference.
The Amalgamated Clothing Workers of America was one of the first to join. At the 25th anniversary reception on the Hill, two of the three top founding leaders were on hand, Roy Wilkins, the executive director of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, and Arnold Aronson, an official of the National Jewish Community Relations Advisory Council. Wilkins is chairman of the LCCR and Aronson the secretary. The third founder, AFL-CIO Vice-Pres. A. Philip Randolph, was unable to attend; but he was surely represented in spirit by Bayard Rustin, director of the A. Philip Randolph Institute, and chairman of the LCCR executive committee.

As Wilkins licked the white icing of the birthday cake off his fingers, he exclaimed, "If anyone tells you civil rights is dead, say you saw it alive tonight."

Civil rights was very much the topic as the LCCR held its annual board meeting during the day.

The meeting affirmed the present focus on the civil rights movement. The general consensus is that what is needed, more than new civil rights laws, is the enforcement of the laws now on the books. "When a law is passed," said Clarence Mitchell, who serves as legislative chairman of the LCCR, "opponents take the second line of defense." Enforcement is critical, he said, noting that "some people thought that everything was done when the Emancipation Proclamation was signed."

In addition to enforcement, the LCCR stresses economic issues. Rep. Andrew Young (D-Ga.), a one-time aide to Dr. Martin Luther King, said that "the civil rights movement made life better for those who have always done fairly well. We have still not helped those who are excluded from the economic mainstream."

He said that in Atlanta mass transit was not presented as a civil rights issue although poor blacks would benefit from the system.

Along this same line, the latest LCCR memo to participating organizations listed the pending Congressional issues of concern: legal service, genocide convention, busing, education, voter registration, budget control, minimum wage, Labor-HRW appropriations, manpower and D.C. home rule.

This was an entirely different ball game from 1949 when Randolph, Whitney Young, Wilkins, Walter Reuther, Aronson and others first formed the Leadership Conference. It was motivated by a 1948 report of a panel named by President Truman entitled, "To Secure These Rights." It recommended legislation not just on fair employment practices but in other areas such as voting rights, education and housing.

The Leadership Conference had its first major success with the passing of the 1957 voting rights bill. That law had severe limitations but did provide for setting up the Civil Rights Division in the Department of Justice and the U.S. Civil Rights Commission.

In 1960 and 1962 further civil rights progress was made in voting rights and outlawing the poll tax.

It was in 1963, following the tragedies of Birmingham, Ala., that President Kennedy called for across-the-board civil rights legislation. However, it was after his assassination that President Johnson signed into law the Civil Rights Act of 1964 which prohibited discrimination in public accommodations and in programs receiving federal assistance or by unions or employers and set up the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

A stronger voting rights law was passed in 1965 and, in 1968, a bill prohibiting discrimination in the sale or rental of about 80 percent of all housing.

About the time that the drive to support the Kennedy legislation was starting, organized labor's central role in the LCCR began to show itself. The AFL-CIO, which had been cooperating with the LCCR, formally affiliated, adding considerable weight. Also, Walter Reuther and Jack Conway, then director of the Industrial Union Department, agreed to assign a staff member to the Conference.

The IUD move proved to be a particularly fortunate one. Marvin Caplan, a respected Washington newsman who had worked in fair housing programs, was assigned to the Conference and named director of its Washington office.

For the first time in its history the Leadership Conference had an office and full-time director. With its growing number of affiliated
organizations, it has played a decisive role in the civil rights legislation of 1964 to date.

Five task forces were set up in employment, housing, federal programs, education and federal regulatory agencies. Later task forces were established on health, veterans' affairs and women's rights.

The Leadership Conference rarely gets into the headlines. It brings together lobbyists from its affiliated organizations and works quietly but effectively.

Its broad front ties in closely with trade union goals. Of its 15 executive committee members, four are from organized labor—AFL-CIO Legislative Director Andrew J. Biemiller, former AFL-CIO Civil Rights Director Donald Slaiman, AFSCME Pres. Jerry Wurf and ILGWU Vice Pres. Wilbur Daniels.56

The Leadership Conference on Civil Rights has changed with the time but its prime goal has always been to make this democracy work for all its citizens through the democratic process.


17. AFL-CIO EXECUTIVE COUNCIL REPORT ON CIVIL RIGHTS, 1975

Since Congress passed the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and President Lyndon Johnson signed it into law on July 2, 1964, its widespread, positive effect on social behavior and employment practices in this country has been heartening. It became law at a time when many symbols of racial discrimination remained, such as water fountains marked "white" and "colored." Blacks and other minorities were denied hotel accommodations and meals in restaurants solely because of their race or color. Libraries, public parks, swimming pools and other government-owned facilities were also segregated. In employment, most low-paying jobs were relegated to minorities and women, and very few blacks held public office.

With implementation of the various titles of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, many of these symbols of discrimination vanished within a decade and, hopefully, remain relics of the past. At the present time, most jobs are no longer designated on the basis of race and sex. Lines of progression and seniority rosters are devoid of racial and sexual designations.

The entree to higher job classifications through upward mobility programs grew at an accelerated rate until equality of aspirations and opportunity became a victim of the economic mismanagement that cast America into a depression.

There can be no denial that some slippage has occurred in civil rights progress. A number of important social programs, enacted during the Kennedy-Johnson years, were dismantled by the Nixon Administration through callous vetoes and heartless impoundments of congressional appropriations. Discriminatory allocation of revenue-sharing funds at the state and local levels has likewise had a negative impact on much-needed social programs.

Therefore, it is important to make sure that local and state governmental agencies disburse and allocate revenue-sharing funds in a non-discriminatory manner. The labor movement should concern itself with the programs for which these funds are allocated, and seek to preserve those social programs beneficial to the poor, minorities, elderly and handicapped.

Jobs and Manpower Training

Depression-level unemployment has hit all workers, but is particularly devastating in its impact on minorities and women. The unemployment rate for blacks at this writing was a frightening 14.6 percent and certain to go higher and remain high for many months to come. The official figure for unemployed black teenagers was an alarming 40.2 percent.

In spite of the economic crisis, some progress has been made in civil rights, but much more remains to be done. Minorities cannot get a room in the inn, or put food on the family table, or send their children to school
properly clothed, unless they have jobs. Jobs are important to everyone. Therefore, full employment is as essential to fulfillment of civil rights as it is to a healthy economy.

The problems of blacks and whites are not the same in 1975 as they were in 1963. Today's problems have little to do with racial attitudes and prejudices. Blacks and whites share concern about crime. Both races are emphatic in their feelings about law and order. Mutual concerns among both blacks and whites include housing, drug addiction, transportation, education and, above all else, jobs.

Emergency unemployment legislation enacted by Congress in late 1974 in order to create thousands of public service jobs has been grossly underfunded, perpetuating atrocious inner-city jobless rates. The government must become the employer of last resort for all workers—of all colors, at any age, for both sexes. The AFL-CIO has been in the forefront of those calling for full employment in this country. We recognize that this nation has the manpower to work and the technology to open the job market. What is needed is governmental leadership to achieve full employment.

The affluent have fled the cities, taking with them expansions of major industrial plants. This exodus to suburbia eroded the tax base of central cities, and many municipal governments are unable to provide some essential and necessary services. Rising unemployment also reduces tax revenue for local governments, forcing layoffs of civil servants, and among those hardest hit are minorities and women.

In the private section, plant closing and reduction of work forces affects all workers, including minorities and women.

The remedy proposed by friend and foe alike in order to curtail layoffs, especially as they effect women and minorities, is creation of alternatives to layoffs by seniority. These so-called alternatives include, among other things: Work-sharing imposed by law; "phantom seniority," and proportionate layoffs on the basis of race and sex not seniority.

The issue of layoffs by seniority is now before the U.S. Supreme Court.

Council Recommendation

The seniority system is a cornerstone of the American labor movement. It is a contractual right. Seniority itself is color-blind. American workers, regardless of race or sex, must not be compelled by government to surrender a portion of the work to junior employees. Workers must not be forced to accept alternatives to seniority unless the decision is a voluntary one, arrived at through the free collective bargaining process.

Therefore, the AFL-CIO pledges its total, emphatic commitment to the support of seniority systems that grant each member of the workforce his or her "rightful place." The AFL-CIO will also continue its unflagging opposition to any effort to dilute the rights of any employee by granting others preferential treatment.

We reaffirm our commitment to affirmative action programs designed to eliminate discrimination in hiring, training, upgrading and promotion, and urge our affiliates to utilize the services of the AFL-CIO Civil Rights Department when necessary for assistance in the implementation of civil rights policies and programs, including state and federal laws relating to equal opportunity. Further, we commend those affiliated unions with manpower training programs which assist in upgrading their membership for all job opportunities.

Apprenticeship and journeyman outreach programs, endorsed by the AFL-CIO and its building trades affiliates, have proven their worth in recruiting and preparing minority youths to enter the skilled trades. A new dimension was added to these programs in 1974 when women began to be recruited for skilled trades through these programs. Outreach programs are coordinated basically by three contracting organizations: the Recruitment and Training Program (RTP, Inc.) of the A. Philip Randolph Educational Fund/Workers Defense League; the National Urban League Labor Education Advancement Program (LEAP); and the Human Resources Development Institute (HRDI). A number of other local organizations also participate in outreach activities. Currently, programs are functioning in 120 locations throughout the United States. The success of these programs depends largely upon the amount of construction going on in
the community. Without a doubt, the depression in the construction industry has adversely affected these work-related programs.

Despite the present gloom, we must think in terms of a healthy economy that will create additional training and employment opportunities for young people desiring to become skilled in the building trades. It is essential, then, that we reaffirm our commitment to provide opportunities for young people to enter the trades, regardless of race or sex. Thus we commend the efforts of RTP, LEAP, HRDI and other organizations in the implementation of outreach programs and for the placements they have achieved.

The struggle for a society free of discrimination based on race, sex, religion and national origin is a continuous one. It is a battle which must be constantly waged as a national endeavor until the last vestige of discrimination is eliminated. However, this country must be mindful of the progress made in the last decade through the efforts of government and voluntary organizations.

We in the AFL-CIO are proud of our involvement in the struggle for equal opportunity for all. Many problems remain unresolved largely because of continuing high unemployment. Full employment and civil rights go hand-in-hand. Without full employment, civil rights are empty indeed. America's twin problems of unemployment and discrimination are not resolved by pitting worker against worker for the right to be unemployed. That is why the best weapon against discrimination of any form is a healthy full employment economy.

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)

Fair employment practices was a national goal of the labor movement for many years prior to enactment of federal legislation. AFL-CIO civil rights policy for the elimination of segregated local unions, segregated lines of progression, seniority lists based on race, and providing full membership in unions and admittance to apprenticeship programs for minorities predates the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

Despite our commitment to assist in implementing Title VII of the 1964 law, the AFL-CIO has met stubborn resistance from the EEOC to providing adequate notice of alleged violations to the AFL-CIO Civil Rights Department and the respective affiliated union. National or international unions should be automatically advised by the EEOC when one of their locals is charged with a violation. Copies of charges should be provided to the parent union and the AFL-CIO Civil Rights Department to facilitate our efforts to achieve voluntary compliance. Failure to give adequate and expeditious notice is a major reason for the excessive backlog of unresolved allegations languishing in the Commission's archives.

The AFL-CIO Civil Rights Department and the EEOC have discussed the problem for 10 years. A tentative agreement was finally reached to conduct a pilot project to expedite the handling of backlogged cases and to develop a speedy procedure for investigating allegations and to provide remedies without jeopardizing the charging party's rights to full relief under the law. But the proposed agreement was never considered on its merits by the EEOC.

The AFL-CIO Civil Rights Committee formed a subcommittee and called upon EEOC commissioners to indicate their concern about the ever-increasing backlog and the apparent indifference on the part of the commission.

It is our hope that this attitude of the commission majority will change immediately. The charging party is entitled to speedy relief under the law if the charge has merit. On the other hand, the respondents have the right to know the nature of the charges within a reasonable period of time.

Council Recommendation

The AFL-CIO commitment to fair employment practices predates the law itself. Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 requires the EEOC to seek resolution of complaints through the conciliation process before litigation. Yet unions are being bombarded by litigation, rather than conciliation by the commission. The manner in which this law is presently being implemented certainly reflects a lack of sensitivity toward unions on the part of the EEOC.

We call upon the commission to expand its conciliation efforts, reserving litigation for those respondents who refuse to comply with the law or who fail
to recognize that all men and women are entitled to equal opportunity to earn a living.

We strongly urge the EEOC to provide unions and the AFL-CIO Civil Rights Department with the necessary information to develop a speedy procedure for investigation and achievement of voluntary compliance as suggested in the proposed agreement between EEOC and the AFL-CIO.

Further, we urge our affiliates to call upon the AFL-CIO Civil Rights Department to assist in matters involving EEOC and state commissions whose concerns are to eliminate discrimination based on race, sex, religion or national origin.


18. REAL EXERCISE OF CIVIL RIGHTS LINKED TO FULL EMPLOYMENT

The following remarks by AFL-CIO President George Meany were made in accepting the Social Responsibility Award of the Martin Luther King, Jr., Center for Social Change. They were delivered by AFL-CIO Vice President Murray H. Finley. 57

This award belongs not to me but to the entire AFL-CIO—the men and women who have worked so hard for social justice for all Americans.

It is not a culmination, but a continuation of a shared dream that has always bound the labor and civil rights movement together.

Dr. King himself said it best: "Our needs are identical with labor's needs," he told the 1961 AFL-CIO convention, "decent wages, fair working conditions, livable housing, old age security, health and welfare measures, conditions in which families can grow, have education for their children, and respect in the community."

Today, we have reduced that phrase and that dream to two words—"full employment"—because "full employment" is absolutely essential if civil rights are ever to be fully enjoyed and exercised by every American.

"Full employment" is not just a black issue or a labor issue. Yes, a far higher percentage of the unemployed are black. And yes, when unemployment is used as a tool of economic policy it is workers who suffer most.

Full employment is truly a human rights issue. It is the issue of the last quarter of this century. Because, without jobs for every American who is able and seeking work, the American dream—the dream of Martin Luther King, the dream of Samuel Gompers, the dream of Phil Randolph—will remain a dream—an unrealized dream.

We have an opportunity—in our lifetime—to take a giant step toward making that dream a reality. The Humphrey-Hawkins Full Employment & Balanced Growth Act would, in my opinion, take its place beside the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Voting Rights Act of 1965 as legislation which frees America—not just black Americans. It would free all Americans from the archaic theory that when workers are set against each other for available jobs—through artificial divisions such as race or sex or national origin—those with jobs will work harder for less money, fearing an unemployed worker standing at the plant gate waiting for their job. That is, of course, exploitation of the cruelest form.

Thanks to Arthur Burns and the Nixon-Ford Administration, there is a new segregation in America. A segregation as bitter and brutal as the one outlawed by the Civil Rights Act. It is a segregation based on whether or not an individual has a job—those always working and those always jobless. Like segregation based on race, this new segregation must go. 58

So passing the Humphrey-Hawkins bill is essential. We are pleased that President Carter has committed himself to this fight by endorsing the amended bill. We in the labor movement and the Center for Social Change share a keen interest in this bill, but we know it is only a first step. It must be backed up with effective, extensive programs and we intend to keep fighting for such
programs. To us, the Humphrey-Hawkins bill is the foundation on which to build, the necessary base for realizing our dream.

There is one other important piece of legislation, which, in my opinion, is equally vital to economic and social justice in America. That is labor law reform, now before the Senate.

It is a measure of great importance to black workers, who are union members in greater percentage than their percentage in the workforce generally, because they have found in the labor movement a vehicle for social change, for a strong voice in their own destiny.

During the hearings in the House and Senate on labor law reform, it was clearly evident that employers who are the most flagrant violators of workers rights to form unions usually have an almost identical record of violations of equal employment opportunities. The unorganized worker—is very often black, very often a woman, always underpaid and almost always afraid.

Mrs. King has eloquently testified to those facts and her support for the protection of the rights of all workers to form unions has been invaluable. We know, as she knows, that Martin Luther King, Jr., was murdered in a march for workers rights to form a union.

That the labor movement shall never forget. And that is what makes receiving this award such a great moment for me personally and for the entire AFL-CIO.

In accepting this award, we are not expressing satisfaction for what we have done in the past. Rather we look upon it as an emblem of intention of what we shall accomplish together in the future.


19. MEANY HAILS SOLIDARITY OF CIVIL RIGHTS ALLIANCE

Louisville, Ky.—Much remains to be done in the struggle to achieve social and economic justice for all Americans, AFL-CIO President George Meany said in a message to the NAACP's 70th annual convention.

He noted in a letter to NAACP Executive Director Benjamin L. Hooks that progress has been made in the past 25 years toward racial justice through the cooperative efforts of the labor-civil rights coalition. 59

"Working together, the labor movement and the civil rights movement have largely succeeded in wiping out the legal and legislative sanctions that perpetuated injustice," Meany observed.

"But we are painfully aware of how much remains to be done to secure equal, quality education for all our children and to secure equal rights, equal opportunity and a decent measure of economic justice for Americans of both sexes and all races.

"The AFL-CIO remains full dedicated to achieving these goals," Meany declared. "We are convinced that no more effective instrument exists for pursuing them than the mutual understanding and unity of purpose that have been forged between the leaders and members of the AFL-CIO and the NAACP."

He said the coordinated efforts of the two organizations in voter registration and voter education campaigns have become a vital force for social and political change. "And we intend to do all we can to make them more effective."

Warning that the progress workers and minorities have made "is menaced by a revival of reactionary forces ranging from the Ku Klux Klan to 'Proposition 13' evangelists to professional union busters," Meany said it's essential that "we present a united front against those who would tear down the social and economic programs designed to move America ahead." 60

He said the AFL-CIO and NAACP must jointly continue the fight against bigotry while stepping up the fight against the dismantling of educational and social programs.

"We have to work together for job-creating programs aimed at full employment and equal opportunity for all," Meany declared.

"Together," we can do much that neither organization could ever do alone.
I have every confidence that in the future, as in the past, our two organizations will unite in the struggle to make the American dream of justice and equality a reality."


20. LABOR'S CIVIL RIGHTS GOALS LINKED TO DEMAND FOR FULL EMPLOYMENT

The best possible affirmative action programs is full employment, the AFL-CIO declared in pledging to continue its support for integration, outreach programs and enactment of ERA and for allies who share those goals.

While reaffirming support for affirmative action, the convention vowed that "we will oppose any efforts to destroy the seniority system" and hailed recent court decisions for taking those two issues out of an either/or confrontation.

In a separate convention action, the AFL-CIO urged enactment of the Fair Housing Act of 1979, legislation written in the wake of a national survey finding that a "black person has a 62 percent chance of encountering discrimination in the purchase of a home and a 75 percent chance in the rental of one" and similar problems are faced by Hispanics, Asian-Americans, women and the handicapped.

Included in the bill, which the convention said would finish the job of the 1969 law by the same name, is a provision for federal enforcement powers on behalf of blacks or handicapped persons "after a full hearing establishes discrimination."

Some of the measures advocated by the convention included reaffirmation of longstanding AFL-CIO goals or programs. Among them are:

* Continued emphasis on the outreach principle to recruit blacks, women and minorities, "which has been so successful in the construction trades and should be expanded into new sectors of the economy."

* ERA enactment, which entails holding off right-wing attempts to have state referenda undo the recent congressional gain of deadline extension to 1982.

* The community groups to which the AFL-CIO pledges support and urges affiliates to do the same include such longstanding labor allies as the A. Philip Randolph Institute, Leadership Conference on Civil Rights, NAACP and National Urban Coalition as well as such relatively new organizations as the Coalition for Labor Union Women and the Labor Council for Latin-American Advancement.

* Designation by every affiliate of a person to work with the AFL-CIO Dept. of Civil Rights on relations with the federal Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. The federation pledged continued cooperation with the EEOC "wherever it's possible to find efficient and equitable solutions to employment discrimination charges."

Cooperation from the EEOC under the Carter Administration was judged by the Executive Council to be an area of major improvement in the two years between conventions.


21. A COALITION FOR PEOPLE

AFL-CIO President Lane Kirkland to the NAACP Convention

The coalition between the labor and civil rights movements is the most effective and most constructive alliance in American political and social history. In the struggles of the 1940s, the '50s, and the '60s, to break
down the legal sanctions that perpetuated racial discrimination in America, this alliance brought about a political and social revolution.

Millions of youngsters are now growing up without ever having seen a "whites only" sign over a public drinking fountain, and without ever having seen a fellow citizen turned away from a restaurant or a college admission office or an employment office solely because of race. To that extent they live in a different world—and a better one—than their mothers and fathers knew.

Yet those youngsters are also learning that while discrimination is unlawful, it has not ceased to exist.

None of the rights guaranteed under these laws has any meaning, except to those—black or white—who have the resources and the opportunity to exercise them.

Equal education is attainable only for children whose parents can afford to keep them in school. Equal accommodations are available only for those who can pay the dinner check or hotel bill. Fair housing laws hold meaning solely for American families who have not been priced out of the market. Equal employment opportunity is an empty promise to nearly 10 million American workers of both sexes and all races and colors who need and want jobs and cannot find them.

Inequality in America, in the 1980s, is not statutory. It is economic. What is needed is a program to educate the politicians. If the American people are given the facts about who will benefit and who will suffer as a result of the current budget-balancing and tax-cutting proposals, I am convinced that they will teach the politicians. Getting these facts to the public is the most important task we face.

Our many years of working together toward mutual goals have taught us something about teamwork and coordinated effort. In the Budget Coalition that was formed in April to oppose the budget-cutting madness on Capitol Hill, we have helped lay the foundation for a far larger alliance of organizations, some of which have never before been aroused to political and social action on a national scale.

They include other civil rights organizations, labor organizations that are outside the AFL-CIO, women's groups, religious organizations, family farm organizations, youth groups and senior citizens, the National Conference of Mayors, consumer groups, environmental groups and more than a hundred others. What they have in common is that they genuinely represent the interests of people, rather than the interests of those whose chief concern is to maximize profit at the expense of the public.

That is the foundation on which we must build in order to remind our nation's leaders that public interest is paramount to corporate interest.


22. LACK OF OPPORTUNITY THWARTS STRIDES TOWARD RACIAL JUSTICE

The following is from an address by AFL-CIO Sec.-Treas. Thomas R. Donahue to a Catholic Interracial Council dinner in New York honoring Federation Vice President Frederick O'Neal and lawyer William Shea.

For the moment, the immense strides that were made toward racial justice and social unity during and after the civil rights revolution—in which the church and the labor movement played so large a role—have come to a standstill.

I say "for the moment" because I know well that all progress toward these goals is ultimately irreversible. This country will move ahead again, but meanwhile we have to fight with all our energy to retake ground that is being lost.

Bull Connor's dogs and clubs are a thing of the past. I never expect to see again, in any public place, a sign that says "White only," or even "No Irish need apply."
But there will be no end to discrimination in housing until there is enough housing to go around. There will be no end to discrimination in education until all parents can afford to provide their children with all the education they can possibly absorb. And there will be no equal employment opportunity until there is opportunity for all, until there is a job for every man and woman who is able and willing to work.

For the moment, what we face is the undoing of the progress we have made, and the division of the American people along economic lines or racial lines or sex lines or age lines or any other lines that are handy, and the restoration of the frozen, unjust social and economic order of the past.

The vital question is, "Am I my brother's keeper?" The church and the labor movement have always answered with a resounding yes. But those who preach the gospel of supply-side economics say the question is irrelevant.

They have no wish to talk about public health, public education, public welfare as human values or even as public capital investment that enriches and enlarges the society of which we are a part. They think of these things only as consumer expenditures that increase public spending and reduce the return on private capital.

When irresponsible tax concessions to the rich and the corporations have sent the budget deficit into orbit, their solution is to shoot down $60 billion in social security benefits—or at least it was until the Senate rebelled at that solution.

With 10½ million human beings desperately looking for jobs that do not exist, they cut emergency job programs, job training programs, public service jobs and unemployment compensation programs, and promise only that things will get better soon.

It was actions like these that led Congressman Henry Reuss of Wisconsin to say that these policies are "not just mistaken; they are wicked." To that I would add that they are deeply, shamefully frivolous.

The real capital of this nation is its human capital. No wealth has ever existed that is not the product of human hands and minds and hearts. To squander that capital by deliberately denying human beings the opportunity to develop to their highest potential is not only morally wrong but something that those responsible look upon as a far worse sin: Imprudent business management.

The American labor movement and the church have long stood together on the questions of this nation's human capital—not really as "capital" but as "humanity."

We have shared the simple goals of equality for all and the recognition of the dignity of every person. Actually those goals are not simple at all. They are simply stated—but their fulfillment has been a central occupation of labor and the churches for many years.

We need the laws and the courts, most assuredly, to set a legal framework for dignity and equality, but we need to shape the minds, hearts and acts of people—we need to truly love one another—if we are ever really going to "assure these rights." We have to keep pressing for dignity and equality and have to keep on pressing against the "outer limits."

After Dec. 13, when the Polish government moved to crush Solidarity, the amazing analyses by some of our commentators was that those brave Polish trade unionists had pressed against the outer limits and tried to achieve too much. It's a line that never made a bit of sense.

For people who seek reform, for those who would disturb the status quo, for those who seek dignity and equality, there are only outer limits. There are no inner limits which fall easily. Every push is against an outer limit that some other person or force has set.

When a worker joins a union and seeks recognition of his dignity on the job, he or she presses against the outer limits of the boss's authority.

When a black seeks the full measure of equality, in any form, he or she presses against the outer limit of some ancient prejudice. When the Poles sought a voice in their state, when the black South African seeks a voice on the job, when the South American peasant fights for land, they all press against the outer limits that some person or group seeks wrongly to impose.

It has to be our business to continually press against those outer limits until they all finally crumble.

AFL-CIO SEATS TWO NEGROES

A. Philip Randolph, Townsend Named to Union's Exec. Board

By Harold L. Keith

A. Philip Randolph and Willard S. Townsend will be seated on the executive boards of the newly merged AFL and CIO as a result of actions taken at the founding convention of the organization in New York City.

Mr. Randolph is president of the International Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters (AFL) and Mr. Townsend is president of the CIO United Transport Service Employees Union.

Both men are Negroes and their appointments were hailed by labor leaders as "evidence" that labor intends to back up its "pledges" against segregation and discrimination in employment.

Mr. Randolph will be one of seventeen AFL vice presidents, and Mr. Townsend will be one of the ten representatives of the CIO on the AFL-CIO executive board.

Mr. Townsend's appointment was made possible by the defection of Mike Quill, International Transport Workers Union. Mr. Quill had dissented regarding the merger on several key issues. Oddly enough, one of the things which led to Mr. Quill's refusal to take a vice presidential seat was his insistence upon a more strongly worded clause against segregation or discrimination. 64

Mr. Quill had protested strongly against other provisions of the merger agreement and then announced that he would not take a seat on the executive board "under any circumstances."

However, Mr. Townsend was not without opposition. Many of the executives within CIO ranks had ripped into his appointment on the grounds that Mr. Townsend's union did not have enough members.

They claimed that there were other CIO unions with larger and more representative memberships who should have received representation.

They noted that Mr. Townsend's union has a membership of a little more than 10,000 spread out over the nation, while there are many CIO local unions with more members.

The CIO Executive Board in two separate sessions held prior to the New York meeting had turned down Mr. Townsend as an executive board possibility. But, the big industrial union was faced with the practical necessity of having Negro representation on the board and Mr. Townsend was the only choice.

George L. P. Weaver, long-time stalwart of the CIO Civil Rights Committee, had been mentioned as a possible choice but overtures made in his behalf met with so many objections that Mr. Townsend ended up with the prize.

Mr. Townsend was recommended for the post by David J. McDonald, president of the CIO United Steelworkers of America.

Mr. Randolph's appointment was a foregone conclusion. Long one of the most eloquent among the AFL's leadership, he like Mr. Townsend was the only possible choice for appointment to the board.

His appointment was made despite his outspoken opposition to what has been termed the "mousy, mealy-mouthed" anti-segregation provision of the AFL-CIO merger constitution.

Mr. Randolph has staged rallies in New York and Cleveland on this issue and is expected to continue to fight for a more positively worded clause with punitive provisions for unions which discriminate.

The merger means that the combined strength of the nation's biggest labor group is now 15,000,000 members with only the Mineworkers of John L. Lewis and several independent unions outside the folds.65

Pittsburgh Courier, December 5, 1955.
24. RANDOLPH SAYS NEGRO NOT FREE
Calls for "Complete Equality"

Speaking at an Urban League "Sound-off" luncheon last Tuesday, A. Philip Randolph, militant vice-president of the newly merged AFL-CIO, said "the Negro is not free" and called upon President Eisenhower to send armed troops into Mississippi and South Carolina to ease the "cold war" waged against Negro citizens of those states.

He also said President Eisenhower has "a moral obligation to make a major statement in the interest of Negro freedom."

"The Negro has got to take what he gets," the veteran civil rights leader said, "not by violence, not by the gun; but by continuous protest, continuous demands and continuous organization. Negroes want complete equality and first class citizenship and they want it now. Gradualism is no answer."

Michael J. Quill, president of the Transport Workers Union of America, followed Randolph to the speakers' rostrum and said that labor has material to win the battle for civil rights. Remarking that 1956 can be a historic year, he said that "the strangest kind of characters are running for President."

"Some of them come from the deep South," he said, "where they believe in one toilet for whites and another for Negroes. When they come to Washington they are democratic. In Philadelphia they are liberals and when they get to New York they are rip-roaring progressives. Then by the time they get to New Hampshire they are ready to do away with the white toilet."

The "Sound-Off" luncheon was held in the Georgian room of the Hotel Picadilly, 227 W. 45th St., Manhattan. The luncheon was chaired by Theodore W. Kheel, impartial chairman of New York City's private transportation industry and a member of the board of the Urban League. Other guests on the dais included Mrs. Ruth Whitehead Whaley, secretary to the New York City Board of Estimates; Frank Crosswaith, Harlem Trades Union; Lloyd Garrison, former president of the National Urban League; Mrs. Sophi Yarnall Jacobs, League president; and Monsignor Cornelius J. Drew, St. Charles Borromeo R. C. Church.


25. AFL-CIO REPORT ON CIVIL RIGHTS, 1961

PRESIDENT MEANY: I have a special supplementary report to submit to the convention on behalf of the Executive Council on the specific question of civil rights in the AFL-CIO which has to do with a memorandum submitted by Brother Randolph to the Executive Council at its meeting last June, and answered by the subcommittee of the council at its October, 1961 meeting. This material was not prepared in time for inclusion with our full report, and is submitted at this time to the attention of the convention.

Before referring to the committee, however, I want to ask the special permission of the Convention for Brother Philip Randolph to make a statement on this matter.

Is there any objection?

Hearing none, I recognize Brother Randolph.

VICE PRESIDENT A. PHILIP RANDOLPH: Thank you, Mr. President.

Fellow Delegates, I rise to make some observations on this report inasmuch as it is based on the memorandum which I submitted to the Executive Council at its meeting in Unity House, Pennsylvania in June of this year. My report included a number of proposals concerning the question of advancing the movement of civil rights in the house of labor. It also included a number of charges.

One was that the basic status of workers in the labor movement who are non-white is that of second class citizenship. Of course this does not mean that in various areas of our American industry and in various communities Negro workers, some of them, are not admitted into a number of unions and some of them occupy places on policy-making bodies. There are about a million and a half Negroes in the labor movement. But I am talking about the broad
status of the Negro workers in the labor movement as such. That status is that of second class citizenship.

The delegates of the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters are basically concerned about that question. We indicated that evidence of this charge consisted in the fact that there are exclusionary policies applied to non-white workers by some unions, either in the form of color bar or in the form of tacit consent. Of course the color bar has been eliminated in practically all of the unions except one. But still workers of color are excluded from some unions by tacit consent.

This is not an exceptional affair. As a matter of fact, you will find this to be practically general in various communities with respect to craft unions. You will find that in one community Negro workers may be admitted to a Carpenters' local union. In another community, they may be excluded. The same thing is true with respect to the Electrical Workers' Union or the Plumbers' Union or the Bricklayers' Union. So that we are basically interested in the broad position of the Negro worker in the labor movement, and we are concerned about the development of a program that will meet that condition and solve it.

Other evidence of the status of the Negro is the fact that Negro workers in the possession of skills are 100 years behind their white brothers. This is due to race bias, and the problem today is for the Negro workers to catch up. The only way by which they will be able to catch up is for these barriers preventing them from entering apprenticeship training courses to be broken down. That is really the essence of the struggle of the delegates of the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters against race bias in the labor movement and also of the Negro American Labor Council which was recently organized for the sole purpose of eliminating race bias in organized labor.

Another evidence of this second-class citizenship is the existence of racially segregated unions. This is a question which has not altogether been squarely met because the position has been set forth to the effect that if you have a Negro union in a given community and a white union covering the same jurisdiction in the same community, and if the Negro union has officers and members who want to maintain a Negro union, that they ought to be permitted to maintain that union. We disagree with that position. We hold that just as you will not permit a union under Communist domination or under corrupt influences to maintain its position, a union which practices racial discrimination should not be permitted, whether the officers or members want to maintain the union or not. It should not be permitted to continue to exist.

These are some of the problems that I set forth in my memorandum. The result of race bias in the labor movement and in management and in the government, according to various economists,—Elmer Roper, for instance,—the results are that Negro workers lose about $30 billion a year in income. Over a decade they lose $300 billion in income. It is estimated that the Negro wage rates are just about half the wage rates of the average white worker. Therefore, this matter involves the question of economic survival, economic security. That is one of the reasons and the basic reason why the delegates of the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters have presented this question upon the floor of the conventions of the American Federation of Labor for practically a generation. That is the reason why we have continued to carry on the struggle, because we are concerned about increasing the income of the great masses of black people in this nation who work for a living.

We are living in a day when automation is beginning to exercise vital influence upon the whole system of American production. The work force is changing. The tools are changing. It is estimated that by 1970, the population of this country will be around 208 million people and that it will require about $700 billion to sustain this population. In order to sustain this population by the production of commodities and services, the tools must change and the work force must change. But if the great masses of Negro workers do not have access to apprenticeship training courses, they cannot get the skills and the training that are necessary to play their role in our modernized industrial society.

The great drives that we are making today are to break down these barriers against the admission of Negro workers into apprenticeship training courses. The recent Civil Rights Commission set up by President Kennedy or President Eisenhower, recently made a report, and that commission indicated
that there is widespread discrimination based upon race and color with respect
to opportunity of black workers to get training, not only among the craft
unions, but also in the giant mass production industries of the country. This
then presents a crisis to the Negro masses of the country and the Brotherhood
of Sleeping Car Porters has addressed itself to this problem.

We have also charged that the approach to this question has been one of
tokenism and gradualism. I know that the leadership of the American Federation
of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations has indicated that they are
working and doing the best they can to change this situation. But time is
moving and the industrial revolution is moving. The radical technology is
changing American life, and Negro workers haven't the time to wait for people
to make up their minds to give them justice. That is the reason for the move­
ment to bring about rapid change giving the opportunity to all peoples of color
to acquire information and training in order that they may become a factor in
this automated world.

We also made the charge that the leadership has not a deep enough sense of
urgency of this problem. That is no reflection on the sincerity and the good
faith of the leadership. But it has been my opinion that our unions have not
yet grasped the significance and the depth and the magnitude of the civil
rights revolution which is going on in this country.
The United States is covered today by uprisings of young Negroes who are
sitting in in protest against the violation of the dignity of their personality.
Hundreds are on buses going into southern communities to assert their
constitutional rights as American citizens, to enjoy the privileges and immu­
nities that other American citizens enjoy. They are even willing to absorb
brutality and violence from the police in order that they may exercise their
rights. Many of them have languished in the jails of Jackson, Mississippi.
They are still going in large numbers.

Therefore, this gives you some idea of the fact that the civil rights
resolution was not caused by myself or by the delegates of the Brotherhood of
Sleeping Car Porters, but rather it is the result of the fact that the Civil
War revolution was never completed. The 13th, 14th and 15th amendments were
virtually nullified following the Civil War by a rising Confederate counter­
revolution.
The National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, the
National Urban League, the Southern Christian Leadership Conference led by Dr.
Martin Luther King—all of these agencies are concerned about completing an
uncompleted revolution in order that the Negro citizens of this country may
have first-class status. That is what this matter is all about.

May I say I have the highest respect and regard for the President of the
American Federation of Labor-Congress of Industrial Organizations. The dele­
gates of the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters are not mad at anyone. How­
ever, we have a responsibility to work in the interest of eliminating all
vestige, all forms, all remnants of racial discrimination.

In addition to that, I presented in my memorandum a number of demands and
indicated what ought to be done, and on the basis of this my proposals were
rejected. I was condemned and denounced because of the presentation of the
proposal.

Now, of course, anyone who is in the labor movement and has gone through
some 40 years of struggle in the labor movement is not thin skinned. Con­
sequently, I am willing to go through the fires in order to abolish second­
class status for black people in this country. It must be done and it must
be done now—not tomorrow. We cannot wait for tomorrow. In a nuclear age,
tomorrow may never come. Consequently these are some of the demands that I
presented in my memorandum:

1. Abolish barriers to Negro membership in unions, whether they be the
color bar in constitutions or rituals, or by tacit consent.
2. Desegregate racially segregated unions whether the officials and
membership desire it or not, just as the expulsion of Communist-dominated
unions or unions under corrupt influences is carried out by the AFL-CIO
without regard to the wishes of the officials or members of the unions.
3. Abolish barriers to your workers' participation in apprenticeship
training programs because of race or color.
4. Desegregate southern state AFL-CIO bodies and their conventions.
5. Desegregate southern city central labor bodies in all of their varied activities.

6. Integration of Negro trade unionists in departments and on staffs more equitably in the national headquarters and in national and international unions, state federations and city central bodies.

7. Seek and urge equitable placement of Negro trade unionists on the Executive Council, and policy-making bodies of national, international and local unions.

8. Reorganize the Civil Rights Committee and Civil Rights Department as follows:

   (a) Assumption of the chairmanship of the Civil Rights Committee by President George Meany or Secretary-Treasurer William F. Schnitzler, to give it stature and prestige.

   (b) Increase the number of Negro trade unionists as members of the committee to give its interracial composition reality.

   (c) Place an eligible Negro trade unionist, sound on labor's rights and civil rights, as Director of the Civil Rights Department. A trade unionist is needed in this post who does not only know the problem of race bias but also feels it. Moreover, if the federal government can appoint a Negro as head of a major department, the AFL-CIO should follow suit since it did not lead the way.

   (d) Place an additional Negro trade unionist, sound on labor's rights and civil rights, as one of the assistant directors.

   (e) Place a southern native-born white trade unionist, sound on labor's rights and civil rights, on the staff as one of the assistant directors.

   (f) Integrate the office force.

   (g) Hold national and regional civil rights labor conferences, and invite the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Jewish Labor Committee, National Urban League, Southern Christian Leadership Conference, Congress of Racial Equality, American Jewish Congress, American Jewish Committee, Workers' Defense League and the Negro American Labor Council, to send fraternal delegates, with voice, if no vote.


   If a Code on Ethical Practices is necessary, desirable and effective in helping to eliminate corruption, by the same token, a Code on Fair Trade Union Racial Practices is necessary, desirable and can be effective in helping to eliminate race bias.

10. Develop its own survey of race bias in unions to determine its nature and extent, since any survey of discrimination and segregation even when made by a federal agency or the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, is promptly branded "anti organized labor," which, of course, is not true. The survey should not only seek to disclose racial discrimination wherever it may be, but also disclose evidence of progress which has been made by unions in dealing with the problem, such as cases of Negro trade unionists serving as officials in unions and as members of policy-making bodies, wherever they may be.

11. Take the initiative in getting a bill for Federal Fair Employment Practice legislation introduced in the next Congress, and place the full weight of the AFL-CIO back of it. While the AFL-CIO should wage a veritable crusade for the enactment of a federal FEPC, this is not to be construed to mean that the federation does not continue to bear the responsibility to rid the house of labor of every vestige, remnant and survival of race bias. It still has the responsibility to wage a continuing warfare against racial discrimination and segregation even if, as, when a federal FEPC is achieved in the Congress, because the law must be enforced.

12. The value and validity of target dates to mark the time when specific action should be taken to deal with a specific case of race bias, such as the desegregation of a jim-crow union, should be recognized as sound and practical, since target dates have been used with respect to cases involving the merging
of state AFL-CIO bodies, city central bodies and the expulsion of unions under corrupt and Communist influences.

13. In order to resolve the crisis of confidence between the AFL-CIO and Negro trade unionists and the Negro community, a conference between President Meany and with officers of unions appointed by President Meany and a group of Negro trade unionists selected by myself, should be held in order that this crisis in confidence may be resolved and that there may be a deeper sense of cooperation, of consultation and concern about the problems of organized labor.

These are some of the proposals I presented, and I hope and feel that it is possible for them to be realized.

And so, brothers and sisters, I wanted to make these few remarks to you in connection with this report, and to indicate that our organization represents the highest order of social ideals, economic and political; that we are committed to the basic values of human advancement and freedom and justice and equality.

Our organization has suffered, it has sacrificed and struggled for the realization of these ideals. We could not be a trade unionist and be anti-white, or anti-Semitic or anti-Catholic. Our organization is fighting to remove these barriers in our social life, and certainly, we would not be a party to practicing any kind of discrimination against anybody.

It was said that we have not negotiated a non-discriminatory clause in our contract for the Pullman Company. We had no reason to negotiate one. I have never known of a case where a white worker was denied a job by the Pullman Company because he was white.

Have we come to the time when the black workers have the responsibility of taking up the burden of freeing the white workers from job color bias? Well now, you know that is fantastic. It is a fabricated fiction. It has no basis in reality, and as a matter of mere humor it becomes comical.

So I wanted you to know the position of our organization on this question, because we stand by whatever we have done; we stand by the proposals we have made, and we will continue to fight for them. But we are going to fight for them in the house of labor. We have no desire to leave the American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations. We have no plan to organize any black federation of labor. But there are intimations in this report that we are black nationalists, and hence want to oppose everything that is not black. This is nothing more than a travesty upon common sense, an insult to decency.

This is the reason why I wanted to make my comments and my position and the position of my colleague M. P. Webster and also all the members and officers of the Negro American Labor Council clear to this convention.

Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT MEANY: Again, with the special permission of the convention, I would like to give the floor for a few minutes to Brother Webster of this same organization.

If there is no objection Brother Webster will have the floor.

DELEGATE MILTON P. WEBSTER, Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters: Mr. President and delegates of the AFL-CIO Convention: I want to reaffirm the remarks made by International President Randolph on this matter. When this report was read to our international Executive Board a few days before we came down here, they were quite disturbed. They instructed us to come to this convention and protest this report with all our energy and influence, this report which has created a false impression of the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters.

Since I have been in this convention I have had delegates ask me if we were anti-white. As stated by Brother Randolph, we are by no means a new organization in the American labor movement. We came to the old AFL some 30 years ago, and we have had difficulty ever since we came in here. Objection was made to bringing us in because we didn't have the white leadership, but we got in.

Then a couple of years after we got in, our jurisdiction was given to a white organization that had a color clause in its constitution. We got out of that. And all during the years we have stood upon the floors of these conventions and protested these discriminatory practices that have existed in many of the unions over the years.

But the subject wasn't as popular as it is today. We never got any headlines in newspapers; we never had any press conferences and, as a rule,
nobody but we made the protest. But we carried on the protest just the same, and we believe that some results have been obtained.

We have never said at any time that there hasn't been any progress made on this question in the labor movement, but we can't rest on our laurels. It is still here. It is here, probably here more subtle than it was when many unions had color clauses in their constitutions.

We have been loyal to the labor movement, despite our serious handicaps. We have participated in everything that unions are interested in; on any matter of fundamental labor principles we are always there. As a matter of fact, not too many years ago several of the railroad unions pulled a strike against the L & N Railroad. Some of those unions had color clauses in their constitution, but we wouldn't let our men cross the picket line, and we lost thousands of dollars, as a matter of fact. So, we have made our contribution to the American labor movement on the same plane as has anybody else. And over the years, we believe we have made as great a contribution as anybody.

Only recently, as a matter of fact, one of the large railroad companies in a program to start to cut wages started on us, and they cut the wages $50 a month. As a result of the brotherhood's negotiations, the $50 a month was not only brought back, but an additional $11 a month of fringe benefits were included. I don't think other organizations in this organization have been able to do any better job than we have done.

And so, this is one part of this report today that the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters. I might say that we are not looking for any sympathy, we are not looking for sympathy on this. And we don't expect any sympathy. We don't appreciate the patronizing attitude of some of the members of this organization towards the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters.

Shortly after the convention in San Francisco, we had many people come to us and try to tell us what we ought to do and ought not to do about this proposition of race discrimination. I take the position that it is very difficult for a man who is white to understand and appreciate the disadvantages of discrimination. For several years I was a member of the President's Committee on Fair Employment Practices, appointed under the late President Roosevelt. I always had arguments with the management side of the committee that I was too impatient, too sensitive, and too bitter. I think I might refer to you the same suggestion that I referred to them, white men don't feel the sting and the disadvantage and the humiliation of discrimination in this country. And if you want to know how to feel about this thing, black your face up as black as mine and walk around New York, Chicago, Philadelphia and any other place, and then you will come back here more impatient than we are. There's no question about that at all.

This is the point we want you to understand, that we are committed to the idea of fighting these racial policies within the labor movement and outside the labor movement.

Now, there are two points of disagreement in this statement here which Brother Randolph touched on that affects us quite drastically. The first is they claim that in Vice President Randolph's own headquarters staff, in his own union, the employees are non-white, his employment policy on his own staff does not seem to be without regard to race, creed or color. I don't know who wrote this report, but certainly they never made any investigation. The largest amounts of money we pay out today are paid out to white people. How anybody can say that we are prejudiced against white people is a difficult thing, and I think you ought to be practical about this thing.

We hire most of our people in the national office in New York and our office in Chicago. And our office in New York is located on 125th Street, in the heart of Harlem. The office in Chicago is located on the South Side, the heart of the so-called black belt. A stenographer, the type that we have to have, draws approximately $80 to $105 or $110 a week, and I think it is fantastic to think that white girls qualified to fill that kind of a job would come up in Harlem or come out on the South Side of Chicago to look for a job. I have advertised in the Chicago Tribune. I would have taken any kind of stenographer that I could get. We got seven or eight replies, two of which I believe were white, according to where the telephone number was. And they would talk over the telephone, but they would never show up. And I think it is fantastic and really going out of the way to point out the fact that we don't hire any white people.
As a matter of fact we have about half the people we used to have, but there was a time when we had to go out in the street and hire new people. Over half the new people we hired were white.

Whoever wrote this report surely hadn't taken it upon themselves to make any investigation of this matter or they wouldn't have made the serious blunders that they did.

One other point, and then I am through; and that is on this question of discrimination in the employment of Pullman porters. I never knew that a white man ever wanted the job of Pullman porter. Not too many years ago an official of the old AFL came to speak to us when we were organizing. He said, "You know the sleeping car Pullman job is a black man's job." Well, now, you know what a black man is supposed to be, don't you; low pay, long hours and a mean boss man. And that job included all of them. It is rather amusing at this late date that somebody would come around and ask why we haven't urged white people to come into the movement.

In conclusion I want to say we have in our organization men of many nationalities. The Pullman Company, as oldtimers know, was one of the hardest nuts to crack in the labor movement, and we believe we are the first ones to crack it. All the crafts that work for Pullman are unionized now. But when we started to organize, they had an open job situation out there. They had company unions. We started on our campaign and were successful to a large extent. We had eight or nine hundred Filipino attendants. And they tried to make the Filipino believe he is a step above the Negro; he is not to associate with them. And we had about 150 Mexicans down in San Antonio. We had to walk out of the conference and threaten to strike until we made them include the Filipinos in our contract. The Mexican is supposed to be white. That's what they tell me down in Texas. In fact, they can go places that I can't go, so they must be white. We took the Mexicans in. We not only took them in, but we saw to it that they were given places of responsibility on our staff in order that every phase of our organization would be represented. One of our Filipinos who is now retired and has gone back to the Philippines to live was a member of our board for 15 or 20 years.

The Pullman Company went out on the street and hired about 25 white barbers. They put them on the train up in the Northwest and they doubled up that job with the club car porters. We went out and organized them. They stayed there until the job was abolished.

I don't know yet who wrote this report. I hope to find out sooner or later. I am sure some of the members of the council didn't have anything to do with the writing of it—but whoever wrote this report, if they had only taken a little bit of time to make inquiries about the matter, I don't think they would have made themselves look as ridiculous as they have been made to look by putting out this kind of report against an organization that has shown its loyalty to the labor movement.

We have no desire to get out of the labor movement. We don't want to see anybody else put out of the labor movement.

There was some comment on Brother Randolph's contention that certain organizations ought to be put out. Well, the record of the Brotherhood is against putting people out. We were one of the few delegations that stood on the floor of these conventions when they were eliminating the unions that subsequently set up the CIO. We became very unpopular, so much so that they made wise cracks that: "The Pullman Porters have to get their tips from John L. Lewis." We don't like to see the Longshoremen put out of the organization or any other people, because we felt if you could put people out because they violated other principles and programs of the Constitution, we don't see any reason you can't put them out for violating the civil rights position.

Therefore, we have brought this matter to this convention. We want you to know how we feel about it. And, as Brother Randolph says, we have no intention of leaving the Federation of Labor. We are not mad at George Meany or anybody else. Newspapers have made a great big hullabaloo about the feud between Randolph and George Meany. But there is a principle involved and we differ. As a matter of fact, brothers and sisters, we have had controversies in this labor movement that were far more bitter than this. The only difference is that the civil rights program wasn't as popular then as it is now and we never hit the front pages. So we bring these things to you in order that you might know how we feel.
We intend to carry on our campaign. We don't scare easy. If we scared easy we wouldn't be here. But we are here, ready and willing to work with the AFL-CIO and with any other organization. There are men sitting in this convention now that know we have cooperated with them in any matter that concerned organized labor as such. So we feel that some reconsideration ought to be given to this matter.

Therefore, Mr. President, I want to make a motion that this report be referred back to the Executive Council for reexamination. I so make that motion, Mr. President.

VICE PRESIDENT RANDOLPH: I second the motion.

PRESIDENT MEANY: Brother Webster, I cannot accept your motion.

This is a very unusual procedure we have just gone through. A report submitted to this convention for reference to a committee was discussed under special permission of the convention to the officers of the union involved. To refer it back now would mean this motion, if accepted, would not be debatable. It would mean that discussion would be shut off on this matter for the rest of this convention.

Therefore, I refuse to accept your motion. It is out of order on a number of points, and I refer this to the Committee on Resolutions for consideration and a report back to the convention so that those people who wrote the report will have their opportunity to defend the report and so that the delegates to this convention will have the report in their possession and know what we are all talking about.


26. COUNCIL REJECTS RANDOLPH CHARGES, BACKS AFL-CIO RIGHTS RECORD

NEW YORK—The AFL-CIO Executive Council has rejected charges by Vice Pres. A. Philip Randolph that the federation has failed to come to grips with the problem of racial discrimination in unions.

The council adopted over Randolph's lone opposition vote a 20-page report by a subcommittee set up in June to review a memorandum on civil rights in the AFL-CIO submitted by Randolph. The detailed and documented report answers Randolph's charges and concludes that the "purport" of his memorandum is to have the AFL-CIO set up a "punitive program" in the civil rights field.

The council-adopted report, signed by Vice Presidents George M. Harrison as chairman, Richard F. Walsh and Jacob S. Potofsky, declares:

"Mr. Randolph loses sight of the fact that the AFL-CIO has been, and is today, a major and foremost force in the land for the elimination of all forms of race discrimination, segregation and racial injustice."

The "major" responsibility for the "gap that has developed" between organized labor and the Negro Community, the report declares, falls "upon Mr. Randolph himself."
AFL-CIO Pres. George Meany, terming the report "very factual and constructive," told reporters that the consensus of the council during discussion was that Randolph should cooperate in trying to solve the problems of civil rights rather than attack the labor movement.

He commented that Randolph appears to be on the "other side of the table" against the AFL-CIO instead of on "our side" helping to work out solutions. Randolph's attacks, he added, prevent the federation from making even faster progress.

In a general analysis of the Randolph memorandum, the council report notes that the AFL-CIO has an estimated 1.5 million Negro members, and that the federation's civil rights policy "is forthright and unequivocal" that there must be no denial of benefits of union membership to any worker because of race, creed, color or national origin.

Among the multitude of organizations in the AFL-CIO, it notes, there are "many imperfections and shortcomings with respect to non-discrimination." The report then details the intensified activities in affiliates and state and local organizations on civil rights.

The AFL-CIO, it says, has only one form of punishment—expulsion—which does not "cure the offending practices," but rather removes the membership of the expelled organization from "corrective influences from the parent body through education and persuasion."

In a detailed consideration of Randolph's memorandum the report makes these points:

* The charge of racial exclusion from craft unions by tacit consent is based on one local union case which is receiving "diligent attention" and is nearing solution. Randolph, says the report, has cited "the exception that proves the rule."

* The charge of racial exclusion from apprenticeship training programs is laced with "inaccuracies and misstatements." It cites Meany's recent testimony before Congress supporting legislation to deny federal assistance to apprentice programs where discrimination is practiced.

The report notes the charge that there are no Negro apprentices among Masons in Washington is in error, citing a top apprenticeship award presented to a Negro member of the union.

Randolph's implied charge of discrimination in hiring of the AFL-CIO headquarters staff was tagged "false" by the report. It cited numerous positions filled by Negroes on the staff and clerical level, noting that "on the other hand" Randolph's own headquarters staff at the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters, the union of which he is president, is all "non-white" and that his agreement with the Office Employees Union, covering the staff, "does not contain a non-discrimination clause."

The report terms "false and gratuitous" Randolph's charge that "not one single step has been made to desegregate and integrate Jim Crow unions" that belong to AFL-CIO affiliates. The report cites a number of specific cases in Washington, Baltimore, Cleveland, etc., where such integration has been accomplished.

It adds that "there is no evidence of any effort" by Randolph to get the Pullman Co., with whom he negotiates contracts, to agree to employment without regard to race or color. The company, says the report, employs only non-whites in the U.S.

To the charge that Meany has failed to place "the moral weight of his office" behind the federation's Civil Rights Committee and Dept. of Civil Rights, the report declares "this is simply not true," citing the numerous awards from Negro and other groups, statements, speeches and activities by the federation president.
To charges that the federation has not effectively mobilized for civil rights and that there has been a loss of faith in labor by Negro community, the report replies:

"The record shows that when false charges and unwarranted attacks have been leveled against the AFL-CIO, untruthfully accusing it of weakness in the pursuit of civil rights, these charges and attacks have been met with silence from Mr. Randolph. This silence and tacit consent on Mr. Randolph as a vice president of the AFL-CIO have lent plausibility to these charges and attacks in the eyes of the Negro community . . . the major share of the responsibility for the 'gap' that has developed between organized labor and the Negro community, therefore falls upon Mr. Randolph himself."

To Randolph's recommendations for a national conference of union presidents and creation of civil rights committees and departments in affiliated unions, the report details actions already taken in this area. To the complaint that not enough unions elect Negro delegates to their conventions or the AFL-CIO convention, the report notes that democratic practice provides for free choice, not directions or suggestions from "the top."

The report defends the competence of the director of the AFL-CIO Dept. of Civil Rights in reply to Randolph's demand that the department be headed by a Negro, and it cites also the need for professional knowledge. It defends the AFL-CIO's educational and publications program on civil rights and objects strongly to Randolph's target date approach to compliance, with expulsion as the remedy. It reviews a charge brought against the Molders union, noting an intensive investigation by Meany that showed no evidence of discrimination.

Finally the report analyzes the role of the Negro American Labor Council, concluding that it has "neither offered nor given any cooperation" to the AFL-CIO civil rights program and that its record is one of "words rather than action."


27. ALONG THE N.A.A.C.P. BATTLEFRONT

NAACP Decries Randolph Censure

Content removed at rightsholder's request.
Content removed at rightsholder’s request.

The Crisis, 68 (November, 1961):566.

28. "TAKE WHAT'S YOURS--AND KEEP IT!"--RANDOLPH
 'You May Look and Think Free'

Content removed at rightsholder’s request.
RESOLUTION NO. 120—By Delegates A. Philip Randolph and C. L. Dellums, Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters.

WHEREAS, The alliance between labor and the civil rights movement was a major force, together with the church forces and the great legislative genius of President Lyndon Baines Johnson, in winning the enactment of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Voting Rights Act of 1965, and

WHEREAS, The enemies of civil rights, namely, the John Birch Society, Ku Klux Klan, White Citizens Council, and a growing group of reactionary rightist forces are also the enemies of the trade union movement, led by the AFL-CIO; therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That this Sixth Constitutional Convention of the AFL-CIO go on record to support a Negro-labor alliance and that it be expanded and strengthened as a basis of a broad coalition of conscience composed by the three faiths, Jewish, Catholic and Protestant, to fight for labor's rights such as the repeal of Section 14 (b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, higher minimum wages and extended coverage, and the implementation of civil rights legislation.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY ABEL: Mr. Chairman, the Committee on Resolutions moves the adoption of this resolution, and I so move.

The motion was seconded.

PRESIDENT MEANY: You have heard the report of the Committee on Resolutions on Resolution 167, Civil Rights. The Chair recognizes Vice President Randolph.

VICE PRESIDENT A. PHILIP RANDOLPH: President Meany, fellow delegates to this convention: It has been two years since I last stood before this convention. These have been eventful and dramatic years for the civil rights revolution. Indeed, the social and political landscape of the entire country has been changed.

We have won the passage of two historic pieces of legislation—the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Voting Rights Act of 1965. Thus, after centuries of slavery, segregation and discrimination, the American people have spoken out unequivocally—not merely on behalf of the Negro but in the name of democracy itself. For when men are denied, on grounds of race, the elementary rights of due process and the right to vote, the moral foundations of that society are in doubt.

These victories are, first and foremost, the fruits of the struggle of the Negro people themselves. History will record that our gains, like those of labor, were not handed down from above but were wrested from reluctant hands through courage and sacrifice, often to limb and life.

Medgar Evers, William Moore, James Chaney, Michael Schwerner, Andrew Goodman, Lomuel Penn, Jimmy Lee Jackson, Mrs. Liuzzo, Reverend James Reeb, Jonathan Daniels, the four young girls of Birmingham—these are but some of those—black and white; Catholic, Protestant and Jewish—who have made the ultimate sacrifice, in the cause of freedom. President Meany, I request that this convention rise for a moment of silent tribute to the memory of these freedom fighters. . . .

Brothers and sisters, we know that more than courage and determination were required to secure the civil rights legislation. Mass action had to be mobilized. The great Selma-to-Montgomery March, which captured the conscience of the nation and the attention of the world, generated the dynamism behind
the Voting Rights Act of 1965. I am proud to tell you, brothers and sisters, that perhaps no single group was so distinctively and honorably present on that occasion as the representatives of the labor movement. President Meany sent Don Slaiman, director of our Civil Rights Department, with a delegation that included, among others, Dave Sullivan, Robert Powell and Charles Zimmerman.

And so, on the very steps of the capitol of Alabama, labor's voice was raised high and clear in the name of freedom. President Meany, I participated in that historic event, and I can tell you that nothing so inspired the embattled Negroes of Alabama with courage and confidence as the visible and dramatic support of the labor movement in those glorious days.

Not only in Alabama but in Washington, the political power and skill of the Negro's allies were indispensable. The fact of the matter is, brothers and sisters, that the AFL-CIO—under the able and forthright leadership of President Meany, Brother Reuther and the Executive Council—did a yeoman's job of lining up congressional support for the civil rights legislation of the past two years.

Special recognition is due to Andrew Biemiller who, working side by side with Clarence Mitchell, of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, and Joseph Rauh, Jr. of the Industrial Union Department, coordinated labor's lobbyists on Capitol Hill. Without their tireless efforts, we might not have won the battle. Let these hard facts be properly noted by the hostile critics of the labor movement who profess sympathy for the cause of racial equality.

Brothers and sisters, the Resolution on Civil Rights which is before you is a commendable document which reaffirms labor's commitment to racial justice and equality. It emphasizes what labor knows from experience—that the passage of good laws is not sufficient, that the laws must be vigorously and effectively enforced. The agencies responsible for administering the laws must be given the resources to discharge their responsibilities firmly. Where necessary, the laws must be strengthened through amendment. But we also know that the work of the civil rights movement has just begun. We rightly demand of the government that every barrier to the Negro's full participation in the nation's political life be struck down. Once those barriers have fallen, however, it is our task to mobilize the masses of Negroes at the ballot box.

This is labor's task as well. For when the full political potential of the Southern Negro is realized, the face of Congress will be radically changed. The Dixiecrat politicians who have joined with conservative Republicans in opposition to labor's legislative demands will have to sing a different tune—or find other jobs. Moreover, brothers and sisters, I submit to you that the continuation and expansion of the Negro voter registration campaign in the South lays the foundation for labor's drive to organize the unorganized in the South.

The last two years have plainly demonstrated that the Negro-labor alliance is not a one-way street. Virtually the entire national Negro leadership has put its weight heavily behind the congressional campaign for repeal of 14(b), for a 2-dollar minimum wage, for extension of the Fair Labor Standards Act, and for other labor demands. They have testified before congressional committees and their testimonies have been published and widely distributed by the Randolph Institute.

When the Negro leadership speaks out for labor's demands, they are not merely making a gesture in return for labor's support of civil rights. Rather we are bound together in a coalition of mutual interest. Negro workers need and demand the repeal of 14(b) now! And they know why they want it. They know that repeal of 14(b) helps clear the way for unionization of the South. They know that repeal of 14(b) is a step toward economic security and better living standards. They know that repeal of 14(b) means greater dignity on the job—freedom from exploitation and intimidation.

And they know full well where the die-hard resistance to repeal of 14(b) is coming from. It is coming from the greedy profiteers and the reactionary politicians who have tried to block the Negro's advance every step of the way. That is why, in Oklahoma and other states, the Negro vote was decisive in defeating so-called right to work laws. And I can promise you, brothers and
sisters, that throughout this land, the Negro revolution will join hands with labor in saying to the next Congress: Repeal 14(b) now!

And we say, too, that if there is any single step that will raise Negroes out of poverty, it is labor's demand for a national 2-dollar minimum wage. In this land of affluence, in the year 1965, two out of every three Negro families live in poverty and deprivation. In part, this fact reflects the astronomically high unemployment rates in the Negro community. But in most cases, the head of the Negro family is working—forty hard hours a week. He does not need to be lectured about self-help. Give him a decent wage, and he will be able to help himself. He will be able to keep his family together, to educate his children, to contribute to the well-being of the community. And he will be in a stronger position to struggle effectively for the dignity and the rights which he has been denied.

A national 2-dollar minimum wage, extended to millions now uncovered, would redress a shame of the nation. It would mean a revolution in southern racial and economic relations. It would weaken the position of runaway plants that locate in the South in order to escape unionism and exploit a cheap labor market. In many southern towns and cities, such companies become entrenched in the local power structures and fiercely resist civil rights efforts to change the status quo. The impact of a 2-dollar minimum wage on the ghetto-entrapped Negroes of the North would be no less revolutionary.

In short: if the civil rights revolution is to have meaning outside of the South—in the teeming slums of the cities, in the industrial centers of the nation—the needs of the dispossessed Negro masses must be hitched to the economic demands of labor.

More and more, the Negro leadership recognizes that of all the mass institutions in the nation, the labor movement holds out the greatest hope for progress in the daily conditions of life in the Negro community. Yet they do not forget for one moment that labor's own house is not yet entirely in order, that segregated locals and discriminatory practices still exist in some unions. The eradication of these conditions, noted in the resolution before you, must be high on your priority list in the coming year. We must not permit the existence of any barriers to expanded cooperation between labor and the civil rights movement; and we must not give any ammunition to labor's enemies.

The Civil Rights Department is to be congratulated for the vigor and effectiveness with which it has labored to eliminate the remaining discrimination in our ranks. Even before Title VII, the fair employment section of the Civil Rights Act, went into effect, the department was hard at work preparing international unions to cooperate in obtaining compliance with the law. At the same time, the AFL-CIO has called for a strengthening of the enforcement machinery of Title VII.

But more than your congratulations, Don Slaiman and the Civil Rights Department need your active support. Union locals must be encouraged to utilize the valuable technical assistance the department can provide.

Brothers and sisters, two years ago when I stood before you, I spoke of the impact of the technological revolution and its economic dislocations on the Negro community. I said then that large sections of the community, unskilled and uneducated, were being rendered economically obsolete and deprived of social dignity. I spoke of a growing underclass, lacking hope and leadership, bereft of any sense of a stake in the total society. And I said then that, if we listened carefully, we could hear the rumblings of that underclass.

I do not need to tell you that in these two years those rumblings are exploded into thunderous and wildly destructive violence, from the tenements of Harlem to the slums of Watts. That violence cannot be excused or defended, for it took a larger toll in life and limb than has the nonviolent movement in the South—and with less to show for it. But it serves no purpose simply to denounce the riots without trying to understand their causes. For, of this much we can be certain: if those causes are not identified and uprooted, radically and finally, we will be courting disaster.

Let me say that I have been greatly encouraged by President Johnson's speech at Howard University and by the Department of Labor's study on the Negro family. Both move in the right direction of pinpointing the social and economic roots of the Negro's discontent. To further explore these roots, the President has, as you know, scheduled a special White House Conference, of which I have the privilege of serving as honorary chairman.
This conference will give special attention to the problem of Negro family instability. This, brothers and sisters, is a major problem with deep historical roots. Under two centuries of slavery, the Negro family was systematically destroyed. The right of Negroes to marry is barely one hundred years old. Following slavery, a system of segregation was introduced which denied the Negro family, particularly the Negro male, even the rudiments of economic security. To this very day, many of our welfare laws encourage family desertion by Negro males.

I do not have to tell you how family breakups encourage delinquency, crime, school drop-outs, and many forms of destructive, anti-social behavior. But when all of this is said, the question remains: How do we strengthen the Negro family and the fabric of the Negro community?

Here again, I think the labor movement knows the answer at least as well as the sociologists and psychologists and other experts. The answer is jobs—decent jobs at decent wages. We know that when the Negro unemployment rate dropped during World War II, so did the Negro rate of divorce, of illegitimacy, and other indications of family instability. And so, brothers and sisters, the answer to Negro family instability and to Watts is the enactment of labor's programs for full and fair employment.

The record of the last ten years will show that we cannot look to the private sector of the economy to achieve the goal of full employment. But we can meet that goal through expansion of the public sector—through meeting the vast unmet social needs of the country. We can put the unemployed back to work by clearing our slums and rebuilding our cities, by building schools and hospitals, by modernizing and expanding mass transit facilities, by investing in flood control and by combating air pollution. We can open new jobs for the poor by expanding our social services.

We can achieve full employment by tearing down the physical environment of poverty and building a great society in its place. It was with this concept in mind that I proposed, at the planning session of the White House Conference, a national freedom budget of 100 billion dollars. This is a feasible and realistic budget, which has the support of leading labor economists. We have the means; we lack only the social imagination and the political will.

This is, above all, an imperative budget if we are serious about building the Great Society. As President Meany has said, "We must not let money stand in the way." Either we decide upon massive social investments now, or we face the incalculably more costly alternative of social disintegration and violence. In the long run, it is the budget-balancers and the tight-money boys who will prove to be the most impractical. Let me say right here that the recent action of the Federal Reserve Board may do more to dry up job opportunities for Negroes—not to mention whites—than the most overtly racist discrimination.

Brothers and sisters, I cannot close without commenting on a great danger that may lie ahead. In times of war or international crisis, as you know, there is a tendency to divert attention away from crying domestic needs and problems. Even now there are those who would exploit the perilous situation in Viet Nam for their own narrow political purposes. Already we have heard the reactionary voices of Senators Stennis and Russell and other segregationists. They call for a cutback in the war on poverty; they would push the struggle for racial equality off the stage of history; they feel strengthened in their opposition to labor's struggle for industrial democracy.

These tendencies must be vigorously fought. As President Meany has said, our efforts to resist Communist totalitarianism will require sacrifices and impose burdens. But those sacrifices and burdens must be evenly distributed. We must not place the heaviest loads on those least able to bear them—the poor and dispossessed, black and white. We must not now dash their new hopes for a place in the sun. We must press forward the struggle for justice and democracy at home while we pursue it abroad.

In recent weeks, the Negro leadership has gathered together to discuss the objectives and directions of the civil rights movement during this difficult period of transition. They have concluded that we need to press forward on three major fronts. First is to achieve economic security for the Negro family through full and fair employment. Second is to see to it that the civil rights legislation is vigorously implemented. Third is to secure full protection of individuals in the exercise of the constitutionally-guaranteed rights. There must be an immediate end to the brutalization and
murder of civil rights workers, and to a discriminatory jury system which makes a mockery of justice.

President Meany, the resolution before us speaks forthrightly and directly to these concerns of the Negro leadership. Not coincidentally, but by indisputable social logic, the forces of labor and civil rights again find themselves on the same side of the struggle for justice.

Brothers and sister, I urge the adoption of the Resolution on Civil Rights.

Thank you.

PRESIDENT MEANY: Thank you, Brother Randolph, for a clear statement of position supporting the AFL-CIO.

Is there further discussion? Those who favor the motion to adopt, signify aye. Contrary? It is carried and so ordered.


30. A "FREEDOM BUDGET" FOR ALL AMERICANS
Prepared by the
A. Philip Randolph Institute

Foreword

After many years of intense struggle in the courts, in legislative halls, and on the streets, we have achieved a number of important victories. We have come far in our quest for respect and dignity. But we have far to go.

The long journey ahead requires that we emphasize the needs of all America's poor, for there is no way merely to find work, or adequate housing, or quality-integrated schools for Negroes alone. We shall eliminate slums for Negroes when we destroy ghettos and build new cities for all. We shall eliminate unemployment for Negroes when we demand full and fair employment for all. We shall produce an educated and skilled Negro mass when we achieve a twentieth century educational system for all.

This human rights emphasis is an integral part of the Freedom Budget and sets, I believe, a new and creative tone for the great challenge we yet face.

The Southern Christian Leadership Conference fully endorses the Freedom Budget and plans to expend great energy and time in working for its implementation.

It is not enough to project the Freedom Budget. We must dedicate ourselves to the legislative task to see that it is immediately and fully achieved. I pledge myself to this task and will urge all others to do likewise. The Freedom Budget is essential if the Negro people are to make further progress. It is essential if we are to maintain social peace. It is a political necessity. It is a moral commitment to the fundamental principles on which this nation was founded.

October 26, 1966

Introduction

The "Freedom Budget" spells out a specific and factual course of action, step by step, to start in early 1967 toward the practical liquidation of poverty in the United States by 1975. The programs urged in the "Freedom Budget" attack all of the major causes of poverty -- unemployment and underemployment; substandard pay; inadequate social insurance and welfare payments to those who cannot or should not be employed; bad housing; deficiencies in health services, education, and training; and fiscal and monetary policies which tend to redistribute income regressively rather than progressively.

The "Freedom Budget" leaves no room for discrimination in any form, because its programs are addressed to all who need more opportunity and improved incomes and living standards -- not just to some of them.
The "Freedom Budget" differs from previous worthy efforts to set forth similar goals because it fuses general aspirations with quantitative content, and imposes time schedules. It deals not only with where we must go, but also with how fast and in what proportions. It measures costs against resources, and thus determines feasible priorities. It is not only a call to action, but also a schedule for action.

The "Freedom Budget," however, is not self-executing. It defines programs around which can be rallied all those individuals and groups who favor these programs and their objectives. But even with this convergence of forces, these individuals and groups will need to assume the political task of impressing upon their Government the obligation to undertake promptly the needed legislative and Executive programs. As is stressed throughout, improved operations under the Employment Act of 1946, commencing at once, must be the first focal point of the implementation process. The "Freedom Budget" is thus an imperative call to national action -- now.

Why do we call this a "Freedom Budget?"

The language evokes the struggle of the civil rights movement, its vision of social justice and equality, its militant determination that these goals be rapidly and forthrightly achieved. This is the vision and determination that underlies the "Freedom Budget" and must propel any genuine war on poverty. The moral issues in this war are no less compelling than those of the battle against racism.

We call this a "Freedom Budget" in recognition that poverty and deprivation, as surely as denial of the right to vote, are erosive of human freedom and of democracy. In our affluent nation, even more than in the rest of the world, economic misery breeds the most galling discontent, mocking and undermining faith in political and civil rights. Here in these United States, where there can be no economic nor technological excuse for it, poverty is not only a private tragedy but in a sense a public crime. It is above all a challenge to our morality.

We call this a "Freedom Budget" because it embodies programs which are essential to the Negro and other minority groups striving for dignity and economic security in our society. But their legitimate aspirations cannot be fulfilled in isolation. The abolition of poverty (almost three-quarters of whose U.S. victims are white) can be accomplished only through action which embraces the totality of the victims of poverty, neglect, and injustice. Nor can the goals be won by segmental or ad hoc programs alone; there is need for welding such programs into a unified and consistent program.

The main beneficiaries will be the poor themselves. But in the process everyone will benefit, for poverty is not an isolated circumstance affecting only those entrapped by it. It reflects -- and affects -- the performance of our national economy, our rate of economic growth, our ability to produce and consume, the condition of our cities, the levels of our social services and needs, the very quality of our lives. Materially as well as spiritually, a society afflicted by poverty deprives all of its citizens of security and well-being.

In this war, too, we encounter the pessimists and the tokenists, those who counsel "gradualism" and those who urge piecemeal and haphazard remedies for deep-rooted and persistent evils. Here again, "gradualism" becomes an excuse for not beginning or for beginning on a base too small to support the task, and for not setting goals; and the scattered, fragmented remedies, lacking priorities and coordination, often work at cross purposes.

In the economic and social realm, no less than in the political, justice too long delayed is justice denied. We propose and insist that poverty in America can and therefore must be abolished within ten years.

The means toward this end are spelled out in the following pages, prepared in cooperation with some of the nation's outstanding experts. There may be minor disagreements with regard to statistical data, analysis, and policy proposals, even among those endorsing the "Freedom Budget" in this publication. These individuals subscribe only to the broad directions of the "Freedom Budget," and are too imbued with a sense of urgency to cavil about the details. But this limitation is not intended to imply lukewarmness in terms of urgency, nor to question that in its major aspects the "Freedom Budget" is essentially sound and imperative.
The "Freedom Budget" contends that this nation has the resources to abolish poverty, for the first time in human history, and to do so within a decade. Indeed, the very process of abolishing poverty will add enormously to our resources, raising the living standard of Americans at all income levels. By serving our unmet social needs — in slum clearance and housing, education and training, health, agriculture, natural resources and regional development, social insurance and welfare programs — we can achieve and sustain a full employment economy (itself the greatest single force against poverty) and a higher rate of economic growth, while simultaneously tearing down the environment of poverty. All of these problems interact, whether viewed as causes or results, and they are in truth both.

Only such a massive and sustained program — which sees poverty in terms of the national economy, and not only in terms of the personal characteristics of the poor — can bring success. Goals must be set, along with timetables for achieving them. We must plan the allocation of our resources in accord with our priorities as a nation and a people.

The economic impact of the war in Vietnam and the attendant question of inflation are discussed subsequently and need not be taken up here, except to point out that the "Freedom Budget" is not predicated on cutbacks in national defense nor on one or another position regarding the Vietnam conflict, which is basically a thorny question to be viewed in its own terms. The fundamental proposition is that the broad approaches of the "Freedom Budget" can and should be implemented, whether or not an early termination of the Vietnam conflict is achieved, or even were there to be substantial increase in its economic and financial burdens.

Clearly, however, there are those who would use the Vietnam war and the issue of inflation as sharp weapons to force cut-backs or dangerous slowdowns in the needed rate of expansion of domestic social spending. Their cries might attract more notice had they not, in too many instances, been vociferous opponents of Great Society programs prior to the stepped-up American involvement in Vietnam. And as for the problem of inflation, to the extent it augments, the Federal Government has at its command selective measures to combat it which do not require impairment of Great Society programs of needed magnitudes. If the progress of inflationary pressures should indicate that we are trying to do more than our rapidly-advancing productive resources will support, then a legitimate effort against inflation means cut-backs of the relatively nonessential, not of the vital. A simulated campaign against inflation which punishes those who need help most reveals the crocodile tears of those who bewail how inflation hurts the unfortunate. It is important that thinking Americans recognize the selfish character of any assault on needed domestic programs.

As we reject these selfish pleas, so must we reject another argument emanating from different quarters, to the effect that the termination of the Vietnam conflict is the prerequisite for acceleration of domestic social programs. We all desire that termination, on safe and honorable terms; nevertheless, the argument just cited, no less than that of economic conservatives, would hitch the aspirations and long-denied needs of the poor to the outcome of the Vietnam war — a dependency which, we repeat, is neither economically necessary nor morally defensible.

Those drafting this "Freedom Budget" have sought to outline, objectively and fully, the steps required for the abolition of poverty in America. It may be argued that the "Freedom Budget" is too ambitious to be "politically feasible." We contend that the proper question is whether the persistence of poverty is any longer feasible. Can we afford another Watts, and what has happened since? How many examples of seething discontent do we need before we move earnestly to provide jobs for all, clear the slums, rebuild our cities, overcome the shortages of schools and hospitals, and reverse the neglect of our other social needs? Who, only a few short years ago, would have acknowledged the "political feasibility" of the tremendous legislative victories of the civil rights movement in our own day?

These breakthroughs were not won by those who thought narrowly of what was "politically feasible," but by those who placed the moral issues squarely before the American people. Having stated the issues clearly, they forged a mighty coalition among the civil rights and labor movements, liberal and religious forces, students and intellectuals -- the coalition expressed in the historic 1963 March on Washington for Jobs and Freedom.
Social progress is always the trusteeship of those battling constantly to lift the level of "political feasibility." A nation can decay, as all history shows, if the level of "feasibility" is kept too far below what is required to survive and advance. We must ask, not only what is feasible by whom, but also what is needed by whom.

To the full goals of the 1963 March the "Freedom Budget" is dedicated. Within this coalition of conscience the strength must be mobilized for the implementation of this "Freedom Budget" for all Americans.

A. Philip Randolph

I. The "Freedom Budget" In Brief

Basic principles

The "Freedom Budget" stems from seven basic principles:

1. Freedom on the American scene must include what Franklin D. Roosevelt called "freedom from want." This can be achieved, not by the power of any one group, but by the power of a fully-employed U.S. economy plus the power of the aroused conscience of the American people;

2. "Freedom from want" for an increasing majority of our citizens is not good enough; it must embrace all. Our economy is rich enough, and should be just enough, to reject as intolerable the ghetto within stone's throw of the duplex apartment; the alien worlds of slums and suburbs; the unemployment rate four times as high in some localities as in the nation at large; the millions receiving substandard wages despite many thousands of millionaires; the low-income farmers despite luxury restaurants; the poverty among 34 million and the deprivation among another 28 million, in a land where median family income is now close to $7,000, and where the families in the top income fifth have eight times as much income as the families in the lowest income fifth. We have already received tragic warning that there is no prospect for domestic tranquility in a nation divided between the affluent and the desperately poor;

3. The U.S. economy has the productive power to abolish "freedom from want" by 1975, not by pulling down those at the top but by lifting those at the bottom, if we start now and do our best. What we have the power to do, we will in fact do, if we care enough about doing it. The real issue is neither economic nor financial, but moral;

4. Our economy is now too abundant for the poverty or deprivation still afflicting almost a third of a nation to be explained mainly by the personal characteristics of the victims. True, personal deficiencies have a bearing upon the economic condition of many individuals. But it is even more true that deficiencies in nationwide policies and programs, evidencing a default in the national conscience, spawn and perpetuate these personal deficiencies. Just as malaria has been stamped out more by clearing swamps than by injecting quinine, the main attack upon poverty and deprivation must deal more with the nationwide environment than with the individual. Beyond this, the modern technology has advanced to the point where every American should enjoy "freedom from want," regardless of personal characteristics;

5. While "freedom from want" by 1975 will require action at all levels, private and public, the leadership role must be taken by our Federal Government. It alone represents all the people. Its policies and programs exert the most powerful single influence upon economic performance and social thinking. We accept this principle without question during a total war against external enemies. A "war against poverty" establishes the same principle on the domestic front;

6. A war against want cannot be won with declarations of intent. It cannot be won with token or inadequate programs which identify areas of need, but apply policies and programs which only scratch the surface. It demands specific quantitative goals, fully responsive to the need, and commitment to their attainment;

7. This war against want must be color blind. Negroes will benefit most relative to their numbers because, for reasons not of their making, want is most heavily concentrated among them. But in absolute numbers, the
vast majority of those yearning for release from want are white. And those already free from want, both white and nonwhite, cannot enjoy fully the benefits of economic progress and the blessings of democracy until "freedom from want" becomes universal throughout the land.

Basic objectives

Founded upon these principles, the seven basic objectives of the "Freedom Budget" are these:

(1) To restore full employment as rapidly as possible, and to maintain it thereafter, for all able and willing to work, and for all whom adequate training and education would make able and willing. This means an unemployment rate below 3 percent by early 1968, and preferably 2 percent. Full 40 percent of all U.S. poverty is due directly to inadequate employment opportunity, and involuntary unemployment is corrosive to the human spirit;

(2) To assure adequate incomes for those employed. About 20 percent of all U.S. poverty is among the working poor (including their dependents) who receive substandard wages. In addition, millions of farm families and others in rural areas have substandard incomes. Treatment of these problems depends primarily upon Federal legislation;

(3) To guarantee a minimum adequacy level of income to all those who cannot or should not be gainfully employed. About 40 percent of all U.S. poverty is among those who cannot or should not work because of age or other disabling factors. More than 13 percent of all U.S. poverty is among families headed by women who should not work. Until, under Federal auspices, we achieve such a guaranteed income, there should be immediate and vast improvements in all Social Security and welfare programs, with much larger Federal participation;

(4) To wipe out the slum ghettos, and provide a decent home for every American family, within a decade. Foul housing is both cause and consequence of poverty. It breeds resentment and unrest. Housing and urban renewal, on a scale matching the need, would also make the largest single contribution to job creation in the face of job displacement by technological trends elsewhere in the economy. It would accent the types of jobs most suitable for absorbing those now most vulnerable to unemployment;

(5) To provide, for all Americans, modern medical care and educational opportunity up to the limits of their abilities and ambitions, at costs within their means. The shortage of personnel and facilities upon enactment of Medicare (which helps only the aged portion of the population) speaks for itself. Many schools in our great cities are a shambles;

(6) To overcome other manifestations of neglect in the public sector, by purifying our airs and waters, and bringing our transportation systems and natural resource development into line with the needs of a growing population and an expanding economy. This, too, would provide the types of jobs most suited to reducing unemployment. Along with housing and urban renewal, it would immensely improve the living conditions even of those who already enjoy "freedom from want" in a more limited sense;

(7) To unite sustained full employment with sustained full production and high economic growth. This is essential, in order that "freedom from want" may be achieved, not by robbing Peter to pay Paul, but under conditions which bring progress to all.

The key role of our Federal Government

The "Freedom Budget" sets forth the above seven basic objectives in specific and quantitative terms. It sets time schedules for their accomplishment. It establishes their feasibility by means of a balance sheet of all of our needs and resources, with due allowance for all of our other private and public undertakings and aspirations as a nation and a people.

In this way, the "Freedom Budget" is a call to action. But the response to this call must take the form of national programs and policies, with the Federal Government exercising that leadership role which is consistent with our history, our institutions, and our needs. The six prime elements in this Federal responsibility are now set forth.
Beginning with 1967, the President's Economic Reports should embody the equivalent of a "Freedom Budget." These Reports should quantify ten-year goals for full employment and full production, for the practical liquidation of U.S. poverty by 1975, for wiping out the slum ghettos, and indeed for each of the seven basic objectives set forth in the "Freedom Budget." With due allowance for private and public performance at other levels, but with a firm determination by our Federal Government to close the gaps, all major Federal economic, financial, and social policies -- including the Federal Budget -- should be geared to attainment of these ten-year goals, starting at once in realistic magnitudes;

(2) The bedrock civilized responsibility rests with our Federal Government to guarantee sustained full employment. The Government should at once and continuously lead in organizing and financing enough job-creating activities to close the gap between full employment and employment provided at other public and private levels. None of these Federally-created jobs need to be made-work, because our unmet needs in the public sector are large enough to absorb beneficially this Federal effort. Training programs, to be effective, must be synchronized with job creation;

(3) The Federal Government should exert the full weight of its authority toward immediate enactment of a Federal minimum wage of $2.00 an hour, with coverage extended to the uppermost constitutional limits of Federal power. This would be a moderate start toward eradication of substandard living standards among millions of those employed;

(4) A new farm program, with accent upon incomes rather than prices, should focus upon parity of income for farmers and liquidation of farm poverty by 1975. More than 43 percent of all farm families now live in poverty, contrasted with only 13 percent of all nonfarm families;

(5) To lift out of poverty and also above deprivation those who cannot or should not be employed, there should be a Federally-initiated and supported guaranteed annual income, to supplement rather than to supplant full-employment at decent pay. The anti-poverty goal alone involves lifting almost all multiple-person families above $3,130 by 1975. Pending this, there should be immediate and vast improvements in all Social Security and welfare programs, with greatly enlarged Federal contributions to all of them, including old-age insurance and assistance, general public assistance, special-purpose public assistance, unemployment insurance, and workmen's compensation;

(6) Fiscal and monetary policies should be readjusted to place far more weight upon distributive justice. The massive Federal tax reductions in recent years tended to redistribute income with undue concern for those high up in the income structure, and inadequate concern for those lower down. State and local taxes and indirect taxes are so regressive -- they bear with such excessive weight upon low-income people -- that we should make the Federal income tax much more progressive than now. The decision to rely so heavily upon tax reduction and so little upon increased domestic spending to stimulate the economy was undesirable; it lowered our capacity to serve some of the greatest priorities of our national needs which depend upon public spending and are hardly helped by tax reduction. The current monetary policy does little to curb the excesses in the economy, and places a severe handicap upon activities of utmost urgency, especially housing. The sharply rising interest rates help those most who need help least, and hurt those most who need help most, because it is the lower income people who depend most upon borrowing. We cannot afford to neglect equity and social considerations in fiscal and monetary policies which transfer billions of dollars every year from some to others. Improved income distribution also helps the whole economy.

The "economic growth dividend" in the "Freedom Budget":

uses of this dividend

We cannot enjoy what we do not produce. The "Freedom Budget" recognizes that all of the goals which it sets must be supported by the output of the U.S. economy. This output should grow greatly from year to year, under policies designed to assure sustained maximum employment, production, and purchasing power in accord with the objectives of the Employment Act of 1946. With such policies, our total national production (measured in 1964 dollars) should rise from about 663 billion in 1965 to 1,085-1,120 billion by
1975. This would mean, for the ten years 1966-1976 inclusive, a level of total national production averaging annually 231.5-244.2 billion dollars higher, and aggregating over the ten years 2,315-2,442 billion dollars higher, than if total production remained during these ten years at the 1965 rate. This aggregate ten-year figure of 2,315-2,442 billion dollars is the "economic growth dividend" upon which the "Freedom Budget" draws to fulfill its purposes.

The "Freedom Budget" does not contemplate that this "economic growth dividend" be achieved by revolutionary nor even drastic changes in the division of responsibility between private enterprise and government under our free institutions. To illustrate, in 1965, 63.7 percent of our total national production was in the form of private consumer outlays, 16.5 percent in the form of private investment, and 19.8 percent in the form of public outlays at all levels for goods and services. Under the "higher" goals in the "Freedom Budget," these relationships in 1975 would be 63.5 percent, 16.9 percent, and 19.6 percent.

But while the "Freedom Budget" will not be regarded as socialistic, it is indeed socially-minded. It insists that we must make deliberate efforts to assure that, through combined private and public efforts, a large enough proportion of this "economic growth dividend" shall be directed toward the great priorities of our national needs: liquidation of private poverty, restoration of our cities, abolition of slum ghettos, improvement of rural life, and removal of the glaring deficiencies in facilities and services in "the public sector" of our economy. The "Freedom Budget" thus has moral as well as materialistic purposes.

The use of only a fair and moderate portion of this "economic growth dividend" to support the great priority purposes in the "Freedom Budget" makes it clear that even those who are already affluent or wealthy would not be penalized in any way in order to accomplish these great priority purposes. Entirely to the contrary, they would continue to enjoy what they have now, and also share largely and directly in the "economic growth dividend" itself. They would also benefit indirectly in multiple ways by the portions of the "economic growth dividend" used to support these great national priorities.

A few examples will serve to prove the point that only fair and moderate portions of the "economic growth dividend" would be used to support these great national priorities. To cite one outstanding example, based upon the deplorable conditions in our cities and the slum ghettos and rural slums, the "Freedom Budget" proposes that total private and public investment in residential structures and related community improvements (measured in 1964 dollars) average annually during the ten years 1966-1975 about 53 billion dollars, or about 530 billion in the aggregate for the ten years. On an average annual basis, this would be about 23 billion dollars higher than about 30 billion of such investment in 1965, and over the ten years it would aggregate about 230 billion dollars higher than if such investment were maintained throughout these ten years at the 1965 rate. This 230 billion dollars would be less than one-tenth of the economic growth dividend of 2,315-2,442 billion dollars. This is a very moderate share of that "economic growth dividend" to apply to a program which would come close to obliterating the slums and providing a decent home for every American family by 1975, and would make the most powerful single contribution toward the rescue of our cities and the creation of employment opportunity.

The portion of this investment in residential structures and related community improvements which would be galvanized by the public subsidies (at all levels) needed to rehouse those among the poor and deprived who live in slums would average annually during the ten years 1966-1975 about 10 billion dollars, or about 100 billion dollars in the aggregate for the ten years. On an average annual basis, this would be about 9 billion higher than about one billion of such investment in 1965, and over the ten years it would aggregate about 90 billion higher than if such investment were maintained throughout the ten years at the 1965 rate. This 90 billion dollars is in the neighborhood of one-twenty sixth of the "economic growth dividend."

To take another very significant example, the term "transfer payments" is used to describe those programs such as Social Security and other welfare payments which are designed in the final analysis to transfer a fair portion of the national income toward those who need help most. The "Freedom Budget" proposes that these transfer payments average annually during the ten years
1966-75 close to 23.8 billion dollars higher than the 1965 rate of 38.5 billion, or aggregate during the ten years about 238 billion higher than if the 1965 rate were maintained during these ten years. This 238 billion dollars is only in the neighborhood of one-tenth of the "economic growth dividend."

To take a third example, the "Freedom Budget" proposes a wide variety of programs, private and public, which would come close to the liquidation of U.S. poverty by 1975. In the final analysis, whatever means may be adopted, the liquidation of poverty depends primarily upon increasing the incomes of those who are now poor. It has been estimated reliably that the 34 million American poor would need to receive annually about 13 billion dollars more in income than they now receive to be lifted out of the poverty cellar. In the aggregate during the ten years 1966-1975, this would come to about 130 billion dollars. This 130 billion dollars is only in the neighborhood of one-eighth of the "economic growth dividend."

These three illustrations make it clear that the accomplishment of these high priority goals, and the other priority goals set forth in the "Freedom Budget," would involve so small a portion of the "economic growth dividend" that there will be room and to spare despite these priority programs for enormous progress for all. More than that, every program designed to eliminate "freedom from want," including home construction and increasing the purchasing power of our senior citizens and of the poor generally, will contribute to economic growth and enlarge opportunities for private investment and profits.

Responsibilities of the Federal Budget

While the "Freedom Budget" is based upon combined private and public efforts, the Federal Budget is the most powerful single instrument of national economic and social policy. It profoundly influences the economic climate in which private enterprise operates. It speaks for the needs and aspirations of all the people, and identifies our great national priorities to a degree that they cannot elsewhere be identified.

The "Freedom Budget" proposes a Federal Budget which (measured in 1964 dollars) should rise from 104.045 billion dollars (112.8 billion in current dollars) as contained in the original fiscal 1967 Federal Budget (which is several billions too low) to 135 billion dollars in calendar 1970, and 155 billion in calendar 1975.

The proposals for national defense, space technology, and all international do not represent independent determinations in the "Freedom Budget," but merely reflect the composite judgment of informed experts, and make liberal allowances for increases now in prospect. All of the other proposals are based upon determinations within the "Freedom Budget" as to what part of our "economic growth dividend" should be devoted to priorities which depend upon the Federal Budget.

Total proposed Federal outlays include a Federal contribution of one billion dollars in 1970, and two billion in 1975, to help increase benefit payments to the aged under the Old-Age, Survivors, Disability, and Health Insurance program.

The following table reveals the "Freedom Budget" proposals for the Federal Budget (measured in 1964 dollars).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total $</td>
<td>Per Capita</td>
<td>Total $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Federal Outlays</td>
<td>104.1</td>
<td>521.79</td>
<td>135.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Defense, Space Technology, All International</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>323.77</td>
<td>77.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Domestic Programs</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>198.04</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Opportunity Program</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total $ Per</td>
<td>Total $ Per</td>
<td>Total $ Per</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bil. $ Capita</td>
<td>Bil. $ Capita</td>
<td>Bil. $ Capita</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture and Natural Resources</td>
<td>5.9 29.75</td>
<td>10.5 50.24</td>
<td>12.0 53.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>2.6 13.10</td>
<td>7.0 33.49</td>
<td>9.5 42.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Services and Research</td>
<td>3.3 16.74</td>
<td>4.8 22.97</td>
<td>7.0 30.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Assistance; Labor, Manpower, and Other Welfare Services</td>
<td>4.4 21.92</td>
<td>6.6 31.58</td>
<td>7.5 33.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above proposals for the Federal Budget will seem excessive only to those who do not appreciate the growing productive powers of the U.S. economy, under conditions of sustained full employment and full production.

For the ten years 1966-1975 inclusive, Federal outlays as proposed in the "Freedom Budget" would average annually about 35.5 billion dollars higher, and over the ten years aggregate about 355 billion dollars higher, than if the Federal Budget remained stationary during these ten years at its 1965 size of 98.7 billion (calendar years, 1964 dollars). This 335 billion dollars would be only about one-seventh of the "economic growth dividend."

Moreover, when the outlays for national defense, space technology, and all international are excluded from the proposed Federal Budget, the "Freedom Budget" proposals for all domestic programs in the Federal Budget would average annually only about 18.5 billion dollars higher, and over the ten years aggregate only about 185 billion dollars higher, than if Federal Budget outlays for these domestic programs remained stationary at their 1965 size of 37.7 billion. This 185 billion dollars would be only about one-thirteenth of the "economic growth dividend."

Allowing for some proposed decreases in Budget outlays (e.g. lower interest rates), about 8 percent of the "economic growth dividend" is earmarked by the "Freedom Budget" for increases, above the 1965 level, for all programs in the Federal Budget addressed to the war against want. This is modest indeed. It should also be noted that this about 8 percent, or about 18.7 billion dollars on an annual average basis, comes to only about 2 percent of the 184.2-906.9 billion dollars which our total national production should average annually, 1966-1975. What could better illustrate that the whole question of whether we "can afford" the "Freedom Budget" is a moral question and not an economic issue?

The proposed Federal Budget would be only 15.38-15.51 percent of the total national production in calendar 1970, and only 13.84-14.29 percent in calendar 1975, contrasted with an average of 16.16 percent during the fiscal years 1954-1967. Thus, the size of the Federal Budget relative to the size of the total economy would tend to decline somewhat. However, Budget outlays for all domestic programs would rise from 5.65 percent of total national production in 1954-1967 to 6.55-6.61 percent in 1970 and 6.03-6.22 percent in 1975.

In short, the Federal Budget as proposed in the "Freedom Budget" would not at all distort our traditional concepts of the appropriate relationships among Federal public outlays at State and local levels, and private outlays. It would not distort our traditional concepts of a "mixed economy," based upon responsible free government and responsible free enterprise. It would merely use the Federal Budget as a primary instrument toward balanced economic growth and improved social justice.

Looked at even more broadly, the whole program set forth in the "Freedom Budget" would not subtract from the income of anyone. It would facilitate progress for practically all, but with accent upon the dictates of the social conscience that those at the bottom of the heap should make relatively the most progress.
Specific full employment goals

The first imperative step toward utilizing in full our productive potentials is to restore full employment by early 1968 at the latest, and to sustain it thereafter. This must take care of population growth. It must deal not only with full-time and officially-recorded employment, but also with the full-time equivalent of part-time unemployment and the concealed unemployment which results from those who are not participating in the labor force (and who therefore are not counted as unemployed) because of scarcity of job opportunity. For example, in late 1966, full-time unemployment of about 4 percent meant a true level of unemployment of about 5½-6 percent. This means that, compared with 1965, total employment (measured in its full-time equivalent) must be about 4.6 million higher in 1967, 9.3 million higher in 1970, and 16.6 million higher in 1975. The total number of new jobs which must be created is much greater, to allow also for those who will be displaced from old jobs by technological changes.

Specific goals for liquidation of U.S. poverty

Designating the benefits of sustained full employment and full production as a means towards all other objectives, the first end priority in the "Freedom Budget" is the practical liquidation of U.S. poverty. Moving adequately toward this goal in every year beginning now, the poverty of about 34 million people in 1964 can and therefore should be reduced to only slightly more than 2 million in 1975. The rest of the goal can be achieved shortly thereafter.

The anti-poverty program, stemming from the Office of Economic Opportunity, should be improved qualitatively and greatly augmented quantitatively. But at best, this program touches only one small aspect of the poverty problem as a whole, which requires for its solution the coordinated utilization of all major national economic policies. This is true both with respect to a full-scale war against poverty in the form of enlarging the private incomes of the poor, and a full-scale war against poverty in the form of programs in the public sector.

Programs designed to improve income distribution are vital to the liquidation of poverty. From the purely economic viewpoint, full resource use cannot be sustained if the situation persists as it was in 1964, when the highest income fifth of all U.S. multiple-person families received 41 percent of total multiple-person family income, and the highest two-fifths 65 percent, while the lowest fifth received only 5 percent and the lowest two-fifths only 17 percent. In terms of social justice, such maldistribution is intolerable. Practically all major national economic policies affect income distribution, and should be used to affect it progressively, not regressively.

Specific goals for wiping out the slum ghettos

The most fundamental approach to "freedom from want" is guaranteed full employment, plus guaranteed annual incomes for those who cannot be employed. But other efforts in the war against want are essential.

The first of these is to wipe out the slum ghettos which are both the roots and offshoots of poverty, while their replacement would also make the largest single contribution to sustained full employment and the rescue of the urban environment from deterioration and decay.

Traditionally-financed private housing for middle-income and high-income families should average about 1.3 million a year. But we should start moving upward now toward about 400,000 starts of lower-middle income housing in 1968, and about 500,000 in 1970 and on through 1975. This calls for large increases in public outlays for land acquisition and some other purposes, use of Federal loans or credit and other action to drive interest rates downward, and a long-range planned program. Above all, housing starts for low-income families, with annual subsidies to bridge the difference between the annual cost of what these families can afford to pay without excessive strains upon their overall budgets and what decent housing costs, should be lifted year by year to at least 400,000 in 1968, and 500,000 in 1970 and on through 1975, compared with less than one-tenth this amount in most recent years. With about 21 million new homes, 1966-1975 (inclusive), almost all American families should enjoy
decent homes by 1975. Allowing for feasible increases in State and local efforts, the basic thrust in this connection must come from Federal financial assistance.

Investment in health services, education, and training

Public responsibility, especially at the Federal level, must move immediately and at an accelerating rate toward increased investment in our human resources in the form of health services, education, and training.

Granted the advance represented by Medicare, we need approximately to double within ten years the annual rate of outlays for hospital construction, and to increase at least 50 percent by 1975 the annual number of physician graduates. Seriously inadequate medical care, due to the cost factor, still handicaps about 40 percent of our population. The battle should now be resumed for a nationwide system of health insurance.

For at least six years ahead, we need a construction program very conservatively estimated at more than 100,000 public school classrooms (with related facilities) a year, requiring outlays of about 27 billion dollars. We need about 100,000 new teachers a year in the public schools. We need vast enlargements in facilities and also in teachers at higher levels, accompanied by public financial aid to hundreds of thousands of young people who possess every innate endowment to go to college but lack the means.

Many types of training programs, including vocational, should also be expanded greatly. But we have learned from World War II experience, and experience during other periods of full employment, that the problem of training is reduced to manageable proportions when job opportunities are not lacking. Moreover, a ten-year projection of the volume and structure of full-employment requirements would show us better what to train people for. Training them for jobs which do not materialize adds to frustration and discontent.

Lifting our welfare services to meet the need

This summary cannot detail all of the deficiencies in the nationwide medley of welfare services. Many of them are both inadequate and degrading. They institutionalize poverty instead of fighting it. The largest group of those dependent upon organized public payments are our senior citizens. About two-thirds of them live in poverty, and receive benefit payments (upon which most of them depend almost entirely for their livelihoods) averaging somewhere between one-third and one-half of the income required to lift them above poverty.

With large Federal contributions to offset in part the undesirable features of payroll taxes which take away with one hand in order to give with the other, the average old-age insurance benefits should be approximately doubled within five years. Other types of welfare payments should be increased in similar manner. As already indicated, we should start working now toward replacing this medley of inadequate efforts with a more universal and unified system of guaranteed incomes for those who cannot or should not be gainfully employed.

Improving rural life

The extraordinary concentration of poverty in rural America, the economic disenfranchisement of a majority of our farm people, and the lag in public services in rural areas even relative to the gross deficiencies in urban areas, call for both general and specialized approaches. Most of these point ultimately to Federal responsibility, both in the form of a drastically reconstructed national farm policy and Federal equalization policies designed to help the poorer areas of the nation serve public needs. Underemployment is rife in agriculture. Hired farm workers, especially migratory, are among the most neglected and exploited people in America.

How to contain inflation

The "Freedom Budget" is not neglectful of the problem of inflation. Its attainment would not generate the classical type of inflation which results from attempting to do more than our productive resources can support, because the "Freedom Budget" goals for economic growth are based upon reasonably
conservative estimates of the growth in the civilian labor force and in productivity. The high degree of price stability 1961-1965, compared with recurrent inflationary trends during 1953-1961, indicates that an adequate rate of economic growth is more conducive to price stability than an inadequate rate of economic growth punctuated by recessions. The reappearance of considerable price inflation 1965-1966, at a time when the real rate of economic growth was beginning to slow down, and when idleness of manpower and other productive resources was still too high, indicates in the main that the selective price inflation during this period was not due to excessive pressure upon our productive resources but rather to business decisions to increase prices which were not justified in view of very high profit levels. Indeed, some of these price increases may have reflected the desire of some industries to "get while the getting was good" in the face of some important signs of economic softening. The remedy for this type of selective inflation is to take selective measures to curb it, not to abandon the essential goal of adequate economic growth and full employment and production.

But even if this analysis is not entirely correct, this would not negate the urgency of achieving all of the great priority programs quantified in the "Freedom Budget." It would simply mean that we should use tax policy and other measures to restrain marginal enjoyments instead of sacrificing what we need most. There is plenty of room in the U.S. economy for social justice; and if the total productive powers of the economy are hard-pressed, that is all the more reason to put first things first. We did not starve munition production to fight inflation during World War II; we should not dull the weapons in the war against want if we need to fight inflation now.

The challenge, 1966-1975

If the "Freedom Budget" becomes the living law of our national economic and social goals, policies, and programs, we can convert an abundance which already exceeds the most fanciful expectations of a decade ago into an America by 1975 where poverty has come close to total abolition; where every American enjoys a decent home in a suitable living environment; where our cities have become places in which to live instead of places to move out of as rapidly as possible; in which the educational and health services enjoyed by all of the people will be abreast of the advances in knowledge and science; in which the poisons will have been extracted from our airs and waters; in which our natural resources and means of transportation will be conserved and replenished; and in which the incomes of all, while by no means equal, will be equal to the requirements for living without want or economic fear, by virtue of employment for those willing and able, and by other appropriate methods for those not able to be employed.

Most important of all, we shall have recognized that the foundation of a Great Society is a Just Society. The "Freedom Budget" does not ask for the moon, but only for justice here on earth, in a land so well able to afford it.

II. Why We Need A "Freedom Budget"

Identity of our domestic and international purposes

The American people today are torn by two seemingly conflicting purposes. On the one hand, they have been stirred to their depths by the "war against poverty" and by other splendid goals of the Great Society. They want to move forward toward these goals, and regard this properly as an essential economic and social follow-through on recent progress toward civil rights in racial relations. On the other hand, many of them believe that we must slow down instead of speed up the practical pursuit of these objectives, because of the war in Vietnam and the rising costs of national defense and related activities. Current national policies and programs, in the main, embody this viewpoint. So long as this seeming conflict is not resolved, we the American people remain divided among ourselves.

I. Discussion: Crisis in the Civil Rights Movement

The opening discussion was on the nature of the civil rights movement and its effects on the work of the Institute. Commentary among the group was, in essence, as follows:

Irving Bluestone felt that the emergence of the black power concept and the failure of society to meet the needs of the Negro people has created a crisis of strategy and tactics. At the present time the coalition has essentially fallen apart. We are concerned with helping to develop the talents of young people. It is imperative for the Institute to establish a concrete program on which all groups can cooperate, i.e., the Freedom Budget.

Vivian Henderson agreed that we do have a crisis and ought to recognize it. There is an absence of program which creates a vacuum. He is not convinced that the local political leadership has a program. The mayor of Atlanta did not address himself to the program and conditions that led to violence. We must get programs not only at the federal level, but also at the local level.

Don Slaiman said that the civil rights movement has to move from protest to politics and work for implementation of existing legislation. Unless the federal government moves, there is going to be less done by private groups. There has to be increased attention paid to continuing and expanding the job of implementation on the federal level and the grass roots level. He raised the question of how to revitalize the coalition between labor, liberals and civil rights.

Ralph Helstein stated that we need local grievance committees to work at the implementation of civil rights legislation. The Freedom Budget is essential, but there is another question. What do you do at the local level, in terms of organizing the communities? The Freedom Budget is not enough; there must be something more. Civil rights leaders need to look at themselves and ask themselves if they are doing the kind of job that needs to be done in mass movements. At a meeting in Chicago on fair housing, no effort was made to reach people on a logical basis.

Irving Bluestone raised several questions: Where do you go from here? The ability to get finances is receding and it takes money to run a revolution. How do you mobilize broad organizational support? Is the Freedom Budget and the approach beyond the intellectual reach of the average person? He felt that people are getting scared and disaffected and, as a result, money is drying up. The Institute should call a meeting to discuss ways and means of rallying around the Freedom Budget.

Bayard Rustin said that along with implementation we must also fight for new legislation.

II. Annual Report

Mr. Rustin continued with a report of the activities of the Institute during the past year, including meetings and consultations held, publications prepared and issued, and statements drafted.

He reported that the Institute has prepared and published testimony on behalf of the $2.00 minimum wage, extended unemployment benefits, Repeal of 148, and the 1966 Civil Rights Act. More than 150,000 copies
of the joint testimony has been distributed to labor unions and civil rights organizations.

The Institute conducted a week-long workshop for 500 students going into the south to work on voter registration.

The Institute mobilized both Negro rank-and-file and leadership in support of the labor movement in Atlanta during the Scripto strike, in New York during the Taxi Workers organizing drive and the Welfare strike, in Philadelphia in behalf of the AFT organizing drive, and in behalf of the grape workers strike in Delano. It advocated a Negro–labor alliance in speeches before countless religious, liberal, community and student groups. The staff addressed a number of international union conventions and prepared papers advocating the alliance for the White House Conference on Civil Rights and for the John LaFarge Institute, and at off-the-record meetings of civil rights leaders. It also brought civil rights people and trade unionists together to map out joint programs.

The Institute has cooperated with the Urban League and the Workers Defense League in getting Negro and Puerto Rican youth into Apprenticeship Training Programs and has met with Brennan, VanArsdale and vocational counselors as well as civil rights people. It has advised unions organizing Negro and Puerto Rican workers.

The Institute found 300 jobs for youth in Harlem who stopped in the office, helped about 25 return to school, and referred 150 more to Workers Defense League programs.

Robert Gilmore, Treasurer, then presented the Financial Report and spoke of the budget for next year.

It was moved and seconded that the report of the Treasurer be adopted. The motion was passed unanimously.

III. Freedom Budget

The predominant issue of the Freedom Budget was next discussed as follows:

Leon Keyserling began by discussing the need for the Freedom Budget. The Budget stems from the idea that at some time it will become apparent that the civil rights movement will have to be implemented in ridding a large part of the population from want. The Freedom Budget is based on the idea that when you develop a program you must get action; to get action you must get legislation; to get legislation you must have education. The Budget is to educate the thousands who are badly lacking in program. He said that he and others have been working a long time on the Budget and it is now ready to go to the printer. This raises several questions: How many are going to be printed? Who are they going to be sent to, and how? How are the leadership people going to be brought together to find out what they are prepared to do? Schools, libraries, economists, the Congress, are all good sources for the distribution of the Budget.

Don Slaiman expressed the feeling that the Budget should be published when more sponsors are obtained among the civil rights, labor and liberal leaders. The consensus, however, was that the Budget should be published as soon as possible.

Bayard Rustin suggested that the day the Freedom Budget is published there should be a coalition of leaders in Washington, D.C. to (1) take the first copy to the President; (2) call on Senators Kennedy and Javits to present Mr. Randolph to the Senate to explain the significance of the Freedom Budget; and (3) hold a press conference. The economists who worked on the Budget should hold a press briefing to inform the press of the contents of the Budget. The Budget should go to the NAACP, Urban League and other civil rights organizations, church leaders, congressmen, Leadership Conference groups, heads of sociology and economics departments of colleges and universities. He also suggested that meetings explaining the Freedom Budget be held in Philadelphia, New York, Boston, Atlanta, Cleveland, St. Louis, Chicago, Denver, Los Angeles and San Francisco.

A meeting will be held later this week to determine the amount of copies to be printed. The Industrial Union Department is turning over the plates of a simplified version of the Budget to the Institute. The
IUD will devote one issue of its publication, AGENDA, to the Budget. Dr. Vivian Henderson and other economists will hold conferences on the Budget at schools and universities, and a young Negro staff member of the Institute will visit campuses to get college groups in promoting the Budget.

Isaiah Minkoff said the Freedom Budget should come out with great dramatic impact, and that a large first issue should be printed.

Whitney Young said that the time for the Freedom Budget is now. The Marshall Plan for Negroes, which was a precursor of the Freedom Budget, never got off the ground, but the country is now ready for the Freedom Budget. We desperately need to put the civil rights struggle back on the offensive. The Freedom Budget will be helpful in putting the civil rights struggle back in perspective.

It was suggested that Mr. Rustin contact Whitney Young and, together, they would attempt to involve top public relations people in publicizing the Budget.

It was moved and seconded that the report of Mr. Keyserling be adopted and that Mr. Rustin be authorized, together with his committee, to publish the document for distribution. The motion was passed.

It was moved and seconded that the endorsers’ list be broadened, if possible. The motion was passed.

It was moved and seconded that appreciation be extended to Leon Keyserling, the economists, and others for the very magnificent job done on the Freedom Budget. The motion was passed.

IV. Future Program

General consensus was that it is important to have a conference of labor and Negro leaders in the South on southern politics and a conference of northern civil rights leaders to enlist support of the civil rights movement to help organize the unorganized. A conference should be held between civil rights leaders and the leaders of the building trades on how the two groups can work together for the Freedom Budget.

There being no further business before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 4:50 p.m.


32. $100 BILLION FREEDOM FUND

By A. Philip Randolph
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existing tax rates may lift Federal tax collection by about $10 billion a year on the average, during the next 10 years, or additional tax collections aggregating about $550 billion over the next 10-year period. This would far more than cover the financial costs ($330 billion, see above) of the proposed increases in Federal outlays in the Federal budget, and the balance could be devoted to help the States and localities which are much harder pressed in financial terms than the Federal government.

Still another measurement: We have since 1962 undertaken tax reductions, by legislation and administrative action, having an annual value in the neighborhood of $20 billion. Even without allowing for the increases in the size of the revenues foregone by this tax reduction which will result from economic growth, the aggregate tax reductions thus calculated will have a value of about $200 billion over the next 10 years. Certainly we can and must afford during the next decade to put about $330 billion additional Federal outlays into vitally important purposes. While this is not the time nor place for me to quarrel with the tax reduction policy, we all know that a very substantial part of it is going to those who need help least, that it is a very imperfect weapon for those who need help most, and that only to a trickle-down extend do tax reductions address themselves to the servicing of the great priorities which I have mentioned, and with which we are all so deeply concerned.

The problem of freeing one-fifth of our people, of every color, from the slum ghettos in which they now live in our cities, and to a degree elsewhere, is of prime importance. In the slums are concentrated many of the causes and consequences of poverty. Vast programs of housing and urban renewal would not only make war on this poverty at its very roots, but would also make war against a whole congeries of social evils, and would restructure employment opportunity so as to take care of perhaps half of the job problems facing us over the next decade. This is the central answer to the thrust of the new technology and automation, and would provide a uniquely high product mix of semi-skilled and relatively unskilled jobs, the achievement of which is the real hope for a preponderant portion of the unemployed.

By 1970, the annual level of non-farm housing starts should be lifted to at least 2,250,000, compared with about 1,600,000 in 1965, and maintained at this higher level at least through 1975. This would represent an average for the decade of more than 500,000 more starts per year than we are now achieving, and in the aggregate more than 5,000,000 more starts over the decade than would result from stabilization at current levels. As I do not believe that we can maintain indefinitely the current level of starts for upper middle-income and high-income groups, we ought to build 6 or 7 million new homes during the next decade for lower middle-income and low-income people, with a higher annual rate than 600 to 700 thousand during the years immediately ahead.

The 10-year program of more than 5 million more housing starts than would result under current programs might involve an additional 10-year investment above current levels, considering costs in urban areas, of about $50 billion which might be lifted to the neighborhood of an additional $100 billion above current levels by the community facilities and public improvements of all types which would be necessary to, and following in the wake of, the needed housing effort. Considering the high multiplier effect of housing investment upon almost every type of economic activity, the reason appears why I estimate that these levels of housing effort might take care of about one-half of the 25 million new jobs which need to be generated over the next 10 years.

What is the role of the Federal government and the Federal budget in this enlarged housing and urban renewal effort? We all know that, despite the good housing legislation of 1965, Federal assistance to some 35,000 units per year of housing for low-income people is merely a token program. It, therefore, combines some laudable results with frustration and hostility. The annual contributory system under the Federal program holds the annual costs remarkably low relative to the benefits to be achieved. Taking into account the profits made by the Federal government on some of its housing programs, the government is now spending almost nothing net on housing and urban renewal. I think that these Federal budget outlays for housing and community development should be lifted to at least $4 billion per year by 1975, as an essential part of lifting the total Federal budget by about 60 billion dollars by 1975. In view of the paramount urgency of this housing and urban renewal need, the most rapid acceleration should commence now, and I feel that Federal budget

33. COMMENTS ON A "FREEDOM BUDGET" FOR ALL AMERICANS

By Andrew F. Brimmer
Member of the Board of Governors
of the
Federal Reserve System

The "Freedom Budget" reiterates the depressing details of poverty in America, and urges programs which will "attack all of the major causes of poverty -- unemployment and under-employment; substandard pay; inadequate social insurance and welfare payments; bad housing; deficiencies in health services, education, and training; and fiscal and monetary policies which tend to redistribute income regressively rather than progressively." The "Freedom Budget" makes the claim that it differs from previous efforts to establish social goals because it "measures costs against resources" and sets out a "schedule for action."

The "Freedom Budget" justified its proposals and the impact of these programs on the economy on both a moral and a statistical level. The attempt to quantify our potential output and distribute the increment according to social needs is a useful approach and produces a meaningful base for testing the differential impact of alternative programs. It is probably true, that whatever the level of expenditures for Vietnam or the possible inflationary impact of the "Freedom Budget," the programs presented could still be carried out -- if the American people were willing to assign these social programs a sufficiently high priority, and forego other expenditures. But given the present demands on economic resources, it also means that we would have to assign an even more powerful role to the Federal government and intervene much more directly in the economy in terms of wage and price controls than would be necessary if the proposals were being carried out at a time when there was a large backlog of unused resources and a more stable or declining price pattern. Thus, in effect, timing considerations and the size of the program without clear priorities for its various parts, render the "Freedom Budget" more radical than many of its liberal proposals taken individually.
Misleading use of figures, some questionable economic theory, and a lack of modesty mar the presentation. For example, a questionably large increase in GNP growth over the next ten years (which probably cannot be attained without substantial inflationary pressures) is presented in such a way that it implies that these increases are somehow "new found," and can thus be allocated to the "Freedom Budget." Little account is taken of the fact that much of the anticipated rise in output will be absorbed just by larger population requirements and growth of current programs. The proposition that full-employment by itself promotes higher productivity increases is also debatable when experienced manpower resources are scarce and investment is being pushed beyond sustainable levels. In addition, the authors claim that it is the first attempt to measure social goals against resources overlooks several other excellent studies of this sort.

Apart from these analytical considerations, the "Freedom Budget" is disappointing as a document of persuasion. The presentation is discursive and appears unrealistic because of its wide ranging and seemingly excessive demands proposed in the present economic environment. Actually, a great many of the ideas are before Congress at the present time or have been passed into law in somewhat less bold form. For example, minimum wage legislation at $1.60 an hour by 1968 has been signed into law and will be reviewed again in a few years; a bill extending unemployment insurance coverage and higher benefits may pass; and provisions for more direct employment by the Federal government of people who cannot find private employment is in the Administration's anti-poverty bill. The Housing Act of 1965 has provisions for rent subsidies and more job training and economic opportunity could and probably would have been expanded under existing programs, if additional money were made available.

Equally disappointing is the failure of the "Freedom Budget" to live up to its promise to provide a "schedule for action." It presents GNP forecasts for 1970 and 1975 and the percentage of GNP which should go to the "Economic Opportunity Program," "Agriculture and Natural Resources," "Education," "Health Services and Research," and "Public Assistance; Labor, Manpower, and other Welfare Services." But these broad categories are not broken down and no specific price tags are assigned to easily identifiable programs. The projected increase of real GNP at an annual rate of over 5-6 percent per year, for the next ten years, built on top of current rates of resources use and price trends, would imply continuing intensive inflationary pressures. This problem is dismissed lightly despite the fact that a 5 percent rate of growth has over the past few years produced inflation once unutilized resources were absorbed. An annual growth rate of 4-4.5 percent over the next decade would be a much more realistic forecast for planning purposes.

Many old ideas are, of course, good ideas. But what is needed at the present time is a more objective analysis in detail as to how and when these programs might be put into action. All of us will agree with "The Freedom Budget" that we need more public housing and greater provision for moderate income homes. But just saying there is a need for so many additional units a year and citing the "seriously deficient" housing figures from the 1960 Census is not particularly helpful. We need some new approaches if we are to get the local authorities actually to build the houses for which money is voted. In a similar case, there is no discussion of specific plans which might be expected to produce a workable income maintenance scheme.

It is, thus, relatively easy to be critical of the "Freedom Budget"--because of its excessive demands, lack of priorities, statistical manipulation, lack of candor in regard to high priority competitive domestic and international requirements, and its almost complete disregard of the problem of price stability. Nevertheless, the issues presented are real—the goals of continuing full-employment, high growth rates and eradication of poverty are now largely accepted by all who are concerned. But the apparent promise of an easy solution to the poverty problem in ten years can only lead to unfilled expectations.

What is, it seems to me, now needed is a clear and realistic restatement of goals and the specific programs required to meet them which will take into account the current strained economic and military situation. Such a restatement would assure the continuation of current programs and would stress that progress will be stepped-up as resources become available in an economic framework of full-employment and stable growth. The current problem of the
AFL-CIO trade-off between low levels of employment and stable prices is a real one, and should not be dismissed casually. Otherwise much of the hoped for gains will also disappear in the winds of rising prices.

Andrew F. Brimmer to Mr. Whitney Young, January 31, 1967, Box 65 Committee Affiliations, 1967, Whitney Young Papers, Columbia University.

34. PHIL RANDOLPH, THE BEST OF MEN, TOUCHED AND CHANGED ALL OF US

AFL-CIO Sec.-Treas. Lane Kirkland delivered the following remarks at a memorial service for A. Philip Randolph at the Metropolitan African Methodist-Episcopal Church in Washington, D.C.

Phil Randolph was the best of men, because he sought to make certain that no person was better than any other.

In the labor movement, we call him "our own Phil Randolph"—just as, I am sure, the civil rights movement claims him, too, for their own. In truth, he was even more than both of ours, for Phil Randolph belonged to all of us—people were his constituency.

Better, perhaps, than any other individual who ascended to a leadership position—much less the leadership of two great movements at the same time, a feat as rare as the individual himself—Phil Randolph understood, in his own words, that "social and political freedom cannot be sustained in the midst of economic insecurity and exploitation."

He knew that the freedom he sought for blacks was, in the final analysis, freedom for whites as well. Fear and prejudice had bound his nation, and prevented it from achieving the fullest measure of its greatness for all its people.

That greatness could not occur, can not occur, with poverty and deprivation in our midst—no matter whether that human misery is caused by discrimination based on the color of a person's skin or the color of the collar they wear to work.

In the trade union movement, Phil Randolph found a vehicle to fight racism and poverty. It was not a popular choice. Capital, in the form of the Pullman Company, fought him. Other blacks, too, opposed Randolph's decision to work within the established trade union movement. Some because they preferred a black-only labor movement. Black newspaper publishers and academics denounced "Negro unionism," but for a different reason: they argued that the best interests of blacks would be served by "standing with capital."

Phil Randolph knew that both extremes were wrong. Blacks could not overcome their oppressors by either adopting their tactics or standing by their side.

And he persisted. He persisted with style. His manners have been described as Edwardian, his oratory Shakespearean, his language biblical. He was unfailingly gracious, courteous and polite. But he persisted—no one could ever mistake his civility for weakness.

For this was a strong, stubborn, tough, proud, unrelenting fighter. George Meany once called Phil Randolph a "gentleman of elegant impatience."

Adjectives describe the person, but achievements make the man. Leadership was Phil Randolph's crowning achievement, and he didn't earn it by age or longevity. He earned it by leading:

* By leading against Marcus Garvey's "Back to Africa" movement and against W. E. B. Du Bois' elitist concept of the "Talented Tenth" in the early 1920s.
* By leading the struggle against the Pullman Company to organize the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters.70
* By leading the fight against Communist infiltration of the National Negro Congress in the late 1930s.

By leading the 1941 March on Washington movement, which moved President Roosevelt to issue Executive Order 8802 banning discrimination in defense industries and government.71
By leading the battles against racial discrimination and segregation within the labor movement itself.

By leading the triumphant 1963 March on Washington for Jobs and Freedom. And long after his death, Phil Randolph will still be leading as the A. Philip Randolph Institute carries on his work by leading successful voter registration and political education programs in the black community. And the Recruitment & Training Program continues to bring minority apprentices into the skilled trades.

Phil Randolph has left us more than memories. He left us a vision. He took a country divided by segregation and brought people together. He took a good labor movement, marred by discrimination, and made it better. He brought two movements together, overcoming the efforts of those who would keep us apart.

He touched all of us. He changed all of us—and with us, he changed history.

He was the best of men.


---

35. RANDOLPH'S VISION RECALLED TO NATION

By Rex Hardesty

President Jimmy Carter described him as a man of "dignity and tenacity."

AFL-CIO Sec.-Treas. Lane Kirkland recalled him as a "gentleman of elegant impatience."

And Bayard Rustin spoke of "a gentle but iron-willed radical."

Thus was A. Philip Randolph remembered for the nation in a memorial service at the Metropolitan AME Church in Washington. Randolph, founder of the Sleeping Car Porters, longtime AFL-CIO vice president and civil rights leader for 70 years, died May 16 at the age of 90.

The national memorial service drew a standing room crowd of 3,000 to the church described by the pastor as the "church of Frederik Douglass," the abolitionist and Negro leader who died in 1895. Metropolitan Op-Leontyne Price sang, with Miss Prices's powerful rendition of the Lord's Prayer provoking a standing ovation.

Similarly, Rustin's recollections of "The Chief," as the Pullman car porters called Randolph, brought tears, most notably to Rustin himself.

He recalled a black newspaper editor and Harlem street corner orator of pre-World War II America whose "leadership flowed from the depth of his humanity."

"Mr. Randolph was a successful and uniquely gifted labor and civil rights activist, precisely because he was a sensitive, unselfish and dignified human being," Rustin said. "He did not study organizational behavior, his leadership flowed from the depth of his humanity."

Consequently, the cruelty of segregation and exploited workers set Randolph on a course from which he never varied, and President Carter likened the civil rights movement that bore fruit 50 years later to "building a nation."

So from a loneliness of being a pacifist, a democratic socialist and integrationist before 1920, Randolph rose to national recognition by building a union. He came to global attention with his 1963 March on Washington.

But, Rustin explained, at any point along the way he would revert to the lonely agitator, because he would reject the "fads, the easy answers and the separatist illusions that had too frequently plagued movements for liberation."

Rustin recalled Randolph urging him to aid Japanese-Americans detained during World War II or to help the refugees from Southeast Asia. One of his last expressions of concern before he died was the plight of refugees from Haiti.

"His universal moral concern compelled his resistance to any tendency anywhere in the world which defended unjust acts in the name of justice or excused wrongdoing—either by blacks or whites—because it was done in the
name of some particular freedom, or in the name of democracy, or of anti-colonialism," Rustin said.

The tax collector who visited Randolph's apartment soon after he died found a net worth of less than $500, Rustin said. Randolph's most valued possession was a battered watch once given him by Pullman car porters.

President Carter cautioned that, in remembering the gentility and idealism of Randolph, future Americans should not forget that "he faced down four Presidents," in his pursuit of peace (Wilson), a national fair employment practice law (Roosevelt), integration of the armed forces (Truman) and the 1963 march (Kennedy) that helped produce the 1964 Civil Rights Act.

The Louisiana state legislature also honored Randolph with a joint House-Senate resolution taking note of the Sleeping Car Porters as the first all-Negro union. The resolution also cited President Johnson's 1964 presentation to Randolph of the Medal of Freedom.

At the national memorial service, Kirkland traced the leadership of Randolph in 50 years by standing up against Marcus Garvey's "Back to Africa" and W. E. B. DuBois' "Talented Tenth" elitism of the 1920s; the Pullman Company in the 1930s; and the federal government in quest of the executive orders signed by Roosevelt and Truman in the 1940s.

"Phil Randolph has left us more than memories," Kirkland said. "He left us a vision. He took a country divided by segregation and brought people together. He took a good labor movement, marred by discrimination, and made it better."


36. A. PHILIP RANDOLPH MEMORIAL

The death of Asa Philip Randolph on May 16, 1979, is a great loss to the entire nation and the labor and civil rights movements in particular.

For more than half a century A. Philip Randolph devoted his entire energies to securing dignity and freedom for all. He believed that the "principle of social equality is the only sure guarantee of social progress."

Against the racism of hostile employers, Randolph led and gave vitality to the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters—organized the industry in a racist environment and built an effective union. He worked hard and long to free the labor movement from racial discrimination, and he played a key role in achieving equality throughout our nation.

In Randolph's unending struggle for dignity and justice for blacks and labor, he was a genuine friend to all of labor, helping workers in every trade and industry in the nation throughout the difficult early days of building unions; therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the AFL-CIO carry forth the message and goals of America's great civil rights and social activist, A. Philip Randolph; that the AFL-CIO work with other organizations to honor the memory of A. Philip Randolph by continuing to build a labor movement where workers of all races, struggling side by side, can achieve dignity and respect; and that Congress be urged to authorize that a medal be struck in his honor.


37. HOUSE VOTES GOLD MEDAL HONORING PHIL RANDOLPH

The House voted to issue a gold medal honoring the late A. Philip Randolph for his "lifelong advocacy of peaceful change on behalf of workers and minorities."

It passed and sent to the Senate a bill authorizing the President to
present the medal "in the name of Congress" to the A. Philip Randolph Institute, which carried on the work Randolph began in his twin role as a trade union and civil rights leader.

The bill was taken up under a no-amendment procedure which requires a two-thirds vote. Rep. John Rousselot (R-Calif.) led an effort to defeat the bill but it passed with bipartisan support on a 333-61 rollcall. During the debate, both Democrats and Republicans spoke in admiration of the profound impact that Randolph had on his times.


---

**THE NAACP AND THE AFL-CIO**

38. THE NAACP HAILS THE AFL-CIO MERGER
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_Labor Department_  
_National Association for the Advancement of Colored People_

The elimination of racism within trade unions was one of the major goals for organized labor announced at the merger convention of the American Federation of Labor and the Congress of Industrial Organizations in December, 1955. This was welcomed by many civil rights agencies and especially by the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People which offered its full support to the labor movement.

Today, five years after the AFL-CIO merger, the national labor organization has failed to eliminate the broad pattern of racial discrimination and segregation in many important affiliated unions. Trade union activity in the civil rights field since the merger has not been marked by a systematic and coordinated effort by the national labor federation to eliminate discrimination and segregation within local unions. This is especially true of the craft unions in the building and construction trades where the traditional anti-Negro practices basically remain in effect.

Efforts to eliminate discriminatory practices within trade unions have
been piecemeal and inadequate and usually the result of protest by civil rights agencies acting on behalf of Negro workers. The National AFL-CIO has repeatedly refused to take action on its own initiative. In too many cases years have elapsed between the filing of a complaint by an aggrieved worker and acknowledgment and investigation by the Federation, if indeed there is any action at all.

Discriminatory racial practices by trade unions are not simply isolated or occasional expressions of local bias against colored workers, but rather, as the record indicates, a continuation of the institutionalized pattern of anti-Negro employment practices that is traditional with large sections of organized labor and industrial management.

The pattern of union responsibility for job discrimination against Negroes is not limited to any one area of the country or to some few industries or union jurisdictions but involves many unions in a wide variety of occupations in manufacturing industries, skilled crafts, railroads and maritime trades as for example, the Seafarers International Union which operates union-controlled hiring halls on Great Lake ports such as Duluth, Chicago, Detroit, Cleveland and Buffalo. As a systematic practice this union will dispatch only Negro workers for menial jobs as messmen in the galley departments of ships operating under S.I.U. collective bargaining agreements. Over the years Negro members of the Seafarers International Union have repeatedly protested this practice, but to no avail as the union continues discriminatory job assignments in its hiring halls.

On occasion one or two Negroes have been admitted into an all-white local union as token compliance with a state or municipal fair employment practice law as with the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers in Cleveland; the Bricklayers in Milwaukee and the Railway Clerks in Minneapolis, but this is essentially a limited and strategic adjustment to community pressure and represents very dubious "progress."

Certainly the token admission of a few Negroes into an electrical workers union in Cleveland can no more be regarded as integration than can the token admission of two or three Negro children into a southern public school. There are also many instances where unions have removed the "lily-white" exclusion clause from their constitutions as public relations gestures but continued to exclude Negroes from membership by tacit consent.

As long as union membership remains a condition of employment in the building trades, on the railroads and elsewhere and qualified Negroes are barred from union membership solely because of their color, then trade union discrimination is the decisive factor in determining whether Negro workers in a given industry shall have an opportunity to earn a living for themselves and their families. This is especially true in the construction industry where AFL-CIO building trades unions exercise a high degree of control over access to employment.

AFL-CIO affiliated unions are today guilty of discriminatory racial practices in four categories: outright exclusion of Negroes, segregated locals, separate racial seniority lines in collective bargaining agreements and exclusion of Negroes from apprenticeship training programs controlled by labor unions.

As for the Federation's Civil Rights Department, its performance would seem to indicate that its major function is to create a "liberal" public relations image rather than to attack the broad pattern of anti-Negro practices within affiliated unions. The Civil Rights Committee of the AFL-CIO is the only standing committee in the Federation whose chairman is not a member of the Federation's Executive Council and/or the president of an international union. The rigid protocol of the national labor federation indicates that such a person is not in a position to impose a policy upon an international or local union but must confine himself to issuing declarations and to exercising such persuasion as he can muster. More often than not, his efforts are fruitless.

Organized Labor in the South

The threat of several local unions in the South to organize a Southern Federation of Labor has clearly not materialized, however, one is forced to note that the White Citizens Councils began taking control of some local
AFL-CIO affiliates soon after the Supreme Court decision of 1954 in the school segregation cases. Because the national leadership of organized labor has made it a practice to avoid as much internal conflict on racial issues as possible, the Ku Klux Klan and White Citizens Council forces, especially in Alabama, have moved into many local unions and made them, in effect, virtual extensions of segregationist organizations.

As a result, Negro workers throughout the South are experiencing an acute sense of alienation and rejection from organized labor. This becomes increasingly evident in an analysis of Negro voting behavior in union certification elections conducted by the National Labor Relations Board. Although it is not possible to get an official breakdown of election results by Board regions, election results are known and are discussed in union circles.

At the South Wire Company, Carrollton, Georgia, where several hundred workers were involved, the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Union recently lost an NLRB election by eight votes. It has been stated by the union organizers that the decisive vote was cast by the forty-five Negroes in the election who voted against the IBEW.

Early in 1960 an election was held in Aiken, South Carolina at the Savannah River Atomic Energy Project with seventeen unions of the Metal Trades Department of the AFL-CIO seeking certification by the NLRB as bargaining agent. Thirty-one hundred workers were involved. Six hundred of these were Negroes. It was stated by union organizers that the six hundred Negroes voted almost as a solid bloc against the union and the election was lost.

The active recruitment of trade union members for White Citizens Councils, Ku Klux Klan and other segregationist organizations accounts for much of the loss of influence that the AFL-CIO has suffered among Negro workers throughout the South. News of this activity has come not alone through word of mouth but frequently from newspaper advertising in local communities plainly to be seen by Negro workers themselves.

In a number of localities such as Savannah, Georgia, public notices have appeared stating that the Ku Klux Klan or the White Citizens Council will hold a meeting in a union hall. Newspapers have also printed reports and pictures of union officials speaking at such meetings and the point has not been lost on the Negro worker. Moreover, they are aware that the National AFL-CIO has permitted its civil rights declarations to be ignored by many affiliated unions.

The correction of this mutually damaging situation would seem to demand action directly by the AFL-CIO leadership and by the international unions involved. At the very least what is required is that AFL-CIO members and local affiliates not be active participants in segregationist attacks upon the Negro's struggle for basic citizenship rights.

Segregated Local Unions

The Brotherhood of Railway and Steamship Clerks which maintains many segregated local lodges in northern as well as southern cities is among the important international unions which maintain a broad national pattern of segregation. In the Brotherhood the existence of more than 150 segregated all-Negro locals with separate racial seniority rosters limits job mobility and violates the seniority rights of thousands of Negro union members.

This union has persisted in its racist practices despite repeated protests from Negro workers and community organizations. On April 30, 1967, the New York State Commission Against Discrimination ordered the merger of the "lily-white" George N. Harrison Lodge (783) and the all-Negro Friendship Lodge (6118). The white union refused to comply and the local lodges remain segregated to this day. Similar situations exist in Chicago where Negro workers are in segregated local lodge 6132 and in Tulsa, Oklahoma, where they are in local 6257. In East St. Louis and St. Louis there are 14 all-colored lodges and 14 all-white lodges which function through segregated joint councils.

The practice of segregation is so well institutionalized in this union that the designation of the Negro lodges over the country begins with the numeral "6." It is ironic to note that the president of the Brotherhood of Railway and Steamship Clerks, George M. Harrison, is a member of the Civil Rights Committee of the AFL-CIO and a Federation Vice President.
The Brotherhood of Railway Carmen of America maintains segregated locals in California, Florida and in many other states. Recently Negro workers filed charges jointly against this Union and the Sante Fe Railroad with the California Fair Employment Practices Commission. The Commission has announced that it will conduct a public hearing on January 9 on complaints that the company and the union have acted in collusion to discriminate against Negro workers.

In Jacksonville, Florida, Negro workers employed on the Atlantic Coast Line Railroad belong to Local 690, a segregated all-Negro unit, while white workers employed on the same line hold membership in Local 509, an all-white unit of the Brotherhood of Railway Carmen. In addition the white local prevents the all-colored local from participating in negotiations with management and insists that it "represents" the colored local. Similar practices occur in other cities where this union operates segregated locals.

Racially segregated locals are today characteristic of many building trades unions in the North as well as in the South. The United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners is quite typical of building trades unions in its treatment of the Negro worker. This union, for over a half-century has been among the most important of all the building trades unions, and, with very few exceptions, organizes Negroes and whites into separate locals insofar as it permits Negroes to join the union at all. In the South there seems to be no exception to this rule and it is most often followed in northern cities as well.

The white locals are in control of the union hiring hall and, because of frequent arrangements with municipal and county political machines, all hiring for major public as well as private construction projects is done through the "lily-white" union hiring hall. In many instances, the white local will import white workers from other cities rather than allow local Negro members to share in attractive work opportunities.

Quite frequently Negroes are excluded altogether from work in white neighborhoods. This means that Negro carpenters are restricted to marginal maintenance and repair work within the Negro community and that they seldom are permitted to work on the larger construction projects. The same practices are true for other building trades unions in many cities throughout the country.

The Hod Carriers, Building and Common Laborers Union is currently defendant in a suit brought by Negro construction workers in Chicago, charging that the Hod Carriers Union bars them from desirable jobs within this union's jurisdiction. In other cities Negro workers charge that white locals controlling job assignments in federal construction projects refuse to accept "travelling cards" issued by colored locals.

The International Brotherhood of Pulp, Sulphite and Paper Mill Workers has agreed not to issue any new charters to segregated local unions and has indicated that action will be taken to merge segregated locals wherever possible, as well as to eliminate separate racial seniority lines in collective bargaining agreements. This occurred after representatives of the NAACP appeared before the Union's General Executive Board and made a presentation on behalf of Negro union members.

Unfortunately, the United Papermakers and Paperworkers Union refused the request of the NAACP Executive Secretary to confer with the Executive Board of the Papermakers Union regarding the matter of segregated locals and separate racial seniority lines in union contracts.

On the positive side we note that the American Federation of Musicians has merged segregated locals in some 16 cities and that the International Ladies Garment Workers Union with the requested assistance of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People merged separate white and Negro sections of the Atlanta local into one unit.

Racial Exclusion Practices

Today in virtually every large urban center in the United States Negro workers are denied employment in the major industrial and residential construction projects because they are, with some few exceptions, barred from membership in the building trades craft unions. This includes the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, the Operating Engineers, Iron and Structural Steel Workers, Plumbers and Pipe Fitters Union, Plasterers and Lathers, the Sheet Metal Workers Union, the Boiler Makers, etc.
The basic fact of craft unions in the building trades industry is that they control access to employment by virtue of their rigid control of the hiring process. In this industry unions perform certain managerial functions, especially the assignment of union members to jobs. The refusal to admit Negroes into membership simply denies Negro workers opportunities to secure employment.

This is true in many cities across the country such as Terre Haute, Indiana, Washington, D.C., St. Louis, Mo., Dallas, Texas, Cleveland, Ohio, East St. Louis, Ill. and Dade County, Florida, etc. (Chicago, Ill., appears to be a partial exception, perhaps because of the Negro's effective use of his growing political power). Because the National Labor Relations Board has done little to enforce the anti-closed shop provisions of the Taft-Hartley Act, building trades unions affiliated to the AFL-CIO in most instances are closed unions operating closed shops.

Local 26 of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers in Washington, D.C. is a typical example of how union power is based to completely exclude Negro workers from securing employment in vast federal construction projects. For many years Negro workers have been attempting to secure admission into Local 26, which controls all hiring for electrical installation work in the nation's capital. They have filed complaints with the President's Committee on Government Contracts which over three years ago brought this matter to the attention of the National AFL-CIO.

As of January 1, 1960, there were still no Negroes admitted into membership in Local 26. However, after the Justice Department threatened action and as a concession to pressure from other government agencies and to protests from Negro civil rights organizations, one Negro electrician, James Holland, was reluctantly permitted by the union to work in a government installation.

Soon after the merge in 1955 two international unions were admitted into the Federation with "lily-white" exclusion clauses in their constitutions although this action was clearly in violation of the policies announced at the time of the merger agreement between the AFL and the CIO. They were the International Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen and the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen.

Since then the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen has removed the "Caucasian Only Clause" from its constitution but in November, 1958, the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen successfully defended its exclusion of Negroes from union membership in a suit brought by Negro firemen in the Federal District Court in Cincinnati, Ohio. Despite many appeals the National AFL-CIO refused to intervene or make any public comment and this union continues to exclude all Negroes from membership.

For almost a generation qualified Negro plumbers have been attacking the racial exclusion practices of the Plumbers Union (United Association of Journeymen and Apprentices of the Plumbing and Pipe Fitting Industry of the United States and Canada, AFL-CIO). On December 4, 1958, Frank T. Lyerson submitted an affidavit to the AFL-CIO Civil Rights Department charging that Local 630 of the Plumbers Union in East St. Louis, Illinois refused membership to him and other qualified Negroes. Although Local 630 limits membership to white persons exclusively, the National AFL-CIO has not taken any action on this or on other complaints against the Plumbers Union.

The practices of other building trades unions in the St. Louis-East St. Louis area are typical of the racial exclusion practices of many old-line craft unions. At the present time qualified Negro workers are barred from securing employment in the large harbor improvement project in St. Louis as well as in the vast federally-financed construction program in East St. Louis because of the exclusionist practices of unions affiliated to the Building Trades Council of the AFL-CIO.

Local 309 of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, AFL-CIO, in East St. Louis is an all-white local and has consistently refused to admit qualified Negroes, including Jethro Smith who filed an affidavit with the National AFL-CIO. Henry Densmore filed a similar affidavit against the Operative Plasterers and Cement Masons Association, Local 90, which also maintains a rigid policy of excluding Negroes from membership.

Negro firemen for the first time were admitted into the International Fire Fighters Association, AFL-CIO, Local 734 in Baltimore, Maryland, during 1959. This occurred after action by the National Association for the
Advancement of Colored People in support of Negro firemen who filed a complaint with the Baltimore Fair Employment Opportunity Commission against the union. However, Negro firemen who applied for admission into the Fire Fighters Union in Washington, D.C. during November, 1960, were refused admission into the "lily-white" Association of Fire Fighters in the nation's capital.

At its 1958 convention, the National Transport Association amended its constitution to admit Negroes and since then has enrolled some Negro workers within its jurisdiction in several states.

Separate Racial Seniority Lines in Collective Bargaining Agreements

Many major unions affiliated to the AFL-CIO have negotiated into their collective bargaining agreements separate lines of seniority promotion. These limit Negro employment to unskilled or menial job classifications which deny Negro workers equal seniority and other rights and prevent them from developing job skills which permit employment in more desirable classifications.

Although some few isolated actions can be reported as having eliminated separate seniority lines such as that of the United Automobile Workers of America at the General Motors Fisher Body plant in St. Louis, Missouri (UAW Local 25), the action of the Oil, Chemical and Atomic Workers at the Magnolia Refining Corp., Beaumont, Texas, and at the Phillips Petroleum Corporation in Kansas City, Missouri, as well as the limited action by the United Steelworkers of America in response to a law suit filed by Negro members at the Sheffield Steel Company in Houston, Texas, the pattern of such discrimination remains practically intact in southern industrial operations where trade unions hold collective bargaining agreements.

At present, many thousands of Negro workers who are members of AFL-CIO unions in southern paper mills, chemical plants, pulp works and oil refineries as well as steel plants and in the textile industry suffer the acute disadvantages of separate lines of progression for Negro and white workers in union contracts.

Examples of trade unions that have entered into collusion with management to deny Negro workers equal seniority rights in the paper manufacturing and pulp and sulphite industry in the South are to be found at the Union Bag-Camp Paper Corp., Savannah, Georgia, where all the Negro workers are limited to membership in two segregated locals, Local 601 and 615, affiliated to the International Brotherhood of Pulp, Sulphite and Paper Mill Workers. All white workers hold membership in Local 388 and 435 of the same union and Local 408 of the United Papermakers and Paperworkers Union. Similar conditions exist at the Crown Zellerbach Corp., Bogalusa, Louisiana; Hudson Pulp and Paper Company, Palatka, Florida; and at International Paper Company plants in Mobile, Alabama; Georgetown, South Carolina; Moss Point and Natchez, Mississippi and Bastrop, Louisiana.

In these and many other paper mills throughout the South these two unions hold joint collective bargaining agreements and maintain a rigid pattern of racially segregated local unions with separate seniority lines limiting Negro employment to laborer classifications.

At the American Viscose Corporation plant in Front Royal, Virginia, where the Textile Workers Union is the collective bargaining agent, the union has approved a supplemental agreement to the contract which limits Negro employees to unskilled and menial job classifications that violate their basic seniority rights.

A similar condition exists at the Atlantic Steel Company plant in Atlanta, Georgia where after years of protest by Negro union members, the United Steelworkers Union has only partially eliminated those seniority provisions which limit Negroes, no matter how well qualified, to common laborer classifications.

A typical example of how separate seniority lines based on race in collective bargaining agreements prevent Negro workers from bidding for more desirable job occupations is to be found at the United States Phosphoric Company in Tampa, Florida. Over 200 Negro workers have repeatedly complained to the President of the International Chemical Workers Union, AFL-CIO, regarding the supplemental agreement to the union contract which contains...
rigid promotional lines for white and Negro workers limiting Negro workers to undesirable menial job classifications and preventing upgrading into production classifications.

The International Union of Operating Engineers, the Lake Charles, (La.) and the Galveston (Texas) AFL-CIO Metal Trades Councils hold collective bargaining agreements in several large oil refineries and chemical plants in the Gulf area. These union contracts contain provisions limiting Negro employment to some few menial jobs, a wage differential based upon race, and separate lines of seniority progression for white and colored workers.

Apprenticeship Training

The continued exclusion of Negroes from apprenticeship training is particularly disturbing because of rapid technological changes in the nation's economy. Because of the disproportionate concentration of Negroes in the unskilled sections of the labor force there has already been a disproportionate displacement of Negroes as a result of technological change. Continued exclusion of Negro youth from major apprenticeship training programs in the North as well as in the South endangers the future economic well-being of the entire Negro community.

It is important to note that in the ten-year period from 1950-1960, in the State of New York, the increase of Negro participation in building trades apprenticeship training programs rose only from 1.5% to 2%. In most of these programs the role of the labor union is decisive because the trade union usually determines who is admitted into the training programs and, therefore, who is admitted into the union.

Recent studies clearly indicate that no large scale significant advances have been made by Negroes into those craft union apprenticeship programs which have historically excluded non-whites. The railroad craft unions as well as the railroad operating Brotherhoods remain adamant in their opposition to Negro craftsmen and bar apprenticeship opportunities to Negro youth.

Almost equally exclusive are the printing trades unions with exceptions being found in some areas of the Assistant Printing Pressmen Union and the Lithographers Union. Open access to plumbing and pipe fitting apprenticeships controlled by the Plumbers Union is a rare experience for a young Negro in the North as well as in the South. Similarly Negro youth are almost completely excluded from apprenticeship programs operated by the Sheet Metal Workers Union, the Ornamental and Structural Iron Workers, the Glass Workers, the Tile Setters, the Machinists or the Bricklayers Union.

The NAACP secured the admission of a Negro for the first time into the Sheet Metal apprenticeship training program in St. Louis, Missouri. In an unusual procedure the Association secured certification from the Bureau of Apprenticeship of the U.S. Department of Labor for a non-union firm owned by a Negro after Local 36 of the Sheet Metal Workers International Association had refused to admit Negro applicants into its apprenticeship training program and had refused to permit the participation of the Negro-owned company in training programs conducted by the union. The owner of Kennedy and Sons Sheet Metal Shops offered to have his employees join Local 36 of the Sheet Metal Workers Union but the membership applications of the Negro workers were refused by the all-white local affiliate of the AFL-CIO.

Recent action by the New York State Attorney General acting at the request of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People made possible the admission of the first Negro into the apprenticeship training program operated by the Plumbers Union in the State of New York.

A sustained program of activity by the Oregon Fair Employment Practices Commission and the State Apprenticeship Council resulted in the admission of Negroes for the first time into various apprenticeship programs conducted by unions affiliated to the Oregon AFL-CIO Building Trades and the Metal Trades Councils. These isolated actions, however, are completely inadequate as they do not eliminate the broad national pattern of Negro exclusion from apprenticeship training programs.

Increasingly, apprenticeship and other forms of technical training become the heart of fair employment practices. The continued exclusion of Negro youth from such programs, especially those controlled by AFL-CIO craft unions in the printing industry, the building and metal trades and in other craft
jurisdictions prevents thousands of young persons from realizing their human potential and dooms them and their families to a marginal economic existence. It is in this area that the disparity between the public relations pronouncements of the AFL-CIO on civil rights and the day-to-day reality for Negro workers is most sharply outlined.

Many traditional sources of Negro employment (the nation's railroads and mass-production industries, for example), are rapidly drying up as a result of automation and other technological changes in the economy. Today the status of the Negro wage earner is characterized by drastic change and crisis. Thus, the virtual exclusion of Negroes from apprenticeship and other training programs forces them to remain as marginal employees in the economy. They are the ones who are hired last and who can be dispensed with easily with the added advantage that their displacement can be rationalized in terms of lower attainments in craft skills.

In addition, the appreciable lack of skilled Negro craftsmen directly affects the economic well-being of the entire Negro population as it removes potential sources of high income occupations from the group. The devices outlined briefly in this report operate effectively to concentrate Negro wage earners in those jobs which suffer the highest instances of unemployment.

The concentration of unskilled, low-paying jobs with a lack of employment stability together with other income limitations such as denial of access to union hiring halls in the building trades, and separate racial lines of seniority promotion in collective bargaining agreements all contribute to an explanation of why Negroes constitute a permanently depressed economic group in American society.

Staff report reviewing conditions previously cited by the NAACP, but summarized here at the end of five years of the merged AFL-CIO. Delivered by Herbert Hill, NAACP Labor Secretary at the Association's Annual Meeting, January 3, 1960, New York City. Copy in possession of the editors.

40. THE NAACP VS. LABOR

By J. C. Rich

The present anti-labor campaign of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, accusing well-known labor leaders of discriminatory practices, provides Southern yahoos with absolution for their sins from an unexpected quarter. Whatever noble purpose the NAACP may have in mind, one immediate result of its actions has been to cloak racists of the stripe of Governor Ross Barnett and Senator James Eastland of Mississippi in a garment of social respectability. For how could lily-whites of the backwater South be wrong if prominent Northern labor leaders also discriminate against blacks? 73

The NAACP charges have been made against such men as David Dubinsky, President of the International Ladies Garment Workers Union (ILGWU); David McDonald, President of the United Steelworkers; Walter Reuther, President of the United Automobile Workers; and Paul Hall, President of the Seafarers International. In at least one instance, the organization found itself so far off base that it had to beat a hasty retreat to the norms of ordinary courtesy. The NAACP stated that it had not meant to offend Reuther, and regretted that some of its labor activities had outraged him. But it has not granted the same dispensation to McDonald, and certainly not to Dubinsky. 74

Yet according to newspaper speculation—possibly "leaked" speculation—Reuther has been put on notice that there is a Negro caucus within the United Auto Workers. Presumably, the NAACP believes it is entirely proper to maintain a special Negro grouping within a union, although it justifiably condemns racial segregation when practiced by whites in certain unions.

The course which the NAACP has set for itself is a tragic and self-defeating one. For the American labor movement has long worked toward the same goals as the NAACP. To be sure, some local unions and some union workers, like their non-union neighbors, have adopted the mores and habits of the
communities in which they live. But the national organizations are more sophisticated and more responsive to the call of justice and social equality than their various branches in the South. Thus, building a racist—or anti-Semitic—case against the labor movement because of the actions of a particular union violates fact and verify so completely it is nothing less than a trespass on integrity.

And it is this violence to integrity, as well as the malice inherent in the NAACP assault on the labor movement, that has disturbed the labor and liberal-minded community. It is absurd to imply that Dubinsky is no better than Mississippi's meanest bigot, and it is equally absurd to suggest that McDonald runs a Jim Crow union.

Negro steel workers labor side by side with their white shopmates; their wages, hours, seniority rights and other prerequisites are protected by the union with equal diligence. In the garment union, the administration of David Dubinsky has been notable for the degree of acceptance and affectionate regard accorded by whites to Negroes and Negroes to whites. The conflicts and antagonsms of which NAACP spokesmen have made a Federal case are never seen in the market or in the shops. Differences occur, but nobody in sound mental health thinks that one has to go to court about them.

Notice to the effect that the NAACP intended to drag unions to court came last August, when news stories quoted Herbert Hill, the Association's labor secretary, as saying: "We will not be satisfied until discrimination is completely eliminated and we will continue to make progress in a trade-union way undeterred by outsiders."

This statement reveals more about Herbert Hill than it does about union practices. Hill seems to believe that discrimination, or any other evil, can be "completely eliminated." But who is to judge whether in truth there is discrimination and to what degree it prevails? Who is an "outsider" and who an insider in judgments of this sort? And by what prerogative does Hill consider himself an insider in the pursuit of justice, while relegating men like George Meany, Dubinsky and McDonald to the limbo of outsiders?

In the NAACP's battle against labor, Hill has distinguished himself on another score as well. While on lend-lease from his organization to the House Labor and Education Committee, headed by the redoubtable Congressman Adam Clayton Powell (D.-N.Y.), Hill composed a bill of indictment against the ILGWU. The subcommittee to which the indictment was presented refused to grant it the dignity of acceptance because it lacked substantive evidence. More knowledgeable specialists in this sort of infighting saw in Hill's document a diatribe similar to those the Communists used to spread in the market against the ILGWU in the days when they were striving to capture the needle trade unions. Hill is no Communist, but unconsciously he repeated a charge originally made some 20 years ago by the labor editor of the Daily Worker. The charge was that not a single Negro member was to be found in the huge Dressmakers Local 89, managed by ILGWU Vice President Luigi Antonini. 75

This was true 20 years ago, and it is still true. Yet the absence of Negro members in Local 89 has nothing to do with an anti-Negro bias or any other invidious prejudice. Local 89 is a "language" local composed of Italian dressmakers. It was originally founded with the aid of Jewish trade unionists in order to facilitate the unionization of Italian workers who were streaming into the needle trades.

The Daily Worker knew this and so, presumably, does Hill. The supporters of the NAACP might well wonder whether it was fair to slander a friend and ally in the cause of civil rights. Antonini, a bitter-end anti-fascist even in Mussolini's heyday, certainly deserves better at the hands of libertarians than to be tarred with bigotry.

Charles Zimmerman, a leader of the ILGWU's Dressmakers Union who has resigned from the NAACP after years of active participation in its work, pointed to still another barb in Hill's anti-union agglomeration. "The most shocking thing in Mr. Hill's entire statement," Zimmerman recented declared, "is its slurring reference to the 'ethnic composition' of the ILGWU leadership. The NAACP . . . has now endorsed this kind of attack, and in my judgment there is no longer any place in it for anyone who believes that the struggle for equal opportunity for Negroes is part of the struggle against bigotry and discrimination in all forms and in all places."

The "ethnic composition" in Hill's bill of complaint is a Nice Nelly way
of saying that the top leadership of the ILGWU is Jewish. Negroes may draw their own derogatory inferences from this reflection. Perhaps Dubinsky should apologize to Hill for being Jewish, and to the heads of the NAACP for being president of a union.

The charges against the ILGWU; the hearings conducted by Congressman Powell's Congressional crony. Representative Herbert Zelenko (D.-N.Y.); the inquisition Powell himself has threatened to pursue—all at base seem to have one finding: Despite the many Negroes and Puerto Ricans among the ILGWU's New York membership, there are no Negroes or Puerto Ricans in its top leadership.76

This too, of course, is fools' gold when considered as evidence. The impulses that bring leadership to the fore anywhere also have their own inertial force. Congressmen dearly cherish the inertial force that propels them to office election after election. The composition of their constituencies may change, but if they are responsive to these changes they continue to retain office.

What has happened to the NAACP, it appears, is that it has not been responsive to change. Negroes have joined unions and have acquired status in many communities, but the NAACP remained its old somnolent self until it was prodded into action by its more dynamic members. What is more, new Negro organizations have arisen to challenge its exclusive holding on liberal sympathies.

The NAACP's great achievement has been in court procedures. This was as much the achievement of Thurgood Marshall, the organization's chief counsel for many years, as it was of the Association as a whole. Since Marshall's judicial appointment, the NAACP has reverted to Hill's juvenilities and the anti-union bias of some of its directors.

Ironically, in doing so the NAACP has assailed not the old-line unions which flagrantly impose Jim Crow restrictions on Negroes, but the progressive unions with a leadership famous for social insight and a sense of public decency and responsibility. The social attitudes and administrative conduct of Meany, Reuther, McDonald and Dubinsky are so well known they are part of the very air we breathe. Dubinsky has been on public view for more than 50 years. He could not, even if he wanted to, keep his behavior a secret from the liberal community which cherishes him as friend of every progressive cause.

Liberals may well therefore ask: Why vilify a man with fabrications that are as outrageous as they are preposterous? What purpose other than an appeal to the basest prejudices of Negroes is there in stating that even the most renowned whites, the most respected labor men, the most articulate spokesmen of liberalism are racist bigots at heart? Is it really a service to Negroes, really a service to the cause of civil rights to put Dubinsky et al. on a par with the white supremacists and the ILGWU with the White Citizens Council?

Scandalized and dismayed, many in the progressive trade-union community are determined to examine the liberal credentials of the NAACP anew. If the Association intends to pursue an anti-union course, it will have to do so as an open enemy of labor, not as a member of the liberal coalition. Liberals and labor unions have had long experience with open enemies and know how to deal with a new recruit to intolerance. This should be clear from George Meany's announcement that the AFL-CIO has withdrawn its long-standing support of the NAACP.


41. REFLECTIONS ON THE NEGRO AND LABOR

By Daniel Bell

Some years ago, an older friend, an official of one of the out-of-town locals of the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union and a Socialist, complained to me about the apathy of most of the members in his local, and, in some cases, the resentment of a minority against the union leadership. "I
don't understand it," he said. "I work hard for them. I spend long hours negotiating for them. I don't let the boss get away with a nickel. But they don't appreciate it."

I was reminded of his remarks recently on reading the charges made by Herbert Hill, the Labor Secretary of the NAACP, of racial discrimination in the Garment Workers' Union, and the outraged rejoinders by David Dubinsky and other ILGWU officials. Like most of the young Socialists who were active in the Young People's Socialist League in the '30s, I have long had a warm feeling for, even an emotional identification with, the ILGWU. The union supplied us with some of the best jokes (copies supplied upon request) about the radical movement. It provided intermittent employment for many of us (I did "educational work" and editorial chores for Local 62 during my graduate-school days). Through generous contributions, it supported most of the Socialist institutions during the bleakest days of the depression and after. Its record of progressive leadership in a variety of areas is too well documented to need repetition. The idea, therefore, that the ILGWU practiced racial discrimination seemed absurd.

Hill's statement, compiled originally for a Congressional subcommittee, stated that Negroes were excluded from the higher-paying jobs in the garment trades (specifically in the cutters' local); that certain locals excluded Negroes; that a predominantly Negro local (composed primarily of shipping clerks) was attached to a larger local of pressers and was thus deprived of full citizenship rights in the union; and that Negroes and Puerto Ricans were under-represented in the union's leadership, which was, and remains, predominately Jewish.

The ILGWU's answer, which appears in the Fall 1962 issue of New Politics, was prepared by Gus Tyler, the union's political director. It is, I think, quite convincing as a rebuttal to the specific charges. Hill's statement was sloppy (Local 89, accused of rebuffing Negroes, is an Italian-language local, and accepts only Italian members—a hangover from an early organizational structure), his figures were often incorrect, and his portentous social analysis (e.g., that the Jewish labor leaders have more in common with the Jewish employers than with the rank-and-file membership) was a piece of pseudo-sociology.

It also seems clear that the original occasion for the statement was unfortunate, since the Congressional hearings were being conducted, in New York rather than in Washington, during a primary campaign by a Congressman who had been denied endorsement by the ILGWU-dominated Liberal party in New York. And for anyone who knows the long devotion to liberal causes of such men as Charles Zimmerman, the manager of the union's Dress Joint Board, the idea of their condoning overt racial discrimination, even for reasons of expediency, did not ring true.

Yet, wrong as Hill's charges are in detail, deeper problems remain, problems that have been obscured by the stridency of charges and replies. The first is symbolized by my friend's observations about the apathy and ingratitude within his local. But this is only part of a broader, more vexing issue: the propriety of attacking one's friends, rather than one's enemies, as the Negro organizations are now doing. And this, in turn, is part of a still larger question which few persons have cared to discuss openly: whether in his fight to overcome second-class status, the Negro should receive not merely equality of opportunity but, in order to establish himself as a full citizen, preferential treatment as well.

The first problem (which has been caricatured by the charge of racial discrimination) is really, in its way, the familiar family chronicle of the original generation that built an institution (or a business or a store) and won't let go for the next one. The telling phrase in the complaint that my old Socialist friend made was the reiterated "for them." He was doing a good job, for them. He had negotiated a good contract, for them.

But as I tried to tell him at the time, sometimes people like to do things for themselves, even if they do them less well. His reply, on reflection, was that the workers could not negotiate a contract: They did not possess the skills, the boss would outmaneuver them, they would not be too exorbitant in their demands, they did not understand the economics of the industry, etc. When I asked him if they could not learn—after all, he had come up from the shop—his answer was that they are not interested, that in
his generation the talented people had gone into the shop because there was nowhere else for immigrants to go, but now the more educated ones did not go into the union, and so on.

My friend was no fool. He knew all about Robert Michel's "iron law of oligarchy," had read Will Herberg on union bureaucracy, was aware of the growing gulf between the leadership and the rank-and-file, and his reply reflected an awareness of being trapped in this disparity. "Besides," he said, "I am 55 years old. Am I supposed to get out of the union now, after 30 years of service, because the new membership, which had little to do with building the union, is ethnically different from me?" He had helped build a useful social institution, and had identified himself so completely with it that he found it hard to conceive of its continuing without him, and others like him.

And in a way he is right. Once the older generation passes, the ILGWU will be a different union. The tragedy is that the change is going on now, amid circumstances of growing resentment rather than of honor. Clearly, there is no easy answer.

The other problems are of equal difficulty, going far beyond the dilemmas of the ILGWU. It is startling to realize that in the quickened pace of the Negro effort to gain higher status in this country, the liberals (Dubinsky, Walter Reuther and even George Meany), rather than the reactionaries, are the ones who find themselves under the gun in the Negro community. Why does the NAACP pick on us? a friend in the needle trades recently asked me. Why doesn't it go after the building trades, the electrical unions (apart from Local 3 in New York) and other places where Negroes can't even get jobs, let alone leadership positions, because of union discrimination? One reason, he said, answering his own question, is that the NAACP is itself under fire from the more militant sections of the Negro community, and to maintain its own position it picks on the liberal unions because they are more vulnerable.

Wasn't this, I asked, exactly the reaction that the Jewish employers in the needle trades had 50 or more years ago, when the ILGWU was first trying to get a foothold in the industry? The Italian bosses ran worse sweatshops, they said, yet the unions pick on us because they can shame us in the Yiddish press. But precisely because the ILGWU was able to do so, the union succeeded in winning such victories as the establishment of arbitration councils under Louis D. Brandeis and other prominent Jewish spokesmen. Such tactics are bound to be repeated, and they are understandable.

What is it, then, that the Negro community wants, and why so much more demandingly from its liberal friends? The fact is—and this is the "bite" in Hill's charges—that the Negroes are underrepresented in the leadership of many of the unions where they form a significant portion of the membership. In the case of these unions, what the Negroes want is "recognition" at the level of top leadership and a growing share of the spoils of office.

Demands such as these are usually voiced behind closed doors rather than openly, because they fly in the face of the American mythos that all individuals are equal and there is no such thing as a group identity. And they usually provoke the response (which Reuther, for one, has articulated) that this is Jim Crow in reverse, that a man ought to be judged on the basis of individual merit and not for any "extraneous" capacity. Yet there is a curious paradox in this "democratic" response. For one thing, the realistic political process in the United States, at least in the northern urban centers, has been one of ethnic groups advancing themselves precisely in this fashion: by organizing on bloc lines, electing their own kind, and using the patronage system to enhance the wealth and status of their group.

A second, more complex fact is that the basic existence of the labor movement is rooted in the conception of "group" rather than individual rights. Legally, the individual's protection on the job—where, for example, a union shop exists—is based on the "collective" contract, not on his individual right. (Thus, under an old NLRB ruling, employers are forbidden in certain circumstances to give individual workers merit wage increases on the ground that this may be a form of favoritism and would violate the group's rights). How far down does the group right extend within the union? Often a union recognizes certain "functional" groupings (for instance, the skilled trades in the United Auto Workers) as legitimate claimants to its own rights of representation. Does the Negro community—if it so organizes itself—have a legitimate claim for representation in group terms?
This has to be answered in a still wider context, which is the unspoken premise that "equality of opportunity" is an inadequate springboard from which the deprived sections of the Negro community—that is, the overwhelming majority—can advance economically and educationally. The phrase "equality of opportunity" has meaning if the competitors are roughly equal from the start (which is why there are handicaps in a horse race). But in many instances, even if a genuine equality of opportunity—for jobs, school places and the like—prevailed, the Negro would still lose out, with harmful social consequences for the community, simply because of extra, inherited handicaps he bears.

Admission to an elite public school like New York's Bronx High School of Science, for example, is by competitive examination, a matter purely of merit. Yet the number of Negro children in that school is scandalously low, and this failure to get on the first step of the educational escalator becomes a self-reinforcing vicious cycle as regards future opportunities. The solution is not necessarily a double standard, wherein Negro children with lesser qualifications are admitted on a quota basis; it may require the creation of special classes to coach bright but culturally deprived Negro children, in order to allow them to compete on a better footing.

But why even blink at the "double standard?" Why should intellectual merit alone be the determining basis for entry into an elite school? Ivy-league colleges such as Harvard, Yale and Columbia maintain geographical quotas in order to gain a representative national student body, on the ground that such mixtures are more valuable to the educational process than those selected by grades or college-board scores alone. On the same basis, a larger proportion of Negro children in the elite schools would both act as a spur to these children and "broaden" the experience of the other students.

All this may seem a far cry from my opening story; yet there is a consistency and a connection. The implicit demand of the Negro community is for special efforts and even special treatment in order to win basic social rights. It arises for the same reason that Tocqueville noted in all revolutionary movements: Increasingly radical demands are made not when one's lot goes from bad to worse, but when it goes from bad to better. For what may have been formerly accepted as destined and inevitable now, with the possibility of improvement in sight, becomes intolerable; and the demand for improvement quickens.

But the demand for special treatment is nothing new in the United States. It is the very basis for existence of the labor movement. The preamble to the Wagner Act points out that equality of bargaining is a necessary aspect of social justice, and in order to redress unequal power, the workers have to be given the added protections of the law to organize, to compel the employer to bargain, and so on. Farmers receive price supports, subsidies, mortgage insurance and the like. In economic and educational opportunity, the Negro is in a position of inequality, and the government is bounden to help him move ahead. But doesn't the trade-union movement have a special obligation to help redress the balance?

To return to my original tale, the tragedy of old institutions is that they are like Cheshire cats: The image remains after the body has disappeared. In the end, the image without the body is insubstantial, and quickly fades away. Or, to change the metaphor, and make the issue more plain: The "genius" of American democracy is that the society survived because the older ruling groups learned to share their power instead of resorting to class war, most notably in the acceptance by employers of collective bargaining in the factories. How curious that the labor leadership which benefited from that historical lesson should so plainly fail to see the virtue of applying it to its own institutions.
42. AFL-CIO SAVES NAACP

If the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People had to depend exclusively on the black public to save it from utter destruction, it would have had to hoist a black mourning flag as a symbol of its untimely demise. The NAACP chapters around the country, fell far short of raising the money needed to challenge a Mississippi court decision.

The Association has consecrated 67 years to a titanic struggle for civil rights, for elimination of restrictive covenants in home ownership, for abolition of lynching, for equal employment opportunity, for job equity, for elimination of segregation in the Armed Forces, and for desegregation of the public schools. It has won most of these battles through costly legal proceedings depleting its treasury.

The victories have helped to establish the claims of U.S. blacks to the guarantees of equality as vouchsafed by constitutional provisions. Without them, citizenship for black Americans would be devoid of substantive meaning. Without them, Lincoln's historic emancipation of the slaves would have meaning only as an abstract document to be preserved on library bookshelves for posterity.

The 14th and 15th Amendments which struck a final blow to slavery as an American social institution, would have been useless. The NAACP fought tooth and nail to safeguard the intent, the identity as well as the application of those constitutional warrants which sustain this nation's commitments to its black citizen and the principles of a democratic society.

Despite this long, costly and imposing history of the NAACP's unflagging struggle for justice and equality, 25 million blacks were not moved by the threat of its dismemberment posed by a Mississippi court ruling.

On Aug. 9, a Mississippi court awarded a hardware company $1.25 million in damages against the NAACP. The ruling was on a lawsuit filed by a group of white merchants who contended that they suffered severe financial losses because of a 1966 boycott organized by the NAACP.

That 25 million blacks could not or would not raise such a comparatively small amount is cause for serious introspection. It shows a lack of social responsibility; it points to a mournful state of moral bankruptcy lessening the validity of the eternal hymn sung to black pride.

The Association had to call on the AFL-CIO, a predominantly white federation of labor to raise the sum of $800,000 dollars, the balance needed to save it from dissolution.

With that SOS call outside its own membership of nearly half a million, the NAACP loses its status as a completely independent civil rights organization. It is a quasi entity. Its souls has been mortgaged.

Pittsburgh Courier, October 26, 1976.

43. BENJAMIN HOOKS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, NAACP, TO THE AFL-CIO CONVENTION, 1979

Once again it is an honor and a privilege for me to represent the NAACP at a meeting of the AFL-CIO. It is indeed a joy to be back. I was with you in your 12th Constitutional Convention at the Bonaventure two years ago at Los Angeles, and I am proud and happy to have the occasion to be with you again.

It is also a great personal pleasure to pay tribute to George Meany,
your retiring President, who has given his life to the organized labor movement and who in his dedicated service to the rights of the worker has touched the hearts and improved the lives of millions.

George Meany is no ordinary man. He is a determined and vigilant fighter for the working man. He is a careful and deliberate champion of human and social justice. He is a close and dear friend of civil rights and a passionate advocate for the under class.

In history of the great men of the American scene who have shaped the world events and the national spirit, George Meany stands tall and prominent. What errors he may have made in the body politic, he has given attention to the special needs of the unionized worker. In this area he was not only always right, but he was always basically and always forthrightly right, and the best exemplification of labor leadership in my generation. In fact, his name has become synonymous with organized labor, and it is to him that we gladly extend our thanks, appreciation and congratulations. (Applause).

George Meany's position was not, as in the case of some political leaders, vulnerable. He could and he did make tough decisions and honest choices. He has been a leading human and civil rights advocate.

Every piece of civil rights legislation in this country was enacted with the active support and leadership of George Meany and organized labor. You name it—the Civil Rights Act of 1965, the Fair Housing Act of 1968, and the Equal Opportunity Employment Act of 1972. None of these acts would have become law without the strong and vigorous support not only of George Meany, but of the house of organized labor. For that America will always be grateful. (Applause).

He has been a strong advocate of women's rights, approving the addition of language in Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act to prohibit discrimination because of sex. He was a leader of the fight for positive equal rights amendments, and has been in the forefront of the successful struggle to extend the time for states to ratify ERA until June, 1982.

Mr. Meany, along with Walter Reuther, then President of the CIO, was among the first persons to speak out in support of the landmark Supreme Court decision in Brown versus Board of Education 25 years ago, stating then that the Supreme Court has reaffirmed the basic philosophy of the American way of life, that all citizens are equal, regardless of race, creed or color. He also remarked that the decision against segregation in the public school system was a matter of simple justice.

We are grateful to the leadership of organized labor and George Meany when you backed the NAACP to survive the 1.6 million dollar judgment awarded to a group of merchants in Mississippi. And it was labor unions across the nation that helped put the word out that the threat of such court decisions, couched in the misapplication of secondary boycotts and the string of trade laws, could have a disastrous effect on organized labor's activities, to apply economic pressures on the labor unions. It is, therefore, with great pride that we recall that organized labor was prepared to put up $800,000 to make sure that the NAACP did not go out of business.

Our relationship with the labor movement is across the board. We have been given tremendous support by the NAACP Ad Hoc Labor Committee, co-chaired by Roosevelt Watts, Secretary-Treasurer of the Transport Workers Union of America, and William Oliver, Director of the United Auto Workers Fair Practices Department. And I want to publicly express the NAACP's deep appreciation to all the unions represented on that committee for the moral and financial support they have given NAACP.

We have also received extensive support and cooperation from the AFL-CIO Department of Civil Rights nationally and through our branches across the country. The NAACP has the good fortune to have your civil rights director, William E. Pollard, as an effective, active, elected member of our national Board of Directors.

Then I want to say a word about Lane Kirkland. I understand that you are to be the new President of AFL-CIO, and I want to congratulate you ahead of time. (Applause).

If this were an NAACP convention I would be definitely afraid to congratulate anybody until he had been elected and certified. But since you are an orderly and constructive convention and everything is in apple pie order, I have the privilege to congratulate you three days ahead of time. So, right on, Brother Kirkland, and may your tribe increase.
And to my good friend, Tom Donahue, and to the good people of the labor movement who had the good sense, intelligence and foresight to elect two of the finest men that labor has ever been able to afford to these important and prestigious jobs, we look forward to working hand in hand, heart in heart, arm in arm, to keep the cause of human progress and human rights moving forward.

Congratulations, again. I wish you well.

I appreciate the opportunity to be here because America's position today domestically and in the foreign affairs of our world is in serious trouble. Our position abroad as a great power has been substantially weakened just as we are caught up in a domestic income struggle of life and death. The candidates for public office and incumbents have recognized that inflation is a major concern of the American public, but too many see the solution to inflation in cutbacks in federal spending in social and human services. They countenance the solution to inflation in terms of massive layoffs of public employees.

Many people have forgotten that since Proposition 13 passed in California we have lost 134,000 public service jobs. These are men and women who have lost their jobs to the tax-cutting fever that not only cuts fat but cuts bone and muscle and gristle. Unless those of us who are concerned about progress come together and exercise our muscle to stop the conservative trend in America, all of us will soon be in serious trouble. (Applause).

As I look about this country today and I see the rise of the Nazi party, when I see the Ku Klux Klan, when I see the enemies of human progress everywhere raising their ugly heads, I know that this nation is in trouble. There is a round of conservatism which threatens to undo much of the progress that has been made. We seem to be living in an age when there are a lot of people who want to get all they can, call all they get, and then sit on the can.

We must be alert to that and remind them that America was built by the struggle, the sweat, the toil and the tears of millions of people who believe that not only must they make a way for themselves but they have an obligation to reach out and help others. It is one of the enduring triumphs of the labor movement that you have been great, because not only have you worked for yourselves, but you have not forgotten the rest of America. When you work for a minimum wage law, most of organized labor is not really affected, but you are affected in the sense that you are helping millions of others who have no way to express themselves.

I want to congratulate you for this spirit. I want to congratulate you for recognizing that quite often there has been bigotry and segregation and prejudice within the house of labor as it related to blacks and Hispanics and Asian Americans, and as it related to women in the work force. I want to congratulate your leadership for moving forthrightly to try to right those wrongs, to recognize them, to speak to them and to keep working until every vestige of discrimination and segregation, prejudice and bias should be run out of the house of labor; and you will continue to move forward recognizing that every American regardless of race, color, creed, sex or previous condition of servitude must have a right to make their contributions to the well-being of American life.

Therefore, today I call on the American labor movement, I call on Lane Kirkland and Tom Donahue and the presidents of the 105 international unions that find their homes in labor's house to help the NAACP to implement the full and broad meaning of the Weber decision. For in that Weber case a great union, the United Steelworkers and a company, the Kaiser Aluminum plant, forged a voluntary affirmative action plan that can bring into the mainstream of American life those who have been left out, those who have been put on the sidelines, those who have been forgotten, those who have been called unemployable, and those who have been called folk who didn't want to work.

I believe, Lane, that in the years ahead we can forge a working relationship that can reach out our arms and our hearts and embrace into it the whole panoply of the American working force so that every man and woman, boy and girl in this nation, who wants to be employed, can have a decent job and have a chance to make a living.

This is a job that I would call upon the American labor movement. Move into the ghettos of Harlem, the central areas of Watts, look at the south and west sides of Chicago. Go down into the barrios of Los Angeles, look at
the exploitation in such corporations as J. P. Stevens, and you understand
that we still have a long way to go.

In the words of the poet Robert Frost, "The woods are lovely, dark and
deep, but we have miles and miles to go and promises to keep before we sleep."

We must not let anything separate that fine historical alliance between
the NAACP and organized labor. We should not forget our heritage.

You know, it is easy for a people to get a little fat and lazy. As I
look around the labor movement, as I look around at some of my brothers and
sisters at the NAACP conventions, they have moved from the agony to the avenue
and from poverty to prosperity, from neck bones to T-bones and sometimes we
forget where we come from. I would beseech, brothers and sisters of the labor
movement, let's not forget the deprivation, the trials, the bloodshed of many
of our brothers and sisters who died to build the labor movement. They died
believing that the labor movement one day would speak to the problems of the
people. You are the heirs of the promises and you are also the keepers of the
dream and generations yet unborn will look back to see whether you were faith­
ful to the promise.

I remember in Memphis, Tennessee, seeing leaders of the labor movement
being beat down in the street like wild dogs, but they would not let anybody
turn them around.

I remember the boss of Memphis, Tennessee, saying that labor organizations
would never come to pass in Memphis, Tennessee. He dared organized labor to
come across and they had the guts to fight until victory was won, and you must
not forget your history. You must not forget your heritage. You must not
forget where you come from.

We must not become so lazy and indifferent to the cries of labor, the
poverty-stricken and the powerless. We must not become so concerned about our
own power that we forget the brothers and sisters who are still laboring in an
environment where there is so little for too many. They look to us to reach
down and help them.

So, today I pledge for my part, and I am sure that Lane Kirkland pledges
on his part, that the NAACP and the labor movement, working together for the
passage of labor law reform acts in the Congress, will succeed. (Applause).

We shall work for the implementation of the Hawkins-Humphrey bill. We
shall work wherever that ugly monster raises its head to defeat the so-called
right-to-work laws. We have already been to Missouri to fight it, and we are
going to Louisiana to see that it is repealed because those who want to see us
progress have an amazing way to get good-sounding words such as "right to work."
We know they are a damnable lie and it has to be told.

We shall work together to defeat those who would hold us back, and to
march on until victory is won in the J. P. Stevens fight. We shall work to
make sure that there will be no exploitation of foreign labor at the expense
of American laboring men and women, to have a fair trade law that means that,
and to make sure that multinational corporate interests don't move the jobs
of American working people beyond the boundaries of the Atlantic and the
Pacific, in order to exploit cheap labor and bring the goods back here.

We shall work together for labor legislation; we shall work for the
election of decent candidates at the local, state and national level, and then
we are going to have a report card on them, to make sure that if they are
elected with our support, they will vote like they promised to vote. If they
don't do it, we are going to say to them, in the language of the Old Testament,
in Job, "The Lord giveth and the Lord taketh away, and if we give it to you
and you don't act right, we are going to take it away from you." (Applause).

We are tired of elected people who forget about us as soon as they get
in office and I don't care a rap whether it is a representative in a state
house or the President of the United States. They have got to be responsible
to the people who put them into office and do what they promised to do when
they are running.

We shall work to eliminate the Nazi Party and the Ku Klux Klan, that they
will not rear their ugly heads and divert the cause of Jesus Christ and change
the meaning of Judeo-Christian heritage, because we understand that those who
put on night sheets and pillow cases and ride down the streets with grips and
gloves and shotguns are trying to destroy the spirit of progress in America.
Even though they may come after blacks in the morning, remember, they will be
after labor in the afternoon.
So, they never seem to know for whom the bell tolls.
I believe that we can forge an alliance. I believe that we can build a momentum in this nation that will inexorably move forward.
I believe we are inextricably bound together and that the things that bring us together are stronger than the things that keep us apart.
So, in so many physical ways we must be prepared to move to New York and look at the beautiful Statue of Liberty that stands there in the harbor with that marvellous light beckoning to those poor and oppressed people from across the water, saying, "Give me your tired, your huddled masses, the young, to be free." Every night we must turn that statue on a pedestal so that not only she pleads to Europe and lands across the sea, but to the barrios of California, the ghettos of Watts and Harlem, and the Appalachian foothills and wherever people are suffering for freedom. We can say to Americans of every race, color and creed, "Give me your tired, yearning to be free." Then the day will come when from every mountainside, black and white Americans, female and male Americans, Jew and Gentile, will be able to sing, "My country 'tis of thee, sweet land of liberty. Of thee I sing."

God bless you. (Applause).


44. NAACP TO JOIN LABOR'S SOLIDARITY DAY PROTEST

DENVER—The NAACP voted enthusiastic endorsement of the AFL-CIO's Solidarity Day demonstration and called on more than 2,200 local branches to take part in the Sept. 19 rally in Washington "for jobs, justice and equity."

Nearly 5,000 delegates to the 72nd annual convention of the nation's oldest civil rights organization applauded and adopted a Solidarity Day "emergency resolution" that was brought to the floor at the opening session of the convention.

Other special resolutions adopted at the same session with the support of the NAACP board sharply criticized Reagan Administration budget cuts and pressed for renewal of the Voting Rights Act. A few hours later, delegates sat politely but silently through a speech by President Reagan.

The Solidarity Day resolution and a message from AFL-CIO President Lane Kirkland stressed the long and close alliance between the trade union and civil rights movements.

In endorsing Solidarity Day, the NAACP cited the attempts by the Reagan Administration to "diminish or destroy" programs to help "the aged, the poor and the disadvantaged."

The resolution, adopted by voice was without dissent, welcomed the initiative taken by the AFL-CIO and the federation's invitation to the NAACP and other concerned organizations "to participate in this timely demonstration."

UAW President Douglas A. Fraser, one of the speakers at a labor luncheon held during the convention, said his union is going all out to make Solidarity Day a success.78

"Let's make this the greatest demonstration since people of all walks of life and all colors marched in Washington in support of civil rights in 1963," Fraser urged.

Kirkland's letter to NAACP Executive Director Benjamin L. Hooks expressed confidence and determination that hard-won progress will not be reversed.

He cited the "fundamental changes" that the labor and civil rights alliance helped bring about.

"We have swept away the age-old legal codes and legislative sanctions that perpetuated racial discrimination in our schools, in housing, in public accommodations and in the world of work," Kirkland said.

Equality is closer to becoming "a fact of daily life, as well as of law," he noted. And labor remains committed "to affirmative action programs necessary to open doors previously shut to minorities and women."

But Kirkland voiced concern at signs of retreat. "Violations of civil
rights laws that would have been unthinkable even a year ago have already begun to surface in states where our opponents eagerly anticipate the demise of the Voting Rights Act," he warned.

And there is suffering ahead, he said, "as the Administration seeks to close one door after another in the face of those who need jobs, food, housing and the educational, medical and social services their government will no longer supply."

The AFL-CIO is devoting its energies and resources to preserve a just society, Kirkland affirmed.

"We are heartened," he said, "to know that the NAACP is at our side."


45. ROY WILKINS PROVIDED STRENGTH DURING CRITICAL CIVIL RIGHTS ERA

By Bayard Rustin

Roy Wilkins was a gentle man with a strong will. He was a man of keen judgment and open-mindedness, a man who was willing to change his mind. In a very real sense he was the statesman of the civil rights movement.

He was not a man of charisma. He was not a speaker who could electrify crowds with his rhetoric. His strength and his lasting contribution to the civil rights movement rested in his thoroughness, his quiet determination, and his organizational talents.

It was under Roy Wilkins' aegis that the true black Declaration of Independence was written. It was not a single document such as the one drafted by America's founding fathers. Rather, it was the immense project of legal proceedings and test cases which were initiated by the NAACP, which included the Brown v. Board of Education decision, and which continued far beyond it. These decisions won rights for blacks in enclave after enclave of American society.

I first directly collaborated with Roy Wilkins during the planning stages of the 1963 March on Washington, which was initiated by A. Philip Randolph, the great black labor leader.

At first, relations between us were somewhat strained because Wilkins, a cautious man by nature, was troubled by my personal history of involvement in socialist and other radical causes. Yet despite initial misunderstandings, once we had begun working together and had come to understand that our goals for the black community were similar, we formed a close bond of friendship.

Despite his initial coolness to the idea of a March on Washington for civil rights and economic justice, once Roy Wilkins was convinced of the project's merits, he and the NAACP were to give the endeavour the strongest financial and organizational support it was to receive.

That the march was a great success was in large measure due to the organizational network Wilkins had established. And it was at Wilkins's insistence that Martin Luther King, Jr. was chosen to be the final speaker at that march and there delivered his historic "I Have a Dream" speech.

Because Roy Wilkins had a keen sense of what blacks wanted and because of his effectiveness in expressing the needs and desires of black Americans, he was frequently vilified by a minority of black extremists who sought to arrogate for themselves the right to speak in the name of all blacks.

Wilkins played a central role in leading black community opposition to the black power and separation movements which did so much to weaken black political power and to heighten racial tensions. He was a committed integrationist and he battled all the way with such extremists as Stokely Carmichael and H. Rap Brown, who today are mere footnotes in the history of black political life.

Wilkin's contributions were great and lasting. They have survived him, above all, in the form of the NAACP, the organization which he helped build into a network of 400,000 members. His stewardship of the NAACP encompassed the period of the 1950s and early '60s in which the civil rights movement made its greatest progress. He, likewise, led his organization in the more difficult and frequently disappointing period which was to follow.
The death of Roy Wilkins marks the final chapter in a great era in the history of the civil rights movement. It was an era of great visionaries and leaders—Martin Luther King, Jr., A. Philip Randolph, Whitney Young, and Roy Wilkins.

We are now, without question, firmly entrenched in a new era, an era whose outlines are not yet easy to perceive. The civil rights movement stands at the crossroads. Today, its influence is lower than at any point since its inception. It confronts the dangerous problems of a hereditary and ever-growing underclass of black poor, of economic inequality, and of an administration which is indifferent to the plight of the poor and working poor.

The civil rights movement is at a crossroads. One can only hope that new Roy Wilkinses will emerge to lead that movement down the proper path.


46. DELEGATES HIT REAGAN ON CIVIL RIGHTS RETREAT

Hollywood, Fla.—The AFL-CIO reaffirmed its strong commitment to ending discrimination and guaranteeing civil rights to all Americans.

The convention stressed in a resolution that the federation will continue to "vigorously oppose" attempts by the Reagan Administration to "annihilate" generations of civil rights progress.

So-called voluntary action in civil rights touted by conservatives will not work, the AFL-CIO said. The battle for equal opportunity, the resolution said, "can be won only through strong enforcement action by executive agencies and the courts."

The Administration, the AFL-CIO charged in its Executive Council report, has supplemented its attempts to wipe civil rights laws off the books with such tactics as lagging enforcement, appointing people with anti-civil rights stands to key positions to weaken enforcement, gutting of social, educational and equal employment programs, tearing down of affirmative action programs, foot-dragging on a wide variety of anti-discrimination cases, such as in housing, as well as damaging internal reorganizations of agencies and "regulatory reform" which amounts to gutting protective provisions.

Services available

Key sections of the resolution urged AFL-CIO affiliates to use the services of the federation's Civil Rights Committee and Dept. of Civil Rights, and to appoint union staff to work on programs to guarantee civil rights to all Americans.

The resolution called for support for "strong, viable civil rights agencies" such as the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights and the Justice Dept.

The resolution affirmed the need for non-discriminatory seniority systems, coupled with the use of the collective bargaining process to "open employment doors for women and minorities."

Employees should not be subject to discrimination or harassment in any form because of sexual orientation, the resolution said, calling for federal, state and local laws to eliminate such abridgement of civil rights.

The AFL-CIO urged affiliates to continue to support and strengthen educational programs to alert union members to the dangers posed to the labor movement and the nation by the propaganda of the Ku Klux Klan and other extremist and hate groups.

Community work

The value of the work in communities toward equal opportunity and social and economic justice by coalitions representing the interests of the labor movement and blacks, Hispanics and women was stressed in the resolution. The AFL-CIO will continue to support and work closely with the A. Philip Randolph Institute, the Labor Council for Latin American Advancement, the Coalition of Labor Union Women, Frontlash and the National Council of Senior Citizens.
Although a 25-year extension of the labor-supported Voting Rights Act was signed in 1982—in spite of repeated attempts by the Administration to weaken it—there are still pockets of resistance to the law in some parts of the country. The resolution pointed out that the Justice Department must be expected to pursue strong enforcement of the civil rights law.

At the same time, the AFL-CIO said, organized labor will be pressing voter registration and "get out the vote" programs to see that all Americans take advantage of this right.

Worst record

Federation Vice President John Sweeney, president of the Service Employees, branded the Reagan White House "the most anti-minority Administration in the history of our country" in calling for adoption of the resolution.

"We in the labor movement don't believe civil rights is a 'special interest,' it's all our interest," Sweeney said.

Fred O'Neal, an AFL-CIO vice president and head of the Actors & Artistes, urged all affiliates to work closely with the federation's Dept. of Civil Rights and to appoint staff members within their own unions.

Delegate John Sturdivant, executive vice president of the Government Employees, told the convention the resolution was important to the morale of many dedicated government workers whose efforts to do their jobs—carrying out civil rights laws—are being hampered by the anti-civil rights attitudes of the Reagan Administration.

Also speaking in support of the resolution were Delegates Marc Stepp, vice president of the Auto Workers; William Olwell, vice president of the Food & Commercial Workers; Evelyn Dubrow, vice president of the Ladies' Garment Workers, and Steve Edney, vice president of the Seafarers.


BLACK CIVIL RIGHTS LEADERS SPEAK BEFORE AFL-CIO CONVENTIONS

47. THURGOOD MARSHALL

Special Counsel, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People

Mr. Meany, officers and friends: On behalf of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People and those we represent, I want to say that it is more than a pleasure and a privilege to be here this afternoon. I have condensed what I want to say on paper for a very simple reason: that is the only way I know to get something over in short fashion. I hope you will bear with me in reading, because I for one consider this one of the most important periods in our lives insofar as the actual practice of the survival of democracy is concerned.

We in the NAACP salute the merged AFL and CIO as an example of further consolidation of forces seeking justice for all Americans. The additional strength from this merger will most certainly be used for the benefit of the country in general. A large measure of the success in the fight for human dignity that has come about has resulted from the recognition by organized labor of the need of extending labor's fight from inside the plant to the community in general. So, those of us in the fight for justice for Negro Americans can now depend upon an even stronger support from this new consolidated arm of organized labor.

While great progress toward removing racial injustice from American life has been made in the past two decades, we have found that the real task is and
will be the job of bringing established principles of law into everyday practice in local communities. Experience during the past two years has made it clear to everyone concerned that real opposition to law and order is being built up in areas of the South. This opposition is being built up on the local level.

In backward areas of the South, the so-called "good people" of these states have banded themselves together in organizations such as the White Citizens Councils of Mississippi and other similar organizations. These local groups have grown during the past six months into state organizations and will, in short order cross state lines. While these organizations are set up for the ostensible purpose of "using every lawful means" to preserve racial segregation and other forms of discrimination including the denial of the right to vote. In truth and in fact these organizations are creating the type of atmosphere which now makes it possible to run Negroes out of business, to discharge Negroes from employment and even to threaten and murder poor defenseless Negroes in Mississippi. Of course, the White Citizens Councils deny any responsibility for these murders. However, they cannot deny that they have created the atmosphere of disregard for the established law of the land. This atmosphere makes it possible for murderers to go free and unpunished. This atmosphere of lawlessness must be changed.

The murder of Rev. G. W. Lee in Belzoni, Miss., for insisting on his right to vote, the murder of Lamar Smith for insisting on the right to register and the unprovoked murder of little Emmett Till has focused nation and worldwide attention on Mississippi. These murders and other forms of intimidation point up but definitely the complete absence of protection of civil rights for minority groups in the South. Of course, those of us who have been in this fight for any period of time have known of this lack of protection for Negroes along with similar lack of protection of the rights of organized labor in many areas of the South. It is a sad commentary to realize that many of us require cold-blooded murders in order to rally us to action. The whole vicious program against Negroes in the South will without doubt lead to further violence and pressures against organized labor. One of the biggest jobs ahead for this consolidated bloc of labor leaders is to organize the unorganized in the South. Recent developments of lawlessness and opposition to voting and desegregation of education makes it clear that organized labor must insist not only on organizing in the South but must insist that it be done throughout the South on a completely integrated basis without any compromise in the slightest detail to the segregated policies prevalent in areas of the South.

The Negro in the South has refused to compromise on the question of racial segregation in public education and other public facilities. Organized labor must refuse to compromise in its organizing even in the South. Between the two, we can rally other good forces of the South to the end that justice will prevail.

However, the inability of the United States Department of Justice to bring to justice those guilty of denying constitutionally protected rights to Negroes in the South points up the need for adequate Federal legislation to protect all of us in the exercise of our rights throughout the South. In other words, we must have Federal protection of the right to live, to speak out, to organize, and to insist upon our constitutionally protected rights. States such as Mississippi have demonstrated their unwillingness as well as inability to protect these rights. Therefore, we must use our combined strength to secure from Congress adequate anti-lynching legislation, anti-poll tax legislation and a strengthening of the Federal Civil Rights Statutes as a bulwark against unprovoked violence in our every day work. We must, in addition, insist upon strong FEPC legislation and necessary safeguards in Federal appropriations in schools, housing and other facilities which will prevent Federal money from being used to continue segregation in opposition to the law of the land.

It should also be noted that this vicious anti-Negro program extends to white citizens who dare to speak out for justice for Negroes. It is highly significant that in many areas of the deep South organized labor is being bracketed in the same position as the Negro.

In this great expansion program of bringing great industries into the South, organized labor has a more important task than ever before in seeing to it that the plants involved are not only organized on a completely non-racial basis but that the communities surrounding these plants are run in a
democratic fashion which today means, according to the law of the land, the absence of racial segregation. Anything short of this will merely mean that the expansion program in the South will become a further example of extended racial discrimination on an even larger scale. At this late date, it goes without saying that organized labor has a terrific stake in vigorously opposing racial segregation in community life whether it be in the North or South.

Despite all of the organized opposition to desegregation, it is important to remember that the solid South is broken for the first time on the question of race. As of today, twelve of the seventeen Southern states are now admitting Negroes to graduate and professional schools. Some thirty-odd private universities opened their doors to Negroes and it is just a short matter of time until all will be opened up.

It is also worthy of note that on the elementary and high school levels portions of either of the seventeen Southern states and the District of Columbia have moved toward integration of public schools and this has been accomplished in less than two years. This is the type of progress that has solidified the unreconstructed areas which are now more determined than ever to do everything possible to prevent integration of public schools.

In the latest drive toward desegregation as a result of recent Supreme Court decisions we have found that the good people of the South are either afraid or unwilling to oppose the pro-segregationist groups. We find that most of the Southern press is against integration of public schools. We find that church organizations for the most part will go no further than to merely adopt innocuous resolutions in favor of desegregation.

If the desegregation job is to be done, it will have to be done on the local level. If we are to be successful in this task we will need more than ever before the support of organizations such as those here represented who are in a position to transform resolutions into action programs on the local level.

The type of diehard opposition now being built up in the South will not disappear overnight and we cannot blow it away. It will only be removed by intelligent cooperative leadership of those Americans who have more at stake than others. Together we can do the job.

PRESIDENT MEANY: In your behalf I wish to thank Mr. Marshall for his address this afternoon and to express to him the opinion that the organized labor movement of this nation will be able to more effectively carry out its tradition of non-discrimination and of civil rights for all, and that through this merged organization we will be able to carry on the principles both organizations in the past have adhered to, and implement those principles much more effectively than we have in the past.


48. DOCTOR MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR.

President, The Southern Christian Leadership Conference

President Meany, distinguished platform associates, delegates to the Fourth Constitutional Convention of the AFL-CIO, ladies and gentlemen:

I need not pause to say how very delighted I am to be with you today. It is a privilege indeed to have the opportunity of addressing such a significant gathering. I have looked forward to being with you with great anticipation.

At one time I thought the forces of nature wouldn’t cooperate with me enough in order to be here, for I left Los Angeles early this morning. When I got to the airport, I discovered that the flight that I was to take out of Los Angeles had been canceled because of weather in Dallas and in Atlanta. But I was lucky enough to get a flight through Chicago and certainly that was a joyous moment when I heard that I could go another way and get here. Of course the flight was rather bumpy all the way from Chicago to Miami and I was very happy when we landed.
I don't want to give you the impression that I don't have faith in God in the air. It is just that I have more experience with him on the ground.

But it is a delightful privilege to be here and I want to express my great pleasure to President Meany and the committee for extending the invitation.

Less than a century ago the laborer had no rights, little or no respect, and led a life which was socially submerged and barren.

He was hired and fired by economic despots whose power over him decreed his life or death. The children of workers had no childhood and no future. They, too, worked for pennies an hour and by the time they reached their teens they were worn-out old men, devoid of spirit, devoid of hope and devoid of self-respect. Jack London described a child worker in these words: "He did not walk like a man. He did not look like a man. He was a travesty of the human. It was a twisted and stunted and nameless piece of life that shambled like a sickly ape, arms loose-hanging, stoop-shouldered, narrow-chested, grotesque and terrible." American industry organized misery into sweat shops and proclaimed the right of capital to act without restraints and without conscience.

Victor Hugo, literary genius of that day, commented bitterly that there was always more misery in the lower classes than there was humanity in the upper classes. The inspiring answer to this intolerable and dehumanizing existence was economic organization through trades unions. The worker became determined not to wait for charitable impulses to grow in his employer. He constructed the means by which a fairer sharing of the fruits of his toil had to be given to him or the wheels of industry, which he alone turned, would halt and wealth for no one would be available.

This revolution within industry was fought mercilessly by those who blindly believed their right to uncontrolled profits was a law of the universe, and that without the maintainance of the old order catastrophe faced the nation.

History is a great teacher. Now, every one knows that the labor movement did not diminish the strength of the nation but enlarged it. By raising the living standards of millions, labor miraculously created a market for industry and lifted the whole nation to undreamed of levels of production. Those who today attack labor forget these simple truths, but history remembers them.

Labor's next monumental struggle emerged in the thirties when it wrote into federal law the right freely to organize and bargain collectively. It was now apparently emancipated. The days when workers were jailed for organizing, and when in the English Parliament Lord Macauley had to debate against a bill decreeing the death penalty for anyone engaging in a strike, were grim but almost forgotten memories. Yet, the Wagner Act, like any other legislation, tended merely to declare rights but did not deliver them. Labor had to bring the law to life by exercising its rights in practice over stubborn, tenacious opposition. It was warned to go slow, to be moderate, not to stir up strife. But labor knew it was always the right time to do right, and it spread its organization over the nation and achieved equality organizationally with capital. The day of economic democracy was born.

Negroes in the United States read this history of labor and find it mirrors their own experience. We are confronted by powerful forces telling us to rely on the good will and understanding of those who profit by exploiting us. They deplore our discontent, they resent our will to organize, so that we may guarantee that humanity will prevail and equality will be exacted. They are shocked that action organizations, sit-ins, civil disobedience, and protests are becoming our every day tools, just as strikes, demonstrations and union organization became yours to insure that bargaining power genuinely existed on both sides of the table. We want to rely upon the goodwill of those who oppose us. Indeed, we have brought forward the method of non-violence to give an example of unilateral goodwill in an effort to evoke it in those who have not yet felt it in their hearts. But we know that if we are not simultaneously organizing our strength we will have no means to move forward. If we do not advance, the crushing burden of centuries of neglect and economic deprivation will destroy our will, our spirits and our hopes. In this way labor's historic tradition of moving forward to create vital people as consumers and citizens has become our own tradition, and for the same reasons.

This unity of purpose is not an historical coincidence. Negroes are almost entirely a working people. There are pitifully few Negro millionaires and few Negro employers. Our needs are identical with labor's needs, decent
wages, fair working conditions, livable housing, old age security, health and welfare measures, conditions in which families can grow, have education for their children and respect in the community. That is why Negroes support labor's demands and fight laws which curb labor. That is why the labor-hater and labor-baiter is virtually always a twin headed creature spewing anti-Negro epithets from one mouth and anti-labor propaganda from the other mouth.

The duality of interests of labor and Negroes make any crisis which lacerates you, a crisis from which we bleed. As we stand on the threshold of the second half of the twentieth century, a crisis confronts us both. Those who in the second half of the nineteenth century could not tolerate organized labor have had a rebirth of power and seek to regain the despotism of that era while retaining the wealth and privileges of the twentieth century. Whether it be the ultra right wing in the form of Birch societies or the alliance which former President Eisenhower denounced, the alliance between big military and big industry, or the coalition of southern Dixiecrats and northern reactionaries whatever the form, these menaces now threaten everything decent and fair in American life. Their target is labor, liberals, and the Negro people, not scattered "reds" or even Justice Warren, former Presidents Eisenhower and Truman and President Kennedy, who are in truth beyond the reach of their crude and vicious falsehoods.

Labor today faces a grave crisis, perhaps the most calamitous since it began its march from the shadows of want and insecurity. In the next ten to twenty years automation will grind jobs into dust as it grinds out unbelievable volumes of production. This period is made to order for those who would seek to drive labor into impotency by viciously attacking it at every point of weakness. Hard core unemployment is now an ugly and unavoidable fact of life. Like malignant cancer, it has grown year by year and continues its spread. But automation can be used to generate an abundance of wealth for people or an abundance of poverty for millions as its human-like machines turn out human scrap along with machine scrap as a by-product of production. Our society, with its ability to perform miracles with machinery, has the capacity to make some miracles for men—if it values men as highly as it values machines.

To find a great design to solve a grave problem labor will have to intervene in the political life of the nation to chart a course which distributes the abundance to all instead of concentrating it among a few. The strength to carry through such a program requires that labor know its friends and collaborate as a friend. If all that I have said is sound, labor has no firmer friend than the 20 million Negroes whose lives will be deeply affected by the new patterns of production.

To say that we are friends would be an empty platitude if we fail to behave as friends and honestly look to weaknesses in our relationship. Unfortunately there are weaknesses. Labor has not adequately used its great power, its vision and resources to advance Negro rights. Undeniably it has done more than other forces in American society to this end. Aid from real friends in labor has often come when the flames of struggle heighten. But Negroes are a solid component within the labor movement and a reliable bulwark for labor's whole program, and should expect more from it exactly as a member of a family expects more from his relatives than he expects from his neighbors.

Labor, which made impatience for long-delayed justice for itself a vital motive force, cannot lack understanding of the Negro's impatience. It cannot speak with the reactionaries calm indifference, of progress around some obscure corner not yet possible even to see. There is a maxim in the law—justice too long delayed, is justice denied. When a Negro leader who has a reputation of purity and honesty which has benefitted the whole labor movement criticizes it, his motives should not be reviled nor his earnestness rebuked. Instead, the possibility that he is revealing a weakness in the labor movement which it can ill afford, should receive thoughtful examination. A man who has dedicated his long and faultless life to the labor movement cannot be raising questions harmful to it any more than a lifelong devoted parent can become the enemy of his child. The report of a committee may smother with legal constructions a list of complaints and dispose of it for the day. But if it buries a far larger truth it has disposed of nothing and made justice more elusive. Discrimination does exist in the labor movement. It is true that organized labor has taken significant steps to remove the yoke of discrimination from its own body. But in spite of this, some unions, governed by the racist ethos, have
contributed to the degraded economic status of the Negro. Negroes have been barred from membership in certain unions, and denied apprenticeship training and vocational education. In every section of the country one can find local unions existing as a serious and vicious obstacle when the Negro seeks jobs or upgrading in employment. Labor must honestly admit these shameful conditions, and design the battle plan which will defeat and eliminate them. In this way, labor would be unearthing the big truth and utilizing its strength against the bleakness of injustice in the spirit of its finest traditions.

How can labor rise to the heights of its potential statesmanship and cement its bonds with Negroes to their mutual advantage?

First: Labor should accept the logic of its special position with respect to Negroes and the struggle for equality. Although organized labor has taken actions to eliminate discrimination in its ranks, the standard for the general community, your conduct should and can set an example for others, as you have done in other crusades for social justice. You should root out vigorously every manifestation of discrimination so that some internationals, central labor bodies or locals may not besmirch the positive accomplishments of labor. I am aware this is not easy nor popular—but the 8 hour day was not popular nor easy to achieve. Nor was outlawing anti-labor injunctions. But you accomplished all of these with a massive will and determination. Out of such struggle for democratic rights you won both economic gains and the respect of the country, and you will win both again if you make Negro rights a great crusade.

Second: The political strength you are going to need to prevent automation from becoming a moloch, consuming jobs and contract gains, can be multiplied if you tap the vast reservoir of Negro political power. Negroes given the vote, will vote liberal and labor because they need the same liberal legislation labor needs. To give just an example of the importance of the Negro vote to labor, I might sight the arresting fact that the only state in the South which repealed the "right-to-work" law is Louisiana. This was achieved because the Negro vote in that state grew large enough to become a balance of power, and it sent along with labor to wipe out anti-labor legislation. Thus, support to assist us in securing the vote can make the difference between success and defeat for us both. You have organizing experience we need and you have an apparatus unparalleled in the nation. You recognized five years ago a moral opportunity and responsibility when several of your leaders, including Mr. Meany, Mr. Dubinsky, Mr. Reuther and Mr. MacDonald and others, projected a two-million dollar campaign to assist the struggling Negroes fighting bitterly in handicapped circumstances in the South. A $10,000 contribution was voted by the ILGWU to begin the drive, but for reasons unknown to me, the drive was never begun. The cost to us in lack of resources during these turbulent, violent years, is hard to describe. We are mindful that many unions thought of as immorally rich, in truth have problems in meeting the budget to properly service their members. So we do not ask that you tax your treasuries. Instead, we ask that you appeal to your members for one dollar apiece to make democracy real for millions of deprived American citizens. For this you have the experience, the organization and most of all, the understanding.

If you would do these two things now in this convention—resolve to deal effectively with discrimination and provide financial aid for our struggle in the South,—this convention will have a glorious moral deed to add to an illustrious history.

The two most dynamic and cohesive liberal forces in the country are the labor movement and the Negro freedom movement. Together we can be architects of democracy in a South now rapidly industrializing. Together we can retool the political structure of the South, sending to Congress steadfast liberals who, joining with those from Northern industrial states, will extend the frontiers of democracy for the whole nation. Together we can bring about the day when there will be no separate identification of Negroes and labor. There is no intrinsic difference as I have tried to demonstrate. Differences have been contrived by outsiders who seek to impose disunity by dividing brothers because the color of their skin has a different shade. I look forward confidently to the day when all who work for a living will be one with no thought to their separateness as Negroes, Jews, Italians or any other distinctions.

This will be the day when we shall bring into full realization the
American dream—a dream yet unfulfilled. A dream of equality of opportunity, of privilege and property widely distributed; a dream of a land where men will not take necessities from the many to give luxuries to the few, a dream of a land where men will not argue that the color of a man's skin determined the content of his character; a dream of a nation where all our gifts and resources are held not for ourselves alone but as instruments of service for the rest of humanity; the dream of a country where every man will respect the dignity and worth of human personality—that is the dream.

And as we struggle to make racial and economic justice a reality, let us maintain faith in the future. We will confront difficulties and frustrating moments in the struggle to make justice a reality, but we must believe somehow that these problems can be solved.

There is a little song that we sing in the movement taking place in the South. It goes something like this, "We shall overcome. We shall overcome. Deep in my heart I do believe we shall overcome." And somehow all over America we must believe that we shall overcome and that these problems can be solved. They will be solved before the victory is won.

Some of us will have to be scarred up, but we shall overcome. Before the victory of justice is a reality, some may even face physical death. But if a physical death is the price that some must pay to free their children and their brothers from a permanent life of psychological death, then nothing could be more moral. Before the victory is won some more will have to go to jail. We must be willing to go to jail and transform the jails from dungeons of shame to havens of freedom and human dignity. Yes, before the victory is won, some will be misunderstood. Some will be dismissed as dangerous rabble rousers and agitators. Some will be called Reds and Communists merely because they believe in economic justice and the brotherhood of man. But we shall overcome.

I am convinced that we shall overcome because the arc of the universe is long but it bends toward justice. We shall overcome because Carlisle is right when he says, "No lie can live forever." We shall overcome because William Cullen Bryant is right when he says, "Truth crushed to earth will rise again." We shall overcome because James Russell Lowell was right when he proclaimed; "Truth forever on the scaffold, wrong forever on the throne, yet that scaffold sways the future."

And so if we will go out with this faith and this determination to solve these problems, we will bring into being the new day and the new America. When that day comes, the fears of insecurity and the doubts clouding our future will be transformed into radiant confidence, into glowing excitement to reach creative goals and into an abiding moral balance where the brotherhood of man will be undergirded by a secure and expanding prosperity for all.

Yes, this will be the day when all of God's children, black men and white men, Jews and Gentiles, Protestants and Catholics will be able to join hands all over this nation and sing in the words of the old Negro spiritual: "Free At Last, Free At Last. Thank God Almighty We Are Free At Last."

Thank you.

(Standing ovation).

PRESIDENT MEANY: I am sure it would be superfluous for me to tell Dr. King we appreciate his address. I am sure everyone here is moved by his splendid outline of a philosophy for human rights and human decency. We appreciate beyond words his presence here and his most stirring address on a subject dear to our hearts. Thank you very much, Dr. King.

Thank you, George Meany, and thank you, delegates to the Convention.

I am pleased to bring greetings to the delegates to this Convention of the American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations from the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People.

I bring the thanks of our members for the clear pronouncements of President Meany and for the invaluable service of the AFL-CIO and its skilled legislative staff to the work of the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights. Also for the support labor has given at the major political party conventions to the civil rights items in the platforms. In addition, many units of organized labor have aided campaigns on the local level involving state and municipal projects for housing, health, public education, employment and welfare. We are proud of this partnership in the civil rights field.

We join labor in its apprehension over the beginning of a trend away from liberalism. The civil rights campaigns, like those in behalf of the prime goals of organized labor, cannot make progress in an area of suppression and reaction.

We are sure you share with us an uneasiness at the racial crisis that has been presented sharply by the civil disorders and riots of the past four summers, the worst of which was the summer of 1967. Much more is at stake than a mere be-kind-and-be-just-to-the-Negro situation. The future of democratic government could well be at stake also.

This is a time, then, for plain talk—not diatribes, but truth, unpleasant though it may be.

Organized religion is facing up to the challenge. By and large, the courts are recognizing a new day. The universities are taking a fresh look. When we see that the National Association of Manufacturers, no less, is concerned about Negro unemployment and is pushing a program to open doors to Negro applicants, we realize that the business community, a large part of which hitherto has remained indifferent, is awake and stirring on wholly new paths of activity.

The executive branch of government, along with the judicial has made a definite turn. Only the legislative branch has been reluctant. On riot control it has been eager and determined, generous with appropriations, united and expeditious. On other matters in the civil rights and general welfare fields it has been slow, carping, partisan, parsimonious and hostile. One has only to examine congressional action on the education bills, anti-poverty legislation, the iniquitous Social Security Bill.

And here we have a bill that the AFL-CIO and other organizations, including my own, I am proud to state, has condemned and asked the senators to reject the conference report. In the words of your telegram from President Meany to every senator, this is a bill that ought to be rejected because the provisions in the welfare section, the provision regarding mothers, leave no alternative, as the New York Times said, to either sterilize the mothers or starve the children. We can't have this kind of legislation in the Congress of the United States. Now, by this sort of procedure the riots and events of last summer persuaded apparently our Congress not only to deny a reward to rioting but to abdicate their proper role in the forward movement of our country. They have definitely forgotten that the rioters are but a small fringe of the country.

Each American, in this crucial time, will have to live with his conscience and the collective conscience of the organized bodies of which he is a member.

In the rapid movement of the currents of democracy, no institution is more sensitive to change than the American labor movement. Although it may choose, for reasons which seem good to it, not to act at certain times, nevertheless, it is not unaware of developments. The crisis of our day involves the denial of equality of opportunity, citizenship and human rights to our Negro citizens more than a century after they were emancipated from slavery.

As far as the AFL-CIO is concerned, the stark, bald issue is jobs. As far as causes of riots go, the stark, bald issue is jobs.
In many cases unions control access to employment, so equal employment opportunities for Negroes falls into the AFL-CIO orbit. As we ask ourselves why we had riots in more than 50 cities and what can be done to prevent this from tearing our country apart, let us look frankly at some records of the past business-as-usual years in the job market.

At the outset I wish to state in the clearest possible terms that nothing—absolutely nothing—ever done by the NAACP is intended to be anti-labor; but it is pro-Negro. To the degree that our number of priorities are different, to that degree will there be some within organized labor who misunderstand, either honestly or otherwise, our activities on behalf of Negro wage earners. We are, of course, aware that some progress in eliminating discriminatory practices within organized labor has taken place since the merger in 1955, but given the worsening job crisis of the Negro worker and the racial turmoil in our urban centers, we are forced to conclude that the rate of progress has been far too slow. The specifics are not cheerful items.

In Cleveland, for example, in 1966 at the end of a decade of demonstrations, the filing of complaints, of negotiations with union representatives and the attempts to secure enforcement of federal executive orders, the five major craft locals in the building trades, according to data revealed by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights had exactly four Negro apprentices. This is certainly, in the words of the Supreme Court, deliberate speed.

The assumption that the rate of progress is minimal in securing the admission of Negroes into skilled craft unions is further confirmed by the August 1967 report of the U.S. Department of Labor entitled "Manpower, Automation, Research Monograph No. 6," and it contains this significant sentence: "Indeed the 1960 census showed only 2,191 Negro apprentices in all the trades throughout the country. That figure was one more than had been recorded in the 1950 census ten years before."

Cincinnati was the scene of racial disorders last summer. On July 24, 1967, the U.S. Department of Justice filed a lawsuit against Local 212 of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers in the U.S. District Court in Cincinnati charging them with excluding Negroes from employment. The plaintiff was Anderson L. Dobbins, a college graduate and a certified journeyman electrician. Although the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission found reasonable cause, the local union refused to admit Mr. Dobbins, and although he served as an electrician in the U.S. armed forces, and in private industry for more than ten years, he stands rejected by Local 212.

In the summer of 1963, the NAACP and other Civil Rights organizations picketed the construction site of Barringer High School in Newark, New Jersey, protesting the exclusion of Negro workers there. A year later they picketed also the Rutgers University Law School Extension construction site in Newark, and finally, the State University of New Jersey on February 9, 1965, filed formal complaints against the five locals of the International Association of Bridge, Structural and Ornamental Iron Workers. The local unions eventually entered into a stipulation with the commission in December of 1966 and agreed to admit Negroes for the first time into the union-controlled apprenticeship training programs.

But four years after the initial demonstrations, three years after the filing of complaints with the Division against Discrimination, and a year after a stipulation that the locals would admit Negroes, not a single Negro has been admitted into any of the five locals. Nor is there a single Negro employed within this union's jurisdiction in the extensive public and private construction in Northern New Jersey.

We can anticipate with the new public construction beginning next year on West Market Street in Newark, and on the edge of the Negro ghetto, just what the feeling will be, and the question inevitably arises, "What did we learn from the terrible riot in Newark last year?"

The Brotherhood of Railway and Steamship Clerks had traditionally operated segregated locals in many northern as well as southern cities, but they now have changed all of that. Union agreements provided for separate racial classifications which limited Negro job mobility and the seniority of the Negro members, but in 1966, after a request and a threat of a suit, the Brotherhood of Railway Clerks abolished several segregated local lodges. But they did this by reclassifying the traditional Negro job classifications and declaring them to be within the jurisdiction of the white local. In practice
this meant, for example, that Negro freight handlers were replaced by less senior white workers who had been in the all-white baggage clerks seniority line. In other words, we will abolish the segregated local but now everybody's seniority will count, and the whites who had seniority over here in their separate local have more than Negroes that have been abolished and taken in, and they have no seniority in the white local, and therefore their jobs are out.

Another example is to be found in the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen. This union did not remove the provision in its constitution that banned Negroes from membership until only three years ago, 1964. But as Arthur M. Ross, Commissioner of Labor Statistics observed, and I quote:

"The Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen -- removed a Negro exclusion clause from its constitution in 1964, after the railroad 'work rules' arbitration had made it virtually certain that few, if any, additional firemen would ever be hired on American railroads."

Other industries, of course, where unions continued over-discriminatory practices a decade after the merger were in paper and pulp manufacturing, chemicals, oil refining, skilled metal trades, and so forth.

Now, the record in Cleveland serves to point up all of this. The record of repeated efforts of Negro workers to breach the color bar in craft unions in Cleveland, Ohio, in the decade following the merger illustrates the limited nature of the progress that has been made.

In 1955 charges were filed with the Cleveland Community Relations Board against Local 38 of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, and this local traditionally controls all of the work, electrical work in the Cleveland area.

Although it did not agree to accept, after being ordered to admit workers, it did not agree to accept the plaintiff in the case, but it did accept some other Negroes as members of their race.

But in 1966, eleven years after the original charges were filed with the Cleveland Community Relations Board, Local 38 has admitted exactly two Negro electricians to work in Cleveland.

As a result of the mass picketing at the Cleveland Municipal Mall Construction site, an agreement was entered into on August 4, 1963, between Plumbers Local 55, the City of Cleveland, the United States Department of Labor and the United Freedom Movement, consisting of local civil rights groups. Local 55 agreed to admit Negroes and to sign labor agreements with the Negro owned contracting companies -- they did admit one Negro plumbing contractor with his four journeymen but that is as far as they went. The Cleveland story was wrapped up in a hearing before the United States Commission on Civil Rights in Cleveland in April, 1966, and the lineup reads something like this:

The International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 38 with a total membership of 1258 had 2 Negro members.

Ironworkers Union, Local 7, with a total membership of 1786 had no Negro members.

Plumbers Union, Local 55, with a total membership of 1482 had three Negro members.

Pipefitters Union, Local 36, with a total membership of 1319 had one Negro member.

Sheet Metal Workers Union, Local 65, with a total membership of 1077 had 45 Negro members.

The Sheet Metal workers were far in advance in numbers and in proportion to the others.

Now, my friends, we find that going west might have been good for Horace Greeley but it wasn't very good for Negroes in the labor movement.

Recent data from the Bureau of Census indicate that there are now more Negroes in the San Francisco-Oakland East Bay Area than there are in Birmingham, Alabama. The rate of long-term unemployment among Negro males in Oakland exceeds the general rate of unemployment for the entire nation during the Great Depression of the 1930's. In every survey of the national scene, Oakland is listed as a "powder keg" city. But the November 1967, report of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights details the exclusion of Negroes in union
controlled employment in the federally-financed Bay Area Rapid Transit System (BART) construction which will employ approximately 8,000 people at peak construction times. This is a one billion dollar project. The Office of Federal Contract Compliance reports that as of May, 1967, there was not a single Negro among the 106 electricians, ironworkers and plumbers engaged on BART construction.

In the coming year more than $76.5 billion will be spent nationally for construction, much of it in the public sector. How much of this huge sum will go into the paychecks of Negro workers? At the present time NAACP attorneys are representing Negro workers in five separate court suits in Alabama against the U.S. Steel Corp. and the United Steelworkers of America on the issue of separate racial lines of seniority and promotion. Although these cases were originally filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission which found "reasonable cause" to credit the allegations in the complaints, both the company and the union have thus far failed to comply with the law in eliminating discriminatory promotion procedures based on race. After a decade of attempting to resolve this matter by fraternal discussion and negotiation, as a last resort the NAACP has had no choice but to file suit.

A similar record might be traced with other unions maintaining discriminatory classification systems involving seniority and job promotions. An illustration that comes to mind is Crown-Silver Box in Bogalusa, Louisiana. But there has been progress.

For example, on May 18, 1966 at a meeting of the New York Furriers Union Joint Council, affiliated with the Amalgamated Meat Cutters and Butcher Workmen, the 40-year-old Greek Fur Workers local was dissolved. The 1500 local union members transferred to other locals affiliated to the Furriers Joint Council as a result of action by the international union to comply with Title VII, the Equal Employment Opportunity section of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

Other AFL-CIO locals could well imitate the Amalgamated Meat Cutters and some other labor organizations which have successfully acted to disbanded nationality locals.

A list of unions which have gone beyond mere ritualistic gesture on racial matters would include the American Federation of Teachers which successfully eliminated segregated locals by offering its southern locals the choice of either integrating or disaffiliating; the United Packinghouse Workers of America which even in the Deep South has carried out its commitment to racial equality at the work place as well as within the union; the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Workers and the Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union. Also, of course, the great industrial unions whose good policies and acts serve to highlight the derelictions cited.

What is urgently needed now is not simply a repetition of previous commitments to justice, but rather a new definition, a new standard that will require a new set of enforcement procedures within affiliated unions themselves. Minimal change in labor and in American life generally will no longer suffice. What might have been regarded as progress in 1940, 1950, or even 1960, can no longer be so regarded.

For the most part voluntary compliance does not work. This is true for labor unions as it is true for industrial corporations. There now exists a comprehensive body of civil rights law relating to discrimination in employment. The American Federation of Labor-Congress of Industrial Organizations and its affiliated international unions have a clear obligation to secure much greater compliance with this body of law than has been obtained in the past.

In conclusion, I would like to say that I lay this record in your lap because the errant children of the AFL-CIO, the international unions and their locals are your children.

They have thus far chosen to ignore the forthright resolutions of your council and of this convention. If they have not ignored what you have voted and what your chief, George Meany, repeatedly has called for, they have paid only lip service to the policy. It has been a conversation piece. It has been observed by powerful and important unions only in the breach, or, at best, with a grudging, foot-dragging tokenism. The proud policy of the AFL-CIO is not being followed.

Some of them are deliberately ignoring and some of them are ignoring it through a shoulder-shrugging gesture. But the Negro citizen and the Negro children of the country are determined that employment will be opened by
massive employment activity by the government itself, but some by a change in labor practices. Negroes must have more than bread and clothing and shelter. They must have access to the comfort, the security, and even the affluence that is America. On this there is now no debate. The debating and the backing and filling days are over. The techniques of unfilled promises and of postponement are transparent and useless in today's hard necessities.

The AFL-CIO can rise to this challenge honestly, as it has to many others. New thinking, embracing all Americans in the work force at all levels, is required.

Failure to devise a fresh formula is unthinkable; the very future of a whole people and their system of enterprise and of individual liberty rests upon a turning and upon a victory. Thank you.

(Applause).

PRESIDENT MEANY: I wish to thank the Director, Roy Wilkins, of the NAACP and to say to him that we are completely aware of the problem that he poses, that we have a civil rights department, that we have the cooperation of practically every national and international union in this field. However, you will not change conditions over night. We keep trying. And in a good many of the organizations we have a problem of securing Negro boys as apprentices to take the test that these organizations prescribe and which they have prescribed for many years.

I can say to Mr. Wilkins that we in the AFL-CIO are not satisfied with the progress that we have made. I feel we are making progress—perhaps not fast enough—but I can assure him that the AFL-CIO and its national unions will continue to apply ourselves to this problem, and I want to say to him, very frankly, that I, for one, do not resent in any way the report that he has given us today. He presents us with a challenge I think we have to meet, and we shall meet that challenge. Thank you very much.

(Applause).


50. MARY MOULTRIE

President, Local 1199B, RWDSU

President Meany, members of the Executive Council, distinguished guests, and delegates of this great convention. First, I want to tell you how happy and proud I am to be able to speak to this great convention on behalf of the hospital workers in Charleston, South Carolina.

I want to express our heartfelt thanks and appreciation to the officers of the AFL-CIO, to the officers of our international union, the Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union, and to the leaders and members of the hundreds of international and local unions in the AFL-CIO for the moral and financial support they gave us during our great struggle in Charleston.

Hundreds of our brothers and sisters from AFL-CIO unions marched side by side with us on the picket line. AFL-CIO members in South Carolina went to jail with us. In addition, we will always remember the help and guidance we received from Brother Bill Kircher, the national organizational director of the AFL-CIO, who was with us in Charleston as the personal representative of the AFL-CIO Executive Council.

Nor will we ever forget the fact that the threat by the Longshoremen's International Union to close down the port of Charleston in support of our fight played a very important role in helping us achieve our victory.

We won in Charleston. And we won the hard way. We were forced to strike for 100 days just for the right to have a union. We suffered and sacrificed to put an end to poverty wages of $1.30 an hour. We marched and we went to jail because we were sick and tired of being sick and tired.

We, 400 hospital workers—almost all of us women, and all of us black—were compelled to go on strike so that we could win the right to be treated
as human beings. In this struggle, we had to take on more than just the
managements of two hospitals.

We had to fight the entire power structure of the state of South Carolina. And when I say the entire power structure, I don't only refer to the Governor, or to Charleston's not-so-distinguished congressman, Mendel Rivers, or that great defender of the 18th century, Senator Strom Thurmond. I'm talking about the textile bosses, the men who really run our state, as well as all of the other reactionary racist forces which have kept South Carolina as the state with the lowest literacy rate, the lowest per capita income, and the lowest percentage of unionized workers in the entire nation.

But that's not the whole story. We had to face 1,200 national guardsmen armed with tanks and bayonets, and hundreds of state troopers. All because 400 black women dared to stand up and say we just were not going to let anybody turn us around.

And we never stopped fighting for a single day. We suffered. But we kept on marching. More than 1,000 of us went to jail. But we kept on fighting. The hospital bosses and their supporters thought we'd just die out after a day or two of marching. They thought we'd just give up and scratch our heads and shuffle back to those hospitals. They thought we'd just say, "sorry, boss," and put those handkerchiefs back on our heads. Well, they sure were in for a big disappointment. Because we learned in the course of our struggle that only the strong survive. And we sure are strong today in Charleston.

And as a result, something new and beautiful has come to Charleston—a powerful, democratic union—Local 1199B.

Of course, it would be ridiculous to think that we did it all by ourselves. Nothing could be further from the truth. We received support and assistance from decent-minded people all over America. First, from our own black community—from our ministers, our students, our old people and our young people. Everyone in the black community made our cause their cause. They struggled with us and they suffered alongside us. Because like hospital workers, they, too, were sick and tired of being sick and tired. They joined us in daily marches and nightly rallies. They joined us in standing up to the tanks, to the armored vehicles, the tear gas and the mace. They did so because they shared our determination to be free. And together we faced this armed strength with our bodies, with our souls and with our hearts—unarmed in a non-violent demonstration, massively organized and militantly conceived in the true spirit of Dr. Martin Luther King.

And we could not have survived if it had not been for the wholehearted support and the brilliant leadership provided by the Southern Christian Leadership Conference headed by Reverend Ralph David Abernathy. Reverend Abernathy, his entire staff and Mrs. Coretta Scott King, who is the honorary chairman of our hospital organizing campaign, provided the leadership for a massive boycott in which black people refused to buy anything except food and medicine at white-owned stores. Many of our supporters refused to even buy a newspaper during the boycott. Others went without needed clothing and shoes. But they just kept on keeping on.

And we could not have survived and we could not have won if it had not been for the tremendous support given us by organized labor through the AFL-CIO Executive Council's Charleston Strike Fund. Unions from all over America sent us contributions to help feed the strikers and help us pay the rent. You know when the announcement was made that the AFL-CIO Executive Council was setting up the Charleston Strike Fund with a startling contribution of $25,000, the South Carolina papers called you outside agitators. I want to say here what we said then. We believe from the bottom of our hearts that we hospital workers have more in common with you steel workers in Pittsburgh, with you packing house workers in Chicago, with you clothing workers in New York City, with you transport workers in Philadelphia than we have with the hospital bosses and the people who own and run the textile mills in South Carolina. So you can see we won because we were able to forge a coalition of union power and soul power—a winning combination of organized labor and the civil rights movement.

And we won wage increases ranging from 30 to 70 cents an hour for all state workers. This adds up to $10 million in wage increases in the pockets of poor black and poor white workers all over South Carolina. We won a real grievance procedure, a credit union through which union dues will be deducted.
In other words, we have won de facto union recognition. And we are building a strong, effective, democratic union. Local 1199B. And we intend to keep on fighting until we have all the same rights, the same wages, the same benefits as all other unionized workers up north.

Right now we are conducting a voter registration campaign together with the SCLC, and I am happy to report to you that since the strike we have registered more than 1,500 new voters in Charleston, South Carolina.

We suffered much. But we learned a great deal. We learned that poor people do not have to suffer endless abuse and punishment without fighting back. We learned that when we stick together, when we are united, we are somebody. We learned that when workers are ready to fight for their rights, other workers will come to their support. We learned that we have the capacity to fight, the willingness to suffer for what is right and in the course of this struggle, we have acquired the experience, the dedication to become leaders and true fighters for freedom.

We intend to continue to build our union behind the banner of the AFL-CIO. We intend to move forward to build an organization of hospital workers everywhere to follow our example of rallying poor and exploited workers everywhere to organize into unions, and to unite all workers, black and white, into one union.

A year ago, nobody ever heard of us. We were forgotten women, second class citizens. We worked as nurse's aides. We cleaned the floors. We prepared the food in the hospitals. And if it had not been for the union, we would still be forgotten people.

Today we are somebody. Thanks to the AFL-CIO, thanks to the SCLC, thanks to the RWDSU, thanks to Local 1199, our brothers and sisters in New York City, we are not alone. And we are not afraid.

We have demonstrated to the city of Charleston, to the state of South Carolina, and to people all over America that we can and we will overcome. And nobody, just nobody, is going to turn us around. And Charleston and the rest of the south had better believe it.

PRESIDENT MEANY: May I add my appreciation for the thoughts expressed by Miss Moultrie, to all of the international unions, local and central bodies, and the state feds throughout the country, who joined with us in helping these workers achieve a trade union which will improve their conditions in the future. Thank you very much, Miss Moultrie.


51. BENJAMIN HOOKS

Executive Director, NAACP

Thank you, Mr. Meany, for that very generous introduction. And to all of you who are gathered here today on this very momentous occasion, may I say to you as the milk cow reputedly said to the farmer on a very frigid morning back in my home state of Tennessee: "Thank you for that very warm hand."

(Laughter).

It's a great joy to be here. I recognize that you have a lot of business to attend to; that you have been engaged in a lot of important work. Therefore, I will try not to keep you too long, although as a Baptist preacher it's very difficult to look at an audience this large without bringing out my longest speech. But my wife reminded me before I left Memphis that one does not have to be eternal to be immortal. So, I'll try to do my best, Mr. President, to keep these remarks within some decent time frame.

When I was on the FCC, I had some good jokes, and I'll just tell one of them. It doesn't fit, but I will tell it because it usually makes folks laugh and it sets the stage.

When I was regulating radio, television, and all the various things we regulate as FCC commissioners, you know, the broadcasters would complain about the fact that we were hard to get along with, difficult to deal with. In fact,
they said it couldn't be done. But I would remind them that it was possible
to get along with the FCC. In fact, it wasn't difficult at all. It was like
making love to a gorilla. It could be done, but it absolutely had to be done
on the gorilla's terms. (Laughter).

It's not difficult to get along with the labor movement so long as you do
it on their terms. It's not difficult to get along with the NAACP as long as
you do it on our terms.

It is my pleasure and honor to have this opportunity to address this con­
vention of the AFL-CIO. I'm especially grateful to greet and hail George
Meany, your president and the dean of organized labor. We of the NAACP salute
and congratulate George Meany for his uncompromising and fearless stands in
defense of civil rights and social justice. History will record that hardly a
significant advance has been made on the civil rights front without the active
involvement of organized labor and the magnificent leadership of George Meany.
(Applause).

We are particularly grateful to him for his unbridled tolerance. In an
age when it is fashionable in politics to engage in docile talk, Mr. Meany
insists on rejecting the so-called favorable theory, and he calls them like
he sees them. We in the civil rights community do not take kindly to the abuse
and cheap shots being hurled at this great leader by persons jealous of his
stature, impatient with his cadence for justice, and frightened by his staying
power. Hail George Meany and long life. And may your enemies always miss
their mark. (Applause).

It is also good to be in a convention where there are so many members of
the NAACP. We cherish your support and encourage you to join us. And if
you're not already NAACP members, we have folks with us who will be glad to
separate you from the dollars that you have in your pocket, that you might
become members of this great organization.

As the Executive Director of the nation's largest and oldest civil rights
organization, I bring you greetings on behalf of the more than 1,700 branches,
more than 450,000 dues-paying members. Labor and the civil rights movement
have had a long and marvelous involvement, and it is certainly our hope that
this kind of involvement will continue and grow stronger as the days and years
roll on.

In 1949 I went back to the City of Memphis, Tennessee, to practice law.
I use the term "I went back" advisedly because even though I was born and
raised in Memphis and had received my elementary and college education there,
had been drafted into the Army, had spent almost three years in the Armed
Forces, served as a combat veteran, had been decorated; when I came back to
Memphis, I found the conditions almost like I left them. The great City of
Memphis, the sovereign State of Tennessee did not provide any place for a
black person to receive a legal education, and so I had to go to Chicago, leave
my family, my friends, my acquaintances to go there to receive my education.

While I was in Chicago, I ran into many people who were friends of my
family, and they advised me to stay in Chicago, and told me how well I could
do. But I had made a commitment that I wanted to go back to Memphis, to a
rigidly closed and segregated society; I wanted to go back to Memphis where I
had seen with my own eyes in the '30s labor organizers beat down in the
streets of that city. I wanted to go back where every acre was a drop of
blood and every step was a tear. I wanted to go back to do my part to help
make Memphis an open society.

When I got back there, when I would go into the courtroom to practice law,
I was never treated with respect. I can remember just like it was yesterday
when I had the occasion to visit the jail to see my clients that I was treated
like a common criminal myself.

I remember the segregated restrooms. On one particular day when I was
engaged in a very heated lawsuit, I called for a recess and went into the law
library, only to be informed that this law library located in the courthouse,
where the guardian of justice sits on that seat supposedly with blindfolds on
her eyes, that because I was black I could not use that library. And I
remember asking the reason why, and the librarian in a rather shame-faced way
handed me a little booklet. When I got back to the office that day I read
it, and it said, "This bar association shall be open to all white lawyers
practicing in the vicinity of Memphis and Shelby County." And then they told
me I could make some private arrangements to use it. But I want to say to
you that I never used that library until the day came when I could walk up into it openly as a full-fledged lawyer and as a human being. (Applause).

So, I became active again in the NAACP. I joined the voter rights registration efforts, Masons, Elks, civil rights groups. We put on some gigantic voter registration drives.

Mr. Meany, I shall never forget how labor, COPE, and other groups from labor came into Memphis and helped us greatly to move the black registration from 7,000 to more than 127,000 people in our county. And I remember how we used to vote people in office and vote some out of office. We put some rascals in and we also put some rascals out. But we kept moving. Sixteen years after I went back to Memphis, Tennessee, to practice law, I remember on the first day of September that I walked into the courthouse and did not stand before the bench but went behind the bench, put on a black robe. The judge who had had that courtroom, did not even want me to practice law in his courtroom, died, and the Lord had taken him home to wherever he takes judges (Laughter), and I stood behind his bench, put on a black robe, and held up this right hand and I swore to uphold the laws of the state of Tennessee and the United States of America.

I remember even then the courthouse was lily white: All the other judges were white, the jurors were white, the bailiffs, the clerks; all of the lawyers were white. In my courtroom, the only thing black was a thin row of defendants sitting in the second row with blue jail uniforms on their way to the penitentiary.

But I'm happy to report to you today when I left that courthouse five years later it was no longer lily white, but it looked like the keyboard of a piano. And I had even the staid, conservative jurors singing with me, black and white together, "We shall overcome some day." (Applause).

It is because of this that I have faith that America can overcome these challenges. I do not stand here to recite the dreary facts and figures of segregation and discrimination and racial prejudice out of bitterness or vindictiveness or hatred, but I repeat them because it is so easy to forget where we came from. The great English historian Toynbee has said that people who forget the lessons of history are doomed to repeat the mistakes of history, and I think it's important that we remember where we have come from so as we march forward into a bright new future we can take cognizance of the many things we have already overcome and remember that while we have come a long way we still have a long way to go.

NAACP was founded in 1909 as an interracial organization. It has remained in that position. Roy Wilkins, who led this organization through some very difficult years, was criticized and called an Uncle Tom because he insisted that we should not join in the many of "hate Whitey." We believe that you should judge a man or a woman on the content of their mind and their character rather than on their sex or the color of their hair or the texture of their skin, and we still subscribe to that belief. (Applause).

We have seen lots of changes in this country. I have been on the back end of the streetcar and the front of the train. I have been all over this country when I could not get a hotel accommodation, could not use the restroom. I've felt in my own body the stings of arrows, of the outrageous discrimination which was practiced. But because we have seen the changes, it gives me confidence that we can make some changes if we don't forget where we've come from.

To those of you who are in the labor movement, it would be so easy for you to forget the kinds of victories that labor has already won. And I fear, Mr. President, as I move around, that many younger members of labor have forgotten the days of the lockout and the goon squads, when blood literally flowed in the street.

They've forgotten the gallant sacrifices of those who were fired and had to go for months without a job. They've forgotten about the days when you went to work it was dark and got home after it was dark. They've forgotten the days when they said if you don't come to work on Sunday, don't worry about coming to work on Monday. They've forgotten about the days when there was no unemployment compensation, no social security, no minimum wage.

It was organized labor that led the fight that changed the whole landscape of America, and whatever you accomplish from this go forward, remember that you stand on the shoulders of men like Gompers and Green and Lewis, who
did without that you might go forward, and you will always be in their debt so long as the labor movement goes forward. (Applause).

But how quickly we can forget where we've come from. It was with the help of the labor movement that we were able to get in the '60s in the presidency of Lyndon Johnson, one of the truly great presidents of our nation, those five monumental civil rights bills which were passed. It was because of the fact that this nation felt it was intolerable for a whole segment of this population to be locked out of jobs and opportunities. We had a commitment, and I can remember the days of Selma, and Birmingham, and Montgomery, when I was working with Dr. King, and I see faces here that I recognize that marched with us, that said solidarity forever, whose marching feet and thumping hearts helped to bring forth a new day in this land. And I stand here today with the pundits and the critics, and all of the members of those who would like to see both labor and the civil rights movement dead, proclaiming that the coalition has come to an end. There are those who would like to see us fall out and fight with each other.

It is true there will be some issues upon which labor and the civil rights movement do not agree. NAACP will maintain the independent status and posture. We will insist on speaking out with a loud voice on those things which affect us particularly. Likewise, we expect labor to be protected and zealous about its own interest. But in spite of whatever disagreements we may have, the things that bind us together are so much greater and so much more important than the things that will separate us, that we can say to those who would criticize the coalition and who proclaim its untimely death, like the late Mark Twain is reported to have said, the reports of our deaths have been greatly exaggerated.

I think my presence here today is eloquent testimony to the fact that we of the house of civil rights and the house of labor will continue to march together until the great American dream shall become a reality for every citizen, regardless of his race, regardless of his color, regardless of his creed, regardless of his previous background, we shall work to see that the fruits of democracy are extended equally to every American citizen. (Applause).

This is our job. This is our goal, and this is our dedication.

Now, I remind Mr. Meany, because when the fervor over busing had reached fever heat, and when emotions had overtaken much from the white community, the one consistent voice that was raised across this country in defense of quality integrated education was that of George Meany, quite often not with applause of everybody, but he had the intestinal fortitude—if you let me put it in my own language, he had the guts—to stand up for that which he believed to be right. When all the world went the other way, he was marching to the tune of a drummer who proclaimed that justice was on his side, and I think he deserves a great hand for the kinds of stands he has taken across the years in the persual of human rights and justice. (Applause).

Bill Pollard, who works with Mr. Meany, is a member of our board. There are many other members of organized labor who sat on the council of NAACP when we were having our problems in Port Gibson, Mississippi, problems which proved to be a blessing, for they tried to destroy us, and all it made us do was to live a little longer.

It was organized labor that came to our rescue. So we are indebted to you, and I just want to take this opportunity to say publicly, thank you for your support. And if we need you again, we hope you will be equally forthcoming.

We are making an appeal to the many parts of organized labor for some rather steady contributions, because somehow we find that money is the name of the game.

We need you and you need us.

We are facing, I think, a conservative era, when the forces of the new right are constantly asking what is it that blacks and labor want? But let me assure you that we shall not be deterred by the cries of our enemies. We shall work together. But I think you ought to sometimes pat yourself on the back. Perhaps there are only 15 or 16 million members of organized labor. But when you work for minimum wage, when you work for Social Security, when you work for unemployment compensation, and some decent work hours, every working man in America, every working woman in America, whether they are members of organized labor or not, benefitted from the effort you made, and
we ought to remind the world that this nation is in debt to the whole forces of organized labor for making this a better place in which to live and to work. (Applause).

So, we come today saying that while the civil rights laws of the 60's have been passed, the job now is implementation. We come seeking to extend and renew and invigorate that partnership which has been so important. We come to remind you that we face some common dangers, and some common enemies, and we come to say that we want to work together on the things that are worthwhile.

I would remind you that the NAACP has historically taken many, many positions that were favorable to the house of labor. Our Washington representative, Mr. Clarence Mitchell, testified in favor of the situs picketing bill. (Applause).

Mr. Mitchell also served as the co-chairman of the Coalition for A Fair Minimum Wage.

We have taken a position in favor of labor law reform. We share the painstaking effort that has gone into the whole question of the passage of labor laws, and the time demands our constant examination. Mr. Mitchell also testified for the NAACP in favor of repeal of Section 14(b).

So, in so many ways we have had common goals and common objectives, and we've come to continue that.

And, Mr. President, and to the members who are gathered here today, we are gathered today to say that we must continue the fight for full employment in this nation. We come to reaffirm our support for the Humphrey-Hawkins bill. (Applause).

We are tired of those who have their PhD degrees, who live in two-car garage homes, who are saying that we must have an unemployment rate of six and a half percent. When you translate that into human terms, that is six and a half million people who get up every morning without a job and go to bed in hopelessness and despair. We maintain that is too many people to be without a job. We think that a more acceptable level has to be reached.

And when you talk about a six and a half percent unemployment rate in the general community, you're talking about more than 15 percent. I am convinced today that the unemployment rate is more than six and a half percent. I would think if the truth were told, it's closer to 10 percent, and in the black community it's 20 percent, and among black teenagers, it's 40 and 50 percent. This is an intolerable condition, and we must do whatever it takes to make sure that this nation is dedicated to the proposition that everybody who wants to work can have a job and will have an opportunity to work. (Applause).

We must be equally determined that those who cannot work can receive a decent welfare check; that unemployment compensation meets the level of living standards, and we must give it without sniffing down our noses or looking down at those who are blind, disabled, halt, crippled or maimed, mentally defective, or whatever it is that prevents them from working. We must give them charity with love and open arms. We must remind this nation that the woods are dark and deep, but we've got promises to keep and miles and miles to go before we sleep.

And I think we can count on your support for positive, affirmative action programs. The NAACP has never been in favor of rigid quotas, but we do believe in goals and timetables that will bring into the mainstream of American life those who have been artificially and systematically excluded from it.

If this nation could spend billions of dollars on a foreign Marshall Plan, somehow we can revitalize our cities and give life and hope to those who live there. And if we can revitalize our cities, we can provide the jobs that give adequate livelihood to millions of people who are now without jobs. And we understand that the best affirmative action program anybody can have is a program that will guarantee for everybody who wants to work a job, and if we have that none of us need fear about being laid off because somebody else is being hired, but there will be jobs for everybody.

I think this nation can afford it. But I don't think we can afford not to have it.

We are concerned about a national health insurance program that will fix it so that a major illness will not be a financial catastrophe.

We are concerned about the provisions of our international law that permit dumping so that so many thousands of American working men are being
laid off because of cheap imports that are uncompetitive, and because so many
tax incentives have been devised to let multinational corporate entities take
the work from America and land it all over the world.

We are concerned about protecting the rights of American working men to
produce the kinds of goods and services that we know we can do. We will join
you in that endeavor. (Applause).

We are concerned about equality for women. We are concerned about a
Congress that is growing increasingly conservative. We are concerned about
people that we put into office and as soon as they get there, they turn their
backs on our justifiable and legitimate demands.

We would like to join you in a mammoth voter registration campaign during
1978 so that we can meet them at the voting place and to say to those who come
to us pretending to be our friends and yet turn their backs when we need their
help, that as Vernon said the other day, the Lord giveth and the Lord taketh
away, and the blacks and labor unions can also give and we can also take away.
(Applause).

These are the kinds of concerns that we have. We are concerned that we
can march together to the end that men and women shall enjoy the good life.

I would like to say to you that while I can criticize America, I still
recognize the goodness of this land, for in spite of all its defects, whenever
I leave here, Mr. President, to go anywhere abroad, I always buy a round-trip
ticket, because I recognize that only a very few times and places in history
have men and women enjoyed the rights that we have.

We said in 1776 that all of us were created equal, and we have come now
to demand that that promise be kept.

As black folk we tended your babies, planted your corn and picked your
cotton. We fought in every war. We fell in Bunker Hill. We were with you
in the War of 1812. We joined Andrew Jackson in 1835. Three hundred thousand
strong we put on the blue coats of the Federal Army so that woman could write,
"Mine eyes have seen the glory of the coming of the Lord." We plunged with
Theodore Roosevelt up the rough slopes of San Juan Hill. We died by the
thousands going across France and Germany in World War I. We were faithful in
World War II, Korea and Vietnam.

We have got an uncashed check in our pocket. We now come to the bank of
justice demanding that it be cashed, and we don't want to hear any foolishness
about insufficient funds. (Applause).

In that great and noble endeavor we solicit your support.

I recognize, Mr. President, as I close today, that sometimes labor gets a
little tired of hearing evangelical exhortations of us who come asking you for
more. I know there are those of you who can say, "I have lost friendships, I
have lost positions, because I have spoken up for black folk." I know some of
you have been called nigger lovers. I know some of you have been run out of
office and been threatened. But in spite of that, the times demand that you
continue to do that which is right.

And I know that labor gets a little tired. I know you want to tell me
about all the things you have done, but there are still miles and miles to go
before we sleep.

It was in the dark and dreary days of World War II when Winston Churchill
constantly summoned his fellow country people to greater and more enduring
efforts. Time and again he got on the radio and asked them for blood and sweat
and toil and tears. He asked them to fight in the cities, on the beachheads,
but never to surrender. On one occasion he said to them, "I hope you will so
conduct yourselves that if the beleaguered island empire of Britain should
endure for 1,000 years, that historians will look back and say this was your
finest hour."

And then when the very skies of Europe were lighted up with the bodies of
those who were being burned alive in the concentration camps while America
stood on the sidelines vacillating as to what they should do, when it seemed
that the tyranny and madness of Hitler would destroy the whole of the civili­
ized world, once more Winston Churchill took to the airways and said, "I know
I have called on you for inhuman sacrifices, for blood and sweat and toil and
tears. I have called on you to fight on the beachheads and in the cities."

And I know that labor has been called upon so many times to do the im­
possible, but I close today by reminding you of the stern words of Churchill
at the height of the Battle of Britain as he called on the words of a great
Jewish rabbi spoken hundreds of years before then, "The time is now. You are called upon to render this great expectation. And if not now, when? If not you, who?"

Peace. (Standing ovation).

PRESIDENT MEANY: May I say that it is difficult to find words to express my deep appreciation to Mr. Hooks for that very, very inspiring and intelligent approach to our problems.

We in the AFL-CIO count the NAACP among our best friends.

Mr. Hooks mentioned Clarence Mitchell. We have been with Clarence Mitchell down through the years, back in the days of the Johnson Administration on the civil rights bill. But when our building trades felt that as a matter of justice they should have the same rights as other trades to picket, in the situs picketing campaign, the bill for situs picketing, Clarence Mitchell stood by our side. In the campaign for a minimum wage, Clarence Mitchell chaired our citizens committee. Clarence Mitchell went with me to the White House to try to convince the President of the United States that his figure was too low. Well, the President didn't come up to our figure, but he did come up, and we got the best minimum wage bill that we have ever had since the law was placed on the statute books in 1938.

Clarence Mitchell stood with us in labor law reform, acted on our committee. We stood with him back in those days when the voting rights was before the Congress.

I'm delighted to welcome Mr. Hooks here as his first appearance at an AFL-CIO Convention, with deep appreciation for the long friendship we and the NAACP enjoy.

I recognize, and I am sure he recognizes, that there are many, many, many grave problems that the American labor movement and the NAACP must face together, and I can assure him that we are going to do our best to help in the future, as we have in the past, together to solve those problems.

Thank you very much. (Applause).


52. VERNON JORDAN

Executive Director, Urban League

Mr. Chairman, Escort Committee, platform guests, ladies and gentlemen: I consider it a great pleasure to be here to address my brothers and sisters in the great American labor movement.

I do think it appropriate to relate to you this one story, one story dealing with my friend to my right, Lane Kirkland. When some months ago I had a conversation with him about the future presidency of the AFL-CIO, I asked him if he would be a candidate and if he would be running and he simply responded thusly:

He said, "If nominated, I will not run. If elected, I will not serve, but if defeated, I will demand a recount."

There is a great bit of advice being passed along to presidential candidates these days. The Urban League is a nonpartisan, non-exempt, elemosynary institution, and we don't endorse political parties; but the following situation might be helpful to those who are seeking the presidency.

In 1964, when Lyndon Baines Johnson was opposing Barry Goldwater, Louie Martin, who now works for President Carter, then worked for President Johnson, sent some pollsters to Harlem to ascertain how the brothers and sisters were going to vote. They went to 125th Street and 7th Avenue. A brother came by, and they said, "Mister, we are pollsters and we would like to ascertain your presidential preference for the 1964 presidential campaign."

The brother said, "Fine."

The pollsters said, "If you had a choice between Jesus Christ, Martin Luther King, Jr., and Lyndon Baines Johnson for the presidency of the United States, for whom would you vote?"
The brother said, "That's easy. I'd vote for Lyndon Baines Johnson."

The pollsters said, "You mean to tell me if you had a choice between Jesus Christ, Martin Luther King, Jr., and Lyndon Baines Johnson for the highest office in the land, you would vote for Lyndon Baines Johnson?"

The brother said, "Yes."

The pollster said, "Why?"

He said, "Number one, Jesus Christ said, 'Seek and you shall find.' Martin Luther King says, 'Ask and it shall be given unto you.' And Lyndon Baines Johnson says, 'Stay home, boy, and I'll send it to you.'" (Laughter and applause). So that for those who choose to either remain or to replace, they might take that into consideration.

This is an historic convention. It marks the passing of a great era in the pages of labor's history, and the start of a new one.

As my friend George Meany steps down from his post as your President, he carries with him the fervent thanks of America's working people, for whom he has fought so long.

And black Americans know that George Meany led the labor movement's strong support for civil rights. His tenure was marked by strong gains in the unionization of black workers. George Meany's voice has been raised forcefully against racism and discrimination, and against the misguided economic policies that fight inflation with mass unemployment and with greater hardship for America's poor.

I well remember last year's struggle to save federal social service programs that were in danger of being sacrificed to the budget-balancers. George Meany spoke up then—loud and clear. Nobody's going to be dancing in the streets if you balance the budget, he said. But they will dance in the streets if you have full employment and a decent job for everyone who wants work. America needed the strong voice and the strong leadership of George Meany—and it got it.

And America will continue to get that kind of forceful leadership from organized labor. Your new President, to be elected momentarily, if he can muster the necessary votes, Lane Kirkland, is an articulate spokesman for the hope and aspirations of America's working people and her poor people.

Black Americans are especially impressed by his unswerving support for black needs and for black efforts to be full partners in this America of ours. And I want to express my personal admiration for his dedication and his long identification with the Urban League movement. Lane Kirkland serves on the board of trustees of the National Urban League and is, therefore, one of my bosses; and I hope that he will continue to be as good to me as you are going to be to him. And I know that under his leadership the AFL-CIO will be a dedicated fighter in the coalition to end poverty and discrimination.

Black people and unionists must stand shoulder to shoulder in the fight for an integrated, open, pluralistic society. The fiftieth anniversary of the start of the Great Depression is a grim reminder to us all that we can't take economic growth for granted.

The past decade has seen rising inflation rates, permanently high unemployment, and more people thrown into poverty. Now we're moving into another recession. And all the while, black people have been in a permanent economic Depression.

Back in the 1930s, one out of four people in the labor force could not find work. That is how the Depression is most often defined—not by the stock market crash, but the mass unemployment that reached into every corner of our stricken nation.

But today, in 1979, almost one out of every four black people who wants a job is unemployed. About half of them are officially unemployed; the government counts them as such. The other half are discouraged workers who no longer qualify as statistics, much less as recipients of unemployment compensation.

While the Depression may mean bad memories for some or a nostalgic look at the distant past for others, it is a clear and present reality for the black community.

And that same community is being whipsawed by inflation. Everyone talks about the high inflation rate. But for America's affluent it just means that the vacation to the south of France will be a little more expensive, or that the fur coat will cost a bit more.
For the middle classes, inflation means a different kind of belt-tightening: a cheaper, less fashionable vacation resort or putting off buying a new car until next year.

But for the poor, it means hunger. I do not exaggerate. Inflation is strongest where the poor are weakest. It hits hardest in prices for housing, heating, health and food.

The poor can't tighten their belts because they can't afford belts at all. The poor can't buy cheaper cuts of meat because they're already on the way to becoming involuntary vegetarians. We've always eaten rice and beans, and now some of us can't even afford that.

And the terrible Depression that hits America's poor people hasn't led to one tear dropped on their behalf in Washington, our Capital. In fact, the response to their plight borders on obscene callousness.

The poor are faced with the grim choice of heating or eating. And the so-called "silent majority" goes its own way, scrambling to gain advantage,retreating into selfish privatism, ignoring the life and death needs of poor people.

National policy is obsessed with the fight against inflation. This is reasonable, because inflation is devastating. But it is using methods that have been tried before, methods that invariably lead to sharply higher unemployment and tremendous suffering by poor and minority people.

Economists differ about what causes inflation, but the nation's economic policymakers are busy penalizing poor and working people. The Federal Reserve's tight money and tight credit policies have led to interest rates that were illegal in some states just a few years ago. We are faced with the familiar scenario of tight money, high interest rates, job layoffs, lower production, decline in housing, cuts in public services, more people on welfare rolls, and sharply lower living standards.

Then, when it's all over, when the official announcement says the recession is over and we're in a period of expansion, we will find a higher permanent rate of poverty and unemployment in the black community.

We can't let the ugly scenario play itself out. We can't let the 1980s become the decade in which the gains of the 1960s are finally wiped out.

I am here to say that if the issue of black equality was right in the 1960s, it's right in the 1980s.

If it was a cause for national concern that black people faced discrimination and disadvantage then, it should be a cause for concern in the 1980s.

If racism was wrong then, it is wrong in the 1980s.

If equality was right then, it is right in the 1980s.

So, we have to coalesce, the labor movement and black people, in the 1980s to make it a decade in which black people finally enjoy full equality. We must organize behind an agenda for the 1980s. An agenda that includes a national full employment policy; a massive drive for affirmative action in all aspects of national life; a national youth development policy that gives hope and skills to young people denied both; a national health policy that assures high quality health care for all, and a housing program that assures a decent living environment for all. (Applause).

The black agenda for the 1980s transcends race, sex and region. It is "black" only in the sense that blacks are disproportionately poor. Our agenda is directed at helping all of America's poor and deprived citizens--most of whom are white. It is an agenda that is in the national interest--an agenda that will make America a strong, better nation.

To that end, the black community and the labor movement must cement their alliance and stand fast as the cutting edge of America's progressive thrust to ensure better lives and hopes.

But there is something else that the labor movement, the civil rights community and all Americans must stand fast on, and that is the situation that we face this morning in the Middle East, the situation that we face in Iran. Let me say on behalf of the black community, on behalf of the National Urban League, just this about what is happening today in Iran.

The freeing of the black and female hostages in Iran is a cynical attempt to divide the American public. (Applause).

Black Americans refuse to be pawns in the Ayatollah Khomeini's insane game.

The black hostages were held because they are Americans, not because
they are black. Black people struggling for equality resent the use of our
misfortunes by a foreign dictator only concerned to embarrass the United States
of America. (Applause).

We join with all Americans in demanding the release of all the hostages.
We join with all Americans in demanding our government stand firm against
terrorism and blackmail perpetrated by the Ayatollah Khomeini.

Thank you. God bless you all. (Standing ovation).
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RADICAL BLACK WORKERS

Just as the civil rights revolution of the early sixties played an important role in nudging organized labor toward a more affirmative stand against racial discrimination, the Black Power Movement of the late-sixties also served as a powerful catalyst. To most militants, usually young urban males, "black power" in the unions meant demanding a place in the power structure of the unions. Some of the more radical among them, however, went even further, rejecting white leadership altogether in locals where membership was primarily black. Others argued that black power could be achieved through all-black unions, and still others maintained that the goal of labor organizations should be to act as the vanguard of a black revolution that would transform the United States into a nebulously defined marxist state.

Of all the developments which symbolized the emergence of black radicalism in the unions, the most widely publicized was the black worker insurgency in Detroit. It came as a shock to white and black liberals alike to hear the Dodge Revolutionary Union Movement (DRUM), for example, reject the United Auto-workers as racist. After all, the NAACP had acclaimed the union as the most progressive on the question of race. At least one-third of the black auto workers in Detroit were under thirty, had been influenced by the civil rights struggles, and exposed to the ideas of Malcolm X, Frantz Fanon, and Karl Marx, and were admirers of the Black Panther Party. DRUM demanded, among other things, a significant increase in the number of blacks in official positions in the UAW, and in the company hierarchy. Soon other revolutionary groups were formed after the fashion of DRUM at Ford (FRUM), Jefferson Avenue (JARUM), Mack Avenue (MARUM), General Motors (GRUM), and Eldon Avenue (ELRUM).

Because wildcat strikes and demonstrations failed to achieve their demands, these groups decided to broaden their revolutionary base by expanding into other industries. This led to the formation of the League of Revolutionary Black Workers, which sought to unite black workers, students, intellectuals, and the multitudes of black youth described as the "street force." The League was convinced that attempts to gain black power in the UAW through the electoral process was futile. Therefore, the League issued a long list of demands including the firing of Reuther, election of a black UAW president, one black vice president, an international staff composed of 50 per cent blacks, and recognition of the League as official spokesman for black workers empowered to negotiate demands with the company and the union.

For once in complete agreement, company, union, and government officials believed that these were the fulminations of a "handful of fanatics" and "black fascists" bent on the destruction of American institutions. Although the radicals did not realize their goals, of course, they did put such a fright into UAW union leaders that much needed reforms were instituted which pleased the moderate black reformers, if not the radicals.

Similar developments were experienced in other industries where black workers were under-represented in the union hierarchy. As in the UAW, other union officials decided that the best way to cope with the black radicals was to open up more staff jobs to moderate blacks. To most workers the radicals' demands perfectly illustrated Eugene V. Debs's famous remark: "There is a difference between class consciousness and class craziness." When confronted by the radicals, labor leaders found the moderate civil rights reformers the eminently more acceptable alternative.
1. THE BLACK LIBERATION STRUGGLE, THE BLACK WORKERS CONGRESS AND PROLETARIAN REVOLUTION

History of the Modern Black Liberation and the Black Workers Congress - Summed-Up

In the year 1955, in the old southern city of Montgomery, Alabama, a Black woman by the name of Rosa Parks stood up, and then sat down. Then masses of Black people kicked off the modern Black liberation struggle.80

The NAACP filed over 55 desegregation suits in 1955. Emmett Till was lynched in Mississippi while Roy Wilkins was named to succeed Walter White as head of a hounded NAACP throughout the south. Black veterans were, by and large, home, or on their way back from Japan and Korea telling stories of how they had seen those courageous Chinese troops fighting a much better equipped American Army. The ruling class and Joe McCarthy had just finished the job of catching the CPUSA disarmed and flabby the results of a criminal, revisionist policy unable to have been turned around. White mobs lined up to block school doors throughout the south. The battle of Little Rock broke after the people of Montgomery had defeated the Montgomery Bus Company's Jim Crow Policy.81

1957 was the year of Ghanaian independence and the founding of SCLC. Members of the elite wing of the Black bourgeois and petty bourgeoisie proudly talked about going to Africa to serve the Ghanaian government. The struggles of the African, Asian, and Latin American peoples revealed more concretely to masses of Black people here the lie of the "colored peoples inferiority." On through Tent City, the lynching of Charlie Mack Parker, massive voter registration campaigns, the bursting upon the scene of the heroic, young Black students, Robert Williams and the people of Monroe, N.C., demonstrating the lie of "natural negro passivity," expressed through 'non-violence', and the Black liberation movement surged onward. Toward the last couple of years of the '50s, the Black masses were hit with an unemployment they were to never recover from. The face of the Black community would reveal more and more growing numbers of unemployed youth and their underemployed fathers and brothers of all ages. This is what was happening brothers and sisters.

By 1960 only 59% of Black people resided in the South. Outside and inside the south Black people lived overwhelmingly in large metropolitan areas. The same year saw the birth of SNCC and the election of the ruling class politician-JFK who wanted to "lead" the Black liberation movement (into the lion's den). Black people unfolded mass struggle against every stronghold of Jim Crow, using sit-ins, freedom rides, legal suits, etc., while defying white mobs, dogs, and lying, "benevolent" politicians.82

Malcolm X! Black Patriot! Malcolm summed up the decade 1955 to 1965, and even though the ruling class murdered him, his ideas and spirit are with us now. He articulated the aspirations of the period, even though many of us couldn't understand what he was saying then. On the heels of tumultuous mass struggle, Black people shouted: "Black Power"! as they learned that the Federal government was not really their friend but their enemy from top to bottom. In the last half of the 60's Black people proclaimed straight up that if we were to be mashed into the dirt any longer, then the government needed its Army, Navy and police, but no unruly band of civilian whites would insult Black people, north or south, ever again. The chips were down. The "American system" was on the line. There was no where to run, no place to hide, except maybe one—the Uncle Toms of the Black bourgeoisie, and the right opportunists, like the CPUSA.83
The Leading Role of the Black Liberation Struggle

Let's back up a few years or so. During the entire period the Black peoples struggle baptized a generation of white youth and progressive white Americans in a wave of mass struggle and ruling class violence, opening the eyes of Americans of every class and strata to the hypocrisy of "American Democracy," more so than any other mass movement with the possible exception of the mass peoples struggle against the imperialist war in Indo-China. And the Black peoples struggle played a key role in helping to launch the struggle against the aggression in Vietnam. The Black peoples struggle was a huge inspiration to the Chicano and Puerto Rican peoples whose struggles also shook U.S. society. The Black peoples struggles exposed the good-for-nothing CPUSA and all their foul deeds. The Black peoples struggle was objectively anti-imperialist from the 'Git,' and in the late 60's it was becoming consciously anti-imperialist. This new, anti-imperialist force reached its height at that time, with the birth of the Black Panther Party. The Black peoples struggle for liberation shook American imperialism to its knees throughout the 1960's, giving birth to a host of anti-imperialists; black, brown, yellow and white, many who evolved into a small sector of conscious, anti-revisionist Marxist-Leninists.

By 1967, almost 50% of Black people lived outside the south and almost 90% of Black people lived in metropolitan areas of over 250,000 in population. Black people were overwhelmingly an urban people, a proletarian people. Black workers caucuses sprung up in practically all the basic industries. These caucuses aimed their fire at the fact that Blacks held the dirtiest and lowest paying jobs and were excluded from active participation in the unions. The mass struggle of Black people burst forth before the Black proletariat was class conscious or organized enough to play the leading role. Consciousness of the necessity for revolutionary class struggle, a struggle against the Black bourgeois forces dominating the movement, of the need for independent organization which represented their class interests and could lead them into action against the imperialist system, only penetrated into a very small circle of Black workers in the early sixties. Therefore the crisis of the Black liberation struggle which became apparent in the late sixties, revealed itself more and more as a crisis of the leadership. With each advance of the struggle the bankrupt leadership of the Black bourgeoisie and the vacillating influence of the Black petty bourgeoisie became clear to the masses of Black people. For almost a decade and a half of active mass struggle, every coalition and organization in the Black community was led by preacher so-and-so or attorney so-and-so. They carried on "negotiations" with the ruling class whites for "reforms": civil rights legislation, programs for housing, education, economic assistance, etc. NOT A SINGLE ONE OF THESE PROMISES HAVE BEEN KEPT! These promises turned out to be simply gestures to hide the exploitative nature of the present system and the class interest of the Black bourgeoisie, who knew how to use the militancy of the masses for their own selfish ends.

But it has been the Black masses themselves, 90% of whom are part of the laboring masses, who have been the driving force of the Black liberation struggle. They have taken to the streets, to the marches, and to the barricades demanding jobs, better housing, better education, increased wages and an end to the "heavy hand" of the state, especially in the form of "police brutality." It was these demands and this reality which must be taken as the starting point in understanding the storms unleashed by the valiant Black people in the latter half of the sixties. Though the Black bourgeois and petty bourgeois classes assumed what it thought was its natural 100 year old right to leadership, the Black masses literally set fire to America in the 60's after a decade of prattling about "non-violence" by these bourgeois forces.

Ruling Class Strategy: Carrot and Stick

In the midst of the sweltering cities the working class content of the Black peoples demands could not be mistaken, nor could they be altogether denied. In the face of such storms the ruling class showed that it knew how to use the carrot as well as the stick—they knew how to bribe and flatter,
and who to run to. Under the guise of "economic self-development," and "Buy Black," "Do our own thing," etc., the monopoly capitalists pumped literally millions of dollars into Black enterprises—banks, cooperatives, farms, franchises, night clubs and insurance companies, with the accumulating wealth of the Black bourgeoisie jumping from $500 million in 1965 to $1.6 billion in 1973! The Black petty-bourgeoisie on the other hand, was rewarded with a host of new positions in the corporate structure, and the proliferating "poverty-programs" popped up in every Black ghetto in the country. Nevertheless, these bourgeois and petty bourgeois forces maintained their leadership of most of the major Civil Rights organizations—SNCC, CORE, SCLC, the URBAN LEAGUE, and the NAACP. Even where militant, younger forces had come forward to challenge the old guard forces, as in SNCC, it was the radical wing, by and large, of the petty bourgeoisie speaking, a younger, radical wing who would vacillate between continuing the revolution or capitulating to the Ford Foundation, the "liberal" bourgeoisie, and the Democratic Party.

The reasons the Black bourgeoisie took the course that they did were not accidental:

1) Their objective was economic position in society—small and medium size capitalists who wanted a "bigger piece of the action" of imperialist America, and a chance to exploit more of the "Black Market"!

2) The fear of arousing and bringing into revolutionary action "their own" deprived peoples, many who already recognized the need for destruction of the present system as the only way out.

3) Fear of the working class and communism in general, especially frightened by the victories of the heroic Vietnamese peoples whose examples were an inspiration to oppressed peoples everywhere, including Black people in the U.S.

The Black Panther Party

The Black Panthers became the first to see through this unholy alliance, and from the far off shores of the Bay Area California, gave the call for Black people to continue to fight against U.S. imperialism and racism. They helped to expose the treacherous activities of the Black bourgeoisie who were ready to sell out Black people for a few pieces of silver. They raised the banner of Marxism-Leninism as the only ideology for Black people, and conducted merciless criticism of cultural nationalism, mysticism, and Pan Africanism of the Black bourgeoisie. They raised the banner of armed struggle as the final answer to any oppressed peoples situation and actively participated in the armed self-defense of several Black communities. Because of the Black Panther Party, the ruling class was unable to douse the flames of the Black liberation movement that many thought was already dead.

But the BPP made some serious mistakes. Foremost among them being the idea the lumpen, or street elements of the Black community were the vanguard of the struggle. Responding to who they saw as the main elements in the city rebellions of Detroit, Newark, Harlem, Cleveland, and Watts, the BPP concluded that it was the unemployed and semi-employed youth that were the main force in the Black community. They were unaware of the powerful Black proletariat in the big industrial cities, and the leading role of the proletariat in general, which was just beginning to stir. Also, the Panthers were reacting to the revisionist line of the CPUSA which was advocating the "peaceful transition to socialism," and who had already sold-out the working class in the U.S. and condemned it to a state of disorganization and disarray. The desperation and despair of the unemployed youth, which made up the BPP, was real, as was their revolutionary fervor. Undoubtedly, this element of the Black community will play an extremely important role, but again, only the proletariat, with its ideology and its vanguard, a Communist Party, can lead. Despite the mistakes, the BPP played a tremendous role in raising the mass struggle of Black people to a new level, and paved the way for the Black proletariat to play the role it is historically destined to play.

The political situation within the Black liberation movement had seen the temporary lulling of the momentous mass struggles of the 60's and the earlier part of the seventies. Repression was aimed like a killer knife at the Panthers, though all Black people were the target. But in the early
1970's rebellion after rebellion began to rock the prisons from Folsom to Attica. Inflation was already choking the American people; unemployment was astronomical in the ghettos. The Nixon-Kissinger gang in Washington was frantically pursuing their Nazi-terror in Vietnam, while lashing out at the people here at home. The ideological and political confusion caused by all the militant rhetoric of the "Black Power" days was dispersing the militants of the Black liberation struggle, and sending the "white radicals" back to their campuses. At the same time Black workers in basic industry were building militant rank-and-file organizations to combat the oppressive conditions of plant life and the racist, sell-out policies of their "union leaders." Within the working class, strike after strike hit the monopoly capitalists where it hurt most---in their pocket book--workers' strikes caused a loss of 51.6 million man hours in 1970.

Revolutionary Upsurge Among Black Workers

In 1969, the first revolutionary Black workers organization of the modern era -- The League of Revolutionary Black Workers -- was formed in Detroit. As we stated before, there is a close connection between the mass anti-imperialist uprisings of the Black masses, especially the Black Panthers, and the awakening of the Black proletariat with the formation of the League. Without the Civil Rights struggle and the mass rebellions in the cities, the struggles of Black workers and the formation of the League would not have taken place when it did. The formation of the League, in turn, greatly influenced the Black struggle and the entire revolutionary movement of the proletariat in the U.S.

This is what Mike Hamlin, Chairman, and one of the founders of the League, had to say about the League's program:

"The League of Revolutionary Black Workers is dedicated to waging a relentless struggle against racism, capitalism, and imperialism. We are struggling for the liberation of Black people in the confines of the U.S. as well as to play a major revolutionary role in the liberation of all oppressed people in the world."

The formation of the League represented an important new turn, the beginning of a new stage in the anti-imperialist struggle of Black workers and masses of Black people. Before the League, Black workers had participated in the mass struggle, but not as an independent and organized force, merely as another "interest group," following the leadership of another class. The formation of the League marks the beginning of the transition where the Black liberation movement merges with the revolutionary movement of the proletariat as a whole. And who but the Black sector of the proletariat was in a better position to lead such a transition? Indeed, the major question which confronted the League was precisely -- Who will assume the lead of the next high tide in the Black struggle? After 1969, only sheer opportunists, or people who didn't know any better, could place any hopes in the revolutionary capacity of the Black bourgeoisie. The radical Black petty bourgeoisie, represented in the main by SNCC, were splintering, some going to the Ford Foundation, others to Africa, others underground, and still others, nowhere. A few had made attempts to link up with the BPP, but that didn't work either.

In response, the League put forward the following position: The sole class which owing to its objective position, is capable of leading the Black liberation movement is the proletariat! The League proved this by causing major shut-downs in the big auto plants of GM and Chrysler, which rekindled the spark of the working class movement. Thousands of Black workers walked off their jobs to protest the racist and brutal treatment they received at the hands of both the company and the union. These struggles, which were also supported by many advanced white workers, scared the hell out of the giant auto companies which dominate the economy of the United States. Additionally, these struggles had a particularly profound effect on the workers and Black revolutionaries everywhere. The Black working masses had become active and revolutionary (at least in Detroit) and were led by an openly revolutionary organization -- the League! In light of these struggles, not only the national oppression, but the class exploitation of Black people was more clearly revealed, striking another blow at the bourgeois nationalism of
those Blacks who said we are only fighting against "all white people." Though the social-political activity of the bourgeois-led Civil Rights movement had a stimulating effect on the Black sector of the working class, the open treachery of the Black bourgeoisie discredited it in the eyes of this sector of the Black population, and in turn, stimulated the Black workers to organize themselves independently. The formation of the League, and its subsequent development, marks the point where a new current of events was to be observed in the Black movement:

- Considerable numbers of the masses of Black working people, industrial proletariat, began to break away from the "bourgeois nationalist" leadership of the bourgeoisie and petty bourgeoisie.

- The deepening of capitalist relations within the Black community, spurred by the development of the Black bourgeoisie and the growth of the Black proletariat, polarized the Black community even more as the struggle over "who will lead" the Black people intensifies. Class struggle within the Black Nation sharpens.

- The growth of Marxism-Leninism Mao Tse Tung Thought among the Black proletariat and the radical wing of the Black petty bourgeoisie, signals the waning influence of bourgeois nationalism among the advanced sector of the Black population.

The League played a key role in inspiring the Black Liberation Movement and spreading Marxist-Leninist ideas among Black workers and workers in general, as well as other progressive sectors of the population. However, due to weaknesses in proletarian ideology, the League made mistakes in regards to succumbing in many respects to bourgeois nationalism and syndicalism.

Today the Black sector of the proletariat, though far from being leadership of the Black liberation movement, is nevertheless making its presence felt. The great storm that was the mass struggle of the Black masses brought forth many individuals and organizations, each claiming to represent the "truth," leading Black people to their "final place in the sun." Most of these organizations showed the determination and fighting capacity of Black people, but in the main they have come and gone with little trace of their presence left behind. This only has proven what we've been saying all along. Only the proletariat, and within the Black liberation movement, the Black proletariat, is capable of leading all classes and strata in the final assault against U.S. imperialism and monopoly capitalism, to peace, liberation and socialism.

Formation and Development of the Black Workers Congress

The mass upsurge of Black people during the sixties—the Civil Rights Era, the rebellions in the cities, the Black Power era, the community and prisons struggles and the development of militant rank-and-file Black workers movement—largely spontaneous in nature, provided a real meaning and real basis for the calling of a Black Workers Congress. The masses of people knew that rebellions, no matter how militant and destructive they were, could only go so far without leadership and organization. The Panthers had been routed with their leadership split and their local organizations riddled with informers. There was no thought of turning to the Black bourgeoisie, and even less so to the CPUSA and various white "revolutionary" groups. The League was there but it was a local organization centered in Detroit, and was unable or unwilling to expand. Therefore, the desire for an independent Black worker's organization, with a clear revolutionary line, that was national in scope, was a general aspiration of the advanced sector of the Black population.

Various Black worker's organizations like the League, the United Black Brothers in Newark, caucuses in Baltimore, Milwaukee, Chicago, Cleveland and Gary, Indiana, together with "movement activists," students and revolutionary intellectuals from various backgrounds and experiences in the Black struggle, former members of SNCC, and the Black Panther Party, formed the Black Workers Congress, on December 2, 1970. Combined, these forces represented a sector of the most advanced wing of the Black liberation movement, some with wide experience through all the stages of the struggle. There were about 30 delegates representing a dozen or so organizations, divided more or less equally between worker and revolutionary nationalist groups. The overwhelming
majority of the delegates and groups were working class, but the leadership was clearly in the hands of the intellectual elements, and those who had little or no experience in the workers movement, or in organizing workers. As such the character of the founding conference was mainly petty bourgeois in its ideological and political outlook.

The ideological basis of this new, national organization was only superficially touched on. A draft Manifesto was passed out. James Forman, organizer of the conference made a speech on the importance of organizing Black workers. Discussion of the Manifesto was light. The League representatives were hesitant and expressed concern as to whether such an organization could be built. The representatives of the United Black Brothers, however, pushed for the formation of a national organization, as did most of the other delegates. A continuations committee was formed and a second conference was scheduled for Chicago in January to review the work of contacting other workers in different parts of the country, and make further decisions. In the interim, the Manifesto was published on newsprint for distribution.

The Congress developed in a contradictory way. A fairly rapid tempo of organizing work, which pushed the organization into nearly 20 cities in a few months, began under the conditions of an emerging internal struggle. The United Black Brothers was to attend only one other meeting before leaving over a struggle about the "ratio of workers to activist on the central committee." SNCC and the Third World Women's Alliance attended no more meetings, apparently because they objected to Forman's leadership of the new organization. The United Front of Cairo, although always open to the BWC attended one or two meetings later on, but they never really participated in much work of the organization. At just about every meeting there were long discussions and struggles on the questions of Marxism-Leninism Mao Tse Tung Thought, the National Question, how the organization was to be built, the nature of the Black liberation movement, the "white left," etc.

Without a doubt, the Manifesto of BWC reflected, especially in its demands, some of the aspirations of Black people, Black workers and the general anti-imperialist movement at that time. But demands are not a program, and the ideological and political basis of the organization was left unclear. For instance, the BWC in its Manifesto, stated its ideological basis in this manner:

"The systematic study of revolutionary theory and experiences of movements and socialist nations so that we might learn from them, but in our learning we must at all times remember that we must apply all theory to the concrete realities of the U.S."

It was very difficult to tell what the BWC was guided by since Trotskyites, Revisionists, Anarchists, all claim to have a revolutionary theory in the world. What we needed was not All Revolutionary Theory, but Marxism-Leninism and the Thought of Mao Tse Tung, which is the only genuine revolutionary theory in the world. It was easy to see why the BWC was ransacked with ideological struggle, because its vague and opportunist line left room for all sorts of political tendencies which kept the organization from becoming politically and ideologically unified. This was demonstrated clearly by subsequent events.

Throughout the years 1971 and early 72 the BWC was engaged in a tremendous organizing campaign in about all the major cities in the U.S. from Los Angeles to New York, New Orleans to Chicago. Dozens of conferences were called in an effort to find anti-imperialist forces (individuals and organizations) and future cadres for the organization. This effort proved more than successful as hundreds of new revolutionary elements came to the fore thirsting for a revolutionary organization they could belong to. Though most of them were revolutionary students and youth, many advanced workers were contacted and joined the BWC. This also energized potential Black revolutionaries and communists, who had not participated in the mass upsurge of the sixties, but who became inspired to revolutionary activity by what they had seen, heard, and read about those struggles. More than anything else, this organizing activity helped dispel the myth that Black people "were not ready for Marxism," and were "politically backward," were "demoralized" by the Panther experience so on and so forth.

The early experience of the BWC proved that not only was the Black liberation movement alive and well, but that its real potential had hardly been tapped. For the masses of Black working people, here was an organization
dedicated to working for their interest, around the concrete day-to-day issues of their lives, and not some pie-in the sky Black thing. The masses of Black people were tired of all the rhetoric of the Black Power movement which promised them everything and gave them nothing. Indeed, the Congress saw as one of its main tasks, the spreading of Marxism-Leninism among the masses of Black working people who were clearly ready for it.

The sharpening of class contradiction between the Black masses and the imperialists, expressed most sharply in the "Law and Order" Nixon-Agnew Team, led to an intensification of the revolutionary struggle of Black people and the working class as a whole, particularly the strike movement of the working class in the early 1970's, and the mass prison rebellions throughout the country. For example, in 1972, during the first seven months alone, there were over 4,500 strikes in the U.S. in which 3 million workers took part. Many of them, like "Oneita Strike" in South Carolina, were led by Black workers. Since 1970 there have been numerous struggles involving Black workers in Steel, Aero Space, Rubber, Transportation, Communications, Longshore, etc. etc.

The most important aspect of these struggles, however, was that in many cases, political rather than economic demands were put in the forefront as in the Longshoremen's strike in Louisiana, who refused to unload chrome from Rhodesia.

The BWC was able to play a good role in the prison struggle through the Harriet Tubman Prison Movement which it directed. This organization of activists and ex-prisoners also covered the country, and generally heightened the awareness of the masses to the nature and character of the prison movement which was sweeping the country. Harriet Tubman set up many organizing committees of community people to build outside support for the prisoners' struggle and aided the prisoners inside with legal and political education, and also set up many Marxist study circles inside the prisons themselves. At the time of the Attica Uprising, the BWC in coordination with Harriet Tubman, called many significant mass demonstrations, rallying the Black community in support of the prisoners' demands. In Buffalo, for example the largest demonstration in the history of the Black community was called—over 4,000 angry Black people gathered.

Around the end of 1971 the BWC was in a very good position to build a mass anti-imperialist movement in the Black community as well as in the plants and factories, since it had a good deal of cadres, and was the only national organization that was beginning to sink some roots within the Black sector of the class. Additionally the organization had a year of organizing experience behind it with people who were really respected and known amongst the masses. The organization was just beginning to come out of its cocoon, so to speak, and was beginning to spread its revolutionary wings.

But this potential was not realized. At least not then, anyway.

"The creation and advocacy of revolutionary theory plays the principal and decisive role in those times as Lenin said: 'without a revolutionary theory there can be no revolutionary movement.' When a task, no matter which, has to be performed, but there is as yet no guiding line, method, plan or policy, the principal and decisive thing is to decide on a guiding line, method, plan or policy." Mao

Just as the greatest political opportunities for the BWC began to mature, the organization became bogged-down in an intensified ideological struggle over just what was its principal and chief task, its "guiding line, method, plan or policy." The continuing struggle, which was waged mainly within the leadership of the organization and was a reflection of the political and ideological differences within the organization and movement, paralyzed the organization in almost every respect. The leadership of the BWC, in the later half of 1972 and early 73, could not claim to have any unity on any of the burning questions of the revolutionary movement—the National Question, Party Building, the United Front, the Student and Youth movements, the Women Question, you name it. Naturally, this state of affairs could not continue for very long without something coming to a head. It did. The objective demands of the revolutionary movement along with better grasp of Marx-Leninism compelled the BWC to make the necessary criticism and self-criticism, compelled us to learn from our mistakes, compelled us to make the changes that were necessary if the organization was to go forward.
The struggle centered around the following question: "What is the principal problem facing the BWC and what is the solution to this problem?" On this question the leadership split, and two lines began to emerge. There was one line which said the principal problem of the organization was the absence of a unified proletarian ideological and political line, and the presence of a bourgeois and petty bourgeois line dominating the organization. The other position said that "administration" and "structure" and "incompetent personnel" was the principal problem. To get to the truth, it was necessary to sum-up the experiences of the organization and see whether or not its original ideas, theories, plans, and programs corresponded to what came to pass.

Early History

Armed with a minimum amount of ideological unity, the BWC spread itself over the continental U.S. in a matter of a few months. The main way the organization was to build itself, was through the mass conferences we spoke of earlier. Reality turned out to be quite different. No more than 5% of any who attended those conferences became members (not altogether a bad thing). These conferences were open, mass events and anyone could attend, regardless of political outlook. Now these conferences were good for making contacts and meeting people, but it is just not the way to build a communist organization. Even then, the organization failed to establish any on-going committees that could maintain links with the masses after the conferences were over. All in all, the conferences turned out to be good rap sessions, and showed the organizing abilities of many of the BWC cadre, but they were all too expensive and really not worth the effort. Why then, did the BWC pursue its political and organizational work in this way?

The BWC operated with an erroneous and opportunistic concept of how to build a revolutionary communist organization. The Congress was to be simultaneously both a cadre and a mass organization. The concept was developed by James Forman and was called "cadre/mass." In practice, this broke down to mean that some people in the BWC had to accept Marxism-Leninism while others did not. Forman, and the BWC leadership at that time, thought that the main problem of the communist movement was "sectarianism," and in order to combat this, what we needed was a communist organization of thousands, even millions of people. Therefore, the BWC followed this line, the line of building the organization as a "mass" organization "with cadres." The BWC was to be a group that was simultaneously a mass Party and a cadre Party. Forman predicted we would have 5,000 cadres by "1975." In the final analysis, this was pure ideology, politics, and organization. 86

The BWC operated with the view that the main problem of the Communist movement was not of an ideological and political nature, but merely one of "organization." What was wrong with the Communist movement was "too small," rather than that it lacked a unified political line and program on which to unite all the genuine Communists into one organization, a new Communist Party. From its incorrect appraisal of the objective and subjective factors of the revolutionary movement, the BWC's plans and programs were put together with the expansion of the membership of the organization as more important than anything else. To facilitate this, the organization's structure was made so loose, that one only had to agree to the mixed-bag of points in the "Manifesto" to be a member. To make matters worse, never were any of these "organizing drives" carried out with an understanding of our ability to service and educate these new members. Thinking we could solve ideological and political problems through "organization," the BWC only wound up disorganizing itself! "Organization" only becomes key in the final analysis after there is ideological unity and clarity around the basic political line.

The BWC operated with the view that the main problem of the Communist movement was not of an ideological and political nature, but merely one of "organization." What was wrong with the Communist movement was "too small," rather than that it lacked a unified political line and program on which to unite all the genuine Communists into one organization, a new Communist Party. From its incorrect appraisal of the objective and subjective factors of the revolutionary movement, the BWC's plans and programs were put together with the expansion of the membership of the organization as more important than anything else. To facilitate this, the organization's structure was made so loose, that one only had to agree to the mixed-bag of points in the "Manifesto" to be a member. To make matters worse, never were any of these "organizing drives" carried out with an understanding of our ability to service and educate these new members. Thinking we could solve ideological and political problems through "organization," the BWC only wound up disorganizing itself! "Organization" only becomes key in the final analysis after there is ideological unity and clarity around the basic political line.

Of course, the Communist movement is small in the U.S., too small. But it must be clear that the mass character of a Party and its influence with the masses, is not determined above all by its LARGE MEMBERSHIP but primarily by the CLOSE TIES WITH THE MASSES, by the Party's POLITICAL LINE, which defends the interests of the masses, and how it carries out this political line in practice. The CPUSA, for example was at one time 100,000 strong, but that didn't help it become a genuine Communist Party, which never happened.
Because of the lack of a correct line, the mistakes in the organizational field reflected themselves primarily in a mass, loosely structured organization with no centralized leadership. Thus the leading bodies of the BWC were unable to give firm direction and discipline. Unified political action, even on a local scale sometimes was impossible, as was the proper selection and training of cadres. As a result, many of the members became demoralized, cynical and finally left the organization before it had a chance to correct these errors. The collective leadership of the organization was undermined as a further consequence as each section of it operated semi-autonomously, each "doing their own thing."

Internally, the incorrect organizational line manifested itself through Liberalism—the lack of a critical attitude towards the leadership by the members, and among the "leaders" themselves. It more or less had become a tradition that everything the leadership said was right, even though many of the directives were ignored anyway. Everyone would pat each other on the back. Programs (especially those proposed by Forman) were rubber-stamped, without being first discussed and thoroughly thought over. A correct Leninist style and method of work was consistently ignored for a style of helter-skelter campaigns which were started and stopped at a moment's whim. Besides the liberalism prevailing within the organization, this lack of a critical attitude was due, among other things, to the theoretical weaknesses, which again stemmed from the lack of clarity around a basic line, a necessary foundation upon which to refute any erroneous views, whether from the leadership or not. This naturally lead to a lot of unprincipled struggle (gossiping, rumor-mongering, etc.) and factional intrigue inside the organization, because again, in the absence of a unified ideological and political line how can principled struggle unfold in an organization? If for some reason a comrade is in error or out of step, it is on the basis of the organization's ideological and political line that he has struggled with—against either right or "left" deviations. How can such a process occur in the abstract? And what if a comrade attacks the line itself? Well, in all cases that is what right and "left" errors amount to, an attack on the line, but in direct assaults on the line we have the right to demand that the comrade prove his position concretely. It is on such a basis that changes are made or rejected inside Communist organizations. Of course there are certain Marxist-Leninist tenets which are not open for a vote or debate, like the dictatorship of the proletariat, etc. The experience of the BWC in organizational work proved once again, that the best "organizers," if not armed with a correct political line, will get bogged down and not be able to carry out any serious political work.

Because of the bourgeois and petty bourgeois nature of its own line, the BWC fought the "sectarianism" of the Communist movement with "spontaneity." Genuine revolutionary organizations cannot defer or bow to spontaneity, and must prepare ideologically, politically, and organizationally for any mass work and in the most serious manner possible. They must keep in close contact with the masses, who after all are the real makers of the revolution.

The Struggle Between the Two Lines

It became clear to more than a few members of the organization that what was passing for a guiding line and political program did not reflect an understanding of the unity of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought with the concrete practice of the Black Liberation movement nor of the worker's or communist movement. All were agreed on this, but again there was no unity within the leadership as to how to resolve the problem.

In the meantime Nixon mined the harbors of Haiphong. A program called: "The Vietnam Summer Offensive," was put together and hurriedly written, then rubber-stamped by different sections of the leadership under pressure from Forman who was "morally-outraged" at what was happening in Vietnam. The Offensive was an entire package. The first event was to be an Emergency Summit Conference of Third World People held in Gary, Ind. In the meantime another conference was being held in Buffalo by the BWC for Vietnam Veterans, being done without even the knowledge of the local BWC leadership. After cadres were exhausted, and everyone had gone home, what could be said about the blitz of conferences was not more than some more good "rap" sessions.
NOT ONE CONCRETE PROGRAM CAME FROM ANY OF THIS! Again the BWC had substituted digging deep roots within the working class for the glimmer and shimmer of the movement spotlight!

On July 8th 1972, the Central Committee called an extended meeting (Plenary Session), to be used primarily as an educational conference for the rank-and-file. What began as an educational conference developed into a full blown ideological struggle between two sections of the leadership over the nature of the organization's problems, its present condition, and its future development. From that meeting on, what was becoming clearer and clearer to the majority of the members of the leadership was the clear-cut ideological struggle in the BWC between proletarian and bourgeois and petty bourgeois ideology. It was not enough to just list what the contradictions in the organization were—over-extension of cadre, lack of unity within the leadership, no positions on the burning questions like Party Building, the National Question, and so forth; for that had been done before, only to reappear again at another meeting. It became necessary to get to the bottom of these problems, to examine their social, historical, and ideological roots, and the class forces behind them. During the July 8th conference itself, almost every cadre present spoke about how his or her work was affected because there was no ideological and political center in the organization; on how the work suffered because there was no single part of the organization that knew anything about the work of any other; on how there were no unified positions on a number of important organizational and political questions around which disputes between individual members could be settled and work carried out; on how demoralizing it was to watch different individuals within and outside the leadership set their own agendas; on the shameful manner in which the membership's education was handled; on how criticism and self-criticism was unfolded in the organization; and finally, on how the work of the organization, as fragmented and disjointed as it was, was never properly analyzed and summed up.

As the process to rectify the organization began, some elements of the leadership, led by James Forman resisted. They continued to maintain that "administration" and "incompetent personnel (meaning the rest of the leadership)" was the problem. According to Forman the ideological struggle which had unfolded, was no more than a "jockeying for power," by a "factional majority," that was "trying to seize absolute power" in the BWC, and were out to "wreck me personally and politically."

The struggle inside the BWC was indeed a struggle for power. Not so much between a "majority and minority faction," as Forman and his people contended, but a struggle to promote the leadership of the working class over that of the petty bourgeoisie and bourgeoisie, to promote the interest of the revolutionary class over all the rest. There is no such thing as a struggle in class society --be it inside an organization, a family, between friends, etc.--that takes place isolated from the political and ideological tendencies of different classes. The struggle inside the BWC was only a reflection of the same class struggle taking place everywhere in the U.S. society. As Lenin said:

"Every trend in Social Democracy (genuine revolutionism) receives the adherence of a greater or lesser number of not purely proletarian but semi-proletarian, elements, how rapidly it rids itself of the other trends, how rapidly it successfully combats them."

Intellectuals, Petty-Bourgeois and Proletarian

It wasn't so much Forman the individual that was the problem, but the class tendencies he brought into the working class. Forman was an intellectual. He was part of the intelligentsia—the doctors, lawyers, writers, journalists position in capitalist and revisionist countries. Subjectively, they regard themselves as being superior to working people. They generally come from the petty bourgeoisie and bourgeoisie, but in the U.S. many have working class and even "peasant" backgrounds. Intellectuals play an important role in these societies because of the division between mental and manual work which gives them a monopoly of the word and pen. During revolutionary times, many intellectuals come into the worker's movement in large numbers. This is
both a good thing and a bad thing. On the good side, the proletariat needs revolutionary intellectuals because of their many skills and their wide knowledge. But the proletarian intellectual, is an intellectual of a new type. He is a transformed intellectual, or one who is sincerely trying to integrate with the workers and peasants, one who gets rid of his or her bourgeois and petty bourgeois baggage.

He integrates himself with the masses and learns from them as well as teaches. Over a hundred years ago Marx said:

"If people of this kind from other classes join the proletarian movement, the first condition must be that they should not bring any remnants of bourgeois and petty prejudices with them but should wholeheartedly adopt the proletarian outlook."

On the bad side, intellectuals, especially those in the U.S. bring into the worker's movement all sorts of bourgeois and petty bourgeois prejudices, especially subjectivism; petty bourgeois radicalism. While this subjectivism takes many forms—empiricism, pragmatism, anarchism, individualism, etc., in essence it's the same, an approach to reality that is dogmatic and one-sided and is based on wishful thinking, "feelings" and imagination, rather than on concrete analysis of a concrete situation. Generally these tendencies lead to "left" and right opportunism in political and organizational work. The bourgeois and petty bourgeois intellectual is generally removed from the masses and has little faith in their ability to make revolution. They are generally impatient and are apt to spontaneous activity.

The Expulsion of James Forman

These are the type of individuals which dominated the leadership of the Black Workers Congress, and whose ideological influences have played havoc with the organization. "Their way of appraising a situation was to take individual incipient, indirect, one-sided, and superficial phenomena favorable to their viewpoint and magnify them into something widespread, grave, direct, all-sided and essential, and they were afraid to acknowledge or were blind to all the facts not in conformity with their viewpoint" (Mao). During and after the July 8th Conference, the more proletarian elements in the leadership waged a bitter struggle against the petty bourgeois tendencies and the opportunist line of James Forman. Forman and his group turned a deaf ear to the honest criticism as "unjust" and "incorrect." Never once did he admit to doing anything wrong, attributing all the organization problems to "the historical conditions of the time." His egotism was so strong, that he walked out of a central committee meeting while being criticized by other C.C. members, again refusing to acknowledge he was guilty of anything. This together with the tremendous problems his line caused the organization, precipitated his expulsion, together with a few of his followers. On April 4, 1973 James Forman was expelled from the BWC for refusing to criticize his opportunism, his elitism, and bourgeois individualism.

Forman's expulsion did not end the struggle against petty bourgeois and bourgeois tendencies, however. Marxism-Leninism Mao Tse Thought teaches us that the law of the unity of opposites is universal, that there will always be contradictions in anything. Inside parties and organizations will be manifested in ideological struggle against "right" and "left" tendencies, and will only be a reflection of the class struggle going on inside the society at large. Liberalism, opportunism, revisionism, and subjectivism, will remain dangerous tendencies that the developing worker's movement in the U.S. will have to wage a bitter struggle against, at each twist and turn, in the revolutionary movement.

The BWC Today

The temporary setbacks the BWC suffered only hastened the resolution of the problems of the organization. Subsequently, the organization has continued to consolidate a proletarian line by summing up its revolutionary
experience, the experience of the communist movement in the world and in the U.S. and the experiences of the Black liberation struggle. Though not a very large organization, we have dedicated and able cadres throughout the East, Mid-West and Southern United States who are carrying out revolutionary work within the Black sector of the class, the workers movement as a whole, and the anti-revisionist Communist movement. As an organization of Black communists we are fully dedicated to joining with, arousing and leading the U.S. proletariat and Black people in general, and other national minorities in overthrowing U.S. imperialist rule and building socialism in the U.S., under the dictatorship of the proletariat and its revolutionary, multi-national Communist Party.

Summary

In summing up the experiences of the BWC—the first national revolutionary Black Communist organization in recent history—the first stage of its existence was characterized by the penetration of bourgeois and petty bourgeois ideas in both mass and organizational work. In this stage too, the organization expanded tremendously, picked up many new forces, and sunk some roots in the working class and Black community. The second stage was characterized by the struggle against the bourgeois and petty bourgeois influences in ideological, political and organizational work. In this stage, the organization consolidated itself, and placed more emphasis on training its existing cadres, rather than recruiting new ones. The third and present stage is characterized by the consolidation of a more proletarian line, though the struggle against alien class influences is still continuing. And during this stage also, while we will deepen and expand our work and influence among the black sector of the proletariat, and black people generally, we will also give primary attention to the ideological, political and organizational problems of the Communist movement as a whole. All in all, we can say that the future is bright though the struggle is full of twists and turns and beset with many sacrifices.

In summing up once again the class struggle inside the BWC, we can say that the penetration of bourgeois and petty bourgeois ideas came from at least the following sources:

First, from the very class nature of the original leadership who were Black intellectuals and "movement activists," and whose objective position in U.S. society is in between that of the proletariat and the bourgeoisie, and whose subjective outlook naturally tends to be a vacillating one between revolution.

These intellectuals were not armed with the Marxist-Leninist stand, viewpoint, method and outlook, but instead interjected their own petty bourgeois and bourgeois theories. Secondly, from the bourgeoisification of the proletarian elements in the organization who were greatly influenced by and followed the leadership of the petty bourgeoisie. But even more so, what caused the proletarian elements not to exert their leadership was their own inadequate grasp of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought and the experience and reality of the United States and the communist movement as a whole. Third, these errors and alien influences were due to the uneven development of the Black liberation struggle and workers movement in different parts of the country, and the lack of experience and traditions of Marxism-Leninism within the Black community. And finally, to the lack of a genuine Communist Party in the United States, which has caused the necessity to build a new Communist Party that is armed with the theory of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought and is free from subjectivism, opportunism and modern revisionism, has a correct political line which includes a revolutionary solution to the problems of black people and oppressed national minorities in making proletarian revolution, has a thorough understanding of the problems of strategy and tactics of revolutionary struggle in the U.S., masters the main form of struggle at each stage, as well as secondary ones, and is capable of uniting all who can be united in a revolutionary united front against U.S. imperialism under the leadership of the working class, has deep roots among the masses of the people and consists of the most trusted and experienced cadres from the ranks of the revolutionary movement!
The revolutionary cadres of the BWC have acquired a better understanding of the Marxist-Leninist proletarian line as applied to the concrete situation of the U.S. and have raised their fighting spirit to an entirely new level. We have learned from our own experience the truth and wisdom of Comrade Stalin's teachings: "The Party becomes strong by ridding itself of opportunist elements." At the same time, the BWC cadres know full well that truth does not fall from the skies, nor is it hatched in the brains of some great man of history. No! Mao Tse Tung Thought teaches us, and life, our own experiences, have fully confirmed:

"Truth develops through its struggle against falsehood. This is how Marxism develops. Marxism develops in the struggle against bourgeois and petit bourgeois ideology, and it is only through struggle that it can develop."  
Mao Tse-Tung

SMASH OPPORTUNISM, MODERN REVISIONISM AND IMPERIALISM!
UP WITH THE DICTATORSHIP OF THE PROLETARIAT!
BUILD A GENUINE MULTI-NATIONAL COMMUNIST PARTY! . . .

WHY WE NEED A "NEW" COMMUNIST PARTY AND THE ROLE OF A BLACK COMMUNIST ORGANIZATION

The Role of the Black Workers Congress

As we stated in the beginning sections of this pamphlet, the Black Workers Congress—a national communist organization—developed as a result of the revolutionary national movement of Black people.

Though we are a predominantly Afro-American communist organization, we see the central and immediate task today as uniting all genuine communists and advanced workers into one revolutionary party regardless of nationality.

We arrived at this conclusion because we realized that though there are historical reasons for such a communist organization as the Black Workers Congress—the scattering of communist forces after the revisionist betrayal of the CPUSA, and the national character of the Black liberation struggle, we do not intend to raise this aspect of our history to a principle. After 1957, and through the sixties, there was a period of disunity and isolation among the communist forces the main reason communists played little or no role in leading the mass upsurges of those periods. The spontaneously awakening mass movements (Black liberation, student, youth and women's movements) thus developed in a period of historically evolved isolation (further increased by our sectarianism and isolation from Marxism-Leninism). Some groups, (like the New Left) elevated this "independence" to a principle—proclaiming this isolation and disunity a permanent feature of the U.S. revolutionary movement—'American Exceptionalism'!

On the other hand, some say because we are a "national form" we cannot be a communist group. They try to compare us to the Jewish "Bund" in Russia. They would like us to liquidate ourselves and join their "multi-national" form or group. Again we would like to make clear we are for the fusion and unity of all Marxist-Leninists into one Communist Party. This is the only basis on which we unite—"multi-national forms" are not the multi-national party. All Marxist-Leninist organizations will have to "Liquidate" themselves (we like the world "unite" better) if they intend to be members of the party, not just the "national forms." Prior to the party, no particular "form of communist organization" is higher or "lower" than any other (that is, unless some groups think they are the party already?)—all are affected with the same narrowness and amatuerishness one has, but whether or not one is willing to openly and resolutely admit its short-comings and to move towards genuine unity, away from the path of isolation and sectarianism to the path of uniting on the basis of Marxism-Leninism and the building of a new, genuine, revolutionary Communist Party.

Only such a party (national in scale, Bolshevik-type) can lead the working class and its allies to power and socialism and guarantee the complete emancipation for the Afro-American, Puerto Rican, Chicano, Asian, and
Native American (Indian) peoples. Only such a party can liberate women from their age-long oppression and guarantee them full equality with men in the struggle. Only such a party can release the full energies of the youth and allow full-play to their ambitions and talents. In a word, only such a party can bring proletarian revolution to America.

Again we want to stress the importance and decisive necessity for building the new Communist Party now! In the United States today the objective conditions for revolution are more than ripe. The ever deepening economic and political crisis seen in the "energy crisis" and the 'Watergate' scandal point to the doom of American imperialism. Massive unemployment, the deteriorating living standards of American working people, the increased repression directed at the oppressed nationalities and working class generally, is a testament to this fact. While the ruling class mounts offensive after offensive, shifting the burden of their economic and financial crises on the backs of the people, the proletariat is disarmed without revolutionary leadership and organization. What is worse, some "Communists" would like to enshrine this present state of affairs while they go about saying "we must build this and that mass movement! Genuine Marxist-Leninists must liquidate this present state of affairs by concentrating our forces, first of all, on building a revolutionary Party and not scattering our forces by seizing on tasks which we are in no position to carry out.

In Party building we recognize both the ideological and organizational aspects. The ideological unity of Marx-Leninism Mao Tse Tung Thought as the ideological basis for their actions and integrate it with the concrete situation of their country. Organizationally this unity may be achieved through the medium of a National Political Newspaper of the Iskra type or some other forms yet unknown.

Ideological unity must eventually take an organizational form, the Party itself; no matter what all the steps that must be taken in advance. One guiding principle should be, QUALITY OVER QUANTITY, at this time, so that when the Party does come into being it will be able to build a closely-knit organizational structure with iron discipline (a minimum necessity given the tremendous tasks that face us and the strength of the enemy) and which will enable it to be closely bound up with the masses and mass movements and organizations. Party building must be closely linked to the political line (or program) for revolution in America.

We unite with genuine Marxist-Leninists in the struggle to build the 'New' Communist Party. In the interim (Party building is a process of struggle and not a single act), the Black Workers' Congress has set basic tasks for itself, the successful completion of which will advance the whole Communist movement:

1) Help build a genuine Communist Party of a new type composed of the most courageous and revolutionary elements of the class—black, brown, yellow, and white, male and female, young and old.

2) Bring Marxism-Leninism Mao Tse Tung Thought to the advance elements in the national-revolutionary struggle of the Afro-American people and black sector of the proletariat (though not exclusively).

3) Fight for the hegemony of the black proletariat in the black liberation movement and the leading role of the proletariat in general, to isolate the treacherous influence of the Black bourgeoisie in the black liberation movement and the influence of the bourgeoisie in the working class in general.

The Trade Union Question

The development of capitalism, particularly the industrial revolution, marked the entrance into history of the working class, a class that was totally propertyless, having no way to earn a living except to sell its ability to work for the capitalist or property-owning class. In return for selling its labor the working class receives "wages"—only partial payment for
the value it created, and barely enough to return back to work another day, to produce more value and profits for the capitalist.

The nature of capitalist society is such that the capitalist always tries to minimize the cost of production and maximize his profits. This can only be done at the worker's expense, the worker that finds himself constantly the victim of attempts by the capitalist to lengthen the working day, or speeding up production and reducing wages.

Trade Unions arose in the era of modern industry. "The Trade unions were a tremendous progressive step for the working class in the early days of capitalist development inasmuch as they represented a transition from disunity and helplessness of the workers to the rudiments of class organization." V. I. Lenin, *Left-Wing Communism*

The workers and capitalist do constant battle over the level of wages, the price of "labor." A long time ago, when the individual worker attempted to present his grievances to the capitalist, he was laughed at and crushed.

The emergence of modern, large-scale industry meant the increased socialization of the working class. A few workers scattered in many small shops because a mass of workers concentrated in a few large shops. Coupled with the practical experiences of day-to-day struggle against the employers, the working class had learned that in order to get their grievances met, they would need an organization that would represent their interest and would improve their chances of winning struggles. Thus developed the first form of mass working class organization, the Trade Union. The trade unions would fight over such issues as the intense exploitation of the working class, shorter working hours, better working conditions, speed-ups and increased wages, etc. But the trade unions had their limitations.

Trade Unions Have Their Limitations

"Trade Unions work well as centers of resistance against the encroachments of capital. They fail partially from injudicious use of their power. They fail generally from limiting themselves to a guerilla war against the effects of the existing system, instead of simultaneously trying to change it, instead of trying to use their organized forces as a lever for the final emancipation of the working class, that is to say, the ultimate abolition of the wages system." Marx, *Wages, Prices & Profit*

The trade unions see their struggle as one waged primarily inside of the capitalist system for the improvement of the worker's condition. The trade unions fight around contracts serves as an excellent example of the role and limitation of the unions. Instead of really using contracts to improve the worker's condition, the unions, when they do "negotiate," act like people at a trading fair, like businessmen at an auction, rather than representatives who are supposed to "protect" the interest of their people. But even a "good contract" still simply means the worker has only won a better deal for the selling of his labor power, the fundamental cause of this problem still exists—the capitalist system.

This is why it is important not to confuse the trade unions with the revolutionary Party of the proletariat, the highest form of working class organization. The party not only fights for the day-to-day interest of the working class (low wages, speed-up, poor working conditions, etc.) it attacks the fundamental cause of these problems—the capitalist system itself. Frederick Engels in his series of articles on the British Labour Movement, sums up the relationship of the trade unions to the struggle to abolish the capitalist system in this way: "Trade-Unions should not be seen as an end in itself but only a means, a very necessary and effective means, but only one of several means towards a higher end: the abolition of the wage-slave system itself." But trade unions are not what they used to be in Engels time.

Trade Unions Under Imperialism

The development of capitalism into monopoly capitalism, to imperialism, has also been felt within the worker's movement. The super-profits obtained
by the monopoly capitalists from their super-exploitation of oppressed peoples at home and abroad enabled them to bribe the upper sections of the working class in the capitalist countries, especially the trade union bureaucrats. The notorious Samuel Gompers and the AFL of the early 1900's are the classic examples. Gompers was the one who coined the phrase: "What's good for American business is good for the American worker."

Today the trade union movement is controlled by these types of bureaucrats—labor lieutenants of the capitalist class within the worker's movement. These people like George Meany and Leonard Woodcock, no more represent the worker's interest than David Rockefeller or Richard Nixon if they were president of the AFL-CIO or the UAW. Almost to the man, these traitors and their lap dogs down the union scale, "bargain" the workers' lives and security away at every contract time, refuse to deal with any of the concrete issues that workers on the line, in the pit, or on the road face, refuse to deal with the question of the unorganized worker in a serious way, refuse to fight racism and discrimination on the job or in the union, at the same time as they mumble rhetoric about "equality" and go around shaking the hands of "civil rights leaders," and buffoons like Bayard Rustin who no more represent the interest of Black workers than they do white workers, or any worker for that matter. The unemployed worker is not a problem to them because he pays no dues. And they work overtime to make sure that whatever little democracy is left in the union is stamped out fast. The average salary for these criminals is $50-$100,000 a year, with "expense accounts" equal or past that sum! If anybody knows would you please tell us, what do these opportunists have in common with the average American worker, black or white, who barely makes enough to keep him and his family alive?

The more and more the rank-in-file has found itself up against the wall by the capitalist, the more it has found its "labor leaders" acting and talking more like "management." This situation has given rise to the militant struggle going on in almost every union in the country, between the rank-in-file and the bankrupt union leadership. This struggle is a key aspect of the overall struggle between capital and labor, between revolution and reaction. It is literally impossible for workers to struggle against a given contract and management without at the same time waging a militant struggle against the companies' agents within their ranks—the class collaborationist union leadership.

"If things are as they say," some may ask, "why then do you continue to work in these unions?"

Communists work inside the unions controlled by these enemy agents in order to win the workers of these unions by mobilizing and building rank-in-file organizations, developing the consciousness and unity of the rank-in-file, and getting them to rely on their own strength to get things done. Communist work inside these unions not in order to "push the leadership to the left," or into the struggle period, but in order to kick these traitors out of the workers movement! Communists work inside these unions only in order to win the workers to revolutionary struggle, and not to inspire the masses with the spirit of obedience and loyalty to the trade union bureaucracy like the CPUSA still does. Communists work inside unions to win the masses of the workers and not to "gain control" of the trade union machine, and the trade union officials like the CPUSA still does. Communists work inside these unions in order to learn to lead day-to-day struggles of the proletariat so that they can link this struggle to the total revolutionary movement and raise it to its highest level to proletarian revolution and not to reformists campaigns like the CPUSA still does.

Super-Exploitation, White Chauvinism and Racism in the Trade Union Movement

Because the U.S. is a multi-national imperialist state which oppresses people within its own borders as well, as outside, Black and Third World workers (Puerto Ricans, Chicanos, Asians, etc.) find themselves excluded from some jobs altogether, at the same time, again because of racism and national discrimination, find themselves locked into the worst and hardest jobs at the lowest pay in the labor market. Not only are they the "last hired, first fired," but are victims of discrimination and racism; in job placement,
promotion, security, classification and retirement. By forcing Black and other Third World workers into a limited section of the job market, namely the bottom of the barrel and exclusion from some jobs altogether, namely the highly paid-highly skilled ones, the bourgeoisie wields a double weapon. First, it has a tremendous reserve of labor power willing and ready to work at any price whenever there is a labor shortage, thus driving down the wages of all workers the monopoly of the higher paying, highly skilled jobs, and thus easing the competition they have to face on the labor market. Over the years the U.S. ruling class has shown how skillfully it can use both whenever necessary. Additionally, Black and other Third World workers are forced to work for a smaller wage for the same work as white workers, thus enriching the bourgeoisie even more. In 1969 it was estimated that Black workers earned $3,000 less per year than white workers in the same job category. With at least 10,000 Black workers in the labor force, this means an extra $30,000,000,000 (30 billion) for the bourgeoisie. Who says discrimination doesn't pay?

Union bureaucrats, because they receive the most from this bribery (which usually takes the form of the high salaries and "expense accounts" we talked about) have a material stake in keeping racism and the national oppression of Black and other Third World workers alive and well. For example, do they ever use the strength of the union to deal with the question of job discrimination? Of course not. Take the U.S. Steel workers union which has somewhere in the neighborhood of 250,000 Black workers, or about 30% of the total membership. A study made of Black employment in basic steel by the Pittsburgh Equal Employment Opportunity Commission in 1968 observed that "negroes comprise 12.27% of laborers, 12.93% of the service workers and 10.86% of the semi-skilled operatives, while holding only 3.21% of the total work force, while only 7% of the higher paid jobs within these industries are held by Blacks, while 25% of the lower paid jobs within these same industries are held by Blacks -- this situation is not due to the fact that Blacks are not unionized! In fact we find that 35% of Black males are unionized as compared to 30% white and within the female workforce, we find 14% Black women are unionized as compared with less than 13% white! The explanation and heart of the problem lies in the fact that racism and national discrimination are profitable and that the labor bureaucrats are loyal to the capitalist class, and are the enemies of all works.

These criminal labor bureaucrats who do the bidding of the bourgeoisie in the Trade Union movement, obviously also advance the policy and practice of racism and national discrimination within the unions themselves. Some unions in fact most of the craft unions, exclude Blacks from membership or have only a handful of token members. Unions where Black workers are found in large numbers, notably those like UAW with 350,000 blacks and USSW with 250,000 blacks virtually hold token positions at best.

Moreover, Black and Third World workers are forced to provide the prime fodder for the increased extraction of surplus capital, the accelerated rate of exploitation which Nixon and his ruling class cronies currently plan for the American working class.

The Black workers have traditionally played the role of the most exploited brutally oppressed, and the most profitable source of labor, that was so cheap that they received no remuneration for their work, except that required for bare subsistence—minimum food, clothing and shelter. That source of cheap labor was so productive that it earned huge profits for the white overloads of yesterday and today. Basically, from the billions and billions of dollars ripped from the hides of our forebearers the American capitalist class accumulated the necessary capital to build the American empire.

Since slavery, Black labor has continued to assume particular importance in the development of both domestic capital and international imperialism, primarily because Black female and male workers are the final prop, the ultimate mainstay, onto which capitalism shifts its weight in order to survive. Black workers have remained a source of the cheapest, most productive labor. First as agricultural labor, then as miners, merchant seamen, government, transportation, and service workers, dock and warehouse workers, etc. and finally as the most exploited section of the proletariat in light and heavy industry. Today Black and other Third World workers are still solidly entrenched at the base of production.
So we see that Black workers still are:
- the lowest paid sector of the working class
- Forced into the worst jobs in the labor market except when needed to suppress the wages of "higher paid" whites
- Subjected to the most hazardous working conditions, higher mortality rate (2½% more than whites) and higher rates of industrial disease
- Subjected to harsh regimentation and discipline on the job
- In a position of having little or no control, influence or power in their unions
- Leading the struggles of the working class against these as well as other conditions that affect the working class as a whole, by militant rank and file struggles inside the plants and factories as well as within the unions.

Trade Unions in the Declining Stage of Imperialism

With the sharpening of general crisis of capitalism, imperialism makes a last ditch effort to save itself. It moves more and more to open terroristic rule, discarding its own "bourgeois democracy," in favor of fascism. Crucial to this development are the trade unions. In the declining stages of imperialism, the government moves to bring the trade unions more directly under its control, transforming them from organizations designed for struggle against the capitalist class, into organizations and agencies for the planning of production and for "industrial peace."

Let's look at what's happening inside the trade unions today. In 1971 the United States Steel Worker's Union under the class collaborationist leadership of I. W. Abel, "negotiated" a 3% wage increase over a three year period. In the same contract, the USSW leadership agreed to work with the steel industry in setting up "productivity committees" which would increase the "productivity of the worker"! The year 1973 will go down in history as the year the U.S. working class was caught with its pants down — wages frozen by the government, forced over-time, increased speed-up, "meat crisis," "milk crisis," "wheat crisis," "energy crisis," etc., and a cost of living reaching the sky! 1973 caught the U.S. economy staggering with inflation, recessions, high unemployment, tax increases, funds cut from health and welfare services while corporate profits reached unheard of records.

All the while this is happening the bourgeoisie is steadily tightening up its faithful, time-tested lackeys, the labor bureaucrats, giving some of them cabinet posts with one hand and with the other, beating down the insurgent movement of the rank and file and trying to smash out of existence the few remaining "progressive unions." The appointment of the racist, hard-hat supreme—Peter Brenan—former head of the N.Y. Building Trades Council to Secretary of Labor, and the other offers of the Nixon administration to appoint more union heads to official government posts, is part and parcel of the policy to use these traitors to do the work of the government and police in stopping the militant rank and file movement.

Over the past ten years we have witnessed a great upsurge in the workers movement expressed among other things in the development of militant rank and file workers organizations, and in particular in Black worker's caucuses like—DRUM (Detroit), the United Black Workers (Newark), Concerned Transit Workers (Chicago), HRUM (New York), Fight Back (N.Y.) etc., only to mention a few that have played a major role in this development. Not only have these worker's organizations struggled around economic issues such as speed-ups, discrimination in hiring, harassment of Black and Latin workers by racist foremen, low wages, etc., but have raised anti-imperialist demands like "U.S. out of Indochina," "No chrome from Rhodesia," "Stop police brutality of Black people in the community," "End the oppression of women," Support the struggle of the Palestinian people, fight Israeli aggression, etc. etc.

Another type of caucus that has developed during this period and is based primarily on the discontent of the rank and file with much of its present class collaborationists leadership, are caucuses like—"United
National Caucus (UAW), Youngstown Steel Workers Group (Ohio), and the 'Miners for Democracy (West Virginia).' In the main these caucuses directed their struggles around the lack of union democracy and the corruption of the union leadership, like the Tony Boyle case. Through genuine rank and file organizations with good mass membership, these caucuses are led by reformists, and would-be union bureaucrats, or in the case of Miners for Democracy—by a bourgeois lawyer! These caucuses are usually national or industry-wide, but very seldom if at all, lead and develop local or for that matter, national mass struggles around issues facing the rank and file. At the end of every issue is the would-be union bureaucrat or reformist crying: "Elect me and I will get things done!" These caucuses are nevertheless progressive to the degree that they do challenge the class collaboration of the union leadership, but are weak in the sense that the reformist leadership and the basic programs have not and cannot make any essential changes in things or develop the workers' consciousness to a higher level.

The third type of caucus found in almost every union are the "election coalitions," which usually come to life around election time and usually have no life outside of the election period. These caucuses are merely more often than not, political machines for the local union politicians or would be politicians and are used by both black and white bureaucrats. However, many honest and sincere workers join these caucuses because they see nothing else around or because they are dissatisfied with the present leadership.

Our strategy in the Trade Unions and worker's movement generally is simply to unite all those who can be united around the immediate political and economic issues of the workers. Our task is to raise the level of spontaneous consciousness of the workers to revolutionary working class consciousness by introducing socialist consciousness and taking the lead in the day-to-day struggles of the working class and by proving to them in practice that communists are their real leaders and the real fighters!

In approaching our work we start from the concrete, day-to-day issues, political and economic, facing the masses of workers; while at the same time raising this struggle to higher levels by pointing out to the workers how the immediate problems are related to the overall system of monopoly capital and the revolutionary movement as a whole. We do not lie to the workers like the opportunists in the CPUSA, or give them the illusion that their problems can be solved simply by improving an abuse of the capitalist system, like the CPUSA does. But we prove this to them by participation in mass struggle and not just by handing out leaflets at the plant gates. Because only through the course of revolutionary mass struggle do the masses learn the necessity for revolution. Today at the present stage of the revolution our task is to win over the "vanguard (i.e., build up cadres, create a Communist Party, work out the programs, the principles of tactics)." STALIN

We do this mainly by organizing propaganda, political exposures -- introducing socialist ideology to the most advanced sectors of the working class, but also by participating in and building Black workers caucuses, multi-national rank and file caucuses in the plants and unions, anti-imperialist worker's organization, organizing the unorganized (bringing in unions into an unorganized shop) as well as by experimenting with new forms of revolutionary struggle as they arise. As Black communists, interested in building a new Communist Party, we need to sink deep roots in the black sector of the class, as the best and most expedient means of building the revolutionary unity of the working class as a whole at this time.

The defense of the day-to-day interest of the working class is just as much a job for communists as is the struggle for socialism. Karl Marx and Frederick Engels wrote "that communists have no interests separate and apart from the proletariat as a whole, they always and everywhere represent the interests of the worker's movement as a whole."

In our work in the workers movement we must do four things:
-- develop cadres from the ranks of the advanced workers for the BWC, and other genuine communist organizations, and the future Communist Party.
-- raise the consciousness of the workers to revolutionary class consciousness
-- struggle to defeat a given policy of the imperialists
-- help merge the national and class struggle by holding to the right
-- to political secession of Black people and equal rights for the other oppressed nationalities.

In the Trade Union movement our task is to win the ideological, political and practical leadership of the proletariat in the trade unions, the revolutionary proletariat.

Our political task in the trade unions today means first of all winning the advanced elements of this struggle to communism, and then developing the rank and file workers movement into a revolutionary class consciousness workers movement. We do this by persistently interjecting socialist consciousness into the workers movement and by seriously involving ourselves in the day-to-day political and economic struggles of the working class, particularly the struggles of Black workers, in order to direct and lead these struggles to higher forms, and to merge the national and class struggles of oppressed and working people generally into one mighty fist directed against imperialism. This task can only be fulfilled by strengthening our ties with the masses of workers in the trade unions, especially the most advanced; by organizing them into anti-imperialist worker organizations in the plants and unions and those that may spring up in the future. These anti-imperialist worker's groups, must be organized around a concrete political program, including a strong Marxist-Leninist study program. They must, in order to advance and link themselves with the masses of the workers, engage in mass revolutionary struggle; against wage cuts, racial and national discrimination, imperialist aggression abroad, police brutality, unemployment, compulsory overtime, inflation, organizing the unorganized, etc., etc. And they must especially conduct merciless and unremitting criticism and exposure of the traitorous trade union bureaucrats, revisionist, and Trotskyites, so that the workers can be able to distinguish genuine Marxism from sham Marxism.

While engaging in trade union work, we must be especially on guard for the errors of economism and right opportunism. Even within the anti-revisionist communist movement these tendencies are making themselves felt. Among communists generally, they are manifested in a tendency to "hide" our politics from the workers and shying away from political and ideological struggle in the union and plant. This is especially true in regards to struggles that take place outside of the workplace and do not have an obvious "economic character" like police brutality, imperialist aggression, community struggles and so forth. The flip side of the coin is to raise these "other" struggles in a scholastic and abstract manner so that the workers can't possibly get the connection. But the main tendency is not to raise them at all. For 'white' communists in particular, right opportunism is expressed in a definite tendency not to raise the national question among white workers while acting in a paternalistic manner towards black workers. In this way, they wind up alienating themselves from both white and black workers who are in general not interested in revolutionary phrase-mongering, not backed up by revolutionary deeds. Since many do not know how to even raise the struggle against chauvinism and racism among the white workers, they end up not raising it at all, and thus fall into opportunism and "economism" (issues that white workers "can relate to"). They have to realize that they cannot shy away from this struggle against chauvinism and racism even if it means "going against the tide," at present. "Going against the tide is a Marxist Leninist principle." Otherwise, they fall into chauvinism themselves, even if this chauvinism takes the form of "liberalism," and wind up, in practice, following the CPUSA's revisionist line.

For Black communists in the trade unions, there is a tendency not to understand and apply the proletarian line on the national question. They shy away from developing the leading role of Black workers in the trade union movement and the Black liberation struggle generally. They especially shy away from struggle around the relationship between Marxism-Leninism Mao Tse Tung Thought and the Black liberation movement, revolutionary nationalism and anti-imperialism and the struggle against Black bourgeois influences. Many black communists suffer from the disease of "black leftism," and in their efforts to develop the "pure proletarian class struggle," negate or don't understand the revolutionary role and significance of the mass, anti-imperialist struggle of Black people and the leading role of the Black proletariat. They must always keep in mind the prophetic words of Mao who said that the Black liberation movement was a clarion call to the entire working class and oppressed in America.
The struggle for the revolutionary unity of the working class in the trade unions is the job of all genuine communists in the U.S. But revolutionary unity can only be built from an understanding of the causes of disunity. The revolutionary unity of the working class in the U.S. is hampered by the splits in the U.S. labor movement, which are objective and real, and cannot be wished away. This split is due primarily to opportunism and white chauvinism. It has been compounded by the revisionist betrayal of the CPUSA and the strong traditions of "social democracy" within the U.S. communist movement. Marxism-Leninism teaches that where the labor movement is split (and it is split in every capitalist country), a bitter and determined struggle for its UNIFICATION should be conducted. But, in order to do this, our work in the trade union in the present period must be linked to the necessity to build a genuine communist party. We say that NO CONCESSIONS can be made in regards to white chauvinism and opportunism, they have to be thoroughly rooted out and liquidated. This can only be done during the course of a long mass struggle, but it must be done steadily and systematically. Only then can the historic role of the great U.S. proletariat be fulfilled.


2. EXCERPTS FROM THE BLACK WORKERS CONGRESS MANIFESTO

Our Objectives:

1) Workers' control of their places of work—the factories, mines, fields, offices, transportation services and communication facilities—so that the exploitation of labor will cease and no person or corporation will get rich off the labor of another person, but all people will work for the collective benefit of humanity.


3) The elimination of all forms of oppression of women in all phases of society, on the job and in the home.

4) The right of all people to express and develop their cultural heritage throughout the United States.

5) The right of all people to express and develop their cultural and religious views without fear of prosecution.

6) A halt to the growing repression and increasing fascism of the United States, the militarization of the police, the arming of right wing forces and the repeal of all repressive legislation that abolished the right of people to assemble, to speak freely, to have privacy and to publish their political views.

7) The replacement of all class collaborators in the trade union movement with leadership that will fight for the international solidarity of all oppressed people, a leadership that will fight all manifestations of racism, white skin privilege, capitalism, and imperialism (the sending of money, armed forces and Christian missionaries from one country to another for the purpose of exploiting and oppressing its workers). This leadership must demand real equality for women in employment.

8) The creation in the labor movement of revolutionary Black caucuses, Chicano and Puerto Rican revolutionary caucuses, Third World labor alliances, independent revolutionary union movements and other forms of revolutionary labor associations that will break the strangle-hold of the reactionary labor bureaucrats and the capitalist class collaborators that help to prevent the working class people from understanding their historic role in controlling the means of production.
9) A twenty-hour work week where all the people of the United States will be employed and have the necessary funds for food, clothing, shelter and the right to improve their standard of living and enjoy the benefits of an industrialized society.

10) Thirty days of paid vacation time each year for all workers including women in the house and the use of all resort areas and the creation of new ones for working class people and the elimination of special privileges at resort areas for any group of people.

11) An elimination of speed-up, compulsory overtime, unsafe working conditions, inadequate medical facilities on the job, brutality and terror in the mines, factories and industrial plants of the United States and Puerto Rico.


3. ORGANIZE THE REVOLUTION
   DISORGANIZE THE STATE!

300 Attend National Conference

The first national conference of the Black Workers Congress was convened in Gary, Indiana over the Labor Day weekend. Approximately three hundred Black and other Third World workers and students participated in this conference, an historic first. The thrust of this conference was to pull together the efforts of Black and other Third World Workers in a unified struggle against U.S. imperialism and its lackeys.

The conference began on Saturday morning with opening remarks by Michael Hamlin, Chairman of the Black Workers Congress. Following these remarks came a speech by John Watson on the Objective Conditions of Black and Other Third World Workers Today (text herein). The speech gives an analysis of the crisis that capitalism is in today, of how Nixon's wage-price freeze is used as a tool of economic sanction to capitalist business and how this new economic policy further exploits working people, Black and other Third World workers in particular. Watson's speech ended with a call for workers to organize and struggle against economic oppression.

Other delegates to the conference gave brief speeches of solidarity to the concept of Black Workers and other Third World people moving against Imperialism and industrial repression. Representatives from the Chicano, Puerto Rican, and Asiatic oppressed communities spoke to the need to escalate struggles against imperialism.

Workshops were convened on organizing workers, youth, and women. A cross section of workers shared their experiences in struggling against imperialism. The workshops focused on how to build struggle around the concrete issues which workers face, and how to mobilize and involve workers in day to day issues of struggle. Another important topic was building working class unity, through actions against plant atrocities especially when workers are murdered due to unsafe conditions in plants.

The Black Workers Congress Women Commission is organizing to fight imperialism as it exploits Black and other Third World women on the job or excluded from the job; to fight imperialism as it controls Black and other Third World women on welfare rolls; to fight imperialism as it keeps the wives of Black and other Third World workers locked economically and socially into their households or that they don't recognize and move against the common enemy; to fight imperialism as it uses capitalism, racism, and anti-woman propaganda and practices to oppress Black and other Third World people, the rest of the working class, and oppressed people around the world.

A highlight of the conference was a film "The Red Detachment of Women" made in China released in 1970 over three years after their Cultural Revolution. This precedent-setting film depicts the role of women in revolutionary struggle. This detachment of women was given the task of struggling politically and militarily with the Chinese landlord class.
The Women’s workshops were greatly inspired by this movie as well as the organizational experiences shared with them by other Black and Third World women and men.

A proposed constitution for the Black Workers Congress was presented and accepted in principle.


4. CONDITIONS FACING BLACK AND THIRD WORLD WORKERS

Speech given by John Watson at the first National Conference of the Black Workers Congress
Gary, Indiana, Sept. 5, 1971

Brothers and Sisters:

It is a great honor and pleasure to address you at the first National Conference of the Black Workers Congress.

Black and other Third World folk, who have always been available whenever American Capitalism needed victims to exploit are now being made the primary victims of Nixon’s New Economic Policy.

Whenever capitalism is in a period of acute crisis and sees its vital interests threatened, the ruling class forces the working class to bear the burden and make the supreme sacrifice to overcome the crisis.

Thus, under the guise of patriotism the ruling class herded millions of working class youth into the armed forces to fight and die in the unjust imperialist war in Vietnam, and now it calls on the working class to tighten its belts and bear the lion’s share of the suffering caused by the present Wage-Price Freeze. And just as the Black and other Third World workers have been forced to take a disproportionately high rate of casualties in Vietnam, today they will be forced to bear the greatest brunt of the economic austerity program of the ruling class.

Moreover, Black and other Third World people are forced to provide the prime fodder for the increased extraction of surplus capital, the accelerated rate of exploitation, which Nixon and his ruling class cronies currently plan for the American working class.

The Black worker has traditionally played the role of the most exploited, brutally oppressed, and the most profitable source of labor that was so cheap that they received no remuneration for their work, except that required for bare subsistence, minimal food, clothing and shelter. That source of cheap labor was so productive that it earned huge profits for the white overlords of the day. Basically, from the billions upon billions of dollars of profits ripped from the hides of our forebears the American capitalist class accumulated the surplus capital necessary to build the American industrial empire.

Indeed the mighty industrial fortresses of General Motors, IBM, U.S. Steel, etc., are all laid on a foundation of Black and Brown blood, sweat and tears.

Since slavery, Black labor has continued to assume particular importance in the development of both domestic capital and international imperialism, primarily because the Black female and male workers are the final prop, the ultimate mainstay, onto which capitalism shifts its weight in order to survive.

Black workers have remained a source of the cheapest and most productive labor. First as agricultural labor, then as miners, merchant seamen, government, transportation and service workers, dock and warehouse workers, etc., and finally as the most exploited section of the proletariat in light and heavy industry. Today Black and other Third World workers are still solidly entrenched at the base of the proletariat.

In the building trades, for instance, only 4.3% of the operating engineers are Black and Puerto Rican, whereas they accounted for 39.3% of the laborers’ union.
Blacks have always been the major source of the reserve army of labor, that vast body of men and women who find themselves unemployed, semiemployed or often permanently underemployed and used by big business to suppress the general level of wages. From 1958 to 1968 the official black unemployment rate never dropped below 6.4% and for half of that period the rate was never lower than 10.2%. Today Black unemployment has climbed astronomically, with unemployment rates 40% higher for Black and other Third World workers in many large cities. With unemployment so high, Black and other Third World workers remain a prime source of cheap, highly productive labor, facing a continual suppression of wages due to increased competition for jobs, especially in the service sector, fast foods, and related industries.

This suppression of wages is expanding broadly throughout all areas of the economy.

In the past, the ruling class used the tactic of divide and conquer against the working class. First they denied jobs to blacks, then used blacks to break white strikes. They constantly pitted white against black labor through the use of racism and white skin privilege. Eventually the unions recognized they could not exist without Black workers and the accommodation of blacks into these unions was brought about under the guise of equality, but in reality we are still the victims of the virulent racism of both the companies and the unions. Moreover the companies still attempt to use Black labor as a wedge to force wage levels down.

For instance, the capitalists are faced with a squeeze in the construction industry, where white racist unions have forced wage levels upward through the practice of restricting entry of Black and Brown workers into these crafts. The capitalists answer this practice by calling for the integration of the building trades using schemes such as the Philadelphia plan, as well as through the introduction of prefabricated and modular housing construction techniques employing low paid Black and other Third World labor in factories. They have also established a wage-price stabilization board for the construction industry. So we see that Blacks still are:

1. The lowest paid sector of the working class.
2. Forced into the worst jobs in the labor market except when needed to suppress the wages of overpaid whites.
3. Subjected to the most hazardous working conditions, higher mortality rate (2½ times that of whites) and high rates of industrial disease.
4. Subjected to discrimination on job placement, job upgrading, and classification.
5. Subjected to harsh regimentation and discipline on the job.
6. In a position of having little or no control of power in their union.

Of course these conditions have the subsidiary effect of maintaining such substandard conditions in Black working class communities as inadequate education and health care, inferior recreation facilities, police brutality, delapidated housing, and so on. Being relegated to the lowest paid, dirtiest jobs (as important as these jobs are to the U.S. economy) Black and other Third World workers often are forced to struggle for survival against both the unions and the companies. This continual struggle coupled with the rise of consciousness brought about by the civil rights movement and the rapid spread of revolutionary ideas has created in Blacks the most militant, class conscious, politically advanced and volatile section of the working class. However it has been lack of organization that has prevented the power of Black and other Third World workers from being used to the fullest extent. Yet, generally without leadership, training, or organizational experience, Black working class organizations, caucuses, rank and file committees, and ad hoc groups have sprung up all over the nation in an amazingly wide variety of industries.

These groups are challenging both companies and unions on the issues of their racism, exploitation, and their generally class-collaborationist policies on health, safety, etc.

The reaction of the bourgeoisie to the rising militancy of Blacks has been the usual carrot and stick game.

On the one hand they have created a Black national bourgeoisie, new jobs as consultants on minority relations, personnel offices populated with Black tokens, minority training programs, etc. On the other hand the response has
been stepped-up repression of militant organizations, caucuses and their leadership, the use of economic sanctions (firings, suspensions, etc.), the use of court actions and injunctions, blacklisting, slander and threats of violence—sometimes carried out—and the like.

None of this has stemmed the rise in class consciousness on the part of Black workers, however. In fact given the objective situation in the world, the steady rise of revolutionary consciousness, the African, Indo-Chinese, and Latin American anti-imperialist struggles, it is impossible to prevent the onward development of class struggle among all workers, much less among blacks.

The ruling class has thus attempted to prevent the development of conscious organization, especially, where it is under Marxist-Leninist leadership, resolutely dedicated to the anti-imperialist movement, and to deny the resources, skills and knowledge necessary to prepare and sustain organizational forms for revolutionary struggle.

While Black labor has reacted predictably to its objective conditions of existence (that is increasing militancy, national pride and class consciousness, and increasing resistance to oppression) the American capitalist class also has been beset by its own set of contradictions and has responded predictably.

Since World War II the U.S. has assumed the role of the dominant figure in the western imperialist system. As a result, a series of phenomena have led to political, economic and military disaster for imperialism's top dog, the U.S.A. Some of these phenomena are:

1. The U.S. had consistently exported capital and currency through the use of foreign investment, foreign aid, maintenance of armies abroad, expanded tourism, and importing foreign goods.

2. The U.S. dollar had become the foundation (reserve currency) of the western monetary system while the U.S. accepted the gold standard (the price of gold was set at $35 per ounce). Foreign governments accumulated billions of dollars for which the U.S. did not have the gold to back them up. Consequently, the dollar became the object of regular recurring speculation by international currency dealers to force the U.S. to devalue, and giving the speculators a sizeable profit on the money markets.

3. The U.S. had expended vast sums of money on the defense of international imperialism, squandering dollars all over the world which were picked up by imperialism's allies, i.e. Japan, West Germany, Israel, South Vietnam, etc.

4. The fact that the dollar was overvalued in the world money market led to a huge deficit in the balance of payments position of the U.S. and led to the first U.S. deficit in the balance of trade since 1893. In a word U.S. imperialism had stretched itself thin.

5. With the continuation of the war in Vietnam, the general pattern of inflation developed with prices continually rising, unions pressing for higher wages, and the development of a wide range of wage levels throughout the working classes, and a general pattern of falling rates of profit for capitalists.

Caught in the contradiction of over-production, an adverse trade balance, recession, galloping inflation and falling prices, the ruling class began screaming for drastic policies to stabilize the economy. In recent months, the capitalists began to experience panic between the competitive squeeze of foreign industry, economic gains won by major unions, the pressures of the international monetary system, and the Vietnamese war...

With the government stepping in to enforce wage restrictions on the brink of banning strikes, the role of the class collaborationist union bureaucrats could be finished. They may be forced to fight—not in the interest of the workers, but to prevent the ruling class from using its direct powers to police workers thus eliminating the functional role now played by the established union leadership. Let there be no doubt that the Wage-Price Freeze is a gigantic giveaway to business at the expense of labor—especially Black workers.

The Wage-Price Freeze puts no limit on the interest that banks charge, creates no excess profit (as was the case in World War II), abolishes the
excise tax on autos, creates a 10% surcharge on imports, and revives the
investment tax credit allowing business to deduct 10% of their income tax.
There is, however, no freeze on the rise of professional fees, and the freeze
does not apply to the price of stocks. In fact, the stock market rose sharply
immediately following Nixon's announcement, and many corporations, mutual
funds, insurance companies, banks and other capitalist institutions reaped
millions in an orgy of profit taking.

This policy, coupled with $84.7 billion cut in non-military federal ex­
penditures means long term layoffs and long term wage freezes for lower level
governmental employees (Blacks). Nixon's current proposals call for a nine
billion dollar tax cut for industry against a two billion dollar cut for
individual tax payers (which will primarily benefit wealthy individuals).

The wage freeze will be enforced by a chorus of cheering corporate leaders
while there is no real enforcement mechanism on the price freeze. Corporations
plan price schedules in anticipation of deferred wage increases won by labor
in contracts signed months or even years ago. As a result, workers are losing
the increases they struggled for, while many companies have increased their
price schedules in advance. The United Farm Workers lose their 5 cent an hour
raise negotiated last year and due this October while prices were raised in
advance.

There is little doubt that there will be a continuation of the freeze in
one form or another after November. There will most certainly be the creation
of some kind of Wage-Price stabilization board to ride herd over the economic
demands of workers. Currently the capitalist press sees no return to the old
economic system: in fact, the Wall Street Journal predicted that the freeze
would end "sometime less than infinity," but the system would return to old
ways.

Perhaps most sinister, yet least publicized, are Nixon's plans on pro­
ductivity. In the final analysis, the excess profits will once again be
squeezed out of the backs of workers.

The steel settlement, described by I. W. Abel U.S.W. president as, "one
of the most successful, if not the most successful contract negotiation" in
the union's history, won a 30% wage increase over a three year period, as well
as a renewal of the previously lost escalator clause. At the same time, an
agreement was made to set up "joint committees on productivity" to tackle the
"major problem" in the industry, lagging productivity. Both Secretary of
Labor James D. Hodgson and R. Health Larry, U. S. Steel Vice President (head of
the management team), cited this provision as having the most potential impact
of any in the agreement.

Immediately after the signing of the steel contract, Nixon slapped a
freeze on wages. But there was no freeze on production rises, on increased
regimentation, on the use of time or motion studies. Moreover the price
freeze did not effect the steel industrial corporations. They were allowed to
quietly raise the price of steel 8% after the freeze went into effect. Nixon's
call for the establishment of productivity boards on a national level is a
clear step to increase the exploitation of workers.

We can expect working conditions to continue to decline, safety conditions
to deteriorate even more and production to speed up to an even more exploita­
tive rate.

The number of casualties resulting from the stepped up class warfare
brought on by the "New Economic Policy" will increase in coming months and
years. Accidents, disease, fatalities and injuries will increase, as will the
harassment of workers by foremen and other supervisors. Disciplinary actions,
suspensions and firings will increase, and workers in this country will respond
to this violent pressure with increasing violence (for example James Johnson of
the Chrysler Eldon Plant in Detroit or Ike Jernigan at Lockheed Plant in L.S.).
Federal cutbacks in domestic spending will further exacerbate the problem of
inadequate housing and education, inferior health care, the pollution of the
environment and the many other insidious effects of a panicky capitalist
system galloping wildly in a frenzied search for more profits.

The working class will move to defend its interests because it has no
choice. The burden of capitalism is becoming too heavy for the workers to
carry, and we can easily predict that Black and Third World workers who are
most victimized by this new capitalist offensive which escalating that class
war, will be in the vanguard leading the counterattack against imperialism.
But from where will these workers derive their leadership? Who will guide them into battle? Who will raise the slogans of class warfare? Who will unmask and expose the infinite tricks and duplicity yet to be used by business to satisfy its rapacious appetite for profit? Who will clarify the issues, and expose the sell out class-collaborationist union leadership?

Who will organize, call national demonstrations which raise the clarion for total class solidarity, for general strikes in which the power of workers at the point of production to seize control of industry is shown?

Who will put an end to the clique of madmen who presently manipulate the political and economic resources of this country so as to bring havoc and destruction to the world's people?

Due to the years of class-collaborationist policies the established unions are hopelessly paralyzed when it comes to real class struggle.

The old left has long ago given up revolutionary practice for reformist and revisionist policies which are totally out of touch with present reality.

The new left is fragmented and demoralized and barely understands the role of the Black and Third World working class anyway.

The Black Workers Congress armed with a correct analysis and program, coupled with its projected activity being national in scope, is the only currently existing organization that promises to provide the leadership necessary in the upcoming struggle.

We must rise to this historic task. We must put forth the revolutionary slogans.

We must organize the broad masses to understand the necessity of building socialism.

We must train and educate a highly developed cadre of workers.

We must develop broad class solidarity and prepare to lead massive demonstrations and strikes.

We must relentlessly lay blow upon blow on our vicious class enemy to end the perpetuation of racism, capitalism and imperialism. Blow upon blow to these racist murderers and ruthless oppressors, blow upon blow to their repressive state apparatus, to their courts, their army, their police and other military and para-military forms, their foremen and executives, their corporations and all of their flunkies, high and low.

And we must continue to battle them until they are wholly, completely, resolutely, and utterly destroyed, and their evil influence is eradicated forever from the face of the earth.

John Watson


5. BLACK WORKERS DELEGATION IN VIETNAM

In 1924 a young Vietnamese journalist named Nguyen Ai Quoc wrote an angry and brilliant article condemning the common American practice of lynching Black people. He began that article with these words, "as it is commonly known, the Black people are the most oppressed people in the world."

Nguyen Ai Quoc made that statement and launched his campaign against the brutalization of black people in America on the basis of his own personal knowledge. He lived for a while in the United States where he made his home in Harlem and became the the close friend of many Black people, among them Marcus Garvey, the father of modern day Black Nationalism.

Nguyen Ai Quoc later changed his name to Ho Chi Minh. By that name, Ho Chi Minh, his memory is loved and revered by the Vietnamese people as the father of the Vietnamese Revolution and the first president of the Democratic Republic of Vietnam.

True to the memory and heritage of Ho Chi Minh, the people of North Vietnam and the revolutionary fighters in the South Vietnamese Liberation Army still maintain their understanding, support and sense of brotherhood with Afro-American people and other oppressed people inside the United States.
In North Vietnam our delegation was greeted and treated like visiting relatives. Not only by Vietnamese officials, but also by farmers, factory workers, students, women and even young children who met and played with us on the streets where we wandered at our leisure without any guides, guards or escorts.

In complete honesty we must say that we found the Vietnamese leaders to be better informed, more factually up-to-date and more sympathetic to the plight of our people than any of the rich, white politicians who are sending our brothers to Indo-China to die - any of them, from Kennedy to Agnew, from Longstreth to Rizzo!

As a Black person who has lived in the ghettos of Chester and North Philadelphia as well as in the racist wilderness of Mississippi, I must also say that at night on the streets of Hanoi and in the country side of North Vietnam, I felt perfectly safe and unafraid for the first time in my adult life. In North Vietnam there are no street gangs, no trigger-happy, nigger-hating cops, no pushers, no junkies, no unemployment, no children dying of malnutrition and deteriorating neighborhoods except in those areas where American bombs have left homes, schools, hospitals, farms, nurseries and churches in ruins.

But the Vietnamese people are rebuilding their country in the North even while defending their country in the South. Nothing less than the total nuclear destruction of Vietnam will bring the U.S. government and the U.S. military anything but defeat, defeat and more defeat. The Vietnamese will win.

Our task, as Black people and as peace-loving people of any race, are: 1) to step up the movement against the war, 2) demand that Nixon withdraw all of the troops, and 3) to demand that not one solitary penny of our tax money goes to support the Uncle Tom Saigon puppet administration.

Most important, the task of Black people is to totally refuse to participate in the war in Vietnam: to stop letting Nixon make murderers and corpses out of our sons, and to encourage Black G.I.'s (who make up 50% of the battlefield forces in Vietnam) to either put down their guns or to turn their guns on our real enemies instead of the Vietnamese.

Similarly, we would do well to learn from the experiences and determination of the People's Republic of China, the nation to which Richard Nixon sent Henry Kissinger on a beggar's errand just a few days before our own very pleasant, non-secret visit which I hope we can discuss in more detail.89


6. BLACK WORKERS IN REVOLT

By Robert Dudnick

Black, White Together?

Something is happening in the ranks of American labor and neither the bosses nor the generality of union bureaucrats are pleased.

Militant black workers are on the move, demanding an end to racial oppression in the unions as well as in the shops. This development, musrooming in Detroit, must be given complete support by the radical movement. It is one of today's most significant struggles.

The privileged position of the white worker is the material base of white working-class racism. The white worker must be convinced to renounce this privilege. As long as millions of black workers are forced to endure double oppression—as workers and as blacks—often with the connivance of
white workers who have accepted and even defended white privilege, the working class will be divided and class solidarity unobtainable.

Institutionalized racism, white supremacy, benefits only the ruling class in the end. But the short-run economic and social benefits of white supremacy are nonetheless real for many white workers. These privileges are false, not because they do not exist but because they lead white workers to line up with the boss against the rest of the working class. In many situations, white workers will have to fight against these short-run self-interests to win their class interest and establish class solidarity.

In conducting militant agitation against racism, the black workers in Detroit—forming themselves into such groups as the Ford Revolutionary Union Movement (FRUM), Dodge Revolutionary Union Movement (DRUM) and so forth—are striking a blow for eventual class solidarity. And if they have to do so by fighting and organizing against the "liberal and progressive" leadership of the United Auto Workers, so be it.

One of the UAW locals coming under fire from FRUM recently characterized the militant black workers as "black bigots." This is a lie. The black workers are struggling for their minimal rights in a racist union in a racist company in a racist society.

White supremacy in the unions and the working class must be attacked as the basis for developing class consciousness and the solidarity necessary to battle the ruling class. This is a precondition. There can be no such thing as victory—even a reformist victory—if blacks, as blacks, are kept one degree below whites.

There used to be a slogan about "black and white together." This was an illusion. How can there be "togetherness," for instance, when a 20% across-the-board wage increase, or seniority rights, means one thing to the white worker and quite another to the black, who is paid less for similar work; who is the last hired, first fired; who is rarely promoted; who is forced to live in a ghetto; who is systematically miseducated; who is discriminated against in every aspect of life?

Storm in Auto

A specter haunts Detroit that tomorrow will haunt the nation. It is the specter of black revolution in basic industry—the unity of national struggle and class struggle. A week of investigation here indicates that the Detroit black workers movement is the most important revolutionary action in the country.

All the elements are here. The vanguard is here. The workers are here. The guts of monopoly capitalism's production are here. And the conditions are worsening in Detroit's auto plants.

The League of Revolutionary Black Workers operates from an office at 9049 Oakland in one of the city's black ghettos. It is made up of three black worker organizations—the Dodge Revolutionary Union Movement (DRUM), the Ford Revolutionary Union Movement (FRUM), and the Eldon Ave. Revolutionary Union Movement (ELRUM), at Chrysler's Corp.'s only gear and axle plant. Joining it soon will be JARUM, the Jefferson Ave. Revolutionary Union Movement, at another Chrysler plant.

Since DRUM started at Dodge Main in Hamtramck, a suburb surrounded by Detroit, the movement has caught fire to the extent that the league plans to organize black workers wherever they are—not only in Detroit and not only in auto.

But the black insurgency would have a tremendous effect even if it were limited to this city's auto plants. If they can be shut down, steel, rubber and glass will totter, too. And there is enough black muscle here to shut them down tight.

Those in the driver's seat of the multibillion dollar auto industry are worried. The United Auto Workers union, run by Walter Reuther from the sleek Solidarity House here, is also worried. Both have tried to buy off the workers.

"We have also been informed by a reliable source that the company [Ford] has instructed some union officials [of UAW Local 600] to kill one of our people as an example and they have promised that the Dearborn police will not
even arrest them," Mike Hamlin of the league's central committee said.

Two factors appear to have led to the black labor insurgency. One is the
general black liberation movement, which has increased its pace and deepened
its analysis since the old civil rights movement. The other is DRUM, FRUM and
ELRUM's ability to link that struggle to immediate conditions facing black auto
workers.

In the Engine Plant

At Ford's Rouge complex in suburban Dearborn, a company town even worse
than Dodge's Hamtramck, there is particular concern about the engine plant,
where some of the hardest work is done. Before FRUM, conditions were so bad
that some workers who are part-time preachers were holding prayer meetings
during breaks with company consent. One assembly line is supposed to have a
maximum production of 136 units an hour. But it often runs as much as 172
units an hour. Even the lower figure is considered dangerous to health and
safety.

The UAW, born of violence and militancy in the 1930s, finally organized
Ford in 1942, the last major auto producer to sign a union contract. Since
then, it has done nothing for the black worker. In the Ford engine plant, for
example, few grievances are filed for black workers. The contract says shop
stewards are supposed to work the line four hours a shift and attend to union
business the other four hours. But in return for not filing grievances, the
company allows them "to spend the entire eight hours doing nothing," a FRUM
spokesman said.

Meanwhile, conditions at Dodge Main had led to five wildcat strikes before
some young blacks helped lead a walkout on May 2, 1968. Although Detroit's
black revolutionaries had always had a working-class outlook, they had not had
much success until that month.

Vanguard elements were grouped around the Inner City Voice, which started
publication in September 1967 after the city's black rebellion as the successor
to the Black Vanguard, which ceased in 1964. The tiny Voice group had a long
history in the black struggle and was able to mobilize its base around the
paper.

Nine assembly workers came to the Voice group in 1968 and began working
with a staff member who was fired from an auto plant for participating in a
wildcat. They developed a revolutionary analysis and began publishing the
DRUM newsletter.

At first the newsletter was written entirely by the workers, exposing
conditions in the plant. But because, as one of the Voice staff members put
it, "you can't build movements on exposes," the Voice group began "integrating
ourselves with the workers" and writing political articles for the newsletter.
Blacks from the streets and the Voice group distributed the newsletter at the
plant, leading the company to charge that the Northern-style cotton choppers
were being stirred up by "outside agitators."

Union in Chaos

"By the eighth week of the newsletter," Hamlin recalled, "the plant was
in an uproar." Black workers were screaming for a strike and DRUM membership
was growing. "The company began to walk softly and the union was in chaos,"
he said.

The leadership agreed with the demand to strike and Dodge Main was hit on
July 8, 1968. The nine workers and the Voice staff mobilized militant commu­
nity elements and manned picket lines, with the in-plant leadership talking
to workers 100 yards from the picketers. Had they been any closer at that
stage, they would have been fired.

The picketers stopped only black workers—about 70% of the workforce—and
the wildcat was a "tremendous success," closing the plant Friday and Monday.
This was possible because nearly all black workers stayed out.

"We are learning," Hamlin said. "We struck because the workers demanded
a strike," but did not continue past the two days because DRUM did not have
the organization to run a protracted strike. The strike was seen as a test
of what DRUM could do.
A surge of membership hit DRUM after the walkout—from Dodge Main and other plants as well. The organization went into a "very serious" effort to tighten structure while increasing organizing activities.

Meanwhile, DRUM began to move on the UAW another way—in Local 3 elections. Although DRUM considers itself an independent black workers organization, not an old-fashioned caucus, it tries to keep its tactics supple to fit the situation. DRUM entered union politics to demonstrate its power and race consciousness.

DRUM candidate Ron March led in the preliminary election for trustee, winning 563 votes to 521 for Joe Elliot, a white man backed by the Local 3 leadership. But even this was in doubt—DRUM charges many votes were stolen from March.

Cars with March posters were ticketed and delayed on election day by Hamtramck police. Cops swept through bars near the union hall, beating black workers. White workers and cars bearing other posters were not harassed.

About 50 black workers went to the Local 3 union hall to talk it over. The police, led by UAW official "Cannonball" Selpski, invaded the hall and maced the workers. They also used ax handles.

March lost the Oct. 3, 1968 runoff by what Local 3 claimed was about 700 votes. Local 3 has 10,000 members, of which 60% are black. But the local managed to mobilize more than 1300 white retirees, who are allowed to vote in UAW elections even though they no longer work.

From the beginning, DRUM had included workers at the allied Huber Ave. Foundry because they also belong to Local 3. Workers from other plants also attended DRUM meetings. "We took plants as workers came to us," Hamlin said, and workers at several area plants are beginning to organize against the auto industry's Big Three.

FRUM started when Ford workers who had been attending DRUM meetings came out with their own newsletter. The situation there was more difficult, however, because Dearborn is a company town. Ford even owns the highway into the plant. The factory is hardly as accessible as Dodge Main. "The few copies that were able to be distributed prompted an immediate and vicious reaction by the plant and the union," a central committee member said. Aside from the kill-and-go-free deal, one FRUM organizer was challenged to a duel and some UAW shop stewards have taken to waving guns in work areas.

ELRUM, the next unit, was started by one man. "We began with this one fellow," Hamlin said, "and we started publishing a newsletter at the plant. The response was the same; as a matter of fact, the response was even greater than at Dodge."

Some problems developed in ELRUM—the workers did not at first have the theoretical background of the DRUM leadership and their tactics showed it. Furthermore, ELRUM grew too fast for the structure to keep pace. But conditions were bad enough so that ELRUM had to take a revolutionary line.

Things came to a head about two months ago, when, during the eighth week of the ELRUM newsletter, 300 black workers descended on UAW Local 961 and demanded to be heard. The local's president tried to fob them off, but the workers presented a list of demands anyway.

The local adjourned its regular executive board meeting and the bureaucrats, with the 300 workers, went into a general meeting which lasted long enough for those on the afternoon shift to miss starting time.

When they returned to work the next day, 66 of the 300 were disciplined immediately and more were hit later. The discipline ranged from five days to a month off. The workers struck, using the same tactics that had been employed at Dodge. "The plant was stopped cold, meaning that if we had shut it down for a couple of days, Chrysler would have had to start shutting down plants all across the country," Hamlin said. Chrysler has no other gear and axle plant. "It was just one day to let Chrysler feel the impact of the workers' strength."

"Chrysler moved immediately. They discharged 26 workers. Now, mind you, none of the workers at Eldon manned any pickets," Hamlin said—the support cadre did that. Among those fired were four workers with at least 20 years seniority each.

Workers, joined by black and white radicals, resumed picketing at Solidarity House. Chrysler headquarters in Highland Park also has been picketed.

Additionally, a national boycott of all Chrysler products is being mapped
so that by 1970 anyone who drives a new Chrysler Corp. car through any black
ghetto "will be placing himself in grave danger." Hamlin predicts that 90% of
Detroit's black people will support the boycott.

Most intensive organizing has been at Dodge and the company has threatened
to move the plant—built in 1924 from Hamtramck. "Wherever they build these
plants," Hamlin said, "the nature of the work is such that black people will be
required to do that work because the white people will not do the hard-ass
work." Besides, he added, it is more profitable to use cheap black labor than
to automate because there is more surplus value extracted from live labor than
from a machine at this point.

The league is an umbrella group for DRUM, FRUM, ELRUM and JARUM. It does
not dictate policy to any of them (or to its high school affiliate, Black
Student Voice), but provides a broad framework in which they can operate.

The league's central committee handles technical assistance and resembles
a working general staff. Within each revolutionary union movement, however,
there is a structure which covers everything from the department to the overall
plant. Constituent organizations are represented by the central committee, the
members of which are responsible for specific areas—editorial, treasury,
intelligence and security, internal education and so on.

The leadership plays down personalities, learning from the experience of
some other black organizations. Central committee members teach each other
whatever particular skills they may have to develop leadership depth.

What makes it all go, however, is the base. "DRUM has no intention of
abandoning the man on the line," central committee member John Watson said,
"because the man on the line is DRUM; the man on the line is the basic unit of
DRUM."

Caste and Class

While there have been plenty of bread-and-butter and antibureaucracy
rebellions in latter-day American labor history, nothing much has happened in
a radical way since the formation of the CIO in the 1930s. Now, however, what
could easily turn into a revolutionary kick in the pants for the left is
developing among black workers.

Why? It is not merely a question of correct practice. Behind the prac­
tice lies a conscious theory, an ideological concept put into the mold of
black requirements.

Its most powerful attraction is that it combines the struggle of domesti­
cally colonized Afro-America with the class struggle.

"Well," said Mike Hamlin, a main spokesman for the League of Revolutionary
Black Workers, "I think it's inevitable that there has to be a revolution in
this country, that the ruling class has to be overthrown, and I think eventu­
ally that at least all black workers will recognize this."

"Most of our people probably see it as a caste struggle as opposed to
class. I think that's a natural development at this time," he continued. "I
think that in a protracted struggle it will become more of a class struggle.
However, I don't see much movement among white workers to begin to wage any
kind of struggle. . . . We think that a certain kind of development is inevit­
able, given the actual situation in terms of the masses of people involved;
there's only one direction they can go."

The caste and class terminology is a restatement of the position that
black people are oppressed as workers, and also as colonized subjects of white
America. In the latter instance, black people therefore must be approached on
a national basis, as blacks. This can unite all sectors of the black commu­
nity in an anticolonial struggle, in somewhat the same way the Chinese fought
the anti-Japanese war while they fought the class war.

But the class analysis, which must develop after the caste approach, can
sift out black workers from other black strata. It can also enable black
workers to struggle together with white workers on a strictly class basis—if
white workers ever get organized.

At this time, however, the league does not see any chance of that. "If
you're talking about a working class in this country," a league leader said,
"at this point you can only talk about black workers. We don't see any iden­
tification of white workers with any kind of class movement. We know that
white workers occupy a privileged position within the working class—at least they think they do—and they enjoy a great number of benefits."

Although the league and its constituent groups work with all militant black groups here, there are sharp differences over theory. The league is not a cultural nationalist organization, although some people apparently think so. What the league does is to use black identity and anticolonialism—which are legitimate concerns in the first place—as part of a more general struggle.

Where the Buck Is

For example, central committee member John Watson, speaking of the ruling-class New Detroit Committee's attempts to buy off black liberation, said: "If you simply have a ghetto analysis, you would think that when you begin to see all these black things in the ghetto—community centers, schools, that kind of black nationalism thing—that black people are actually getting somewhere. But when it gets down to the level of production, the level where the Man is actually making the buck off the black people, nothing is being done."

Racism is seen as a product of economic and other forces. "I think it's a bit of both," one militant said, "more having an economic base, but I think racism has existed in this country for so long . . . that it has some of the other [cultural psychological] aspects in it. . . . Europeans in general have some kind of deeply rooted racist strain." But this can be wiped out, he added, in the heat of the struggle.

What appears to make the league different from other groups is its stress on exploitation at the point of production. Even its national-form organizing is done there, rather than in the basically powerless "community." Other black groups have paid some attention to point-of-production considerations. But they have asked or demanded more and better jobs. The thrust of the league's ideology points to worker control of the means of production.

Revolutionary theory often is one thing and immediate practice something else. The league does not consider this to be a problem. "We think that our long-range objectives are inevitable and anything we do is just a step toward that end," Hamlin said. He also said that black workers here have gone all the reformist routes and found them to be dead ends—a revolutionary line is the only clear road. The concept seems to be one of engaging the struggles on reformist issues which will clearly lead to revolution, rather than engaging in reformist battles simply for reformist ends.

Another central committee member said the league's internal education program stresses Marxism, but takes from it what is most applicable to Afro-America. A similar process is used with the writings of Frantz Fanon who, while addressing himself to colonial liberation of peoples of color, did not write much about the peculiarly American condition in which the colonized people is a numerical minority oppressed by natives of the same land.

New Marxist Language

It is interesting to note that the league shuns the elitist position of some older leftist groups that confine themselves to internal debate. The league does not consider the workers stupid, but realizes that people who work on an auto assembly line 40 or more hours a week are not likely to sit through a session dead-weighted with heavy Marxist terminology. So those who conduct internal education "reinterpret Marxism in the language of the community."

A DRUM leader outlined what probably is the basic ideological view: the working class can change society at the point of production. But there are two working classes at this stage of American capitalism—black and white. The blacks form a "subproletariat." They can be organized on a caste basis, but can work revolutionary change on a class basis.
It's Pure Hell

Anybody who thinks workers are bought off with color television and two cars hasn't worked on an auto assembly line lately.

You can make maybe $130 a week on the line. But you'll bust your ass doing it. Compulsory overtime is as certain as model changeover layoffs. And if you're black, it's three times worse.

Rose Logan, a black woman, worked in Dept. 25 of Chrysler's Eldon Ave. gear and axle plant here. She was run down by a forklift driven by a white man. The company doctor gave her a quick examination and sent her back to Dept. 25. Rose Logan finished out her shift, then went home.

A few weeks later she died from her injuries.

There is more. Injuries, racism and wanton firings occur every day in every plant. The following is a review of some instances:

One Friday night, Curtis Lee, a black man, was crossing the street in front of the Dodge Main plant in Hamtramck when he was hit by a car and thrown 100 feet. Plant guards refused to help, saying they did not know if the bleeding man in the street was a Dodge employe. Black workers went to his aid, but Hamtramck city cops told them to "move along." When Lee finally got to the hospital, he was in critical condition from internal injuries, a fractured skull, three broken ribs, a broken arm and two broken legs.

On the night of Sept. 7, 1967, Willie Brookins, father and auto worker, was returning to Dodge Main from his lunch break. He had a paper bag with two sausages in it and showed the contents to the gate guard. Inside the property, a second guard demanded to see the contents, hinting that Brookins had a bomb stashed in the bag. Brookins ignored him and went up the elevator to his third-floor work area. The guard and his captain went up too, took the sausages from the bag, threw them to the floor and stomped on them. A fight broke out. Brookins was sent home. On Sept. 11, 1967, he was fired and denied unemployment compensation.

A white superintendent at Dodge named Little had a black worker, Floyd Daniels, suspended for sleeping in a rest area. A month later, Little caught a white union steward named Syl sleeping in a first-floor work area. No action was taken.

In Dept. 9160, where 60% of the workers are black, Dodge supervisory personnel locked the door to their office during the hottest part of the summer. The reason: their office had the only working Coke machine in the area.

There are other little things. Dodge suspended Ray Johnson for leaving a pair of safety glasses in a lunch area. John Matthews, Jr. was fired for being seven minutes late. Plant guards at Dodge Main are packing Mace.

These are individual manifestations of general company and union policies.

To get the broader picture, it helps to know that Ford, for example, fires about 600 black workers a week, who then hire on at other auto plants to be fired again. This gives the companies a revolving pool of desperate workers. It also allows Ford to replace the 600 with 200-300 new workers, thereby doubling and tripling the workload of those who are left. The 600 discharged workers usually are fired on their 89th day of employment, one day short of gaining seniority. Meanwhile, the United Auto Workers has already taken out its $20 initiation fee and three months dues ($7 a month). This means the UAW is getting at least $30,000 a year in all plants from 89-day-and-goodbye black workers.

On top of that, Ford and other companies collect poverty-program money for training "hard core" people, parolees and welfare mothers. They are told to do the job or have their parole revoked or welfare cut off. There are women working underneath cars on the line in pools of oil and grease. DRUM calls all this "niggermation."

Until recently, the only acceptable excuse for absence was a doctor's note saying the worker was ill and under treatment. Black workers needed two notes. The policy has been changed somewhat: Ford no longer accepts notes from black doctors.

Chuck Wooten, one of the founders of the Dodge Revolutionary Union Movement who was fired from Dodge Main, worked in the body shop there, making components for Dodge Chargers. He had to assemble three pieces of stock and do 24 spotwelds. "Now, most of the metal in the body shop is very sharp and gloves are hard to get, you understand," he said. "See, what it is, foremen
get a bonus—I think it's on a quarterly basis—for the less safety equipment they have to use, such as gloves and aprons, and if you've got a foreman that's profit-conscious, you're gonna have hell getting gloves." Gloves sometimes last a week, when you can get them.

"On the spotwelding line upstairs on the seventh floor," Wooten said, "there's a heavy concentration of smoke and workers are constantly inhaling this day in and day out. You might be working over a hole in the floor [the plant was built in 1924], you're constantly working, you have to avoid the hole in the floor."

Down in the Pit

Many jobs involve making several spotwelds on cars from the bottom. This means the worker stands in the pit, bent over backward, reaching above and behind him, all day. Between 56 and 64 cars come through the pit each hour. There are four workers in the pit. Each handles two spotwelding guns. They do this for the entire shift, except for lunch and two 23-minute breaks. "Usually on these jobs there's not time enough to even light a cigarette. This is a fact," Wooten said.

"The thing that sticks in my mind mostly," he said, "is the incident that happened two years ago where there was a black inspector who worked next to me, inspecting the stock I put on the line . . . and they came up to him one day and told him that 'We're laying you off because there's an overabundance of inspectors and we're going to put you on the line.' And the next morning he was on the line and the next morning there was also a new white hiree on his job as inspector." The white man had never worked in an auto plant.

In the four years Wooten worked at Dodge Main, he saw the assemblyline chain break at least 15 times. This is the chain that propels the cars on dollies down the line in somewhat the manner a carwash chain works. When the chain breaks, the force hurls the dollies together at 60-80 miles an hour. Many workers labor in the foot and a half space between the dollies. Last time the chain broke, a foreman was caught between dollies. Both his legs were cut off below the knees.

And so on.

The White Workers

Where do white workers stand in relation to the black revolt in the auto plants? How can whites be organized? Should they be organized? There are a lot of opinions.

The Ford Revolutionary Union Movement, part of the League of Revolutionary Black Workers, gave this advice: "We as black people do not need white people to move in behalf of us, but they move because they are oppressed too, and we of FRUM do not see that type of action at present so we say to the other [white] groups—keep up the nibbling and we'll do the chopping."

Among white radicals in and out of the plants, opinion is divided. Jim Jacobs of the National Organizing Committee's Detroit collective believes that auto production will be all black in the next 40 years, with whites in all skilled classifications. Meanwhile, however, he sees white workers as "caught in a terrific bind"—real wages are down, speedups are increasing and younger whites intensely dislike factory work.

As basic industry turns black, Jacobs reasons, white workers will increasingly go into service work, thus fragmenting them and breaking down the socialization of labor upon which revolutionary action is based. He believes it will take far sharper objective conditions to move the white working class. But from the present conditions it is possible to recruit and develop organizers.

Jacobs and Detroit NOC believe sharper contradictions are not impossibly far off. In a position paper presented at a general NOC conference in Chicago Feb. 22-23, the Detroit staff said, "Profits once made on expansion must now be made on speedups, increased productivity through heavier automation and wage controls or layoffs" because of developing world recession.
"The effects on older, skilled workers may be serious too," the draft document declares. "But young workers and blacks with less seniority in the shop and less capital invested in their skills will be hit hardest and first. The blacks have their movement but there is no equivalent for young whites—only George Wallace."  

Martin Glaberman, national chairman of Facing Reality, an organization grouped around author C. L. R. James, takes a somewhat different view. He says that white workers are in a minority here and it no longer really matters what they do. Elsewhere, however, Glaberman adds, organizing white workers is crucial. 

He sees the white working class as both inherently revolutionary—and racist. "People were educated into racism," he says, "and conceivably they can be educated out of it" as long as it is understood that black demands will not be submerged in the process. 

Detroit's black workers are vital, he believes. Glaberman recalls something many have forgotten: the 1967 black rebellion here shut down the auto plants in addition to burning out some ghetto merchants. This had an effect on white workers as well as blacks. When auto plants shut down, a lot of American industry quivers. 

Another view is expressed by Art Fox, head of the United Caucus in UAW Ford Local 600. Although United Caucus leaders have taken individual antiracism stands, he says, the caucus itself has no official position on FRUM. "Most of us see the need for a combination of forces," but FRUM does not, he complains. "Racism unfortunately cannot be a primary" aspect in organizing white workers, Fox believes. On the other hand, he says, "racism is the key to the whole business" and FRUM sometimes indiscriminately attacks white workers for the same kind of racism displayed by company and union personnel. 

(A Guardian reporter, however, read a mountain of revolutionary union movement literature and could find no more than four or five such instances, apart from the use of words "honkie" and "Polish pig." In the overwhelming majority of cases, white racism was discussed in terms of supervisory and union personnel). 

Fox, whose United Caucus is centered in the skilled trades, holds a view at sharp variance with that of other white organizers. N.O.C's Jacobs believes racism must be attacked first so that white privilege can be knocked down. Glaberman thinks racism should be quickly handled. 

Jim Griffin, a Marxist toolmaker apprentice in an auto plant, says that white radicals have "got to start now because the black struggle is not going to stop for anyone." But he also says that racism will not disappear before capitalism goes and it is necessary to attack the root before the leaf. He fears that as the black struggle spreads to other cities where the Detroit savvy does not exist, there may be a tendency for blacks to take a strictly nationalist line in the tone they use toward white workers. 

Mike Hamlin of the League of Revolutionary Black Workers looks at it as a matter of no-choice survival. "We have got to do our thing, which is to organize blacks to carry out the struggle, and in order to insure that history doesn't repeat itself we have to move in this way. Progressive elements in the white populace will understand because of their knowledge of this history." 

The history he refers to is the general submergence of black interests to white bread-and-butter issues during the days of the old left's caucus approach to rank-and-file insurgency. 

How are white workers reacting to the black movement? Opinions differ here too. 

"There have been two reactions," Hamlin says. "The majority of them have become passive and there's another segment that has become reactionary; they're frightened, they're carrying guns. They're reacting in various ways, including supporting Wallace, but they don't do that openly too often, depending on whether or not they constitute a majority in the plant." 

Griffin, who worked on the assembly line before his apprenticeship, thinks that the white reactions will break down this way when the black people get up even more steam:
1—Surprise, with some expression of racism, but general passivity.
2—Behind that, a feeling of admiration of black courage.
3—A learning of lessons, some private conversations, and a few whites moving into supportive roles, especially if there are white radicals around who "always drive the basic lessons home" about racism.

White racism from workers, Chuck Wooten of the Dodge Revolutionary Union Movement says, "used to be a problem before DRUM . . . until we started organizing black workers around the issue of racism. . . . I think it's a question of more respect for the black worker now. Like it would be nothing for a general foreman to run up and immediately start harassing the black worker for making some type of mistake, when now they're usually met with as much argument as they give. In a few instances, we've had some ass-kicking done."

This ties in with Griffin's factory experience that workers want people to "prove that you have a backbone—that you know what you can get away with."

Griffin believes there is a sharp need for white factory organizers. "Hell, let's face it," he says, "a lot of white radicals are floating around doing nothing." If those radicals with working-class politics want to get into carefully selected factories, "it's time to start doing it now," while maintaining the campus strength the white movement has built," he says.

Hamlin seemed to sum it up: "A conscious proletariat cannot be racist."

A Working Town

When it comes to Midwestern cities, Chicago may be hog butcher to the world, as the poet said, but it took Detroit wheels to get the hogs on their way.

Detroit has several other industries—chemical, some rubber and electric—but the town rises and falls with auto. A B. F. Goodrich advertising sign along a freeway tells you at a glance what the year's to-date auto production is. Men riding home on the bus talk more about welding than the stock market; they carry little yellow lanterns instead of attache cases.

It's a working town with dirt under its fingernails. Downtown closes early—people have to get up early.

Detroit has a reputation as a good town for black people. It's not true. The main black ghetto runs along 12th St., but there are many other black areas scattered across town, starting from the old ghetto near downtown and the Detroit River. The area has become an urban renewal target and the houses, a few of them still occupied, look a lot like those in the black area of Greenwood, Miss. The only difference seems to be that a school on East Lafayette has been named for the late Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr.

The July 1967 rebellion sent funnels of smoke high into the air and white Detroit didn't quite know how it happened. Many white people went across the river to Windsor, Ont., to look at the burning skyline. Some even moved there. The new vantage point does not seem to have improved their perception much.

But Martin Glaberman, a white radical, has an unusual view of what happened here. He says the rebellion stemmed not from "despair," but from a positive sense of black power. The civil rights movement left some impression on Detroit and the city's ghettos are populated by workers to a high degree. The last point is confirmed by black leaders.

For a look at how the ruling class does its duty here, John Watson of the Dodge Revolutionary Union Movement and the South End, Wayne State University student paper, puts it this way:

"The ruling class here has been making certain kinds of moves designed to coopt the revolutionary movement and designed to buy off revolutionary leaders. The most obvious example of this developed immediately after the revolt. What's happened is that you've had a two-poled movement here going at the same time. One is a movement to organize an independent base of black workers which has been developing out of the conditions black workers face in the plants, and the other is an attempt by the ruling class to develop all sorts of progressive-sounding programs . . . and give all sorts of people positions and money and projects to run. . . ."

The white left is rather unusual here. Detroit has been a stronghold of Trotskyism; there are several groups which split from the Socialist Workers
party in addition to a barrelful of independent ex-Trotskyists. The Communist party, once a power, no longer has any independent influence, but does hold some strength in parts of the Democratic party. SDS at Wayne State is small, but is trying to look toward the working-class and community colleges. The whites appear to be trying to get themselves together.

The media are among the worst in the nation's big cities. The evening Detroit News is heavily slanted against black people, the morning Free Press less so. WJBK-TV also slants the news.

Combatting this is the South End, the Wayne State University student newspaper, which is controlled by black and white militants, an underground FM station, WABX, and the Fifth Estate, which tries to steer a course between hard politics and hippie culture. A black daily paper is being talked about.

Detroit is also the hometown of the White Panthers, headed by John Sinclair. The White Panthers sound like the extreme left wing of the Yippies. They do a pale imitation of Black Panther rhetoric and see revolution as a cultural event. Although not considered a serious force, some sources say they have helped discredit the white left among black militants.

'Why I Joined DRUM'

Chuck Wooten is one of the original nine workers who founded the Dodge Revolutionary Union Movement here. Speaking with the Guardian, he told why:

My thing for getting in DRUM was that from the first day I got hired in, I noticed immediately that the day I was hired there were about 60 of us hired at the same time and we were all taken to the body shop. And out of that number, about 40 were black. Out of this group, I think it was between seven and 10 of the white workers that went into the body shop went into inspection and the others [whites] were systematically given light jobs on the sixth and seventh floors.

The area of the line I went into, it was putting fenders and doors on the cars and, well, the door job was the worst job in that area. And from the time I started in there until I left that area, until I went on days, every time a new black worker came in he was given that door job. All the time I stayed in that area I never saw a white worker work that job.

We constantly used to talk about these things, you know, but in all the time I was there up until the start of DRUM, this was my main thing: what are we going to do about the way black workers are treated in here? And it took almost four years for me to find anybody else that was really ready to do something about it and this is where DRUM came from.

During the wildcat strike of May 1968, upon coming to work that morning, there were picket lines established which, ironically, were manned by all white workers at the time and as a result of this all the black workers received the harshest disciplinary actions.

A few workers and I went across the street and sat in the bar, sitting there drinking. We were sitting at that table talking and it was here we decided we would do something about organizing black workers to fight the racial discrimination inside the plants and the overall oppression of black workers. Well, this was something I'd been trying to get started in the body shop for the four years I was in there, but I just never ran into anyone who was conscious enough to really take some steps about doing something about this.

And this was the beginning of DRUM.

Beyond Detroit

What can the radical movement learn from Detroit's black auto workers? The answer, I think, is plenty. The lessons seem to boil down to two essentials:

1—Capitalism can only be killed where it lives: at the point of production.

2—Contrary to all the fears of certain tattered fringes of the white left, Detroit emphatically demonstrates that the national form of struggle
has been a necessary prelude to a general class-line assault by Afro-America on the holders of power.

It is no longer necessary to belabor the first point. The movement is beginning to realize, as much of it did not before, the central role of production in any revolutionary endeavor. For several years, the white and black movements concentrated on "community organizing." The general failure of this line of attack has obliged us to look to more powerful crowbars of change. It has been comparatively cheap for capitalism to handle the issues raised by community organizers. If all the rats and roaches, all the pot-holed streets and all the rest of it were cleaned up in the "community," capitalism would still stand because only one or two of its fingers would have been amputated. The heart would still be intact. From cops to welfare, the strictly "community" issues are only secondary aspects of capitalism: they are used as control devices, but they are not a system of themselves. That system is production for profit.

This should not be taken to mean that the radical movement should ignore community issues, for no revolutionary movement can ignore the immediate conditions of the people in whose name it operates. But a conclusive solution to these conditions—one which ensures that they will not recur—demands production of, by and for the workers. If production is the central arena in which capitalism will be slain, therefore, it follows that the hand that holds the wrench shall be the hand that holds the gun.

The black community, however, nonetheless has had an amazing impact on America. The courageous rebellions and the general ferment have by and large been the result of (a) objective conditions and (b) increased black awareness as a development of national struggle. The national form was necessary and therefore inevitable. No oppressed people can develop a politics without self-definition of the group, especially in the case of black America, which has suffered from a pattern of psychological warfare too extensive and too well-known to go into here. The Detroit movement indicates that the group is being welded together and that the black national aspects are becoming part of a higher level of struggle.

The developing unity of class and national struggle presents some considerations of tactics. What strikes the observer in Detroit and elsewhere is that dual unionism—the establishment of independent workers' organizations—is arising out of the ashes into which "organized labor" dumped it years ago. There is promise here.

Since black interests have consistently been submerged to more general trade union questions "to avoid splitting the working class," the development of independent bases of black worker power should surprise no one. Black dual unionism can provide a powerful force for the most oppressed sector of the working class by giving it instruments which, if truly democratic, would be in hock to no one else. Certain tactical freedoms would be possible. The League of Revolutionary Black Workers in Detroit, for example, considers itself to be such an independent base, but also has engaged in United Auto Workers politics as the tactical situation demanded.

Perhaps the most important problem involved in the black dual union approach—is the existence of a class struggle—is its relation to the movement of white workers, when that happens. It is not much of a problem now because there is very little motion in the white working class. But should white workers start to move, and many white organizers think they will, the problem could become acute. Would each movement take its own course, as the white student and black movements of today have done? If so, how may they become parallel movements? Will white racism, even among workers who are in motion along more or less radical paths, still stand in the way of true class solidarity? Will black workers realize that it will take all workers to overthrow the system that lies at the root of black oppression? Will white workers understand that, in addition to class interests, black workers must be supported in their legitimate drive for self-determination?

So much depends on what white workers and their vanguards—self-appointed and otherwise—do. If the traditional caucus-style struggle can be modified to provide a parallel, in-union form to the black dual union, there is the possibility of class solidarity. But if caucus organizations continue to be based on the "black and white, unite and fight" slogan, they will entirely miss the correct and irreversible mood that is growing among black workers.
Dual unionism is a much more difficult undertaking for the white working class. Black dual unions will have a previously mobilized community behind them. The white "community" has rarely been organized as such and experience shows that when whites are organized along these lines racism is strengthened. Whites are not colonized subjects in America. Without community support, white dual unions can be smashed by the superior financial power of the established unions. Who is going to join a new union that has no strike defense fund? The answer is no one except a black worker who knows that a community initially organized on a national basis will contribute to such a fund.

Some white factory organizers are complaining that the insurgent black workers have no class analysis and that this makes their job among white workers more difficult. Aside from the obvious fact that it is not the black man's job to make things easier for white organizers, what needs to be asked is, what do these white organizers think their job is? To gain a little more money for the skilled trades? The job of white organizers, rather, is to build explicit class consciousness and defeat racism. In this sense, white workers have far less class consciousness than black workers. The black people, as seen in Detroit, have been doing their job. Our turn is long overdue.

Black Workers In Revolt: How Detroit's New Black Revolutionary Workers are Changing the Face of American Trade Unionism, pp. 1-15. Pamphlet printed by The Guardian (N.D.) in possession of the editors.

7. WILDCAT!

By Detroit NOC

A wildcat strike is an act of defiance, a clearly illegal action directed at the union as well as management. It occurs when the everyday tensions of industrial conflict burst into collective struggle. The workers, in order to express their power, attempt to stop production.

Since wildcats are primarily spontaneous movements of workers, they are often confused struggles that are easily crushed. When they first walk out, workers are permeated with a feeling of power, "we actually shut it down." Later, as the wildcat continues, management threatens to fire them, and the international union bureaucracy moves to place the local under discipline. The struggle becomes grim. Workers are unsure how long they can hold out. They begin to feel economic pressure from loss of a weeks pay. Management moves to "negotiate the issues" providing the workers return. Many of the older men, who have experienced walkouts before, begin to predict its demise. Wildcats that do not develop leadership at this point are usually crushed through the collective resources of management and the unions.

Back at work the immediate issue is "solved" through negotiations and everyday life returns to the shop. Workers feel little has been achieved, yet take no action. All is calm until the tensions build again—the sell-out contract, deteriorating working conditions, safety hazards, the arrogance of the foremen, the compulsory overtime, the years of frustration of hard work just to break even economically—this oppression surges into the minds of the workers and another wildcat begins over some ordinary worker-management confrontation.

The walkout at the Sterling Stamping plant was similar to the above sketch, except for one important difference. On the side of the workers was the active participation of organizers and students who placed the wildcat into the context of political struggle. Through their efforts, the wildcat became more than an industrial dispute. If nothing else was gained at
Sterling, many workers learned to respect the students for turning out to support their strike. Hopefully, out of the wildcat will emerge a cadre of revolutionary workers who see their role as organizers laying the ground work for a mass-based working class movement in Detroit.

This goal is the thrust of NOC's work in factories and it guided our actions during the Sterling struggle. As a cadre of organizers, the National Organizing Committee seeks to develop groups of politically conscious white workers in the shops. These groups will provide the outreach into the plant through literature, production and struggle. While our factory work is very limited (we only began four months ago), the wildcat at Sterling provided us with some experience and insights into organizing workers in basic industry.

The Plant

Opened in 1955, the Sterling Stamping Plant is a relatively recent addition to the Chrysler empire. Employing over 3,500 production and skilled workers, the 80 million dollar plant sprawls over 1/2 mile of land in the white working class suburb of Sterling Heights. At Sterling, hoods, frames and fenders are made for almost all Chrysler models. Engineers are proud of the plant's flexibility: stamping dies can be moved in and out of the 167 major presses, changing the whole line in less than six hours. The Sterling plant is critical to the auto parts supply of the four major Chrysler assembly plants in Detroit: Lynch Road, Hamtramck, Warren Truck and Jefferson.

Of all the Chrysler plants, Sterling Stamping is one of the few containing a clear majority of white workers. At least 70% of the workers are white, mostly Polish and Italian, with some Southern white. Ethnic loyalties are strong in the plant: there are "Dago" and "Pollack" production lines. Since Sterling is such a new plant, a good majority of the production workers are young guys between 18 and 30, most of whom are married.

Although Sterling Stamping is a new plant, the working conditions are very poor. The presses leak oil, making the floors slippery; hi-los often break down; aisles are cluttered with razor sharp scrap metal and machine parts; and the conveyor belt, used to take metal scrap from the presses to the bailing room often breaks down. In the past five years many workers have been injured. A few of them have lost their fingers or hands under the huge presses.

The local leadership of UAW local 1264 has always been a militant thorn in the sides of management and the international UAW. During the 1967 contract ratification process, workers remained out over a week refusing to settle on plant working conditions issues. It wasn't until UAW International Board member Douglas Fraser threatened to put the local under receivership that the men returned to work. Last summer, two wildcats occurred over the lack of ventilation in the plant. In all instances the local leadership, which is supposed to maintain its side of the contract and discipline the ranks, supported the wildcats. Given this militant leadership it would be in the interests of management to crush the local.

The need of Chrysler to keep its workers in line is particularly pressing in the spring of 1969 as the auto industry suffers its first effects of what might be a long-term economic stagnation. Sales are down substantially, increasing inventories to the highest in automobile history (at the time of the wildcat there were 1.7 million cars unsold). Production is being cut back. During February production was slowed down as many assembly plants were shut down for a week. Overtime became scarce and there were plans for an early model change this year.

Of the big three, Chrysler is in the worst economic position to sustain any possible recession. In 1961, the company almost went out of business. Through a re-organization of dealerships, a new five-year warranty plan (revised on 1969 models), and industrial diversification (Chrysler is now moving into the plastic industry, and is also purchasing over 2 million dollars worth of real estate a week) Chrysler has maintained 17% of the domestic auto market. At the time of the Sterling Wildcat however, Chrysler held almost 400,000 unsold cars, an 83 day supply according to recent sales rates and the highest in the industry. If inventories remained that high, it would be necessary for the auto company to cut back production in June.
During these lay-offs, the corporation would have to pay 95% of the base pay of all workers with one year seniority. The wildcat at Sterling, which idled 35,000 workers for eight days, served to keep production down, while management could blame the workers for the disruption and save money by not paying any SUB benefits to those laid off.

In addition, the wildcat was a golden opportunity to harass the union leadership. As profits grew less in a period of slow expansion, capitalists make up for losses in sales by forcing more labor out of workers. After experiencing the power of the League of Revolutionary Black Workers at Dodge Main and Eldon Gear and Axle, Chrysler was very anxious to keep industrial discipline tight. Workers should not be able to cut into production, nor a local union leadership be permitted to encourage the challenge to discipline. The workers of Local 1264 needed to be taught a lesson—a wildcat strike would enable management to fire some of the plant militants as well as force some of the local leadership into dealing with the power of the International. Thus, it is very likely that Chrysler, because of its high inventories and its need to assert industrial discipline, provoked the incident which initiated the wildcat strike.

Wildcat

The wildcat began over safety conditions. On Wednesday, April 2nd, workers were ordered to clear out 12 feet of scrap metal which had piled up because the conveyor belt had broken down. The metal was razor sharp, and with the floor slippery from spilled oil, the job was clearly a dangerous one. When local union officials advised workers that they did not have to clear out the basement, Chrysler fired all the stewards, committeemen and union officials on the spot. The walkout began as workers learned they had no union representation against management. Picket lines were established and until Thursday, April 10th, no production was turned out at Sterling Stamping.

During the first night of the walkout, scabs driving through the picket lines were attacked and cars smashed. Thirty-four workers were arrested. After that, except for a few isolated incidents, the lines remained firm. Solidarity between the plant workers and the Chrysler truckers, who take the auto parts to the assembly plants, was strong. None of the big blue trucks crossed the picket lines.

NOC organizers arrived on Thursday morning and found solidarity in the ranks very high. Most workers were militantly anti-Chrysler and anti-UAW International. They were pissed off at the arrogance of management for poor working conditions and continual mistreatment. They were angry at the UAW International for not supporting their actions. Workers supported their local officers and most sought to build a strong local union. The men on the picket lines were primarily young guys, and most of the stewards and committeemen, generally a bit older, were also present. The wildcat was headed by the union president and vice-president, both politically sophisticated and able men.

Union Consciousness

Not surprisingly the workers militance stayed at the level of trade union consciousness. While the men disliked Chrysler, few supported the concept that they should control the company. Many looked toward an "honorable settlement" of their grievances. The issues of safety conditions and firings were treated as demands unrelated to other struggles in the auto plants. Many workers said that Sterling, in comparison to plants in the Detroit areas, was basically a good plant to work in, except for some problems with working conditions and a few foremen who were bastards. Yet in discussions they revealed the common problem affecting all auto workers. Although most guys saw a broader struggle of management everywhere trying to crush militant workers they were uncertain how to relate to it. For the workers at Sterling, the wildcat was primarily an action to achieve better conditions in this particular plant.
As militant unionists, workers had little understanding of the role of the state. Even after the police arrested 34 workers, most guys did not perceive the partisan role the cops played in class conflict. For them there were good and bad cops. Although many guys served in Vietnam and were profoundly influenced into an anti-war position, they did not relate it to their struggle with Chrysler. Finally, the wildcat leadership (local president and v.p) is involved in the activities of the local Democratic party making them unwilling to see struggle except through existing institutions. As the wildcat continued, the politics of the leadership presented a problem to our efforts in organizing.

Finally, most workers were unable to deal with white supremacy. When we asked why weren't any blacks on the picket lines, the standard reply was, "those guys don't care, they are just over at the union hall." Many guys felt that whites were given the more difficult jobs in the plant because management would know it got done properly. Yet, when pressed on their feelings, workers admitted that there was no equality in the shop. Although 30% of the plant is black, there are no black stewards or committeemen. Even the most racist southern workers admitted that there is a problem when they said the skilled trades department is all white. Some even saw the need to relate to groups like DRUM and ELRUM in their fight against Chrysler.

We spent the first days on the picket lines trying to put the issues of the wildcat into political perspective. We ran down stuff on the UAW—how it does not fight for better working conditions in the national contract, how the contract screws local union power, how grievances are settled from the shop floor, etc. We provided legal counsel for the local union officers in their attempts to head off a threatened Chrysler injunction on picketing. Finally, we began to place the wildcat into the larger context, laying out Chrysler's reasons for provoking the strike. Much of our analysis was confirmed when on Sunday, management fired 69 guys for strike activity. Many of the firings were arbitrary; some, however, were against the most militant workers who had been identified from photographs taken by foremen escorted out to the line by plant pigs to identify picketers.

Role of NOC

We came on hard about our politics, telling guys that NOC was interested in workers taking power, the right of workers to control the production process and the state. We passed out fist buttons which were gobbled up immediately. We were very hard on white supremacy, making it clear to guys that they should support the demands of black workers for if they really wanted to beat Chrysler, they would need to unite with the League of Revolutionary Black Workers, and this could not be accomplished unless white workers accepted the legitimacy of black demands.

We raised questions concerning the relationship of guys to their wives. Were they telling their wives about the strike? How come very few of their wives were on the line? Through these raps, particularly on white supremacy, many workers disagreed violently with our analysis. Yet, almost everyone recognized and accepted us as "agitators," "organizers," or "anti-establishment people" who had been active in the student movement, black liberation struggle, or worked organizing poor people.

Our leafletting efforts were modest, as we placed heavier emphasis on personal contact with workers on the picket lines. There were several difficulties with this approach. At night great quantities of beer and dope were consumed as many workers transformed the picket lines into a party-like atmosphere. While remaining solid in preventing any scabs from crossing the lines, guys were certainly not interested in political raps. Another problem with personal contact was, except for a small group of guys who were there most of the time, the same workers did not show up. During the eight days of picketing very few of the workers came regularly. In fact, as the wildcat continued, the personnel changed rapidly. Many guys we talked to at the beginning of the wildcat simply didn't show up as picketing became pretty much a routine affair. Finally, since the plant was in the suburbs, there were very few cafes or bars nearby, making it difficult to bring a few workers together for some long political raps.
At one point when it appeared that management was going to break through the lines to get at some of the auto parts remaining in the plant, we prepared a leaflet that was to be distributed in the community by high school groups working with NOC. The leaflet listed the demands, gave an analysis of why the wildcat (Chrysler's desire to crush the leadership as well as save money while inventories are high) and called for community solidarity with the strikers. The leaflet was not distributed however, as Chrysler backed away from confrontation with the workers. In retrospect, our decision not to turn out more leaflets for the community and the workers may have been a tactical error. Leaflets might have overcome some of the problems inherent in the personal contact approach. Yet, in all cases contacts with workers on a face to face level were extremely important.

Tactical Error

Perhaps the most important tactical error we made was not engaging students in the struggle from the outset. At first we felt that students would turn off the workers. Their life-style is so different and their knowledge of the issues so limited that we anticipated workers would be hostile to their participation. Yet, on Sunday, independently of our actions, SDS chapters began to appear on the line. Our analysis of student participators was incorrect. In fact the presence of students was critical to the continuance of the wildcat.

By Sunday, the wildcat had reached the fifty day. After the first two evenings, very few scabs attempted to cross the picket lines, and marching around the plant exit gates became an uneventful chore. Fewer and fewer workers began showing up on the line. Those that did appear grew increasingly uncertain of whether the wildcat would last.

The diminishing worker support for the wildcat would be critical at the first shift change Monday. Since the wildcat began right before the Easter holiday, many workers took advantage of the strike to have an extended weekend vacation. They were ready to return to work on Monday. Without a strong show of pickets the wildcat would be broken.

On Monday morning only a handful of pickets appeared, but bolstered by about 75 SDS people from the University of Michigan, cars were turned away. The wildcat continued and the spirits of the workers rose. Guys began showing up on the line again, partly because they were interested in meeting the students. The older workers were disturbed by the presence of the students on the line; one brought up a razor and shears to cut some of their hair. However, the younger workers were open to the students and interested in talking with them.

Through their discussions, workers learned about the movement. They clearly understood what students were about for as one worker put it: "the students are always on the side of the underdog." Many workers stated flatly that the students made the difference when the fate of the wildcat was in doubt on Monday. In general they were very open to political discussions with students.

On the other hand, most students simply don't know enough about workers and the issues effecting them to lay out some concrete analysis. Their rhetoric had little relationship to the lives of these young workers. Even though many of the guys at Sterling were the same age as the students they were in a world altogether different than college students. Most were married, faced with consumer problems, raising children and attempting to find ways to exist for a lifetime in a factory. They were not pissed off at the pigs. The war and the draft had little direct impact on them. Most of their problems were centered around dealing with industrial discipline. They were working hard (some had been working seven days since Christmas) and finding the money they earned was just enough to make ends meet. For them the students were visions of people which only their children might become. Regardless of the effect of the students on the attitudes of the workers, the mere visible signs of students manning the picket lines with workers forced the UAW into action.
International Seduction

The International union played a subtle role in forcing the wildcat to end. In the beginning of the strike the International's pressure was absent. However, as the wildcat continued and students joined the workers the UAW pushed quickly for a settlement. On Monday, the UAW summoned the local union leaders to Solidarity House, the UAW headquarters. During this meeting the UAW bureacrats told Local 1264's union representative that if they did not order their men back to work the local would be taken into receivership by the International. That afternoon the local was put into receivership and Douglas Fraser, executive board member and head of all of the UAW's Chrysler division, ordered all of the workers at Sterling Stamping back to work.

The next morning, to the surprise of many, they refused. Many men were confused and started to go to work, but when they saw the picket line they turned around and went home. Fraser's response was to call a mass meeting for the Local 1264 members.

At the meeting the UAW used all the traditional ploys. The vast majority of the rank and file came to the meeting feeling angry and militant. Fraser and others, who spoke about ending the strike were booed and heckled. When Fraser first called for a strike vote, only a handful voted in favor of returning to work. Fraser started to put down the presence of students on the picket lines and guys shouted back: "The students did more for us than the International!" Still, Fraser monopolized the microphone and dragged the meeting out for two and a half hours. Many workers left disgusted. In essence, Fraser said that the only way to get the 69 fired workers' jobs back was to go back to work and let Fraser, as the UAW representative, bargain with the corporation. He said the only alternative was more firings, more people laid off at other plants. He then introduced a fired worker who got up and told the rest of the workers to go back. The meeting was controlled by the careful selection of speakers and by the refusal of the chairman to let militant workers effectively question Fraser. Finally, on the third ballot Fraser pushed through a return to work vote, primarily by promising to allow a regular strike vote in the plant on the next Monday. Many workers, however, were confused and did not vote at all.

Workers went back, only to overwhelmingly sanction an official walkout for May 8th. Yet, the last minute negotiations produced an agreement in which Chrysler agreed to keep oil off the floors, repair the conveyor belt, and fix some of the machines. Sixty-five of the men were re-hired, most punished through loss of back pay, and the jobs of five men remain contested in binding arbitration. Although the local leadership fought for the settlement, it was a sell-out as the union did not even win amnesty for all the men. In a ratification vote, the local membership approved the settlement, 1,380 to 794.

Since the wildcat we have been meeting with guys who expressed an interest in working with us. We aided one worker in putting out a leaflet that named the scabs. During the wildcat guys promised to get the scabs after the strike was over. A good number of the car windows have been broken and tool boxes crushed in the presses since the leaflet. One problem we have been facing is the inability to get all our contacts together to plan collective action. Sterling works on three shifts and since the wildcat many guys have been working seven days a week as Chrysler planned for a local strike that would shut down operations until the summer. There has not been a single day when everyone was off. In addition, workers are spread all over the suburbs and eastern half of Detroit, making it difficult to select a central meeting place. We hope, however, to have a group of workers attending educational and planning activities on a regular basis in the near future.

The struggle the wildcat initiated will continue in the shops. The critical need for us is to evaluate our efforts in dealing with some problems in organizing in a wildcat situation. It is to these questions we now turn.

Essentially the problems we encountered focused around four areas: a) the ability of young workers to organize; b) white-black worker relationships; c) the woman question; and d) relationship with local union officials.
Young Workers

The emphasis in NOC's work has been on young workers for the following reasons: 1) since they are just being integrated into the shop young workers are the most likely to rebel against industrial discipline; 2) young workers are less ensconced in a life style and family pattern which will impede their development as organizers; 3) young workers are the most oppressed by the seniority rules, wage levels, unemployment compensation and lay-offs; and 4) young workers grew up after the Depression making them a different view of solely wage and fringe benefit increases than their fathers. At Sterling we found that young guys were interested in our politics.

Yet, so pervasive is the bourgeois notion of individualism that most of the workers have not experienced collective work. During the wildcat we found continually that guys did not know how to organize. There was no leaflet distributed to all workers explaining the reasons for the wildcat. The picketing was unorganized; it was assumed workers would show up to fill each shift. Although most workers were interested in getting publicity for their actions, the idea of passing out leaflets in their communities never occurred to them. All through the 8 day walkout, there was no communications center as most business was conducted in a haphazard fashion. Had some of the militant workers organized themselves for the Wednesday meeting with Fraser, the outcome might have been considerably different.

In part, the failure of the workers to organize themselves was due to the local president and vice-president. The rank and file were denied information during the wildcat by these union officials who kept negotiations with the UAW International or Chrysler a deep secret. The local would not even give names and telephone numbers to workers to get guys out on the picket lines. Both these union officials were very capable men and could have easily organized groups of militant workers to carry-out the business of the wildcat, yet because of their politics, they refused to see this as a desirable end.

Elitism

One of the very important orientations of the American union is to keep workers systematically deprived of the skills by which they can organize themselves. In the UAW, it is the International structure that is responsible for organizing drives. Working through the local leadership, the International passes on its elitist politics: keep the rank and file in the dark as much as possible, then there will be no disruptions. They do not understand the nature of contemporary capitalism. At Sterling the local president and vice-president, no matter how much they thought of themselves as anti-establishment, conformed to that rule. As the UAW applied pressure, both through threat and reward (the local leaders were told that if they did not urge the men back to work their careers with the Democratic Party would be over, at the same time, jobs on the International staff were dangled before them for their help in stopping the walkout), the local leadership began to urge the end of the strike. The stewards and the committeemen however, remained loyal to the wildcat, reflecting the division between the vice-president and president who stayed outside the plan, and those officials of the union on the shop floor.

We tried hard to counter the lack of organizing ability by first getting guys to see how important it was to be together. Continually we would ask: what are you going to do when the wildcat is over? How are you going to take-on Chrysler with all its resources? How can you even take control of your own local, let alone the International? As best as possible, when guys expressed interest in organizing, we attempted to pass on techniques.

For example, if a leaflet is to be passed out in the shop we stressed the need for a distribution system where workers pass along material from department to department. This prevents management from singling out one individual for handing it out, and also engages many workers in an action, establishing new contacts for a plant caucus. Any leafleting of plants should recognize the importance of an internal distribution system. It is much more efficient to give a worker 50 leaflets, if he will hand them out inside, then dispose of 500 during a shift change.
White Supremacy and Male Chauvinism

We faced another key problem at Sterling with the lack of black participation on the picket lines. While many blacks hung around the union hall, very few went out on the lines. For most of the white workers the lack of black participation was proof, "they didn't care about the strike." At the wildcat we were unable to deal with this problem in concrete terms. We did talk to a few black guys about the League of Revolutionary Black Workers, and the League is now in contact with them, but there was little racial interaction during the wildcat. Our experience at Sterling indicates how important it is to raise black demands that will involve black workers in the struggle. Otherwise it is likely that most black workers tend to view the struggle as a white man's affair. In other plants, however, black and white have worked together on wildcats, so the Sterling situation is not universally applicable.

If our handling of the problem of black workers was insufficient, the way we dealt with male supremacy was a disaster. Although we talked about these issues to workers, as in the case with the black workers, little concrete organizing was accomplished. NOC women should have visited the homes of workers to talk to their wives about the strike. We failed to reach the significant minority of women workers in the plant. Although there were very few women workers on the picket lines, at the union meeting with Fraser many did show up and some contacts should have been attempted.

Our failure to deal with the question of male chauvinism in concrete terms reflects a blind spot particularly present in working class organizing: you go to the bar and talk to the guys. Of course the women are supposed to fall in line behind the men. In part, our attempts at Sterling suffered from that perspective. To counter this dangerous tendency NOCs women's sub-collective has been established to deal with organizing working class women both in the factories and the communities.

Leaders vs. Rank and File

Finally, during the walkout we had many problems with the local union officials. While they accepted our aid (when faced with the threat of attack from both management and union, they would have accepted anyone's aid), they became suspicious of our intentions, particularly as the UAW pressured them into urging the rank and file back. As already stated, there were political reasons why the leadership would support the UAW International.

Adding to different political perspectives was the complication that in order to help the wildcat during its initial stages we provided independent legal counsel for the local. A very important area of any working class organizing in factories is knowledge of labor laws. Unlike the university, the internal behavior of unions is subjected to a variety of federal and state laws that can lead to court action by management or the union. We also gave advice on strategy and tactics. This placed us in close contact with the leadership, while at the same time we had little hopes for them remaining anything but reformist during the struggle. Throughout the wildcat we remained in uneasy contact with the leadership not sure how much to work with them or how much to be independent. This problem has still not been resolved as the present union leadership has asked we help them in the next union election.

Our experience suggests keeping away from specific dealing with the local leadership. On the other hand, the in-plant leadership, union stewards and committeemen, seem very open and willing to move. The goal should always be to remain with the rank and file. We went up to the picket lines at Sterling with the idea that the wildcat would be crushed, and it was important that the struggle, initiated by the action would be carried on in the plant through a Solidarity caucus. Our time aiding the local leadership was important in terms of contact and access to information about conditions within the union, yet involvement with the leadership was always risky, for even the most militant do not have the same interests as radical organizers and they could crush whatever actions we had begun.

One observation that ties together our experiences at Sterling is that
most workers are without a political perspective. Deprived knowledge of an anti-capitalist analysis, workers are often unable to deal with the forces oppressing them. Many guys at Sterling were extremely pissed off about how the bosses screwed them, but became frustrated because they didn't know how to move. These workers were some of the first to walk out of the meeting with Fraser. Workers cannot be expected to be able to cope with the contradictions they face every day on the job without the placing of these contradictions in a general political framework. The UAW International plans its political actions carefully. Workers can only respond with a gut action—a wildcat—but cannot sustain a struggle. It is our job to begin that process of political struggle. The working class is in motion; it always has been. The task is to develop the political program and ideology that will mobilize workers into a struggle against capitalism and imperialism.


8. CONFRONT THE RACIST UAW LEADERSHIP

WHERE: COBO HALL
WHEN: 11:00 O'CLOCK AM
SUNDAY, NOV. 9
JOIN THE LEAGUE OF REVOLUTIONARY BLACK WORKERS

Our basic demands of the U.A.W. are:

1. Halt U.A.W. racism. 50% representation for black workers on the international executive board. Fire Reuther and elect a black president and one black vice president, 50% of all international staff members should be black. Open skilled trades and apprentices to any black worker who applies. Recognition of the League of Revolutionary Black Workers and its affiliates as the official spokesman for black workers on the local and national level with the power to negotiate black demands on the company and union and the power to call officially sanctioned strikes.

2. We demand that the grievance procedure be completely revised so that grievances are settled immediately on the job by the workers in the plant involved. The grievance procedure is used to prevent workers from using their strike power to fight abuses from management. Since the procedure completely ties the hands of workers and basically serves company interests it should be scrapped and replaced by a completely new system.

3. Elimination of all safety and health hazards in the auto industry. This means cleaning the air in the foundry and redesigning dangerous machinery and cut back in production on hazardous jobs.

4. The union must fight vigorously against speed up and increases in production standards. The companies should double the size of their work force to meet the present workload. There were 650,000 production workers in auto in 1947 producing 4.5 million vehicles. In 1966 650,000 workers produced nearly 10 million vehicles loaded with accessories and options. We are working two and three times as hard for the same real income. With today's technology production standards can easily be cut to reasonable humane lines.

5. The union must fight for a five hour work day and a four day work week. The profit level of industry is high enough to allow for more leisure time for workers.

6. The union must fight for an immediate doubling of the wages of all production workers. Since 1960 wages of black workers have risen less than 25%. Yet profits have risen more than 90%. The pitifully small increase the black production workers have received has been completely wiped out by inflation. We know how wealthy the company is. We know how low their labor costs presently are. In fact, we know that it costs less than $100 in labor
to produce a $3,000 car. We say increase that labor cost to $200. per car and
double the wages immediately.

7. We demand a cut in union dues. The union already collects $10 million
a month from its members and can't defend the rights of the workers.

8. We demand the end of the checkoff of union dues. While the check off
was progressive in the 30's today it prevents workers from disciplining poor
union leadership.

9. We demand that all U.A.W. investment funds be used to finance
economic development in the black community under programs of self determi­
ation. The union now holds over $90,000,000 in strike funds in white banks.
They lost over $1,000,000 in strike funds when a bank in California folded two
years ago. We demand that all such money be held in black institutions and
used in the black community.

10. We demand that the union end its collusion with the United Foundation.
Black workers should contribute only to black controlled charities working
for the benefit of the black community.

11. We demand that all monies expended for political campaigns by the
U.A.W. be turned over to the League of Revolutionary Black Workers and the
Black United Front for black controlled and directed political work.

12. We demand that the U.A.W. end its collusion with the C.I.A., the
F.B.I. and all other white racist spy institutions.

13. We demand that the U.A.W. end all interference in the political,
economic, social and cultural life of the black community. That community and
the black workers in it are to exercise self determination in all political,
economic, social and cultural activities and are to use black contributed
union funds in any such activities. This means that the UAW end its affilia­
tion with MDCDA, New Detroit, and other such programs and place all administra­
tion authority and funds in the hands of the black community.

14. We demand an end to the harassment of black revolutionists and their
leaders by the auto companies with U.A.W. Cooperation.

15. We demand that the U.A.W. use its political and strike powers to
call a general strike to demand immediate:
   a. An end to the Vietnam war and withdrawal of all American
troops.
   b. An immediate end to all taxes imposed upon workers.
   c. Increases in profit and industrial property taxes to
      make up the difference.
   d. Reallocation of all Federal monies spent on defense
to meet the pressing needs of the black and poor
      populations of America.

The League is calling for all concerned black people to support and
participate in this action.

There are several things you can do to help:
1. Lend your name to the list of supporters of the Nov. 9 action.
2. Have your organization endorse the demonstration and encourage
   members to support.
3. Help publicize the demonstration by passing out literature, word
   of mouth, announcements at meetings, etc.
4. Come out on Nov. 9th and bring as many people as you can.

A brother from the League will contact you soon to get your signature on
a support petition. In the meantime call us at the League office 865-8184 for
more information.

League of Revolutionary Black Workers circular (November, 1969) in possession
of the editors.
9. BLACK WORKERS PROTEST U.A.W. RACISM
MARCH ON COBO HALL

The leadership of the United Automobile Workers Union has concocted another plot to increase the wealth, power and prestige of the big time white racist union bureaucrats at the expense of the black community and the rank and file black union member. Walter Reuther and his company owned henchmen have called for a special U.A.W. convention to be convened on Nov. 8 & 9, 1969, at Cobo Hall.

The excuse the Reuther clique has given for holding this convention is "to raise strike benefits." Now we are all in favor of higher strike benefits for brothers struggling against the tyranny of the auto bosses, but we know that Reuther and his boys really are not concerned with the welfare of their own rank and file members. Quite to contrary, workers who attempt to strike and carry on strong struggles against racism, for higher wages and better working conditions, find that they must fight their own union leadership as resolutely as they do the company. As a result, most strikes are wildcats in which the union provides neither strike benefits or fight for the rights of workers who are suspended, fired or otherwise face disciplinary actions.

We recognize that the real reason for holding this convention is to provide an excuse for the raising of your union dues!

The record of the Reuther gang does not even warrant a continuation of the present level of union dues. While profits have risen over 50% in the last ten years, wages have not even kept pace with the rise in the cost of living. Speed up and increases in production standards have made automobile labor a living hell. Reuther is running a sweetheart shop. Hand in hand with Chrysler king pin Lynn Townsend, billionaire Henry Ford II, and G.M. dictator Edward Cole, the Reuther clique helps extract billions of dollars in profits for the white racist monopoly capitalists of the automobile industry.

Reuther doesn't need anymore of our hard earned, blood and sweat drenched money. He needs to be overthrown, deposed and disposed of along with the cheap gang of cut throat thugs, bureaucrats, crooks and sellout politicians who hold on to his coat tails for dear life.

The U.A.W. needs a special convention. It needs profound changes in its leadership, its tactics, strategy and overall goals. A special convention should address itself to the pressing needs of the black production worker, and the black community. Any so called union convention which does not should be attacked and attacked vigorously by the Black community and the black working class. The time has come for the people to put a permanent halt to the sell out, power made games played by the Reuther bureaucrats at our expense.

The U.A.W. Must End All Racist Practices Within the Union and Fight Racism in the Company.

Walter Reuther and his henchmen are a bunch of phoney bigots. Reuther shed alligator tears when Martin Luther King was assassinated and piously marched to Selma, Alabama for "Negro Rights." Yet how many times has he cried over the bodies of black workers who have died in industrial accidents in Detroit auto plants, how many times has he marched for the rights of Black workers in his own back yard. The Reuther concern for civil rights is a cheap facade designed to prevent black U.A.W. members from seeing clearly the deplorable record of the U.A.W. in establishing and maintaining racism in its own shops.

Early in the history of the U.A.W. racism was an established fact. In Chrysler and G.M. plants blacks were often denied employment in all fields except janitorial service. Henry Ford recognized the labor value of the "big black buck" and hired thousands of black men to work in his sweatshops before the U.A.W. was organized. When the U.A.W. first tried to organize Ford Rouge, under the leadership of Reuther and others of his ilk, one of the white unionists demands was that all black men be excluded from employment. It wasn't until the black workers made it clear that if they were to be excluded that there would never be a U.A.W., that the Reuther clique relented and allowed integration of the hardest, dirtiest and lowest paying jobs. The
radical union leadership only made this concession after black workers broke picket lines set up by racist white union organizers. After the U.A.W. gangsters promised equality inside the union black workers joined, fought and died to win the struggle for unionization.

The union’s promise of equality was, of course, a hollow one. The big-shots first bought out a few of the more weak kneed black labor leaders. "Buddy" Battles, president of the foundry unit at Local 600 once led black workers through U.A.W. picket lines as a protest against union racism; but today Battles is a paid agent of Reuther, active in stifling militant black action in and outside of the plants. Once a few colored "brothers" had sold out the rest of the black workers were set up as easy prey for the company. "Token" integration of the union leadership didn't allow blacks the political power to effectively demand equality. Even today with 45 to 50% of the U.A.W. membership black, only two of 26 international executive board members are black, and only about 75 of over 1,100 international representatives are black. The few blacks in the hierarchy understand that their jobs depend, upon their jumping in rhythm with Reuther’s tune. They are the most conservative element in the leadership of the black community, and the least independent.

Under control of Reuther’s racist machine, the black worker has fared no better inside the plant than he has in the union. Racism has been the calling card. Blacks are first of all systematically excluded from the skilled trades, white collar jobs, and all but the lowest level of management. Blacks are employed under the most dangerous conditions. In the foundries, for instance, 95% of the workers suffer from silicosis and other lung diseases and have their life expectancy cut 15 to 20 years short. Black workers are found in great numbers in the stamping plants where fingers and toes are severed almost daily. Black workers are found in the shops, the body shops, spot welding on final production lines, and anywhere else where men drop dead from exhaustion fighting never ending, constantly accelerating production lines.

Under the Reuther machine black men 50 years old are tied to the production lines while 20 year old white boys get jobs as clerks in the stock dept. or in transportation; and the white youngsters are soon promoted to supervisory or other white collar jobs. For the black worker the pressure of production never ceases. In fact, because of the super exploitation of black labor, profits in autos have soared. A process called "niggermation" is more pervasive than automation. Often new black workers are forced to do the work of two white men. An investigation by members of the League of Revolutionary Black Workers uncovered a typical case at the Ford engine plant where a young brother was producing over 120 units an hour on a job previously worked by two men at a pace of 70 units an hour; the previous men had exercised seniority to get off the job because it was too strenuous.

Black workers earn billions of dollars of excess profits for the white racist corporations because of U.A.W. sanctioned and enforced racist practices. As long as the official representative of black workers perpetuate their continued enslavement we can make no progress; therefore we must use whatever pressure and force we can bring to bear to end all racist practices in the union and demand that the union movement fight to the end against racist practices of the company.

The Union Must Fight Speed-Up and Win Better Working Conditions.

The fault of the U.A.W. doesn't end with racism. Even privileged white workers suffer because of the neglect of duty of the Reuther gang. Speed-up, safety hazards and unhealthy working conditions have become regular fare for all auto workers. Through cooperation with the company in increasing production, Reuther and his gang have made millions for the auto barons at the expense of the worker. In 1947, the auto industry produced 4,800,000 cars with a production labor force of 626,000. In 1966 the industry produced 10,560,000 cars and trucks with a production labor force of 668,400. Moreover, the late model cars are bigger and have more accessories and options than anytime before in our history. So black workers are now producing at least twice as much as auto workers twenty years ago.

On the sweat and muscle of black workers the auto companies have doubled and tripled their stock. In 1946 Chrysler was worth $283 million, today they
are worth over $2 billion, and only 10% of this rise came from the sale of
stocks. The rest was squeezed from the backs of black workers. G.M. rose in
capital worth from $1.4 billion to $8.7 billion with less than 10% new invest­
ments; and Ford increased its value from $771 million to $4.8 billion with
less than one half of one percent in new money. Since 1960 average wages have
increased about 25% for black workers; during the same period profits for the
white owned and controlled corporations rose 77%, dividends rose 60%, personal
interest income rose 80.6%, and undistributed corporate profits rose 83%.
While wages rose to an average of $3.50 hr., inflation wiped out any real rise
in our income and we are often forced to work overtime or extra jobs simply to
make ends meet. So speed up has reaped untold fortunes for the white auto
capitalists while we barely manage to survive. Who's interest do the Reuther
gang really represent?

End Harrassment of Black Workers and Black Revolutionists.

As if the record wasn't bad enough, the Reuther gang cannot leave the
black worker to his miserable job. Black people are constantly harrassed and
intimidated by the company with the full cooperation of their union "represent­
atives." Brothers are forced to contribute money to the white controlled
United Foundation for instance. If they refuse they are usually punished by
some petty unofficial form of discipline. Black men who wear beards or
dashikis, tikkis, naturals or other symbols of black pride are often intimidated
by their supervisors. This harrassment must end, and the union must quit siding
with the company, or must be recognized and destroyed as an agent of the company
and replaced by a viable organization representing the most progressive sector
of the labor movement.

Fiscal Responsibility to the Black Community.

The U.A.W. collects over $10 million every month from its membership. This
money, the bulk of it from the pockets of black workers is used to provide
salaries, staff and facilities for the U.A.W. sellout leadership. Millions of
dollars are used by Reuther and his bunch for their pet projects and for
investment purposes. The projects, however, are never designed to benefit the
black community. Millions are squandered on political campaigns for special
conferences and conventions and do nothing for recreation and reform programs
in the inner city as well as the suburban areas. But the money (our money) is
rarely used to support black candidates (except for known Reuther flunkies) or
invested for black economic development, or for independent community organiza­
tion in the ghetto. Millions of dollars which black Detroit area workers pour
into the union coffer every year could be used to build new homes, schools,
universities, libraries, recreation and social centers, rifle ranges, food
co-ops, small industries, etc. Instead Reuther supports further blackmail of
the black workers by social faggots like the United Foundation. We pay enough
in union dues to provide 10 black controlled United Foundations. The money now
taken from black workers and administered by the U.A.W. must be turned back
into the hands and control of rank and file black U.A.W. members. We have
already spent too much in supporting the needs of white America, and we want
the white ruling class, also Reuther and the auto barons, to keep out of the
business of the black community.

The Union As a Political Force.

A union of workers is power. They can, if they so decide, control the
economy of a country as large and powerful as the U.S.A. simply by calling a
general strike. When workers are abused by a racist capitalist controlled
government, they can respond by closing down the economical heart of the nation.
Black workers are drafted, have incredibly high income taxes, state taxes, etc.
We are brutalized by the police, and robbed by corrupt politicians, but because
of the Reuther leadership we cannot use our natural power to strike, for
political reasons. As a result the war goes on, taxes increase, inflation, spirals, cops shoot at children, our schools are undereducating our children and our community rots in decay. Yet, Reuther does nothing more than preach his "love" for "civil rights." Black workers make up 35% of the industrial labor force as represented by production workers. Our hands actually create the wealth of America, and without us the nation could not continue its existence. This is power, real power, in the very hands of black labor. Because Walter Reuther and his henchmen hold the leadership of "our" union with the sweethearts of G.M., Ford and Chrysler, we have been unable to join together to use our power in our own interest.

The time, however, is coming near. The U.A.W. will either respond to the needs of black folk, or we will move independently of the official unions to exercise the power for our own benefit rather than for the auto bosses and their friends.

This is the crux of the matter. Black U.A.W. members are overworked, underpaid, abused, misused, and usually refused when they ask for redress. Yet Reuther is calling a convention to raise a strike chest larger than the $90 million on hand (to be invested by white capitalists). The strike benefits should be raised if the union is really going to struggle against the system. But the Reuther clique isn't interested in the black worker, or his family or his community, he only wants more of your money to do with as he pleases. This policy must stop; the Reuther gang must be confronted, the U.A.W. must begin to represent the interests of black workers.

Join us in the movement to free the black workers from the final chains of slavery to the chain gangs of Chrysler, G.M. and Ford. Join us in our exposure of the Reuther clique of white hearted bandits. Demonstrate with the League of Revolutionary Black Workers.

CONFRONT THE RACIST U.A.W. LEADERSHIP
COBO HALL
NOVEMBER 9, 1969
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10. LEAGUE OF REVOLUTIONARY BLACK WORKERS GENERAL POLICY STATEMENT, LABOR HISTORY, AND THE LEAGUE'S LABOR PROGRAM

As the betrayal of Blacks became more of a reality, and capitalism became entrenched in the society, white labor became more outrageous. Strikes were numerous and most of them were against the hiring of Black workers. Observe the following list of strikes from 1882 to 1900:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>For Discharge of Negro Employees</th>
<th>For Discharge of Negro Employees</th>
<th>For Discharge of Negro Employees</th>
<th>For Discharge of Negro Employees</th>
<th>For Discharge of Negro Employees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1882</td>
<td>Against Employment of Negro Men</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1883</td>
<td>Against Employment of Negro Men</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1885</td>
<td>For Discharge of Negro Employees</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1887</td>
<td>Against Working w/Negro Men</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1887</td>
<td>For Discharge of Negro Employees</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1888</td>
<td>For Discharge of Negro Employees</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1888</td>
<td>Against Employment of Negro Men</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1889</td>
<td>Against Working Under Negro Foremen</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1890</td>
<td>Against Working w/Negro Men</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1891</td>
<td>Against Working w/Negro Men</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1892</td>
<td>Against Working w/Negro Men</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1894</td>
<td>For Discharge of Negro Employees</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1896</td>
<td>Against Negro Employees Doing Journeymen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1897</td>
<td>For Discharge of Negro Employees</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Aside from the fact that white workers were racist, we can't ignore the fact that the rise of imperialism worked hand in glove with buttressing the demands of the white labor. In essence, white workers had the following ideals:

American labor talked of spiritualism of labor; talked of merging labor with the rising monopoly class, the class that was brutally exploiting Blacks, Whites and the world; spoke for the annexation of other territories.

This was the level of consciousness of the white worker and many times their leaders; he ignored slavery, refused to acknowledge the Blacks as vanguard in struggles, fought for expansion of slavery and concomitant to this, worked hand in hand for the rise of imperialism.

It's important to understand that the move by America to annex Santo Domingo, Philippines, and other places enhanced the polarization between Black and white workers. The support of the capitalist system by the white worker granted them certain privileges that blacks were and still are denied.

The labor movement as represented by United Mine Workers, Steel Workers, UAW, AFL-CIO, etc., are all the antithesis of the freedom of black people, in particular, and the world, in general. For the most part, at this stage, white labor must be viewed as an enemy because of the positions it holds in working hand in glove with the imperialists.

The UAW and AFL-CIO, as well as other major unions, support imperialist, fascist wars in Vietnam. Labor supports strong legislation against "crime in the streets," but says nothing about organized crime or the crimes against the people of Vietnam, etc. Nor do they protest the past and current crimes against black people. Aside from white labor's political stance, they are at best, pressure groups to obtain bourgeois rights. They request wage increases, living allowances, etc., but say nothing about worker control of plants, production and the state. Such bourgeois demands exemplify a desire to live in this burning house.

In reality, the white worker is moving more and more to think of himself as a middle class suburbanite than as a worker. Many white workers are living in small towns surrounding large cities and are involved in forming militias against the so called threat of black rioters, as well as fight against legislation for welfare and education for the cities.

The exploitation of working class youth for a racist, imperialist war in Vietnam, the high cost of living coupled with increasing taxes and lower real wages, has caused the white worker to lose a good deal of his previous privileges. The contradictions within the system are forcing him to struggle against it and those in real control of the factories, state and system.

Another major factor is to understand that the development of imperialism in the late 19th century and its subsequent decay in the twentieth century, has to be recognized and evaluated. Capitalism's pacifications via privileges for white labor are decreasing because of the revolutionary actions around the world against capitalism and its concomitant, exploitation. Privileged positions and benefits are being taken away via taxes, wars, and other factors that deny whites their excuse for racism and failure to act with working class consciousness.

The revolutionary fronts around the world, as represented by Zanzibar, Congo, Brazzaville, Fralime, China, the NLF, the French workers and students, and the black liberation groups in the U.S., are all demanding the immediate destruction of a burning house. White labor, on the contrary, supports the very obstacles to freedom of blacks, and ironically enough, to their own freedom from capitalist exploitation of their labor.

The one outstanding factor at this point is that as long as white workers think of themselves as white workers or white middle or lower class, they will be counter to the struggle, and will retain white consciousness as opposed to class consciousness. To think in those terms means a struggle for the
decaying privileges that buttress the system of racism and exploitation instead of for the liberation of all working people.

It is without question that white labor will be forced to shift gears. Currently, however, the liberation struggle of blacks is moving at a quickening pace. It is our contention that the key to the black liberation struggle lies with the black workers.

As previously stated, the black liberation struggle is part and parcel of a world struggle of the oppressed against the oppressor. However, we must carefully scrutinize which groups in the struggle are the most important in changing a society and stopping its functioning the way it is. That is the group most able, due to their position in production, to lead and carry on the revolutionary struggle.

We say black workers, but this group must be defined better. There are many "workers" among our people, like small shop owners, professionals, service workers, and also the factory and mine workers. It is the latter group that we speak of as the backbone of the revolutionary forces. Specifically the mine and factory workers, because they do the jobs that grant the most profits to capitalist, the ruling class.

Auto plants, mine companies, chemical corporations, steel, aluminum, etc., all make their billions at the expense of foundry, assembly, etc., workers, all of whom work for far below the wages they should get in relation to work done and, in fact, do most of their work so the owners can pocket the profits.

These blacks comprise the majority of the workers among the black working class. It is also significant to note that this class is the most organized group. The organization of black labor dates back to the late 1860's early 1870's, as a direct result of manipulative use by the monopoly capitalist class of white labor's racism.

Aside from numbers, organization, viability, and strength, this group (along with all workers in the plants and mines) are in direct conflict with the owners of the means of production. Just as the peasant in South America, the black mine worker in Africa, the worker in Europe, are the backbones of production in their countries, blacks have been and still are, the backbone of exploitative labor in this country.

Union management means labor versus boss or exploited versus exploiter. Another significant factor is that this monopoly capitalist system's major apparatus for control does not serve the black worker at all.

Overall Position of Black Workers:

Even based on government statistics, the position of the black worker in the labor force is clear. In 1970 the total civilian labor force was approximately 84,617,000 with a total black force of 9,560,000 or 10.1% of the total labor force. The total labor force represents a 59.6% participation rate by the entire white population and a 62.4% participation rate by the total black population.

Unemployed statistics show that there are 2,214,000 or 3.1% of the white labor force out of work as compared to 523,000 or 6.1% of the black labor force out of work.

In concluding the findings of these tables we state that black workers make up a significant section of the reserve army of the unemployed and that the rate of unemployed among black workers is twice as high as that amongst whites. The labor participation rate percentage categories demonstrate that blacks as a people, are more of an integral part of the proletariat than whites and would even have a greater labor participation rate if jobs were not so hard for blacks to find.

Tables further indicate that black workers are disproportionately located in blue collar and service worker positions. In blue collar positions black workers are mainly operatives and laborers working on the hottest, dirtiest, and most dangerous jobs. In this category black workers comprise 23% or nearly 1/4 of all positions. Figures fall to present an adequate picture in the industries and plants like Dodge Main, Eldon Avenue, Ford Rouge, etc., black workers make up 70 to 85% of the work force and have the ability to bring all production to a halt, by methods of closing down the hot dirty foundries, steel mills, and production plants. Whites working in the operatives and laborer category are able to gain the fruits of their white skinned privileged positions by being placed in the easiest jobs such as stock chasing,
transportation, and light assembly positions, leaving black workers make up only 3.1% of all apprenticeship positions which is directly related to the lack of upward mobility from the operative blue collar sector. In skilled trades sector black workers once again are heavily concentrated in dirty, hard positions, they comprise 12.3% of all masons, tilesetters and stonemasons, 22.8% of all plasterers, lathers, and cement finishers placing them at the bottom of the building trades. Black workers make up 23.8% of all furnace, smelters, and pourers, and 25% of all metal molders are found in the smog and polluted air of the foundries.

To the contrary, the percentage of white craftsmen and foremen is double that of blacks and a definite product of the white skinned privilege which degrades black workers, especially in the area of promotions.

One half of the white working force is employed in white collar positions, as compared to one quarter of the black working population. But even in these categories perform the hardest and steady physical work, 11% of black workers in this sector are tied to low clerical positions categorized by low pay and constant physical work. Black workers are employed to such a degree in the clerical sector that once again, they are essential to many industries and have the power also to bring all work to a standstill.

In the service sector black workers are employed three times to the degree of whites and have a near monopoly in household services. Whites working the service sector enjoy the luxuries of homes of the ruling class barons and earn lucrative salaries for their services; mainly the management and overseeing of black service workers.

In the farm worker sector, black workers perform mainly the migrant employment categorized by next to slave wages and subhuman living conditions by the families of those involved. While whites in this sector are mainly owners of the land and the farm products being produced.

The tables finally show that black workers are systematically excluded from all decision making positions--judges, lawyers, and administrators and are left virtually in a powerless position not only in industry on the job, but also at home in the black community. Black workers find themselves as paupers as the white skinned privilege outside the places of work takes the form of white racist domination in order to maintain the resolute privileged position occupied by white racist anti-black and backward administrators who only carry out those policies which are in opposition to the interests of blacks.

Because of the positions which blacks occupy as workers which are characterized generally by hard work and low pay, they are forced into a position of perpetual suffering, economically. The wives of black workers are very often forced to take extra employment in order to meet basic family needs.

**Economic Situation of Black Workers**

Categories in which white workers are heavily concentrated are areas of highest pay and power. Professional positions, categories in which whites are employed heavily, represents the areas of highest pay. Management and skilled positions in which whites are employed up to five times the degree of blacks also, are the recipients of high pay scales.

In comparison, the economic position of black workers, in their areas of highest concentration, blue collar service, lower clerical and farm workers, represent the lowest position of the wage scale. The combination of the dual oppression of black workers of the hardest, dirtiest jobs, and at the same time, receiving the lowest pay, has had the effect of raising their political consciousness more and more to the point of open class war at the point of production. The struggle of black workers has been systematically stifled by the overall political economy of poverty. The ruling class has systematically dressed up the realities under which black workers live. Through constant streams of propaganda, in the form of advertisements, they have been able to some degree, to foster false hopes and dreams in the minds of black workers. The educational system has perpetuated false notions in terms of understanding the fundamental characteristics of life under monopoly capitalism.

Both the unions and the companies have denied blacks the knowledge of the fundamentals for organizing techniques and propaganda skills which has fostered strong feelings of individualism and personal gain.
The ruling class has acted as though it was seriously addressing itself to the problems of black workers by extending its rolls of non-productive employees in order to have more troops to dupe the already confused and unorganized black workers. The companies have created hard core programs and backed certain community organizations and propagandized heavily about them via the mass media. They have mixed repressive techniques with soft-lined measures in order to crush and stifle rebellion simultaneously. Many reform groups and civil rights organizations have attempted to gain purely economic reforms without addressing the importance of the political economy of poverty. The monopoly capitalist class has to maintain a system of strict poverty domestically. It cannot afford to spend the billions of dollars thrown away annually on imperialist wars here, at home, for fear of it changing the objective power relationships between itself and the proletariat. During a few lucrative months during 1966, before the rising inflationary prices and high taxes had begun to deplete the wage gains of workers, it became necessary for many companies to stop paying afternoon shift workers on Thursday, which has been a long ago established standard, because over half of the workers would not come to work the following Friday. Once black workers had earned enough to meet their immediate objective necessities, the extra day gave them time to explore organizing methods or hire organizers to buy guns which they in turn, could use in their struggles against the ruling class.

The monopoly corporations have placed great emphasis on the political aspect of the economy of poverty. They have done everything possible except slow down the level of production and raise the economic level of workers, which is the reality which has sparked class struggle amongst black workers inside basic industry.

So that reality still exists, black workers are the main producers in this society. It is the bare hands of black workers which turn raw materials into finished products. They are transforming those raw materials far out of proportion to what statistics show they are producing in increasingly greater numbers as production becomes harder and faster. Black workers are toiling under more and more severe working conditions while black children and wives go hungry because of the low wages, inflationary prices, and increased taxes. They exist as the most oppressed and exploited section of the proletariat and have the power to bring all of industry to a screeching halt. Their only hope can be seen through open class war and the potential of carrying out a Black General Strike which would bring the entire U.S. productive capacity and its monopoly capitalist owners to their demise.


11. DRUM BEATS WILL BE HEARD

In the beginning of 1970 we characterized the coming year as the "year of the struggle." Indeed, in retrospect 1970 can truly be considered the year of the struggle. But, now we are confronted with the harsh realities of 1971. The overall condition of black, non-white, and poor white people in America had developed into a situation fraught with serious and far-reaching consequences. Intense recession, accompanied by high unemployment especially for young black workers is imminent. In spite of the insidious rhetoric of President Nixon forecasting the gradual decline of the unemployment rate, one has only to note the ever increasing unemployment lines. The unemployment rate rose to a five year high of nearly 5.6% last year. An atmosphere of repression has reached a crescendo reminiscent of Germany of the 30's witness the vicious assassinations of Ralph Featherstone, Fred Hampton, Bro. Chaka, and in the same breath let us not forget to raise question with regards to the mysterious disappearance of our beloved brother H. Rap Brown. Consider the unjust and inhumane incarcerations of Martin Sortre, Soledad Brothers, Bobby Seale, James Johnson, Cleveland Sellers, Fred Ahmed Evans, and especially Angela Davis, who is presently facing the gas chamber in
California. The educational institutions are in utter chaos. Already this year there have been reports where black and white high school students have erupted into violent confrontations. Black students across the nation are demanding an end to the racist nature of these institutes of "learning." They are proving stubbornly defiant in their heroic efforts to re-evaluate the entire educational process. What is most significant to note is that they are not just demanding a more "relevant" education for blacks (i.e. Black history, black music) but are challenging the very fabric of these institutions.

The numerous federally funded reform programs such as jobs, C.A.P., and Model Cities have virtually gone for naught. These short lived programs served the suffering black masses, in the decade of the 60's. The proliferation of literally hundreds of "hope" programs were supposed to placate the volatile urban areas. The failure of these programs, which were inevitable, in turn have ironically served to manifest the latent frustrations and angers which had for so long remained tacit.

Amidst the miserable conditions of a people whose legitimate struggle had for over 400 years been stifled by the forces of oppression rose the murmur of D.R.U.M. beats. D.R.U.M. beats could be heard in the wildcat strikes, and union elections at Dodge Main (strike 68, Trustee Election, and DRUM slate election 68, 70). D.R.U.M. beats rapidly permeated to other plants; such as Eldon Gear and Axle, and Ford Rouge. As far away as Mahway, New Jersey (United Black Brothers) and Cambridge, Massachusetts (Polaroid Workers Revolutionary movements) similar beats could be heard.

The increased militancy on the part of black workers found both the bureaucratic unions and management unprepared. But, with great resilience on the part of these reactionary elements they were able to a certain degree impede the tangible development of these black workers movements. In 1970, towards the end of a two day union election held in Detroit at the U.A.W., workers who had served as voting challengers were forcibly removed from the union hall by union officials and the local police with three voting booths left open. As a result of this violation of election procedure the U.A.W. functionaries were able to maintain their strangle hold on the local.

Now the D.R.U.M. beats are sounding the tune of International Black Appeal. The International Black Appeal is a charitable fund apparatus, which is attempting to address itself to the problems of the black, non white, and poor white workers. It has five major areas of concern (1) Emergency food and Health Centers: This component speaks firstly to the severe nature of hunger and incorrect diets of the black and poor people. We will have use of churches and our churchmen to provide services in minimizing this problem. Information about the conditions and a stringent effort to support groups to combat this problem. In addition, and equally important, we seek the immediate construction of medical neighborhood clinics which will provide immediate emergency relief. This would also identify and assist in developing drug centers to alleviate the problem as much as possible. (2) Labor strike and deals with the problems of black and poor worker's families who because of circumstances many times beyond their control, find the worker of the family is fired, laid off, or becomes severely ill. We want to address ourselves to the families of these needy workers and assist the worker in combating his problems (i.e. stamping out racism in plants, better work conditions, safety conditions, etc.). Families of the black and poor feel these problems in an economic and social order that is overflowing with racism and class preferences. (3) Legal Defense services: Black and poor people are the greatest victims of racism and unequal justice under the law. Here again, the problems of families are affected many times by the loss of the bread winner, inadequate or non-existent money for bail, equally little money for good lawyers, etc. It is within this realm that the fund wishes to address itself. (4) Welfare system: Here the fund shall address itself to groups of organizations that attempt to make the welfare system and its agencies more responsive and cognizant to the needs of the black and poor communities. (5) Housing and Recreation: This field of endeavor is crucial to the black and poor people's areas. Many problems occur because of the inadequacy or lack of both these facilities. The fund shall seek out organizations to involve itself in combating these problems.

Albeit the I.B.A. is still in its initial stages, however black workers from many of the Detroit area plants have given it their full support. Already many of these workers have spent invaluable time working for I.B.A. Black
workers such as Rufus Burke (Great Lakes Steel), Ron March (Dodge Main), Fred Hosley (Eldon Gear and Axle), and many others have made presentations on the program of I.B.A. on various local black programs. Soon they will begin to distribute literature at the various plants. It is incumbent upon black and poor people to take an interest in the development of a program, which like no other program in the history of America is geared to operate in their interest. Black workers, especially must make resolute their determination to insure that in 1971 D.R.U.M. BEATS WILL BE HEARD.


12. BLACK WORKER RAPS

This is an interview with Brother Rae Johnson, a member of DRUM, and a former worker at Dodge Main. He like so many other black workers have been fired because of his attempts to bring about a change in the unbearable working conditions at the plants, and the racist repression leveled against black workers.

Q. What was your job at Dodge Main, brother Rae?
A. I was, at the time I was fired a spot welder, which is one of the most dirty jobs in the plant body shop. But before that I had worked in many parts of the plant, being switched around often because I couldn't get along with the racist practices and bad conditions in these different areas.

Q. What is the feeling or the mood of Black workers at Dodge Main?
A. Well they are pretty disturbed about the racist foremen, and all the penalties they are issued by them; they are also upset about the mess they got over the contract settlements because they realize that they didn't from them. They also realize that since the Union doesn't represent them, that they don't have anyone to fight for them, and that they put their jobs on the line everytime they try to speak up for some kind of change.

Q. What kind of harrassment do the workers get, are you speaking of fines, penalties, and this type of thing?
A. Yea, this and other things. For example if a man works ten hours a day six days a week, or maybe seven days, then naturally he wants to take off, but what happens is if he takes off and comes back, if he doesn't have a doctors excuse, then he can expect penalties as large as 30 days lay off or maybe being fired, depending on who you are, and your record, that they have on you. But a man doesn't have to be physically sick, to be sick of that line, you're just tired as hell.

Q. Are White workers harrassed like the Black workers?
A. Not to the degree that Black workers are. For instance the places in the plant where the dirtiest jobs are, there are predominantly Black workers doing them, like the foundry for instance, and the body shops. I know when I came here in 1964, that most of the Blacks that came in were sent to these dirty and dangerous jobs, and these are the areas that Blacks catch the most hell, and this practice is still going on today.

Q. What kind of grievance procedure if any, does the union cut Blacks into, or does the worker have any way of airing his grievances?
A. There is a grievance procedure, but it has been proven insufficient especially for the Black worker. You have problems when a Black worker is being treated unjustly because he's Black, and the union doesn't address themselves to this at all. Plus you have the working conditions, you can tell them that the working conditions are unsafe but the Union doesn't seem to address themselves even to this. In fact if you worked where the job was so bad that you wanted to walk out in protest, like most Black workers do, then you stand a chance of getting fired quickly, and the UAW has not to this day backed up the men in this respect.

Q. What are the strengths and the weaknesses of the various contracts that have been signed?
A. Well there are two contracts that were signed, one was a National contract, and the other a local contract, but as I said it doesn't matter because in reality you don't get anything from either one. The National contract supposedly gave the men better fringe benefits and wages, and other junk but nothing at all about better working conditions, and this is the most important issue. The raises they give you don't amount to anything, like you're supposed to get about a fifty cent raise, but actually when that fifty cents is broken down what you really have is about thirty three cents cost of living from the old contract, then you had about 11 cents raise that will come if you speed up the production, so actually this will come to about a 6 cents raise which is only about 20 dollars a year, and with the cost of living gone up twice that, this doesn't amount to anything at all it's just a game they play to make the workers think they're getting something, and the strike serves to sell the surplus of cars they already have.

Q. So it's all just a sham then?
A. Yeah, and then you go down to the local level where they claim that they are really supposed to be dealing with plant conditions and they really didn't do much of anything, except made a couple of political moves that will probably help them in the next election. As for working conditions in the plants, they are just as bad, if not worse.

Q. Working conditions, what exactly do you mean when you speak of the working conditions in the plants. Do you mean, like for instance when I was working in a plant on the line, they didn't have suspension brakes for the high-lows, and grease from axles was all over the floor, things of this nature?
A. Yes, you have that kind of problem in all plants where they don't fix the machines and machines are run unsafely. Like for instance in our plants where you are running cars on a line, gas fumes are rapid in fact just a couple of weeks ago they had to put everybody out because of a fire that started because of the gas, in fact a worker lost his life trying to put out the fire, and at the time of the fire the fire extinguishers were not working. So the safety thing at Dodge Main is just ridiculous. About a year ago they had another explosion in skill trades and many men were burnt badly, so this type of occurrence is quite frequent.

Q. You were fired because of your actions and affiliation with DRUM, is that right?
A. When I did start moving with DRUM, management really started to come down hard on me and some of the leaders of DRUM that worked at the plant. The foremen and management would start saying things like they were going to discipline me for being one minute late, and any other petty thing they could get a hold of. Things of that sort that a White worker or one of these guys that just does anything they want him to do without giving them any, what they call trouble, could do, and never get into trouble for. I would continuously be harassed and they would try to make me attack them so they would have a reason to fire me, but I wouldn't fall for that.

Q. What exactly did they fire you over?
A. Well this job I had was a key job, because it can slow the line down, and they never did like this because they want as much production as possible. However, they claim they also want quality, so they say, and if you've been on a line you know that on the line you can't get quality and the kind of speed in production that they want. So if I got the speed they would come down on me for the quality, so I slowed down the line to give them that good "Chrysler quality" but they didn't go for that and anything I did they would attack me. Like I was in this pit, which was a safety hazard in the first place, and you are under the car, and what they want to do is run the car over you, while you do what your supposed to do to it, and this way they can speed up production. But this time they hadn't been doing that, they were just bringing the car in and you adjust the car like you supposed to, the front end is what I had to work on and then start it up and move it down the line. However, one day they decided that they would speed up production, and keep the car running while I worked on it, you know underneath a running car isn't the safest thing, but damn the man down in the pit, this way was cheaper and more expedient and this way they wouldn't have to take the time to start the cars up after the man finished his part, because sometimes the cars wouldn't start and this would cost them a few minutes in time trying to start the car again. So I raised a lot of hell over this, called down the plant safety men and told all the
other brothers in the plant, what was going down, and really did whatever I could to bring this to the light. But what made it so bad on me I was the only one in that pit complaining about what was going on. So they didn't go for that because the safety men found it was a safety hazard, and I had called them. The way I understand it now is that the union has got a new ventilation system and all this time it's been costing the plant plenty bread, and you know they don't go for that. All this time I had been going to the union and complaining to the board of trustees at the meetings it has been stated that it was the unions doing that got this ventilation system and I've been fired.

Q. So they fired you because you were trying to bring about some safety conditions?

A. Yeah, safety conditions and them not getting the production they wanted out on the line, and do it just happen that these racist foremen, all of the foremen are White, even though most of the workers are Black, felt that they weren't getting enough production and it was my fault. So what he did was one day come up to me about five minutes before quitting time, and charged me with walking off the job five minutes before the whistle blew. This I may add is a common practice for the workers around the plant. Especially the White workers, so if they fired people for doing that, there wouldn't be anybody in the plants because like I said it is common practice, even the foremen do it. But, what was really bad is that I didn't walk off the job five minutes early, so it was an out an out racist act. I feel that they are going to have to take me back though because the charge is so ridiculous, if they make it stick, that will be one more example of the bullshit that goes down in the plants.


13. NATIONAL WORKERS PROGRAM

Black Panther Caucus

1. Organize the Unorganized
There are more than 71 million workers in the U.S. Only 20 million of them (28%) are union members. In order for the workers to have the strength and unity we need in fighting the bosses, we must organize all workers into unions.

2. Shorter Work Week
The work week should be 30 hours per week (with no compulsory overtime) at 40 hours pay, or fewer hours if necessary to guarantee full employment.

3. Stop Runaway Shops
When a boss decided to move his shop, all workers must be given the choice of: a) going with the shop at the same wages and conditions (with transportation paid by the boss), or b) taking 3 years severance pay with continuation of health and welfare plans for 3 years or until they find new jobs. The union contract must go with the shop to its new location.

4. Women Workers
One third (1/3) of the workers today are women. Unions must lead the fight for an end to discrimination against women. Women must have equal rights to jobs and must receive equal pay for equal work. Unions that represent women workers must guarantee leadership positions to women. As part of the struggle for these ends unions must demand child care centers to be provided by the companies and the government for the children of working women.

5. Automation
The unions must fight to win the right to negotiate all automation with the company before it takes place. The unions shall set the conditions of automation so that there are no lay-offs and job safety is guaranteed.

6. Health and Welfare
Every year in the U.S. 14,500 workers are killed on the job; over half a million fall ill with occupational diseases; 7 million are injured; and 2 million are disabled.
Unions must fight for the right to set and enforce health and safety standards on the job.

Unions must fight to win fully paid medical and dental coverage for all workers and their families. At the same time, labor must struggle for free medical and dental care for all the people.

7. Anti-Labor Laws

Labor must take the offensive against anti-labor laws by demanding their repeal and defying them when they are used.

8. End Racism

The labor movement must struggle against racism in the unions in order to effectively combat racism in the society. The unions must educate the workers to understand that racism serves the bosses by dividing the workers and preventing the development of class consciousness.

9. End the War

Labor must demand an end to the war in Vietnam and all aggressive imperialist wars. This must be coupled with a struggle in this country against racism and growing fascism.

10. Union Sell-Out

All of the problems we have outlined can be blamed in part on the sell-out of union leadership to class collaborationist, cold war, and racist policies. We must rebuild a militant trade union movement by combating these policies through the formation of rank-and-file caucuses and the fight for class consciousness.


14. BLACK WORKERS—DUAL UNIONS

I'd like to take this opportunity to define what "dual unions" are and what role they will play in the black workers liberation struggle. The position black workers must take on such a question, I will try to relate in this article.

First let us examine the Communist Party's position on dual unions. The clearest example of that was in Chicago at the rank-and-file conference. I was a witness to a verbal attack on a black rank-and-filer because he's trying to build a dual union that will serve the true needs of the workers in his union (New York City Transit Authority). The event took place at the start of the panel discussion on racism. The panel was headed by an old black cat who's in the CPUSA, and it seems he allowed a white dude to get up and run his thing against dual unions, then sit down with a shit-eating grin on his face.

When the brother from NYCTA tried to present his side, he was told to be quiet and sit down—that white dude had done his thing. The Community Party's historical position on dual unions has always been dogmatic and backwards. And black workers must be clear on this point.

To illustrate. Workers know that union leaders wish them to remain ignorant on all political issues because these misleaders have spent years building their power and they are not about to let some small group of young cats beat them at their own game.

The time will come when these brothers will have to break away from the racist unions as they exist today and form their own rank-and-file union to protect themselves and their interest which is not only based in the union but also in the black community. Our union leaders have us thinking that we as employed are better than the unemployed or lumpenproletariat. We do not own any means of production, thereby we have nothing to protect in those factories, so how can we be better than the street brothers?

In labor today there is a strong right-wing leadership (AFL-CIO George Meany; Frank Fitzsimmons, Teamsters; W.A. Boyle, Mine Workers; C.J. Haggerty, Building Trades; and, last but not least, L. Woodcock, Auto Workers), and when I hear somebody talking about we should not get away from these racists, and that I should work within the framework of the present leadership, I say later
for them racist bureaucrats and I suspect their friends in the Communist Party.\textsuperscript{92}

We've heard the echoes of "work in the framework" before, and there's no difference between the Nixons and Kennedys, Wallaces or Unruhes, Agnews or McCarys, Reagans or Daleys of our time.\textsuperscript{93}

We say the friends of our enemies are our enemies and there is no thin line, and when our so-called friends echo the words of our class enemies, then we must deal with our so-called friends, because it is clear they only want to replace the "bad guys" with their "good guys" who have every intention of the union structure remaining.

The time to move is now. The examples have been shown to us by Brothers "Ike" Jernigan, L.A., California, and James Johnson, Detroit. These brothers dealt with the bosses and the union leaders in the only manner they have left.

Dual unions are necessary for all oppressed workers and anybody who stands in the way of this must be shown the method Jernigan and Johnson showed their oppressor.

Free Jernigan
Free Johnson
Free All Political Prisoners
Kenny Horston
Chairman, B.P.C.


15. AUTO MONGERS PLOT AGAINST WORKERS

By William Allan

DETROIT—It's expected soon that the Big 3 of auto, General Motors, Ford, and Chrysler, both in the U.S. and Canada, will drop their boom (their reply to the United Auto Workers demands) on the 1-1/2 million member UAW. Certainly the modest demands of the UAW for a "substantial" wage increase, reported to be around 15 per cent, will encourage the profit-swollen Big 3 to come forth with even more modest offers backed up with lots of hookers.

Long ago the GM moguls laid down the line for the 1970 negotiations, which was that before any money was to be transmitted commitments would have to be forthcoming on a weakened grievance procedure and increased productivity to "cut costs."

The time is getting near. The film-flam of TV lights, radio reporters, the extraneous questions of the pencil and paper reporters, has gone, and now it's down to the nitty-gritty bargaining and to see how much the corporations can get away with.

The auto moguls are also encouraged by the lack of mobilization of the UAW's militant rank and file, who are eyeing uneasily the "15%" reported demand of their union leaders.

Leonard Woodcock, UAW president, at present making the rounds of the boondocks speaking at many meetings to get better known, is feeling the uneasiness and concern of the workers at the disquieting lull in the negotiations.

Woodcock is telling the membership if the companies agree to pay 26 cents owing the workers because of increased cost of living, plus a package increase of 8 per cent, "the union would tell them they are getting warm." The 8 per cent would cover a pension of $500 a month with 30 years seniority, and other fringe demands. But the word coming back from the rank and file gatherings Woodcock is speaking to is that he wants the 26c plus something in the neighborhood of an additional 12 per cent.

Workers that reporters of the Daily World spoke to say that isn't enough because the 26c is not "new money," but old money, and a mere 12 per cent from companies who between 1947 and 1969 made a total of $82 billion in profits before taxes, is not even crumbs.
But the main hammer being quietly used in negotiations against the workers is on working conditions. A sample is the demand by the companies for the right to discharge employees after three days of absenteeism if they don't notify the company they are ill. Also the companies want the right to have their own doctor examine a sick worker to decide if he is ill.

This will affect in great numbers black workers, who because of working in the dirtiest, hardest, hottest, most disagreeable jobs in the industry, the racetrack, gutbusting jobs have to lay off from sheer exhaustion. In this summer heat of 90 degrees outside and 110 inside many sicken and collapse.

This demand of the companies is a racist attack on the black workers and means the companies want to use this to wipe out many of the militant sections of workers, bypassing the grievance procedure. What's alarming is that a UAW spokesman, Nelson Samp, Ford UAW team, was quoted in the press as saying, "We can go along." The companies of course say absenteeism is heaviest on weekends, insinuating workers are off for a weekend somewhere.

While 41 papers have been presented by the UAW on the 1970 demands, not one that we can learn of has been delivered on working conditions, the main problem facing the workers in the plants.

The companies are making moves also to shift local plant demands and negotiations into higher echelon negotiations figuring that in this way they can avoid rank and file strike action unless local demands are won. In the past scores of plant strikes have held up national ratification until these shop demands were won. They are the real nitty-gritty demands, like speedup curbs, health and safety, curbs on overtime, fighting discrimination, equal pay for equal work for women, streamlining the grievance procedure. For example, in the giant Ford Rouge plant, it's learned that 450 grievances from that plant alone are before the national UAW-Ford empire. With scores of Ford plants in the U.S. and Canada, the amount of grievances could run into many hundreds more. Any mobilization by top UAW of rank and files would send these shop demands into major issues, which they are. Failure to mobilize the workers on these fundamental issues takes the heat off GM, Ford, Chrysler and leaves the union open for a smasher of an attack and weakening. No 12 per cent package could remedy that. That's why the present lull is disquieting; it helps management, not the cause of the workers. The negotiators need rallies, marches, demonstrations to put on the heat.


16. BLACK WORKER SHOOTS FOREMEN:
RESOLVE PROBLEM WITH MANAGEMENT

On Wednesday evening, July 15, James Johnson, Jr. responded to months of harassment and years of oppression and shot to death two (2) foremen and one (1) job setter at the Eldon Ave. Gear and Axle Plant. Dead were Hugh M. Jones, 44, a black production foreman; Gary L. Hinze, 32, a white production foreman; and Joseph Kowalski, a white job setter.

Bro. James Johnson was a conveyor loader in Dept. 78, the Brake Shoe Dept. and had been so employed for the past three (3) years. Eldon Ave. Gear and Axle Plant, the home of ELRUM, has some of the worst working conditions of any plant in existence. The plant is so unsafe that all of its 4,500 employees are risking their lives when they walk through the gates. In Dept. 72, there is an inch and a half of oil covering the floor of the aisles. The oil comes up over the soles of the workers' shoes. The entire ventilation system is inoperative. The jitney trucks have no brakes, lopsided tires, no horns, and no lights. The aisle-ways are blocked by skid boxes, axles and scrap iron. Drill presses, cutters and grinders do not have safety guards. The management at Eldon is one of the most backward managements in town and totally nonresponsible to the just cries of black workers. The plant is the only gear and axle plant the Chrysler Corporation has and is the key to continued production of the entire Corporation. Unlike assembly plants where lost production can be
made up, overtime or lost time at Eldon means a slow down at assembly plants. Working conditions are so bad that black foremen have been employed for over 10 years in order to moderate racial conflict at the point of production.

All the hourly employees are represented by Local 961 of the U.A.W.-C.I.O. The union President is Elroy Richardson, a former black Vice-President who rode the tide of black consciousness to the presidency in the spring of 1969. The local executive board consists of a group of loyal flunkeys in the service of every qualm of the President. Local 961 is a backward local with none of the so-called reforms which some other locals have. It has no education department and no good and welfare fund and the union hall is locked more than open. Elroy Richardson is the only Local President out of over 3000 locals to attend the 22nd Annual Constitutional Convention as a Sergeant–of–Arms in Atlantic City in April of this year.

For over the last six (6) months, the already oppressive conditions worsened with the increase in layoffs and accompanying speed–ups of gear and axle production. The first open manifestation of these conditions took place on April 16, 1970, on the midnight shift in Dept. 73, when a white foreman named Ervin Ashlock threatened to bash Bro. John Scott's head in with a pinion gear. Scott then informed his committeemen and the following procedure ended in the discharge of Bro. John Scott. Elroy Richardson, the Union President, sent his union Stewards into the shop and ordered the workers out on a wildcat strike. The strike lasted two (2) days and the President got cold feet and ordered the workers back to work. Two weeks later Chrysler Corporation responded by discharging fourteen (14) Union Representatives and once again the plant went on an unauthorized strike. This strike also lasted two (2) days and the workers were sent back to work without any Union representation.

No Union Stewards were reinstated until about mid–May, which means the workers were forced to toil without any representation whatsoever. During this period the racist management at Eldon took extreme advantage of the situation by arbitrarily forcing workers to perform two (2) jobs, attempting to provoke them to the breaking point. The workers had been beaten down and their spirits defeated by two (2) unsuccessful strikes. They had seen their Stewards discharged in mass and nothing was done about it and if the Chrysler Corporation was bold enough to discharge fourteen (14) union representatives, it was obvious that individual workers' jobs meant nothing. Hope was gone and each worker feared arbitrary discharge for disobeying foremen orders. It was this period of time that witnessed the death of two (2) black workers arising out of harassment from the Medical Department and unsafe working conditions at Eldon. Sister Mamie Williams died in the hospital after being forced back to work by a Chrysler Corporation doctor, which was contrary to the orders of her private physicians who had ordered her to bed. Precisely two (2) weeks later Gary Thompson, a 22–year–old Vietnam war veteran, was crushed to death under a two (2) ton skid box which fell off of his faulty jitney truck. On June 2, 1970, Gary Thompson was buried and both Local 916 and Chrysler Corporation responded merely by sending representatives to his funeral services. In the weeks since the 1st of June, the Eldon management has continued its wanton arbitrary discharges and suspensions while some of the discharged Stewards are still in the streets.

Needless to say, these are the precise and particular conditions under which Brother James Johnson had toiled under in his last six months at Eldon Ave. Gear and Axle Plant. The events around his personal existence as a black employee at Eldon are intricately intertwined with the overall objective conditions mentioned above. James Johnson's dept. is Dept. 78, which is brake shoe dept. in which new conveyor belts were added in February. The new conveyor belt ran at such a rate that it threw brake shoes all over the floor, adding extra work for James Johnson, the conveyor loader on afternoon shift. On the afternoon shift Dept. 78 is under Steward District 11 which is covered by Clarence Horton, who ran unopposed in the last election for steward. Clarence Horton represents three (3) departments: 78 (brake shoe), 80 (brake assembly), and 83 (brake drum). Clarence Horton is a poor union steward who is not even very knowledgeable about union procedure. He is a very poor spokesman and a loyal supporter of Elroy Richardson, the Union President. Clarence Horton was discharged along with thirteen (13) other stewards on May 1st, and was not reinstated until the 2nd week in June. For six (6)
weeks James Johnson was employed at Eldon with no union representation. In the early part of May, James Johnson was involved in an automobile accident and he himself being placed under doctors care. The medical officials at Eldon Ave. ordered him back to work over and above the recommendation of his own private physicians. These were the same officials who ordered Mamie Williams back to work, which led to her death one week later. James had signed up for his vacation time for mid-May. After being ordered back to work, he left the plant on his two-week vacation time which he had previously been granted. James returned to work in the first week in June and was discharged without reason and his vacation pay was denied. At the time of his 1st discharge his union steward was himself discharged. His first discharge was so flagrant that management was forced to reinstate him two (2) days later on its own initiative. After his reinstatement James Johnson became the object of constant surveillance and harassment. All of the foremen in Dept. 78 are merely high school graduates and very blatant and bold in the manner in which they exercise their authority. Management has set out consciously to attempt to provoke James into committing an act for which they could discharge him.

On Wednesday evening, July 15, James Johnson was taken off his job as conveyor loader and replaced by a worker with two (2) weeks seniority. He was then placed on the brake oven job which consists of placing brake shoes in bake ovens which bakes the coating on the brake shoes. The entire operation is done in 120-degree heat. It was at this point that Bro. James Johnson spoke out in protest at being removed from his job. He was taken to labor relations office with his steward, Clarence Horton, and his General Foreman, Jim Rhoades, at which point he was suspended for insubordination and told by his steward to go along with their decisions. Management had reached its long-awaited objective; they had provoked Bro. James to speak out against his treatment. James Johnson was then escorted out of the plant by plant protection guards. He returned shortly, armed with a 30-caliber carbine, in a desperate search for his General Foreman, Jim Rhoades. He supposedly asked all of his fellow workers to stand back for he was not going to hurt them. En route, in pursuit of James Rhoades, he encountered his foreman, Hugh Jones; a foreman in an adjacent dept., Gary Hinz; and a jobsetter who tried to disarm him. Johnson then supposedly threw his weapon down, saying, "I'm satisfied," and walked back to the guard shack, where he was apprehended by the Detroit Police Department.

Bro. James Johnson has moved the Black Workers struggle at the point of production to a new and higher level. As we have stated over and over, the oppressive and inhumane working conditions inside the auto industry, coupled with the sellout and class-collaborationist unions, have sparked open rebellions in basic industry. Often times in the past black workers have been driven to the point where they could stand no more and have lashed out viciously at their tormentors. In February of 1969, Bro. Rushie Forge was driven to the breaking point and lashed out, stabbing a black Labor Relations Representative, William Young, at Dodge Main. Bro. Chuck Wooten reached his breaking point minutes after Rushie by stomping Dick Prallie, a white General Foreman, in Dept. 9110 at Dodge Main. In August of 1969, Bro. Sid Lewis was likewise driven to that point and lashed out at his foreman, Howard Lewis, in Dept. 9130 at Dodge Main. In July of 1969, Bro. Ike Jernigan, employed at Lockheed Aircraft in Los Angeles, California, lashed out and shot and killed his foreman, his Union President, and another Union official. In September of 1969, another black worker in Dept. 9150 at Dodge became outraged and locked his foremen in the trunk of a car on the assembly line. Individual outrages at the point of production represent only one form which the struggle of black workers has taken. There have been individual acts of sabotage against property and all forms of wildcat strikes and numerous caucuses have been formed—all in response to the monstrous oppressive conditions that exist inside basic industry. As black workers rise up we have nothing to lose but our chains, we have nothing to lose but our jobs, our homes, our families and our future, our automobiles and our television sets. The owners and operators of the means of production own our jobs, our homes, and our families. We have neither security nor hope for the future. They control our places of employment, the schools that our children attend, decide what our wages shall be, and what kind of society our children will live in. They tell us when, where and how long and how hard we shall work. They own everything of value.
Brothers, they even think that they own us. The owners and operators of the means of production may cause one brother to lose his job, but they can't fire one thousand. They can take away one man's home, and one man's car; but they can't steal from one hundred thousand. They cannot repossess from one million. They can wreck one family, but they cannot wreck the unity of one million families. They can enslave thousands, but they cannot enslave the unity of millions. And they can defeat one armed enraged black worker, but they cannot defeat a million armed black workers or the unified mass of 20 million.


17. MARUM NEWSLETTER

The Pig Is Back

We understand the Pig is back to work (Stanley Vaske) doesn't it strike you funny that the pig got caught stealing and was paid off by labor relations a very short time ago, well he sure got back to work awfully fast, just goes to show what these honkies will do, if it were a black worker paid off he would stay off for a long time but if its a honkey worker he gets back to work in less than a month. Of course you know we have a honkey labor relations man and he looks out for all wrong doers if they are white, there are many black brothers stay out much longer for less crimes, but then that is some of that Mississippi justice Georgie boy is dishing out. We want to remind labor relations of one thing and that is this shit is playing out and you don't have very long to straighten it out. If he thinks he can continually get away with this double standard shit he is badly mistaken, we don't see how he sleeps nights but we know he does although he have cause some bread winners to suffer. When we start our bargaining with upper management one of our first demands will be that Georgie boy Doty and Kiel must go they are not fit to manage nothing, they don't know how to treat people especially if they are black.

To show you that the union are in co-hoots with the corp. there is no way in the world the pig could have gotten back to work this quick if there hadn't been a deal made. It's funny how he got back and no one else in the history of the union got back that fast. Between the two racist Dorman and Georgie Boy some dealing went on, and we are aware of what went on. We know that the union gave away quite a number of grievances. They give away all the workers problems just to get that greedy ass Vaske back. What if the pig had been black? He would be two years getting back.

Sweat and Blood

We give our sweat and blood to this racist outfit, we even buy what we build trying to make it strong and what do we get in return? We get a hard way to go, we can't go into the better jobs because of racism, and all this is in co-hoots with the UAW, no black workers can make a mistake without paying for it. Labor Relations doesn't give a black worker a chance. What ever the situation is the black pays. It is a double standard type justice going on and this includes the top of the Personnel right down to the lowest foremen in the plant. A foreman can claim a black worker does something and without trial or investigation Georgie boy stamps his doom seal on the worker, the foreman don't even have to be present, just leave a note and labor relations goes to work.

To show you that this corp. don't mean black people any good they say they don't discriminate yet if a supervisor commits a wrong against black workers and have to be dealt with he not only don't get punished, he gets a better job, which in turn gives other whiteys the incentive to mess over black workers. Underwood and Polite wound up with better jobs just because
they mistreated some black workers. We are damn sick and tired of this double standard shit and the next time this racist ass corp. does something like that they will remember it a long long time. We are giving this corp. a chance to stop this bull, and if they don't we will inform you of another course of action. Look at the situation in Pittsburgh, first they say black people are lazy and when they try to get into a better job then they say what do they want. This has been going on for the past four hundred years, first you are lazy and when you try they want to know what do you want, and that is how that damn Welfare started.

We say one thing and that is the hell with the welfare and to hell with this double dealing shit, everything in the United States is just as much ours as it is theirs and we intend to get it.

Fools Set Foolish Examples

There is one of our brothers that is the biggest Tom in the plant, and to top it all off this clown is a young fellow, we refer to one conk head BRADY. If he were an old man you could expect Tomism but this country ass fool is a young man. Look at some of the things this fool does. He cooks and brings honkey Joe Williams breakfast every morning and spreads it out on the table, could it be that honkey Joe is screwing him. He sure acts like his wife and when he is not playing wife to Joe he is stuck up in the Canfield Bar hoping to get something from that honkey broad that runs the joint. Maybe he has a double thing going but we don't think so. The thing he better watch is Joe and the broad may have a thing going. Another thing is very plain to see and that is that broad hasn't got him on the back side of her ass. He had enough nerve to say he was going to run for chief Steward, well if a vote came between him and a toilet stool we would have to vote for the stool.

YOU CAN TAKE TOM OUT OF THE COUNTRY BUT! YOU CAN'T TAKE THE TOM OUT OF BRADY.

A Word to Bobby Bell

A few weeks ago Bobby Bell was suspended for allegedly pushing a foreman, we know he wouldn't be dealt with fairly because right away that double standard practice went into effect. Now who even heard of a worker hitting or pushing a foreman that he doesn't even know, he had never seen this bum before but he still was fired. What about the foreman? What did he do to provoke this action. The double dealing Corp. sent Bell a letter saying he was fired instead of a suspension, they suspended him first and that was to get him out of the plant and then they sent him the letter saying he was fired.

We still want to know what did that foreman do to provoke this? We also want to know why labor relations fire people without hearing both sides of the story. Of course we knew Bobby wouldn't be dealt with fairly because he happened to be a black man and on the other hand georgie boy double standards must prevail.

We wonder how labor relations sleep nights after having put a working Father with children in the streets. Maybe he thinks black children don't have to eat.

Progress: Means to Look Ahead

Now progress means to go forward and to go forward means to look ahead, but in order to look ahead one must have foresight and when we look at the jokers running the local all we see is Hindsightedness.

Honkeys like Dorman and Zappa and Toms like Ghant and Marshall aren't capable of running a local. What have Dorman done since he has been president other than put the racist ass Britten in the local when the local was already full of honkies. What has Joe Zappa done and has he been around the local quite awhile. About the only thing he ever did was to help keep blacks out of the skill trades.

It was bad enough that we weren't getting any representation at the mack plant but then they had to go and assign that Tom ass Bill Marshall to represent the mack plant, this Tom isn't capable of representing a hens ass much less talking about people. He will sell his soul out for a dime. Hank Ghant
isn't any better because he already said what ever the honkies do or tell him
to do is alright with him.

There is one thing we must do right away and that is get rid of these
Toms, we also told you guys in 1970 that if you want to progress that you
would have to get rid of Stanley James and Sambo Weary, in the first place
they are not capable of representing you and secondly they are playing games
with your bread and butter. These Toms make deals but the deal is not in your
favor.

MARUM

The UAW sneaked into the plant and left a pink leaflet (just like the
sneak's they are) and they are trying to get your mind off of the real issues
just like they have been doing for years, they are talking about electing
management personnel and all that bunk and the vacation pay, etc., well we
don't give one damn about this crap they are talking. We want EQUALITY AND
DIGNITY: What good is all this shit if you have to go into the back room to
have yours. Lets talk about the real issues since they brought us the subject,
that is concerning the Chrysler Corp. and the UAW.

CHRYSLER: What about better jobs for black people, how come there are no
black UP-GRADERS in tool and die, how come there are no Superintendents in the
plant, and how come there are no black people on good jobs as a whole? The
reason is simple that racist corp. works real hard at keeping black workers
down, and these double standard double dealing policies they have must go and
the time is running out.

UAW: Lets talk about throwing rocks at someone else when you live in a
glass house yourself. There are more PREJUDICE in the UAW than there are in
the Chrysler Corp. although they are in collusion with each other. Lets look at
some facts. You have over one thousand international Reps and less than one
hundred are black, you have a dozen or more reps from Local 212 and only one
(1) is a black, the same thing applies to the local.

Now you tell us what the above statement has to do with pensions,
insurance, etc. The fact of the matter is these honkys don't want to see black
people with a damn thing. They want your dues but they don't want you to
participate in the management of the affairs of the union. So the Hell with
the UAW, all we want from you is OUTVILLE, and this came from Mack Ave. not
Wayne.

MARUM (Mack Ave. Revolutionary Union Movement), Vol. 1, No. 2. In possession
of the editors.
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18. BLACK WORKERS: KEY REVOLUTIONARY FORCE

January 1969

Imperialism has one primary need—to amass maximum profits. Therefore,
the oppression of Black workers at home and the domination of oppressed
peoples in Asia, Africa and Latin America is not merely an aberration of
deranged imperialists, but the necessary operation of imperialism.

Racism is the political expression of imperialism; it organizes and
justifies such brutal exploitation at home and abroad that the exploitation of
Black workers is the most profitable domestic business of U.S. imperialism.

Wage differentials between Black and white workers each year amount to
$22 billion. In addition, billions more are saved by denying Black Americans
the vital social services necessary for survival; this is the enormity of Black oppression.

Imperialism as a system must perpetuate racism in order to thrive; it must continue to reap the super-profits derived from the "racial inferiority" thesis it has drummed home into both Black and white workers.

Consequently, the ability of the working class to reject racism is crucial to its ability to end class oppression. U.S. imperialism cannot exist without the brutal super-exploitation of Black people and, therefore, will never grant equality to Black workers.

The fact of this $22 billion of super-profits raked in by the bosses in this country permeates every aspect of life. It leads us to the conclusion that unless an all-out fight is made against the racism that permits this robbery—a battle waged by revolutionaries in the first place and by the working class in general—then (1) the workers will be unable to make any basic advances in their class interests and establish a Left-Center coalition to lead their fight against the bosses; (2) the danger of fascism will increase; (3) the hacks who serve the ruling class at the head of the trade union movement will continue to ride roughshod over the interests of the rank and file; and (4) no Marxist-Leninist revolutionary party will succeed in the United States.

The basic industries on which the U.S. ruling class depends for its very existence are increasingly using Black workers as a source of labor power. In the auto industry, which affects one out of every seven jobs in this country, there is a growing Black minority. No longer limited to 10 or 20 percent of the work force, it now makes up 35 to 50 percent, and in many plants Black workers are in a majority. In the steel mills the Black work force has reached about 35 percent of the total. In the next 8 to 10 years the present 500,000-man work force in basic steel is expected to dwindle to 200,000 if the $2 billion annual capital investment plans of steel bosses produce their planned results. Since the preponderance of Black workers are among the unskilled—those most likely to be affected by such plans—a fierce struggle involving tens of thousands of Black workers is looming.

The transportation industries are gaining increasing numbers of Black workers, since this is another area that hires many unskilled workers. In many metropolitan mass transit systems, Black workers form a majority. This is also true in other "vital city services" such as sanitation where Black workers compose from 30 to 70 percent of the work force.

Thus, though Black workers compose only 10-15 percent of the population, their presence—and militancy—in such vital areas of the economy as basic industry, the key unionized sectors, and key industries in big cities, gives them a far greater importance than their numbers suggest; in fact, a decisive importance.

Consider New York City, for instance: Black and Latin workers make up 25 percent of the population but are a majority, or near it, in mass transit, sanitation, garment, post office, welfare department, and are sizable minorities in teamsters, railroad, longshore, distribution and city government. Though New York's white workers form majorities in some of these industries, most of them are in the skilled crafts and in the white-collar sales areas.

Black workers, therefore, being either a majority or sizable militant minority, can bring the city's politicians and their bosses to their knees.

The above example can be repeated in other large cities where Black workers make up an even larger percentage of the population—up to 40 and even 50 percent in places like Chicago, New Orleans, Newark, and Detroit.

Since capitalism as a system creates racism, there is more to the problem than just the effects within the working class at the point of production. The ruling class-created ghetto so permeates every area of life that white workers—and the middle class—can no longer escape its growing effects. During the New York school shutdown, a racist fight affected every neighborhood in the city as Black parents demanded better education for their children.

But the effects of the ghetto spread far beyond education: super profits to banks grow from mortgages on ghetto housing; rebellions begin to shape the uses of the army and national guard as well as local police forces; the flight of whites to surrounding areas makes Black people a greater force within the cities and creates sharper contradictions about "who pays" for the running of the city, since the remaining Black workers are the lowest paid; the
hopelessness of ghetto life leads Black youth to enlist in the armed forces or await the draft, making for a less stable military to depend on in foreign imperialist wars and in domestic rebellions. The increasing revolts among Black servicemen in Vietnam and here at home attest to this instability. The special oppression also leads to a greater resistance to being drafted by many Black youth. Both types of opposition to the military creates a greater need for the ruling class to figure out ways to put more pressure on white youth to "serve their time," resulting in all kinds of new gimmicks to maintain a standing army. Again the special oppression of Black people sharpens the contradictions for the whole population.

Of course, the ruling class has many "answers" for these problems: "community control"; breaking up present slums with middle-class housing and relocating Black people in new slums; making welfare clients into case-aides and eliminating caseworkers, who cost more money; hiring more Black cops and national guardsmen, as well as turning militant Black youths in the ghetto into local police forces over Black workers ("community control of the police").

Though it's been said that fascism will come to the U.S. in the guise of democracy, it is more important to say that racism will be the main tool the ruling class uses to turn white workers and the white middle class to fascism. The bosses will try to present the Black workers as the main enemy in every one of the situations already cited, thereby preventing the specially oppressed Black workers from leading the whole working class in revolution against the bosses.

Recent Experiences in Labor Movement

The central importance of the fight against racism—and the potential for working-class victory if the fight is successful—is reflected in the fact that it is fast becoming the burning question in just about every major trade union and community struggle now taking place.

In the New York City school shut-down, the Shanker leadership of the United Federation of Teachers has done the bidding of the bosses by calling a racist walkout directed essentially against the Black and Latin working-class parents of the city. The split between white and Black workers in New York has not only hurt any common class struggle against these bosses, but has set rank-and-file white teachers fighting ghetto parents, and generally taken the heat off the main enemy—the ruling class's Board of Education. (For a full analysis of this struggle see Challenge, October 1968 and the section of the Black Liberation Program on community control, in this issue).

In a recent major rank-and-file-led strike in New York's largest industry, garment (see PL, October 1968), racism was the tactic the bosses tried to use to split the Black and Latin workers. This was a particularly important gambit for the garment bosses because these workers were setting an outstanding example to the 250,000 workers in the garment center and could become of decisive importance in breaking the boss-banker-ILGWU-Mafia-police hold on those workers. Nor did the ruling class lose sight of the fact that half of these quarter-million workers live in the ghetto and could bring in special organized leadership because of the experience gained in their struggles against the bosses at the point of production.

In recent auto wildcats, the issue of racism assumed an increasing importance. First there were the King assassination walkouts, led by Black workers, which shut down the plants; in some cases the companies tried to forestall the movement by voluntarily closing down "in memoriam" before the Black workers walked. Then there were disorganized attempts by white workers to walk after Kennedy was killed, but these were racist reactions. (If "they" could shut it down for one of "their own" why can't we do the same for one of "ours"). For the most part these failed to shut the plants.

In two wildcat strikes in Chicago—Railway Express and bus drivers—again it was Black workers in the lead, with the bus strike contributing to the disruption at the Democratic convention.

And there have also been welfare client demonstrations. Since these were generally led by government anti-poverty forces, the caseworkers were on the spot. They had to find a course of action that would neither be directed against the clients nor seek out the cops as allies but would, at the same time, help build the union against the city, not against the clients and also
defeat the racism existing among both white and Black caseworkers.

These struggles—involving either the leadership of Black workers, the fight against racism by Black and white workers or the use of racism by the ruling class to divide and weaken the working class—follow many battles of a similar nature in the past year: a wildcat at Ford's Mahwah (N.J.) assembly plant when Black workers walked out with the support of white workers after a white foreman called one Black worker a "Black bastard" (see Challenge, May 1968); the historic Memphis sanitation men's strike, which fought the whole ruling class structure of that deep Southern city for union recognition and decent pay and conditions, setting a fighting example for unorganized workers all over the South (see PL, June 1968); the wildcat strike and two-day rebellion of 15,000 Black and white workers at Newport News (Va.) Shipbuilding and Dry Dock Co., initiated by 200 Black workers over oppressive working conditions and discrimination, and joined by the rest of the workers, a majority of whom are white (see PL, Oct.-Nov. 1967). No doubt still more examples both inside and outside the trade union movement could be cited to prove the point that racism and the fight against it, especially when led by Black workers over class issues, has become the all-pervading issue in the country.

Ruling Class Reactions

That the ruling class recognizes the importance of maintaining racism is evident from its latest actions. A two-pronged drive has unfolded raising racism among white workers to new heights and also pushing anti-working class Black nationalism to an unprecedented degree.

The ruling class made "law and order" (meaning shoot Black people) the main issue in the recent elections; it gave Wallace tremendous publicity to bring out the worst racism among white workers; under the guise of "community control" it provoked a school shut-down in New York City designed to weaken and destroy the teachers' union as well as whip up racism among white people; it is attempting to use professional workers such as teachers and welfare workers—people with middle-class backgrounds, aspirations and ideology—as a base from which to launch strong attacks on Black and Latin workers and the class consciousness of workers generally; and it is using every anti-working class Black nationalist it can create or buy as a target for white people to vent their racism on.

And that is the other side of the ideological coin: the capturing of the Black movement by anti-working class nationalism, using the very increase in Black consciousness itself as a weapon against both Black and white workers. The ruling class is afraid of the class leadership Black workers in Black caucuses can give to white workers, setting them in motion against their sellout leaders. Thus, the big pitch for "Black capitalism" (a major plank of Nixon's campaign), or "sitting down with the Black Panthers," or "making contact" to keep things cool—meaning buying off any Black militants, an approach increasingly used by mayors such as Alioto of San Francisco and Lindsay and his "urban task force" in New York, and "community control"; in other words, anything to prevent Black workers from developing a revolutionary, Marxist-Leninist outlook.

The ruling class "lieutenants" in the labor movement are busy, too. It is no accident that Reuther is forming a second labor federation at this time. In addition to the unions considering joining (UAW, teamsters, chemical workers, rubber workers—all with large numbers of Black workers), it will probably include unions such as District 65, the drug and hospital workers' Local 1199 and the UFT in New York, as well as many ex-left-led unions that are having plenty of trouble keeping their increasing Black memberships in line. Reuther, himself, sees the handwriting on the wall in the UAW, with Black workers increasing in membership and in leadership of rank-and-file actions.

Therefore, what better way to create the illusion of action than to set up a safety valve for more militant workers to "fight the Meany old guard," which, of course, includes the "fight for civil rights." As things stand now, the Alliance for Labor Action may have four million members. It will be looking for—and feeding—Black nationalists and sellouts to join the payroll and become the leaders of the militant Black workers. In other words, the main feature of this new federation will be to contain the rising rank-and-file
militancy in the labor movement, of which the Black workers form a crucial part. The organizers are even "sponsoring" (unofficially, of course) Black caucuses in their own unions (Reuther in the UAW, Shanker in the UFT) to steer the workers down the wrong road.

Fighting Racism: Principled Struggle

In PLP's Black Liberation Program we stressed the necessity of organizing Black workers in the shops and at the "point of production." Here, we have emphasized the role of Black workers in certain key industries and the all-pervading influence of racism and the fight against it in every important people's struggle now occurring. From this it must be concluded that unless an all-out fight is made against racism within the working class (which, of course, includes our own members), a Marxist-Leninist party cannot grow or succeed in the United States. Furthermore, the ability to defeat a ruling-class move to fascism will be seriously weakened. We will not even be able to construct a Left within the trade union movement, let alone a real Left-Center coalition.

It is not only crass racism to conceive of building this Left and this coalition without major emphasis on the role of Black workers in leadership of--it is also impossible. In the past, many of us have coupled the correct idea of the Black Liberation Movement being the "vanguard of the revolutionary process" in the U.S. with the false notion that this meant the Black people as a whole were in advance of the white workers, especially in basic industries. And, further, that while the Black people could not wait for the white workers, at some time the white workers would catch up and assume their rightful place (being the majority, after all) in the leadership of the working-class movement. It is time to bury this theory, for it is now clear that the trade union movement--and any budding Left-Center coalition within it--will be smashed unless it decisively includes Black workers in its leadership as well as, of course, in its rank and file. Therefore, the Black workers (not the "all-class" Black population) are an essential part of the revolutionary potential of the U.S. working class and in a quality and quantity far exceeding their percentage in the population. Without the Black workers, no rank-and-file movement of workers in any key area of the trade union movement can succeed for long, if at all.

The fight against racism is inseparable from the fight of rank-and-file led unions, from working class solidarity. We can thus define the New York school shutdown as a racist action, not a strike in the class interests of workers. And we can oppose "solidarity" in the abstract by asking "solidarity with whom and for what?" In New York it was solidarity with the teachers' bosses, and administrators and principals, to say nothing of the cops necessary to "protect" teachers' "job security" in the schools. That's about the last place to look for job protection--to the police whose job is to break the class actions of workers.

Contradictions such as these are going to increase. We must prepare to analyze every action led predominantly by white workers from a class viewpoint that considers racism an anti-working class factor. We must carefully determine if the action is building solidarity against the ruling class or for racism.

From all this we must conclude that the question of fighting racism is a principled question, a question of strategy, not tactics. Building a base for revolutionary ideology and for a Marxist-Leninist party, rather than a base "for the union" or a personal base for ourselves, can only succeed if the fight against racism is made a central task of the Marxist-Leninist party.

This problem is most clearly revealed among teachers in New York because the class struggle is a lot hotter, at the moment, on the school issue than it is in many other trade union situations. But when the battle heats up in other industries and areas of working-class struggle, we will be faced with the same ruling-class drive to raise racism to a fever pitch. We could then easily give in to it (since to fight it "isolates me") the way some teachers did.

Such behavior stemmed from the confused idea that the struggle was for solidarity in a trade union class struggle, rather than a racist action; therefore, any opposition might lead to isolation from one's fellow teachers.
Yet, in nearly every instance where the class analysis of the action as racist was put forward, some teachers—and parents—were won over to that understanding, and won over on a higher level than ever before. This is building a base for a Marxist-Leninist party, for a revolutionary ideology. To "defend the union" under any and all circumstances, without examining the class content of that defense, is economism, not Marxism; and in this case was also racism. Such a defense will have the opposite result: It will destroy the union as a viable weapon of class struggle for rank-and-file teachers. In such a situation Black and white workers (in this case, Black parents and white teachers) who see "going into school or staying out" as a purely tactical question are thinking of racism itself as a tactical question. Here we see, in sharp relief, the inseparability of class-conscious trade unionism and the fight against racism, since in not fighting racism in a principled way the union, as an organization that is supposed to fight in the class interests of its members, is being destroyed.

We cannot adopt an approach that says: "Racism is a tough question; it splits workers if you fight on it too soon. Therefore, fight on other not-so-tough economic questions first." With racism staring us in the face now in just about every situation we encounter, the "too soon" approach will tend to make the fight against racism a tactical question. This would be a disaster for a Marxist-Leninist party. We must make the fight against racism a cardinal principle. Of course, this doesn't mean that the first time one meets a particular racist white worker he should be fed the entire Marxist-Leninist analysis in one swallow. But it does mean that the path of how to—and the necessity to—fight racism every step of the way is laid in concrete discussions in our PLP clubs, in caucuses, and in all organs of people's struggle.

For our part, in PLP, we must re-emphasize the struggle to win over the white workers, away from racism and to a class line. Not to do this would be to all into the trap of: "All white workers are racist; therefore develop relations with only Black workers." It is possible to make progress among most white workers, as we have found from our own experience. Even more important, we must develop the kind of mutual trust and confidence among workers that goes into their understanding of us as communists. Such a relationship will go a long way to helping us get listened to and break down racism among white workers. The main concentration for white communists is still among white workers.

Black Communism Reflect Anti-Racism

A real measure of whether we're fighting racism among the masses is whether we're recruiting Black workers to a Marxist-Leninist party, which in turn is a reflection of how well we're fighting racism among white workers. No Black worker can be expected to join such a party unless it is actively fighting racism among white workers who are racist. Thus, recruiting Black workers becomes a key question and forces white communists to measure up to what they're doing among white workers as well. For instance, are white workers being recruited to a line that doesn't include fighting racism as a principled question?

There are, of course, many special aspects to the fight against racism. For example: People who come from middle class or student backgrounds acquire a special brand of racism over and above the brand developed among white workers, a certain class snobbishness that is directed against all workers but that becomes a racist attitude when it involves Black workers.

Another problem concerns teachers. Teachers have a particular problem in fighting racism because they are involved not only with their fellow workers but also with the children and parents in the large cities where a high proportion of the population is Black or Latin. Though an auto or garment worker may not like his work, this doesn't necessarily reflect itself in racism towards his fellow workers. But if a teacher doesn't like children, he will inevitably adopt racist attitudes toward the ghetto children similar to the racism of his fellow teachers. Teachers deal mostly with the children of the working class and in a high percentage of cases with the children of the specially oppressed Black and Latin workers. Under these circumstances, to dislike children will inevitably result in anti-working class and racist attitudes. If the cornerstone of any strong teachers' union is an alliance
with parents, and if these parents are part of specially oppressed groups—victims of racism—certainly a dislike of children that becomes racism will defeat the aim of any teacher attempting to fight in the class interests of his fellow teachers or of the working class as a whole.

Racism is the main tool the ruling class has to divide the working class. In every instance where its use has been successful, all workers have been set back, no matter how much a privileged group of white workers think they’ve gained, because the united struggle of workers as a class has been weakened. And in all the instances cited in this article, where racism has been forced to take a back seat, the class interests of all workers—Black and white—moved forward.

To root this cancer out of our Party and the working class is a first order of business. We must make a qualitatively renewed effort to study the questions of racism and nationalism as they reveal themselves in our everyday relations with white and Black workers. We must oppose racism whenever and wherever it bursts forth, in the smallest incident as well as the biggest strike or working-class struggle.

The fight against racism is a life and death matter in the United States. To succeed means to bring the militant and revolutionary leadership of the specially oppressed Black workers to the working class as a whole in the total fight against the same exploiter—the bosses who own and run this country. It means to build a base for socialism within the trade union movement, and a Left-Center coalition that will toss out the present sellout misleadership and work in the class interests of the rank and file. And it means that a truly revolutionary Marxist-Leninist party will be built in the U.S., one that will not in any way accommodate itself to the ideology of the class enemy.

Therefore, for the working class to emancipate itself and all oppressed people, for it to eventually seize state power as a class, with a Marxist-Leninist party in its vanguard, the racism that splits the working class must be buried.


19. BLACK WORKERS MUST LEAD

The most important question facing the Black Liberation Movement is who—what class—will give leadership to the movement. Everyone and all classes cannot lead. There must be a focal point, a leadership, a guiding force, a section of the people that must give leadership.

That focal point and leadership must be a CLASS since all societies are class societies. This means that in all societies there are the people that rule and the people who are being ruled. They are the different classes. In the U.S. today the ones who rule are the boss class (called the bourgeoisie), and they rule over the working class—the overwhelming majority of the people.

The working class and the bourgeoisie are constantly in a struggle. For the moment the ruling class (the bourgeoisie) has the upper hand. As a result we are forced to work for them for low wages, forced to live in slum housing, forced into inferior schools, forced into the army, etc. If any have the illusion that they are not FORCED into these conditions they should consider how workers are treated by the cops, the national guards, the courts,
the jails and prisons, etc. This rule depends on FORCE and is properly called the DICTATORSHIP OF THE BOURGEOISIE.

The dictators—the "ruling class" or bourgeoisie—is the group of bankers, bosses and landlords who own the large industrial plants, factories and farms, and buildings. They actually determine who will be president, governor, mayor, police chief, judge, etc. This class of rich people that run this country are the exact opposite of us and are our enemy and as long as they run the country we will never be free!

The working class ("proletariat") are the people who must sell their labor-power in order to live. They own no means of production.

AMONG THE BLACK PEOPLE there are similar divisions into classes. But the Black bourgeoisie exists more as a state of mind, as something they would like. Most of these people are cheap imitators of the white bourgeoisie, the "Ebony magazine type Negroes"—with or without "naturals."

Thus, what is called the "Black bourgeoisie" is in reality a member of the lower middle-class that WANTS to be in the ruling class and share the gravy of exploiting the working class. Many of these people work for a living (sell their labor power) just like any member of the working class. The major difference is that their loyalty is to the ruling class and not to the working class.

These social climbers will use any method to achieve their ends. They may yell to the skies "Black power!" "Black capitalism." "We have to do our own thing," "Buy Black," "Support your own" (meaning themselves) and on and on. The key question is whether their program and action is for the liberation of the Black working masses—not just themselves and their few class brothers. We must ask who are they trying to organize and for what? And when we examine these questions we find that their class position is clear.

They talk and act in a manner that says clearly, "We are the educated class and we know what's good for the movement." They talk down to the Black workers, and, in fact, hold the Black working people in contempt! If they ever speak to the Black workers it is for the purpose of getting them to support some project whose main benefit will be just for themselves or their class. NATURALS AND DASHIKIS CANNOT HIDE THEIR BASIC PETTY-BOURGEOIS CLASS POSITION!

Sitting on the Fence

Most of these would-be members of the "Black bourgeoisie" have been college trained. What effect does this have on them? Let's examine.

The ruling class has selected a handful of Blacks to send to college to be trained to carry out the policies that the ruling class has made. These students have been educated in middle-class values and, yes, trained to be white middle-class in Black skins! It is quite similar to the imperialist countries training local civil servants, teachers, police, etc. to carry out its policies in the colonial countries.

WITH THE TREMENDOUS UPSURGE of the Black rebellions and the increasing fighting spirit among large sections of the Black workers, these middle-class trained Blacks are caught in the middle. Many do not know which way to turn. Should they line up on the side of the Black workers or on the side of the "Black bourgeoisie" and the ruling class?

Many of them, at this point, have decided to try to straddle the fence. They don't want to give up anything and at the same time they want to be identified with "the movement." At no point do they change their "in" way of life, their aspirations or their relationship to the Black workers.

Sometimes they may change their outward appearances, but this is because they have no strength unless they get some support from the working class—which is 90% of the Black people. So they become the loudest talkers about "Black capitalism" and "Black culture," etc. They claim this is "speaking to the needs of the Black people." THE NEEDS OF THE BLACK PEOPLE ARE HOUSING, SCHOOLS, DECENT EDUCATION, JOBS, AN END TO POLICE BRUTALITY, END IMPERIALIST WARS. THE NEED IS TO END EXPLOITATION OF MAN BY MAN—TO DESTROY CAPITALISM—TO ACHIEVE SOCIALISM: IN OTHER WORDS—TO MAKE REVOLUTION! THIS WORKING CLASS RULE IS THE OPPOSITE OF WHAT WE HAVE NOW AND IS CALLED THE DICTATORSHIP OF THE PROLETARIAT!

When leaders speak about and organize around these issues then they will be "speaking to the needs of the Black people!" But what most have been
attempting to do is to hide their class position and their bourgeois world outlook in a lot of talk about Black this or Black that—to hide the fact that the things THEY want would be a sellout of the struggles of the vast majority of the Black people—the Black workers!

Who Must Lead?

For many years the Black Liberation Movement has been led by the so-called "Black bourgeoisie"—in the name of preachers, students, nationalists, athletes, entertainers—in a word, by everyone EXCEPT the people whose interests all of this leading is SUPPOSED to be serving—the Black working people! Why do these mis-leaders get the spotlight? The reason is that when a true Black workers' organization emerges the ruling class does everything in its power to smash it. But the RULERS will HELP the NON-working class leaders to flourish, by giving them money, giving them publicity, etc.

A clear example is the Black rebellions. The young workers and the unemployed have been doing the fighting and organizing the resistance to oppression, but it is the would-be Black bourgeoisie "spokesmen" that appear afterwards and get handouts. The ruling class helps them "feather their own nest" because this is the cheapest way to make sure that 90% of the Black people—the working class--the fighters--get nothing!

The so-called "Black bourgeoisie" cannot lead the Black Liberation Movement at this level of the movement's development! When our movement was at a lower level of development some of the "Black bourgeoisie" did help by stirring things up. But now that the movement is very much alive the need is for much clearer leadership and they mess us up. Now it is up to the working class to seize the leadership. Leaders not in the working class will change with the wind and can be counted on to put their personal interests above that of the mass of the Black people—the workers.

YES, THE CLASS THAT MUST LEAD is the Black working class! This is the class that daily suffers at the hands of the bosses and the slumlords. This is the class that daily fights, in a thousand and one ways, to protect its class interests against the bosses. This is the class that controls production (the factories, the transportation system, the shops, the mine pits, etc.). They are the ones that can organize to hurt imperialism where it will hurt most --at the source of profit.

The Black workers have the organizing skills that they learn in the shops and in the unions; and it is in their most direct interest to overthrow U.S. imperialism because as long as imperialism exists they will never be free. U.S. imperialism CANNOT BUY OFF the entire working class (this would mean the end of their profits); whereas it is not difficult to buy off a handful of the so-called "Black bourgeoisie."

The Black working people are stable and the chance of them waver or straddling a fence very small. What fence is there to straddle? What is there to waver about? They have been born into the working class, have become workers and the odds are that they will always remain workers. This should be a source of pride because no economy or system can exist without the working class. The ruling class, through its propaganda machinery, always try to downgrade the people who use their hands to earn a living. They glorify the white collar workers and try to say that only the "misfits," "those who can't make it," etc. wind up as workers with their hands. This is a lie and part of their attempt to keep our working men and women in slavery!

IT IS THESE WORKERS THAT ARE the most militant and that strike fear in the hearts of the ruling class. It is the working class, and the working class only that can properly lead the Black Liberation Movement. They have accumulated years of experience and have developed hatred for the ruling class and the bosses.

Students and the more advanced sections of the small bourgeoisie can join this leadership by serving the people--by putting their talents into the service of the working-class revolution.

Black Workers Organize!

The Black workers must kick out the fakers and pimps and bloodsuckers! Their leadership has meant sellouts and compromise. It has meant leaders
accepting deals when the ruling class comes in with a higher bid. It has meant lining up with the ruling class—the enemy of the Black workers!

BLACK WORKERS, ORGANIZE the workers in your shops and plants against the corrupt union leadership and against the bosses, around your class demands! Where there is no union organize one that will represent the interests of the workers in the shop.

Organize in your communities against the slumlords, against police brutality, for a decent education for our children, against the dope traffic—organize to protect and save our communities against "Black removal."

Organize to kick out the fakers who call themselves "leaders" but feather their own nests.

BLACK WORKING MEN AND WOMEN: ORGANIZE, ORGANIZE, ORGANIZE! You must take your rightful place at the head of the Black Liberation Movement!

Without your leadership there will be no freedom or liberation for the Afro-American people!


MORE BLACK LABOR RADICALISM

20. RACISM AND THE WORKERS' MOVEMENT

Philadelphia Workers' Organizing Committee

How the Few Rule the Many

Working people make up the vast majority of the population in this country. We, through our labor, create the wealth of this nation. Yet it is a small handful who exercise control over how that wealth is used and distributed. This handful, who own the mines, mills, and factories, possess vast wealth and power while those of us who created that wealth make just enough to scrape by and have little say in the important decisions that shape our everyday lives. We are the victims of inflation, layoffs and lousy, often dangerous working conditions. We endure a government that overtaxes and underserves us. We are sent off to fight wars to protect the investments of big business. We get empty gas tanks while the oil companies quadruple their profits. All these problems and many more that go together to make up our day to day life stem from the basic fact that this society is set up to serve the few at the expense of the many. It is based on the exploitation of the majority, the working people, for the enrichment of a small minority, the owners of the big corporations—the monopoly capitalists.

The monopolists, through their control of the educational system and the mass media, attempt to make this state of affairs seem like the natural order of things. By a trick of language they turn the profit system, which in reality is based on the exploitation of labor, into the "free enterprise" system and seek to convince us it works for our benefit. They reduce wars, unemployment, inflation and crime to just so many "kinks" to be ironed out. Or even worse, they try to tell us that we, ourselves, are the creators of these problems. This barrage of ideas, which we get in school and now get daily from the newspapers and television, is one important way in which this minority of exploiters seek to maintain their rule over the majority of exploited.

The employer class, realizing themselves to be in a small minority, do everything they can to keep us in the dark about the real roots of our problems. But in spite of these efforts working people know they're getting
the short end and have no choice but to fight back to protect their basic interests.

Knowing this the monopolists long ago realized that their best line of defense of their profits and privileges was to keep the working class divided against itself. If the struggles of various workers can be isolated from each other or better yet pitted against each other then the power of the working class, its power in numbers and in its position at the heart of production, can be neutralized. Whenever there has been this kind of unity in the history of our country the working people have made tremendous strides forward and the employers have had to beat a retreat. The Nineteen Thirties is a good example. The workers, through a militant unity, built the CIO unions, won unemployment compensation, social security, and many other concessions from the reluctant, but frightened monopolies and their agents in Washington. Thus it has always been almost an article of faith with the employers that they must prevent the creation of such unity and do everything in their power to break it once it does develop.

The single most important weapon in the arsenal of the bosses for dividing the workers is white racism. The employers discriminate against the Black workers (and Puerto Rican, Chicano, Asian and American Indian workers as well) and reap greater profits as a result of this discrimination. But more importantly they seek to instill fear, prejudice and hostility in the white workers toward their black counterparts. They seek to turn the white workers against the black struggle for equality. They count on the resentment this breeds among the black workers to lead to their blaming the white workers for their situation. The biggest reward they hope to reap from the sowing of racist ideas is the divisions this will create among the workers' ranks. Without black-white unity the black workers will be unable to mount an effective challenge to discrimination. Without black-white unity all the workers will be unable to wage a successful fight for their interests. To the extent racial conflict can be created among the workers, class conflict, this is conflict with the employers, is averted. . . .

Racism Provides Superprofits

Within the U.S. this intensification of oppression of peoples abroad was paralleled by the stepped up oppression of the Afro-American people. Just as the cheap labor of the peoples of Latin America and Asia brought the monopolies super profits, so the labor of Black workers here in the U.S. was employed for the same end. The drying up of large scale European immigration underlined the need for new sources of cheap labor. The expanded production brought about by the First World War accelerated the demand for black labor. Thus from the early years of the twentieth century down to our own time, the U.S. has witnessed a great internal migration -- the movement of Black people from the agricultural Southlands to the industrial cities of the North, Mid-West and Far West. This movement of Blacks from a farming people to an urban wage earning people was accompanied by continuation of racism. The inequalities of the plantation were transferred to the Northern ghettos and factories. The features of this inequality must now be examined.
Racism: The Great Wedge

Workers Pitted Against Each Other

Great as these benefits of racism to the capitalist are, the single greatest service it renders him is its power to divide the mass of white workers from the black workers and mislead them into the employer's camp. The white worker, as we have seen, is not profiting from racism. On the contrary, he too is threatened very directly by its effects. The white worker's real interests lies in uniting with the black worker to put an end to the inequality between them ... an inequality that enables the employer to oppress black labor, threaten the white worker, and pit the one against the other. But the white worker so often does not grasp this and instead views the black worker, rather than the employer, as the cause of his problems. This blindspot is the product of years of conditioning and of centuries of history. The idea of white supremacy has been cultivated in the white worker by a capitalist controlled culture, a culture that has developed to justify and smooth the way for the exploitation of labor and its division into two antagonistic racial camps.

To the extent the white worker leans toward racial conflict with the black workers as opposed to class struggle against the employers, he benefits not himself, but his boss. A good general example of this is the situation in the South where racism has served to lead many white workers into defending Jim Crow discrimination and to oppose the black struggle for equality. The result--disunity between black and white--has enormously aided the efforts of Big Business and their flunkey politicians to maintain the South as a capitalist paradise and a worker's nightmare. No unions, low wages and the worst social services in the nation -- these are some of the fruits of racism for the white as well as the black workers. In that stronghold of white supremacy, Mississippi, the average hourly wage of production workers is $2.77/hour, the lowest such average in the country. Compare this with Michigan, a state with a strong labor movement, where the average is $4.94/hour and the point is made even clearer. . . .

Black Workers and the Class Struggle

Rank and File Movement Grows

The importance of black-white unity is underlined when we look at the role black workers are playing in the trade unions and the struggles of working people generally.

In the unions in the last few years we have seen the development of a broad rank & file movement that takes a stand for a democratic, militant brand of unionism in opposition to the bureaucratic, sell out policies of the AFL-CIO leadership. Rank & file caucuses in auto, steel, transport and other basic industries have formed around the elementary need of workers for a union that genuinely represents them and fights for their interests. These caucuses have grown up in response to a union leadership that has collaborated with management to speed up production and improve "efficiency" at the expense of the workers ... a union leadership that has by and large caved in before the joint demand of big business and government for wage controls in a period of escalating prices ... a union leadership that has sat on its thumbs while our jobs are exported to unorganized low wage areas and a union leadership that has failed to challenge and even cooperated in the employer's practice of racial and sexual discrimination. These misleaders have been able to carry out their disastrous policies in large part because they seek by fair means or foul to keep the rank & file out of the arena of decision making. Thus the caucuses have called for a return to rank & file worker's basic interests.
Another side to the upsurge of rank & file activity is the development of new unions like the Hospital Workers and Farm workers unions and new organizing drives by old unions like the Amalgamated Clothing Workers which are bringing thousands of previously unorganized workers into the ranks of organized labor and setting an example for the rest of the unions through their militant struggle.

Role of Black Workers

At the heart of this rank & file upsurge stands the black worker. In basic industry much of the leadership and initiative for the rank & file movement has come from the black workers. This leadership has taken many forms—black caucuses, revolutionary black workers' organizations, and multi-racial rank & file groupings. While the black workers have naturally and quite correctly made the demand for equality and an end to racism a central concern of this movement, they have also been in the forefront in waging a fight for better wages and working conditions, union democracy and the full range of worker's concerns. The situation in the United Steel Workers is a good example.

USW president I. W. Abel and the bureaucracy he represents have a long history of collaboration with management in maintaining racial discrimination in the plants, consigning Black workers to the dirtiest, most dangerous and lowest-paying jobs. At the same time the USW is one of the most top down, undemocratic of unions. Recently Abel has taken his collaboration with the employers another step by going along with company inspired productivity schemes and by signing away the right to strike in the interests of profits and labor peace for the steel monopolies. A massive rank & file movement has developed in steel in response to these sell out policies and it has been the Black workers who have been most militant in fighting not only the racist discrimination policies, but the productivity plan and the no-strike pact as well.

Black workers have also been a key force in pushing the unions to take a broader view of their tasks—to see themselves not as narrow pressure groups, but as the fighting arm of the whole working class, battling on the political as well as the economic front. This phenomena too has taken many forms. Black longshoremen in the East, West and Gulf coast ports have taken direct action against the pro-business, racist foreign policy of the U.S. government by refusing to unload goods from the white supremacist states of South Africa and Rhodesia. Predominantly Black unions like the Hospital Workers actively mobilized their memberships in mass demonstrations against U.S. aggression in Southeast Asia.

In taking these actions Black workers demonstrated an understanding of the common interests of workers throughout the world as well as grasping the cost to U.S. workers of the government's militaristic and aggressive policies. Solidarity with the struggles of other workers here in the U.S. is another side of the same spirit as when West coast Black longshoremen recently refused to load scab produce in support of the striking farmworkers. Black led rank & file struggle has also been characterized by an understanding of the need to link struggles of workers on the shop floor with struggles in the community. In Detroit, for example, the League of Revolutionary Black Workers, a group based in the auto plants, joined forces with working people in the community in the fight for better education, health care, law enforcement and other concerns. This in turn made it possible to mobilize community support for struggles in the plant.

Why Black Militancy?

The militancy of the Black worker certainly has much to do with the nature of the conditions he or she faces. Since Black workers generally have the most oppressive jobs they have been hit the hardest by the speed up drive and the general attack on working conditions that the bosses have launched. Lower wages and the greater likelihood of a layoff slip, harassment by racist foremen, barriers to upgrading and a hundred other factors combine to make up the extra burden of oppression the Black worker carries. But oppression doesn't automatically translate into resistance. The Black worker, concentrated in areas of heavy industry where labor is highly social and cooperative, learns the capacity for collective action from the job itself. Also the Black
worker has been moved and educated by the growing intensity of the Black Freedom struggle over the last two decades. The Civil Rights Movement, the urban rebellions, and the various struggles of Black students, welfare recipients, tenants, and prisoners have all contributed to the political consciousness of the Black worker. These struggles generated a spirit of resistance in all Black people. For the Black worker this spirit has increasingly taken the shape of an across the board struggle against the forms of class exploitation. As has already been made clear the demand for equality and an end to racism is itself a demand that serves the whole working class, white and black. But what is also important is that the Black workers have been in the forefront of almost every struggle to better the way of life of all workers.

Labor and Black Liberation

The Labor Movement in its effort to protect the living standards of workers and push forward toward a decent society for working people needs allies. It needs staunch friends outside the trade unions. Black people generally, that is Black people outside the labor movement in civil rights organizations, welfare rights groups, Black political organizations and community groups have been the most consistent ally of the trade unions and have in fact often been far ahead of the AFL-CIO in fighting for the interests of the working class. This has taken many concrete forms—the fight for a minimum wage and a guaranteed annual income, for more jobs through expanding socially useful production of housing, schools, hospitals and the like, for improved social services, consumer protection and many other reforms all of which serve the needs of working people. Black organizations were far in advance of the AFL-CIO in opposing the Vietnam War and spiraling military spending. The Black Liberation Movement has, besides being a struggle for full equality, been a movement that necessarily embraces the full range of concerns of the working people, a reflection of the fact that the overwhelming majority of Blacks are workers (94%) with interests that unite them with the whole working class.

Thus in two fundamental respects the Black people's interests and struggle coincide with the interests of the working class. First the struggle for equality is a struggle that serves all workers in that it aims at eliminating a powerful weapon of the exploiters—a means for greater economic exploitation and a wedge for dividing the workers against each other. Secondly, this struggle, given the class character of the Black people, not only concerns itself with ending discrimination, but demands across the board improvements in many other areas that concern working people as well.

All this throws into sharp relief the importance of Black-white unity. It makes clear why the bosses value their old friend Jim Crow above all others. Racism does not simply divide Black from white—it divides the white workers from the workers who have generally been the most militant and most conscious of the whole class's interests, that is the Black workers. And it divides the workers movement from its most important ally, the broader Black movement that consists of Black people outside the work places as well as the Black workers and trade unionists.

The Road to Victory Over Racism—Class Unity and Class Struggle

Unity

Given that racism is very profitable to the bosses, both economically and politically, it should be clear that they will not give up their practice of racial oppression easily. Black people have waged a stubborn and heroic struggle against their oppression from the time the first slave ship docked in the New World to the present. In our time we have witnessed this struggle at a high point of intensity. Through mass organization and action Black people have succeeded in eliminating the most blatant legal forms of Jim Crow. They have scored some gains in the areas of employment, housing and education. In the course of waging these struggles Black people have come to a greater understanding of their history and culture and attained a new degree of national pride and self-respect, qualities that the racist rulers have always sought to deny them. But still after almost two decades of intense struggle
the situation of the mass of Black people is not greatly altered. The brutal
every day realities of ghetto life remain intact and the overall pattern of
racial discrimination survives.

The frustrations that this failure to uproot racism have created has led
some Black people down politically self-defeating paths. Religious cults,
separatist or Back-to-Africa movements, and isolated terrorist groups are some
of the forms that have flourished in the wake of these frustrations. The
basic reason for the failure to lick racism is again the successful splitting
tactics of the employers who have been able to largely isolate the Black move­
ment for equality and pit a substantial section of white labor against it.
The plain fact of the matter is that it takes Black-white unity, the unity of
the working class, to really beat racism back. Only a united, fighting working
class has the numbers and social power, a power inherent in the worker's role
as the producer of all social wealth, to force the struggle against racism
beyond its present stalemate.

Class Struggle

The road to defeating racism is the broad highway to class struggle. This
means the demand for an end to racism has to be taken up as part of the overall
demands of the whole working class. It has to be put forward as a demand that
is vital to the interests of all the workers. To successfully defeat racism
means relying on the working class as the main force. And this means projecting
the struggle against racism from a working class viewpoint.

The struggles of the Black workers demonstrate an advanced grasp of this
viewpoint. In spite of the indifference and hostility of many white workers,
the Black workers' movement has generally placed the demand for an end to
discrimination in the context of a broad program that speaks to the interests
of the mass of workers and has promoted Black-white unity. This understanding
grows out of the actual experience of the Black worker on the job. It is
obvious to most Black workers, at least in plants that are multi-racial, that
the support of the white workers cannot be mobilized on the basis of abstract
moral appeals against racism or still less by calling them "hunkies" or
"devils." They can only be won through demonstrating that racism hurts all
workers. They can only be drawn into struggle on the basis of a clear program
that puts forward demands that speak to the grievances of the mass of workers.
These are the lessons that the actual struggle of Black workers against racism
and exploitation teach. And these lessons are of vital importance for the
larger Black Liberation Movement.

Workers Must Lead Black Movement

The Black People's Movement is not of one mind on how Black people are to
achieve freedom in America. These differences grow out of the reality that
Black people, like white people, are in different social classes. While the
vast majority of Black people are part of the working class, there is also a
small but influential Black middle class. This middle class, composed mainly
of small businessmen and professionals, is the social base for the ideas of
separatism and so-called Black capitalism. This class tends to think of
expanded business opportunities for themselves as the road forward for Black
people. Separatist thinking is strong among this group because it seeks to
develop and control a Black market in opposition to White owned business.
Among the professions separatist thinking takes the form of promoting various
poverty programs or "community control" projects that will enhance the
opportunities and power of this strata.

It is important to recognize that the Black middle class is victimized by
discrimination and racism. There can be no question that Black businessmen
and professions should have equal rights with their white counterparts. The
Black middle class is a legitimate part of the larger Black Liberation move­
ment. But this class cannot be counted on to lead the Black movement. It
cannot provide leadership for the mass of working class Black people for the
basic reason that the working class viewpoint so necessary to the successful
development of the struggle is alien to this class of small capitalists and
independent professionals.

Their whole program of expanding Black business is no solution to the
problems of Black workers. Black owned businesses are generally small retail and service operations that employ a handful of workers and have little economic importance. In an era of monopoly when it takes millions to start a major enterprise, these small businessmen can never hope to become owners of large scale industry. Even if they could this would leave the Black worker in a position where he was now exploited by a Black capitalist instead of a white one. The Black middle class cannot be counted on to consistently put forward a program that genuinely serves the Black masses. Nor can the black middle class be expected to champion the cause of Black-white class unity when it so often perceives its interests in terms of narrow nationalism and separatism.

The Black middle class has provided much of the leadership of the Black movement in the past. For this reason its influence and the strength of its ideas are very great even among the masses of Black workers. But to move the struggle against racism beyond its present stalemate it is the Black workers who must take the leadership of the Black Liberation movement. This is essential to building the unity between Black and white workers, the whole working class and the whole Black people. This does not mean that all Blacks from the middle class must be thrust out of leadership and only workers can occupy these positions. It means that the working class viewpoint must prevail over the ideas of separatism, narrow nationalism and Black capitalism. It is for the most part the Black workers who will carry forth this struggle for the working class stand. For it is the Black workers who have the clearest, most direct and most urgent stake in building a united working class movement against racism and the whole system of capitalist exploitation.

Can the White Worker Be Won to the Struggle Against Racism?

But, of course, the main block to going forward in the fight against racism is on the white and not the Black side of the fence. No matter how well organized, no matter how well led, no matter how politically conscious the Black Movement is, it can only go to a certain point without the full force of the whole working class being brought solidly onto the side of Black Liberation. The main problem and the primary task is to win the white workers to an understanding of the effects of racism on the workers' movement and to gain the white worker's active participation in the struggle for full equality. It is the special responsibility and obligation of the class conscious white workers to see that this urgent task is carried out.

In many rank & file movements there is a tendency to want to play down demands against racism on the grounds that "they divide people." What this really means is that these demands will alienate sections of the white workers and thus weaken the struggle. What it also means, by way of implication, is that it is less important to alienate the Black workers by ignoring their oppression. Many white workers who make this argument may be sincerely interested in unity and unconscious of the racist bias it reflects. Some Black workers will even subordinate their own special concerns in order to make it easier to mobilize white workers because of the need for unity on what are seen as common concerns. In both cases this argument is fatally wrong. The source of disunity among the workers is not the struggle against racism itself. The struggle only brings racism out into the open. There is no way around it. The white workers must be won to this struggle. They must be convinced that it is vital to their interests. And they can be! The view, common to many Black workers as well as many progressive white workers, that the mass of white workers cannot come to take up this struggle is a denial of the possibility of class struggle—a denial that workers can understand their own collective interests and act on this understanding. It is also a view that is contradicted by both history and current events in the labor movement.

Historically racism has indeed been a powerful and destructive force within the labor movement. But there are also numerous examples of Black-white unity in opposition to racism. The Industrial Workers of the World (IWW) was a militant revolutionary industrial union in the early years of this century. The "Wobblies," as they were called, were unique among the trade unions of the time in that they actively fought racism. In their organizing drive among Black and white lumber workers in the South the IWW created racially mixed locals, which were unheard of at the time and condemned by the craft minded, racist American Federation of Labor (AFL). In spite of a
vicious propaganda campaign waged by the employers to split the white workers away from the Blacks, the ranks remained united. The appeals to the white workers to uphold "white supremacy" by refusing to strike with Black workers fell upon deaf ears. Efforts to break strikes by getting other Blacks as well as Mexican laborers to scab also failed. The southern timber workers were finally crushed only through the organized violence of the state. The employers could not allow this example of fighting class unity to succeed because it threatened the whole foundation of white supremacy on which the capitalist house of cards rested.

During World War I revolutionary elements in the AFL succeeded in launching a successful organizing drive among the Chicago Packinghouse workers. This campaign, which breached the employer's open shop dike in basic industry, brought thousands of Black workers into the AFL. The Packing trusts sought to wreck this campaign by splitting the workers racially. When the union sought to hold a march and rally, the city government acting for the Packers, refused to give the union a permit unless they would agree to separate Black and white marchers and rallies. The union compromised by agreeing to separate marches but holding a single rally. The white march went through the black community where the Black people cheered the marchers. The white workers carried placards making their opposition to the segregation of the marches clear. One white worker carried a sign that read: "The bosses think that because we are of different color and different nationalities we should fight each other. We're going to fool them and fight for a common cause — A Square Deal For All." Later the bosses fomented a violent race riot. The police and National Guard acted more to intimidate and brutalize the Black people than to restrain white racist mobs which were encouraged in their pillage by the Packers. The union played an important role in ending the riot and defending the Black community from racist outrages. At one point the union struck the Packers to bring the riot to an end.

In the late nineteen twenties the Trade Union Unity League, a Communist led group of industrial unions, made the demand for Black equality a central part of its program. The TUUL not only opposed racism on the job but agitated for social equality for Black people in all aspects of American life. The best known campaign in which the TUUL played an active role was the fight to free the Scottsboro Boys, nine Black youths who had been framed on a rape charge in Scottsboro, Alabama. The TUUL sought to educate the workers through this campaign and succeeded in mobilizing white as well as Black workers to oppose this legal lynching.

The unemployment struggles of the thirties are another important example of Black-white unity. The Unemployed Councils, which led and organized the struggle for jobs and relief, fought against discrimination in the administration of relief as well as in employment practices. The Councils also fought evictions and in numerous instances mobilized white unemployed to join with Blacks in opposing evictions of Black unemployed by ghetto slumlords. Black workers made up approximately half the membership of the Councils and were prominent in their leadership.

Nor is this kind of Black-white unity just ancient history. In the fifties the American Federation of Teachers, responding to the civil rights movement, expelled all its segregated locals in the South and supported integration of schools in that area. In 1965 District 65 of the Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Workers Union conducted a successful strike involving over two thousand employees in New York's textile converting industry for the specific purpose of opening up more jobs for Black and Puerto Rican workers. At the last conference of the United Steel Workers, which focused on upcoming contract demands, a substantial number of white workers joined with the Black fellows in calling for the elimination of racist practices in upgrading. In Fremont, California last year the Brotherhood Caucus swept the elections and won control of a UAW local. The Brotherhood Caucus, which successfully united Black, white and Chicano workers, included in its program a demand for a discrimination committee for each shift with a full time union representative and the power to redress grievances for discrimination. Even in the deepest South there are examples. A long strike against the Masonite Corporation in Laurel, Mississippi saw Ku Klux Klan members join with Black workers in common struggle. In the course of the strike the white workers dropped the Klan and spoke out against segregation at the Masonite plant.
This is not to underestimate the depth of the problem. The dominant reality is that the mass of white workers, both historically and currently, have not taken up the struggle against racism. But what these examples illustrate (and countless others could be cited) is that the white workers can be won to this struggle. The ruling employer class has sought to create the impression that the white workers are implacably hostile to Black people. They have suppressed the true history of working peoples, including the instances of class unity, in order to further this impression. They have tried to place the responsibility for racism in the U.S. on the white workers and not on themselves. The famous Kerner Commission Report, issued after the series of rebellions that swept the Black communities in the late sixties, is a good example of this. The report fully acknowledges and documents the existence of white racism, but it places the responsibility for this situation on "White America" — in other words on the mass of white working people. The report implies that it is their racist attitudes that hold Black people down. This, of course, lets the big monopolies and the politicians who serve them neatly off the hook. The institutions of government and business only reflect the attitudes of the white majority according to the Kerner view. The practical implications of this analysis are to focus on "education" of the majority rather than change of the institutions.

The mass media constantly reinforces the ruling class's version of who creates and sustains racism. The "All in the Family" show is a good example. Archie Bunker is a stereotyped version of the white worker—an ignorant bigot. It is the Archie Bunkers, we are led to believe, who are the problem—their ignorance lies behind the racial oppression of Black people. There can be no denying that ignorance and bigotry exist among white working people (although Archie Bunker is by no means the "typical case" as the producers of this show suggest), but is this really the source of Black people's oppression? Why are there no T.V. shows about David Rockefeller, whose Chase Manhattan bank makes millions from investments in the Apartheid industries of South Africa? What about the owners of General Motors who daily crush the life out of Black workers on their sped up assembly lines? Where, indeed, are all the big employers who daily wring millions of extra dollars out of the toll of black labor? They are faceless and invisible. The owners of public communications like TV are in solidarity with owners everywhere. What corporation will sponsor a T.V. show that exposes the real enemy—the monopoly corporations themselves?

This brainwash that the problem of racism is the creation of the white masses as opposed to the white ruling class must be countered. It is similar to that which is employed to explain all other social problems. (Pollution is not caused by the profit hungry monopolies but by the people who litter our highways and drive pollution making cars—war is the product not of the drive for more markets by the big corporations but by the "aggressiveness" of the people, etc.). This lie can best be exposed by the active involvement of masses of white workers in the struggle against racism. History shows this can be done. The interests of all working people demand that it be done.

The Fight Against Racism in the Trade Unions

Unions: Strengths and Weaknesses

The trade unions are the largest and most powerful mass organizations of the working class. Over twenty million workers are organized into unions, including most of the workers in basic, heavy industry. The union's ability to make improvements in the wages and working conditions of its members is a matter of proven fact. The unionized worker makes an average of 2,000 dollars more a year than his unorganized counterpart. Pensions, health insurance and other fringe benefits are the ordinary fruits of trade unionism.

At the same time the trade unions as they exist today reveal serious weaknesses. They are dominated by a high paid, privileged bureaucracy that seeks to conciliate the employers at the expense of the rank & file. This leadership has traded away at the bargaining table many of the hard fought gains workers have won over the years—gains that include protection from speed up and the right to strike. Moreover this leadership has either gone
along with or offered only token resistance to the various anti-labor policies of the Nixon administration. The unions have by and large failed to organize the unorganized and stem the flow of jobs to cheap labor, non union areas. Finally the unions have, again in general, failed to seriously challenge racial and sexual discrimination or even worse have practiced it directly themselves.

This is a sharp indictment of the present union leadership and the policies they represent. Unions do not have to be this way. And they can be changed. In spite of their bureaucratic character, unions are generally sufficiently democratic so that a strong and well organized rank & file movement can oust union misleaders and change union policy. The recent housecleaning in the United Mine Workers where the corrupt Boyle machine was sent packing by the rank & file is a good case in point. The new Miller leadership has taken important steps forward by restoring union democracy and adopting a more militant stance toward the coal operators.

Because of the immense real and potential power unions possess to wrest gains for the workers from the employers they are a central arena for any movement aimed at general improvements in the conditions of working people. For all rank & file workers the struggle to make the union a more democratic, fighting instrument is of vital importance. And for all workers the transformation of the unions from their present racist stagnation into genuinely anti-racist organizations is a must.

The racist practices of the trade unions grow out of the existence of a labor bureaucracy that is tied to the employer class in a number of ways. The bureaucracy receives large salaries and privileges that tend to separate them from the rank & file and tie their outlook to that of the employers. By and large they are saturated with a class collaborationist philosophy of trade unionism—the idea that conciliation of and cooperation with the employers is the best path for the labor movement. Since racism serves the interests of the employers, since it is vital to maintain the stability of their system of their high level profits, racism inevitably becomes part of the outlook of these agents of the employers within the ranks of the worker's movement. It is important to understand that the labor bureaucracy is racist not by way of misunderstanding their interests, but rather because their interests are so closely tied with those of the employers.

The Case of the ILGWU

The case of the International Ladies Garment Workers Union (ILGWU) is a good concrete illustration of the interrelationship between bureaucratic class collaborationism and racism. The ILGWU has a reputation as a progressive union (unlike the racist building trades unions which everyone knows are politically backward). This reputation is the product of its dim, distant past when it was truly a fighting union and of the clever public relations campaigns of its leadership. In terms of its actual trade union practice the ILGWU is rotten to the core.

The ILGWU consciously works to keep wages down and cool out worker militancy. For example in 1967 the union's politically powerful leadership opposed a minimum wage bill for the city of New York, where the garment industry is centered, and used its muscle to defeat the bill. Wages of the mass of garment workers have fallen sharply over the years relative to that of other workers and at least for some years they have even fallen absolutely. The development of this policy coincides with the period in which the garment industry in New York became predominantly Black and Puerto Rican in terms of the workforce. The Union argues that wages cannot be improved because this would cause employers to fold or move with the consequent loss of jobs. In other words the union has become a force for holding down wages for the benefit of the employers.

Scuttles Democracy and Promotes Racism

In order to succeed in carrying out such a blatantly class collaborationist policy the union necessarily cannot allow rank & file democracy for if it did the mass of workers would clearly reject such a program. Thus the ILGWU in its constitution bans any form of rank & file organization. There can be no caucuses except for a short period prior to national conventions.
Furthermore through an involved series of undemocratic eligibility requirements the ILGWU restricts the right of the rank & file to run for and hold union office. In 1967 only one-fifth of one percent of the ILGWU's 442,318 members were eligible to run for the General Executive Board (GEB), the union's governing body, and only one twentieth of one percent were eligible to run for President or Secretary-Treasurer. Out of the union's 145,000 Black and Puerto Rican members only four or five of them were eligible to run for the GEB and not a single Black was eligible to run for President. Furthermore under former President David Dubinsky all union officers had to submit a signed but undated letter of resignation to him as soon as they took office. Thus the ILGWU leadership is grossly unrepresentative of the workers and is completely subservient to the top. There is not a single Black on the GEB and virtually no Blacks and Puerto Ricans in leadership positions at the local level.

The garment manufacturers have sought to exploit the cheap labor market of New York City which is predominantly Black and Puerto Rican. The ILGWU is their accomplice in this undertaking. There are some well paying job classifications in the industry but these are restricted to whites. The union has maintained that upgrading Blacks and Puerto Ricans into better paying job classifications is not its concern. Until very recently the ILGWU maintained segregated locals. In short the policy of putting the employer's interests first has inevitably led the ILGWU leadership to scuttle union democracy and defend and promote racism.

In order for the rank & file movement to develop its full potential—for it to become a force that really can transform the trade unions, these weaknesses must be corrected. The struggle against racism must become a conscious thrust of the whole movement, not simply the activity of Black caucuses. All rank & file groups must take the involvement of Black and other minority workers in leadership as well as in all other phases of activity an absolute priority. Rhetoric about wanting to involve Black workers is not the road to achieving this priority. Instead a program that raises the demand against discrimination must be developed and implemented. This is the key to building Black-white unity in the rank & file movement and transforming what are now predominantly white groupings into genuinely multi-racial organizations.

The exact content of an anti-racist program can't be spelled out in advance. It depends on the concrete circumstances in each industry, shop and union. What may make good sense in one situation may be disastrous in another. But certain general concerns and demands are basic to virtually all job situations in at least some form. The following, then, outlines the general elements of a rank & file trade union program to challenge racism on the job.

The implications of this for the broader trade union movement are clear. The case of the ILGWU, while somewhat extreme, describes a problem that is common to most unions in one form or another. The fight against racism must be part and parcel of the fight to unseat the labor bureaucracy and defeat its policy of class collaborationism.

The rank & file movement as it presently exists within the trade unions has included the fight against racism as one of its major thrusts. But the movement is also very uneven in terms of this struggle. Black caucuses or rank & file formations with strong black leadership have been the most consistent fighters against discrimination. Here there has sometimes been a failure to place the struggle against racism in the context of black-white unity and the overall class struggle. The class interests of the white workers in defeating racism have not always been understood. In general, though, the Black workers have promoted a class struggle outlook in the fight against racism. In caucuses where Black participation is weak, the fight against racism has been correspondingly weak or even non-existent. This points to the failure of the bulk of militant white workers to grasp the import of this struggle. The reason there is little participation on the part of Black workers in these caucuses is precisely because of the failure of the white workers and caucus leadership to develop an active program that speaks to the racial oppression of the Black workers. This is one of the key areas of weakness in the rank & file movement.

1) End Discrimination in Hiring. The forms of discrimination in hiring are many and varied, ranging from companies that simply do not hire blacks at all to firms that hire substantial numbers but only in the lower job categories. The union should expect that Blacks be represented in all job classifications proportionate to
their numbers in the labor market in the area. In other words if Blacks make up 50% of the work force in the community then the company's hiring policy should reflect this in that roughly half the workers hired for all job categories should be Black. The union should demand that discriminatory educational requirements, unrelated to ability to do the job be eliminated. When there are legitimate qualifications that Blacks, because of discrimination in education, may be at a disadvantage in meeting, the company should be responsible for providing training. The union should create a watchdog committee to monitor company hiring practices. Many companies constantly violate existing civil rights laws in practicing discrimination. The union, besides taking action on its own, could make sure these violations are caught and get the Human Relations Commission or the appropriate government body to act to correct these abuses.

2) **End Discrimination in Upgrading.** Black and minority workers (as well as women) are kept in low paying, often dirty or dangerous job classifications through discrimination in upgrading or promotion policies. The forms of this discrimination also vary widely. In some industries (Men's Clothing and Ladies Garments for example) there is no established procedure for upgrading and the bosses promote whomever they wish. In industries, like steel, the device of the double seniority trial has been used. Seniority is the basis for upgrading but only within a particular department—thus minority workers can be restricted to certain departments, generally the dirtiest, dangerous and lowest paying ones. Finally the device of apprenticeship or training programs is used. These programs often are discriminatory in the kind of qualifications they demand for entrance. To correct these abuses the union must insist on the principle of plant wide seniority as the basis for upgrading. All job openings should be posted and all workers should be able to bid with the job being awarded to the worker with the most time in. In cases where special skills or training is demanded, entrance to apprenticeship programs must not be discriminatory. Educational qualifications, testing and other requirements must be evaluated with this in mind. Unless the knowledge required is job related it should be dropped from the requirements. (You don't need to understand European history to set dies or lay bricks). Here too the union must oversee the whole upgrading program and make sure that equal opportunity is really being offered. The only real test of the program is that over a reasonable period of time the inequality in the distribution of jobs disappears. As long as there are job classifications that are disproportionately white the problem still exists.

3) **Stop Racist Harassment.** Racist foremen or supervisory personnel can and do harass Black and minority workers. Insulting racist language, discriminatory job assignments and a racial double standard in evaluating quality of work and job performance are common forms of harassment. The demand of the Brotherhood Caucus of UAW local No. 1364 in Fremont, California is a good example of the kind of measure unions need to take to deal with this problem. Point nine of their program calls for a Discrimination Committee for each shift with power to deal with discrimination on the shop floor. The UAW in many locals have Fair Employment Practices Committees. At one time many of these committees really functioned to fight racism but in recent years they have been eliminated in many locals or have become nothing more than window dressing to hide the do nothing policy of the UAW leadership. The Brotherhood caucus is demanding a revival of this committee by making its chairman a full time official.

4) **Equality in Union Leadership.** A union's leadership at all levels should reflect the composition of the union's membership. If it does not, something is obviously wrong. While in the last few years substantial numbers of Black workers have been elected to union office, the situation remains grossly unequal. Here too
the problem varies from union to union. The previously cited example of the ILGWU, a union with huge minority membership with a lily white leadership is one kind of situation and not a unique one. In some other unions like the UAW there is much more minority representation, although the top echelons remain disproportionately white. A rank & file movement must push for more minority participation at all leadership levels of the union. Obviously more Black leadership is not, in and of itself, a total solution to the problems of Black workers. But representative union leadership is an elementary part of union democracy and white support for Black union candidates is an important step in the process of forging Black-white unity. Since a number of unions have tried to cool out rank & file insurgency on the part of Black workers by adding a few more Blacks to their slates without altering their racist policies, it is always going to be important to stress that leadership, both actual and potential, be judged by the content of their program.

Not all anti-racist demands deal directly with discrimination. Many demands around wages and working conditions are blows against racism to the extent they aim at improving the conditions of minority workers and narrow the inequality between Black and white. For example the rank & file movement in steel, by pushing for improvements in working conditions in the coke ovens, which are pre­dominantly manned by Black workers, is taking up the fight against racism while at the same time taking steps that will improve and protect conditions of all the workers. The anti-racist content of any rank & file program will undoubtedly consist in some large part of demands of this sort that speak concretely to the problems of the workers victimized directly by racism.

The rank & file movement must not only adopt anti-racist demands as part of its program. It must actively struggle for them within the union. These demands must be seen as educational tools to deepen the broader rank & file’s consciousness of the importance of the struggle against racism. This aspect is particularly important with the white workers who must be convinced of the need to struggle for these kinds of demands. A program is only as good as its author's willingness to fight for it.

While the bedrock of any rank & file organization is a program that deals with questions of immediate concern to the workers on the job, there is also a need to take up broader political concerns. We as workers cannot solve all our problems within the framework of collective bargaining. Our experience with Nixon’s new economics of frozen wages, spiraling prices and mounting shortages is an illustration of this. The war in Vietnam, which gravely affected working people in the U.S., is another. The rank & file movement must take up these political questions as part of an effort to broaden the political horizons of the union—to make the union a fighter for the working class in all its battles as well as its defender on the shop floor. The unions must break out of the trap of relying solely on the two capitalist parties and take political action on their own. Nor should political action be limited to electoral activity and lobbying. The unions should take direct action--demonstrations, marches and political strikes.

Similarly the struggle against racism cannot be limited to the fight between the union and the company over wages, working conditions and other immediate concerns. The labor movement must take up the agenda of the Black liberation movement and adopt it as its own. This must occur if an alliance between these two forces is to be forged. And it is exactly such an alliance that is the cornerstone of the strategy to end the system of class exploitation and racism. The trade unions should join the fight for equality in education, housing and law enforcement. The drive of the Welfare Rights Organization for a guaranteed annual income should get the full support of labor. The unions must actively oppose the racist foreign policy of the U.S. which supports the white supremacist settler states of South Africa, funds the attempt to maintain Portuguese colonialism in Africa and lends support to Zionist aggression in the Middle East. Again the activity of the trade unions on these vital issues cannot be limited to resolutions at conventions. The trade unions must mobilize their ranks for mass action towards these goals. The key to moving the trade unions in this direction is the organized pressure of the rank & file. It is the task of the rank & file movement to take up these issues as part of the overall program for transforming the trade unions.
Throughout this section we have talked about the tasks of the rank & file movement in relation to the trade unions. Finally we want to discuss the forms of that movement—the way it needs to organize itself. Rank & file organizations of all sorts exist. Many of them have no real program. They consist of those disgruntled with the union leadership who want to see some kind of change. These caucuses which lack any political and programmatic definition are likely to fall victim to careerist elements who will play on the real grievances of the ranks to get themselves elected to union office and once in power will continue business as usual. A rank & file group that is really going to make a difference can't be built around simple opposition to the current leadership or around the personalities of a few of its leaders. It has to be built on the basis of a program that reflects the interests of the mass of workers and can draw them into struggle. It has to be a mass, democratic organization open to all rank & filers. It has to hold its own leadership accountable for upholding its principles and program.

Class Struggle Program

What should such a program be? It must be a class struggle program. In other words a program that proceeds from the assumption that the mass of workers have interests that are distinct and antagonistic to that of the employers and that the workers have to struggle against the bosses to get what they need. This kind of class struggle unionism is in opposition to the dominant business unionism in the country today which preaches cooperation with the conciliation of the employer as the road forward for workers. The broad content of the class struggle program has already been touched on in various places in this article. The union must fight for job security, better wages and improved working conditions instead of helping the company to justify speed up, low wages or the elimination of jobs. The union must be a genuinely democratic organization accountable to its membership. The union must take a stand of solidarity with workers everywhere—in other unions, in other cities and in other countries. The union must militantly represent the interests of the working people in the political arena as well as in the shop. And as we have stressed throughout—the union must resolutely oppose racism and champion the cause of freedom for all oppressed minorities. This is the kind of unionism that flows from an understanding that there is a struggle going on between the employer class and the working class. It is a union that expects the union, a worker's organization, to choose the worker's side. It is this kind of program that the rank & file must organize itself around in order to win back and transform their unions.

Representative Groups

Besides having a solid program the rank & file caucus must be broad. It can't speak for the mass of workers if it only consists of a handful of people. It must not only draw in large numbers but it must be truly representative of the union's composition in terms of race, sex, occupation and job classification. This need underlines the importance of developing the kind of concrete program that can appeal and activate the broad ranks.

Black Caucuses?

In many cases, as we have already mentioned, Black workers have organized their own organizations—all Black caucuses. Some may wonder is this not in contradiction to the need for broad all inclusive organization? The answer is that the very existence of a separate Black caucus indicates that there is not an immediate base for a broad, multi-racial or multi-national group. It indicates that the struggle against racism on the part of the white workers is at such a low ebb that the Black workers feel no confidence or trust in the white workers. In such a situation Black-white organizational unity would be at the expense of the Black workers. It would be a unity without principle. In these circumstances a Black caucus is not only justifiable, but correct and healthy given that the alternatives would be no organization or an unprincipled
or unworkable alliance. While Black caucuses thus are a legitimate and necessary form within the rank & file movement, there can be no justification for a white caucus. Whites have no separate interests to unite around as whites. When whites do form a white organization it is always to protect their imagined racial interests and racial privilege. A white caucus is by definition going to be a racist form.

Black-White Unity

While recognizing the legitimacy of Black caucuses, our goal must be to build united Black-white caucuses. In a situation where a Black caucus already exists and there is no other form, the task is to raise the level of struggle against racism on the part of the white workers to a point where the Black workers in the separate organization feel confident that they can participate in a multi-racial form without compromising the fight against their racial oppression. The responsibility for advancing this struggle and laying the groundwork for Black-white unity is firmly on the shoulder of the class conscious white worker. At the same time the class conscious Black workers have a special responsibility to fight against separatism—the idea that even principled unity with the white workers is wrong.

The achievement of a united caucus on any principled, lasting basis is possible only on the firm ground of a serious, programmatic struggle against racism. Within the caucus as well the struggle against racism will and must go on. White workers, even the most class conscious, will not automatically shed all their blindspots overnight nor can they be expected to. Black workers will not overcome a distrust based on centuries of racial oppression in a minute either and they certainly cannot be expected to. Unity and progress will take large measures of both struggle and patience—the patience born of the understanding of our common interests as a class and our common need for a better life and a new world.


21. UNITED COMMUNITY CONSTRUCTION WORKERS, 1971

Manifesto

WHEREAS GOVERNMENT (FEDERAL, STATE, MUNICIPAL) HAS CONSISTENTLY APPEALED to political expediency rather than to the law of the land or to a sense of justice, history, or morality; it is painfully clear that Black workers have no redress or grievances or protection under the law from either the legislative or judicial systems.

WHEREAS WE, AS ENLIGHTENED BLACK MEN DEEM IT NECESSARY TO SHED ALL vestiges of modern colonialism in order to maintain and exact survival, it is hereby declared that we, and we alone, will determine our own destinies; our own economic and vocational fates. That the right to work, bring sustenance to our families and rebuild our own community is our basic right and reason for being and that this right will not be abridged, amended, manipulated, or dictatored to by anyone, regardless of prior claims.

THEREFORE FROM THIS TIME FORTH, THOSE AREAS KNOWN AS THE SOUTH END Roxbury, North Dorchester, Mattapan; the domicile of third world residents, are deemed "Off Limits" to racist unions, non-functioning compliance officers, discriminatory contractors, planning agencies, bureaucrats, and all others who have not initiated creditable prior consultation with those directly affected.

THEREFORE LET IT BE KNOWN THAT ANY AND ALL CONSTRUCTION WORK TO BE performed in the third world community will be performed by third world persons on a ratio of no less than fifty percent of the skilled workers and that the total unskilled labor force will emanate from that community.
WHEREAS it is recognized that existing trade unions have systematically initiated barriers to employment based on racial lines, sophisticated these barriers as institutionalized policy and procedure, solicited governmental sanction of same, expended energies and resources to maintain the status quo to the determent of the common good, and that government has made but token and mere strategic adjustment to just protest, it is incumbent upon Black workers to reject all efforts by unions and government based solely on their so-called "Good Faith" resolves intended to correct existing abuses.

THEREFORE we reaffirm our commitment to establishing and maintaining our own Black unions so that we may best determine our own destinies in and for our own community.

Leaflet in possession of the editors.

22. BLACK WORKERS FIGHT IMPERIALISM:
POLAROID CORPORATION

The image of Polaroid Corporation as a liberal company and an "equal rights employer" is under sharp attack by a group of black employees, the Polaroid Revolutionary Workers Movement. On Wednesday, October 7, more than 200 people—most of them workers—gathered at Tech Square in Cambridge to hear spokesmen for the group denounce Polaroid's trade and investments in South Africa.

They demanded: (1) That Polaroid announce a policy of complete disengagement from South Africa. (2) That the management meet the entire company and announce its position on apartheid (South Africa's rigid policies of segregation and white supremacy) in the U.S. and South Africa simultaneously. (3) That the company donate all its profits from South Africa to the recognized African liberation movement in that country.

Although the vast majority of South Africans are black, the white supremacist government denies them basic political, civil and simple human rights. For a black person to move from one part of the country to another, they must first obtain approval from the government. Every black African must carry a pass book with official identification including his photo, legal residence, name of employer, and permits to live and work temporarily outside a "native area." Polaroid admits that its film is used for passbook photos and also that its South African distributors have supplied 66 ID-2 systems to S. African military and industry since 1967.

Low wages and tight control of the work force make South Africa a haven for big corporations in search of super-profits. Average profits there are higher than anywhere else in the world, except Middle Eastern oil fields. And Polaroid has not hesitated to take advantage of this situation. Polaroid sunglasses are now manufactured in six countries outside the U.S., including S. Africa. Because of the higher profit rate, companies like Polaroid prefer to open new plants where labor is cheap—as in South Africa. In this way, the exploitation of black workers thousands of miles away threatens our jobs as well.

Polaroid's development of personnel security systems is an even more direct threat to workers in both countries. The system now used in defense plants can easily be used in the future to monitor the coming and going of workers in other industries. Security systems will be used to prevent workers from ripping off some of the surplus value the companies make off our sweat. Security systems enable employers to blacklist job applicants who have been fired on a former job, have been thrown in jail, run up debts, been political activists, union militants, etc.

In an interview with THE MASS STRIKE, Ken Williams, a member of the Polaroid Revolutionary Workers Movement, accused the company of using black people as window dressing, giving them front office jobs and showing pictures of black employees prominently in all their public relations material. At the same time, the company's international sales department is lily-white, and Polaroid's money and ID system help to stabilize the most vicious, racist
government in the world. The man responsible for Polaroid's involvement in South Africa, former vice-president Stan Calderwood, is now head of WGBH, and has been strongly criticized by Boston's black community for the station's racist censorship and cancellation of the "Say, Brother" program.

Black workers at Polaroid are fighting back. They are blowing the whistle on a "liberal" company whose activities in fact bolster racism, imperialism and fascism, in South Africa and here at home. As workers we have the duty to demand that the products of our labor serve the needs of the world's peoples—not lead to further oppression. Polaroid workers are showing the way, living up to their responsibilities to their brothers and sisters in South Africa. We enthusiastically support them. It's our fight, too!

Leaflet in possession of the editors.

23. BOYCOTT POLAROID

The Polaroid Workers Revolutionary Movement calls upon everyone who believes in freedom and justice to help the people of South Africa by boycotting all Polaroid products until the following demands are met: (1) That Polaroid announce a policy of complete disengagement from South Africa. (2) That the management meet the entire company and announce its position on apartheid (South Africa's rigid policies of segregation and white supremacy) in the U.S. and South Africa simultaneously. (3) That the company donate all its profits from South Africa to the recognized African liberation movement in that country.

Polaroid, the "humanistic corporation," the "equal opportunities employer," has been doing business with racist South Africa since 1938. They sell film, cameras, sunglasses and identification systems through a local distributor.

Hundreds of American corporations do business with South Africa. Many have opened manufacturing plants there because profits are higher than anywhere in the world except Middle Eastern oil fields. The reason for these superprofits is obvious: Black people, who are the vast majority of South Africa's population, are paid an average of thirty cents a day and work under concentration camp conditions.

Polaroid knows this. We quote from "The Polaroid Newsletter" of Nov. 2, 1970: "The black native is treated as an unwelcome foreigner in his own country. His activities and movements are arbitrarily restricted. He is required to carry a passbook whenever he is in any of the work centers or cities and is subject to imprisonment without trial if caught without it. It is a crime for a black African to go on strike, to criticize the government's racial policy, or to use a 'white' entrance instead of the 'natives only' entrance."

Polaroid has agreed to prevent the use of its film for passbook photos. BUT IT REFUSES TO CUT OFF ALL ITS BUSINESS WITH SOUTH AFRICA. It refuses to stop selling ID-2 systems to the military, the backbone of apartheid, to the aircraft companies that make planes for the military, to the mining companies that profit from the forced labor of black workers. It refuses to stop the sale of cameras, film and sunglasses even though no black people could possibly afford them, even though any business with South Africa helps to stabilize that system and to give it a cloak of legitimacy. It refuses to turn its South African profits over to the African people's freedom movement.

The United Nations has called for a total economic boycott of South Africa. As employees of Polaroid we demand that the company honor that position. And if Polaroid refuses to put the welfare of people over profits, then it is up to all thinking people to boycott Polaroid.

Leaflet in possession of the editors.
24. POLAROID BLACKS ASK WORLDWIDE BOYCOTT

By Parker Donham

Content removed at rightsholder's request.

PART IV
THE NEGRO-LABOR ALLIANCE
The revolutionary black workers placed a severe strain on the alliance between blacks and organized labor, but ultimately their actions strengthened that relationship and helped to elevate it to a higher plain. Moderate black unionists also sought to increase the power of black workers in the unions, but by integration, not separatism. Their goals were more readily achievable, and stood as a confirmation, rather than a refutation, of organized labor.

The struggle against racism in the labor movement for a long time concentrated on opening membership to blacks. Since the rise of the CIO, however, the battle against racism increasingly became one against discriminatory practices in hiring and promotion, and for greater black participation in union leadership. Since the promise held out to blacks by the AFL-CIO merger remained largely unfulfilled, black caucuses within the various unions sought to achieve a "fair share" of the benefits of membership for blacks. This concern for more "black power" in the unions was not just a matter of racial pride. In the late sixties only about a dozen unions had so much as one Negro on their governing boards, even though approximately 2 million of the 14 million union members in the AFL-CIO were black. Since Afro-Americans were so under-represented in union officialdom, their grievances were frequently neglected, and may have been co-participants in the discrimination.

One of the most prominent of the black caucuses seeking black power through integration was the Negro American Labor Council. Founded in May 1960, the NALC made it clear at the outset that it was a black organization, although whites were not barred, whose goals were to increase union membership among black workers, to increase their chances for promotion on the job, and to integrate them into the executive and staff levels of the union administrations. The delegates elected A. Philip Randolph president, and Cleveland Robinson of District 65 vice president. It was on behalf of the NALC that Randolph presented charges of racial discrimination to the AFL-CIO Executive Council, an action which ultimately led to his censure in 1961. In addition to its efforts on behalf of black workers, NALC played an important role in the civil rights movement, for it was under the leadership of this group that the historic 1963 March on Washington was planned. Even though the AFL-CIO Executive Council condemned the demonstration, the March represented a high point in the history of the Negro-labor alliance up to that time. The federation's Industrial Union Department defied the Council and strongly endorsed the demonstration, and over 40,000 unionists were mobilized for the event. Two key union leaders, Randolph and Reuther, were on the March's leadership committee.

By the early 1970s many blacks were convinced that despite the good work performed by the black caucuses, the elimination of racism on the job and in the unions would require a unified effort by black unionists on a national scale. The Coalition of Black Trade Unionists succeeded the NALC and assumed this mission at a 1972 conference of 1,200 black union members and officials. From its inception the new organization made it clear that it intended to deal specifically with the concerns of black workers, and would "insist that black union officials become full partners in the leadership and decision-making of the American labor movement."
NEGRO LABOR ASSEMBLY

1. MINUTES OF THE NEGRO LABOR ASSEMBLY
OCTOBER 14, 1959

Harlem Labor Center - 312 W. 125 St.

The meeting of the Negro Labor Assembly was called to order at 7:30 p.m. with Brother Richard Parrish, presiding.

Present were:

Oscar Clarke Paper Box Makers, Local 299
Mildred Simmons Plastic & Novelty Workers, Local 132
James Walker Cafeteria Employees, Local 302
Estella West Blouse & Waistmakers, Local 25
Howard Scott Children's Dress, Local 91
Ollie Austin Skirtmakers Union, Local 23
Richard Banks Children's Dress, Local 91
Ruby Rowley Negro Labor Committee
Allan Jackson Dress & Waist Pressers, Local 60
Richard Parrish N.Y. Teachers Guild, Local 2 and N.L.C.
Frank P. Crosswaith Negro Labor Committee
Edith Ransom Dressmakers Union, Local 22

Excuses: Elizabeth Knight, Frame & Novelty, Local 111; Winifred Gittens, Negro Labor Committee; Mabel Fuller, Priscilla Timpson, and Martin Forrester, Undergarment and Negligee Workers, Local 62.

Bro. Parrish welcomed the delegates at the first meeting for the fall, and said, "that he hopes they have returned with renewed vigor to work and plan together for a very constructive year, in which the Negro Labor Committee will continue to work tirelessly in bringing more workers into the trade union movement. . . ."

Communications:

From the City of Hope, honoring Peter Ottley for his dedication to the labor movement and to his race. Bro. Ottley is Sec'y-Treas. of the Hotel & Allied Service Union, Local 144. Bro. Parrish reported that to date the affair was moving very slowly - and urged that the labor movement should pay more homage to a man who has given many years of service to the cause of labor.

Bro. Crosswaith then suggested that because of the low finance of our Committee, it was impossible for us to participate, but a telegram be sent on the date of the dinner. The delegates adopted the suggestion.

From the American Committee on Africa; the National Sharecroppers Fund, and many others asking for donations. Again because of our low finances we are unable to make a contribution at this time.

From the Federation of Negro Civil Service Organizations, sponsoring a rally on Oct. 29th, the proceeds of which will be donated to the NAACP, and the Southern Leadership Conference. The delegates were urged to attend and get their friends to do likewise.

From the Trade Union Salute, a celebration of the NAACP 50th anniversary, to be held Dec. 5th, 1959. The Committee recognizes the assistance given to the NAACP but will not be able to participate because of low finances. It was suggested that a letter be sent to these organizations expressing our regrets, and wishing them continued success.
THE FOLLOWING telegrams were sent to the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters, and the AFL-CIO, conventions, respectively.

To A. Philip Randolph President
Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters, Convention.

"The Negro Labor Committee sends you fraternal greetings and best wishes for a successful convention. May your deliberations and decisions in this convention bring us closer to that day when human exploitation and racial and religious prejudices will be no more.

Signed: Frank R. Crosswaith, Chairman

To George Meany, President
AFL-CIO Convention.

"On behalf of the Negro Labor Committee, we send you fraternal and personal greetings for a constructively successful convention. In the struggle between the forces of dictatorship and democracy, the labor movement must remain united and insure the triumph of democracy for the workers of the world, regardless of craft, creed or color.

Signed: Frank R. Crosswaith, Chairman and Richard Parrish, Exec-Sec'y."

THE DEATH of Bro. Isidore Nagler, Vice President of the ILGWU, and Gen'l Manager of the Cloak Jt. Board, was brought to the delegates attention by Bro. Crosswaith who sent a letter of condolence to the family. The letter was read, and the Assembly stood for a two minutes silence to honor the memory of the deceased.

IN REFERENCE to the recent flare-up at the AFL-CIO convention between Bros. George Meany and A. Philip Randolph, the following letter was sent to the press.

Dear Editor:

"Mr. Meany, the head of the AFL-CIO, recently asked profanely what right A. Philip Randolph, the head of the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters had to represent the Negroes of America, when Mr. Randolph was pressing him to start ending racial segregation and discrimination in those unions -- luckily a minority where it still exists. This kind of attack will do organize labor no good. For it will tend to make some Negroes anti-labor at a time when organized labor needs all the friends it can get. Mr. Randolph was only asking that the AFL-CIO carry out the pledges against racial discrimination which it had repeatedly made, by trying to get the two unions affiliated with it which still bar Negroes from membership by constitutional provision, to change their constitution -- to try to get other unions some of whose locals still discriminate against Negroes to stop that practice and to eliminate segregated locals altogether.

Organized labor has done much for Negro workers. In those states in the North and West where civil rights legislation like fair employment practice laws, fair educational practice laws, or legislation against racial discrimination in housing have been enacted, organized labor has given substantial help in getting the laws passed. And such Negro organizations as the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People has in turn helped substantially in labor's campaign to defeat anti-labor legislation. Yet the labor department of the NAACP has well documented instances where cases of racial discrimination against Negro workers have been called to the attention of unions, yet nothing has been done. Organizations working for the rights of racial minorities need the help of organized labor, and organized labor needs the help of Negro workers. It will be a great pity if any permanent rift between them should develop.

Signed: Frank R. Crosswaith, Chairman
The Negro Labor Committee."
THE DELEGATES voted their opinion and regretted the incident. Bro. Crosswaith then said, "nothing would hurt the Negro more than an attempt to withdraw from the labor movement. This is a time to strive for greater unity. . . ." The delegates also agreed that this is the time for greater unity and action among Negro trade unionists in bringing the thousands of unorganized workers into the labor movement.

BRO. PARRISH then reported that, "Bro. Randolph is going ahead with the formation of a national Negro Labor Committee, in which all Negro trade unionists will be asked to become members. This organization will concentrate on helping to erase discriminatory practice within organized labor. It will not lessen the work performed by each member in the local unions, but will help to step up their activities. We can no longer sit back and let the chips fall where they will, but must be united in a democratic fashion and be dependent on each other for leadership and guidance. . . ."

BRO. JACKSON then asked what significance will this new organization have on our present Negro Labor Committee.

BRO. PARRISH replied, "this new organization will in no way deprive or weaken the present Negro Labor Committee who is dependent on the unions for its support, and has enough of its own work to do. The new organization will be independent of the influence of these unions whose discriminatory practices prevents the full participation of Negroes in their activities. The members will be charged yearly dues which will help to defray the expenses of the organization. . . ."

ON SEPTEMBER 22nd Bro. Joseph Fox, President of the Cafeteria Employees, Local 302, requested Bro. Crosswaith to send an appeal to the Horn and Hardart workers who were holding an election on Sept. 30th for union recognition. The message was read to the delegates and approved.

BRO. WALKER of Local 302, reported that the Local lost the election by a margin of 3 to 1 votes against the union. The Negro Labor Committee then pledged continued support of Local 302 until victory is achieved.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT for September was read: - Receipts $580.00; Disbursements $585.62.

DELEGATES REPORT:

BRO. PARRISH reported that he attended the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters, Convention, and "that during the deliberations the delegates adopted the resolution to work together for greater unity and the abolition of racial discrimination and segregation within the labor movement." He also attended the United Automobile Workers, Convention.

BRO. CROSSWAITH reported that "despite the fact that he is no longer with the New York City Housing Authority, many persons come daily to the office to seek his advice and aid on how to get into the projects -- also many come seeking jobs or the possibility of getting into a union of their trade. . . ." HE ALSO reported that Sister Gittens was still at home recuperating from her recent automobile accident which occurred last July. It was suggested that the Negro Labor Committee write to her officially expressing our regrets and wishing her a speedy recovery.

BRO. PARRISH then reported on the "Frank R. Crosswaith Scholarship Awards" which were given last June to four students of Junior High Schools 136, 139, 120 and 188; amounting to $100.00 each. One of the students John Pressley who is attending Fashion Institute has requested financial aid to help him purchase equipments for school. An allotment of $20.00 was drawn from his account with the Carver Bank.

BRO. JACKSON then suggested that delegates who are delinquents in attending the Assembly meetings should be brought to the attention of their Local Manager after missing three consecutive meetings. This suggestion was agreed upon. HE ALSO suggested that the delegates and their friends regardless of Party affiliations should vote for Samuel Pierce for Judgeship in the Nov. election. As "he is a very capable lawyer and is worthy of our support."
BRO. PARRISH then commented that "never before in the history of this city were Negroes so ill-informed about the benefits derived from the trade union movement. In this community there are still one-half of the Negroes who know nothing of the Negro Labor Committee and the work it is doing. It is important that we establish an educational program to bring these facts to the people, and bring more community workers into our organization. Laws on the books will not solve our problems. This is a question of color prejudice and Negroes will have to solve them by becoming more alert and more united on social, political and economic goals."

HE THEN suggested that in the near future we will call a special meeting of the delegates to make arrangements for an affair to raise funds for the Negro Labor Committee.

AFTER MUCH discussions under "Good and Welfare" the meeting adjourned at 9 p.m. The next Assembly meeting will be held on November 11th, 1959.

Respectfully submitted,

THE NEGRO LABOR COMMITTEE
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2. MINUTES

NEGRO LABOR ASSEMBLY
held on
September 30, 1965
at
HARLEM LABOR CENTER

The meeting of the Negro Labor Assembly was called to order by the Chairman, Allan Jackson, at 7:15 p.m. Members present were: Helen Boyd, Local 23-25; A. C. Bell, Local 302; Christine Scott, Local 23-25; Estella West, Local 23-25; Richard Banks, Local 91; Edward Fagan, Local 99; Hazel Allen, Local 22; A. C. Jolly, Local 302; Allan Jackson, Local 60-601; L. Joseph Overton, Local 338.

The Chairman read the proposed program policy as set forth in the letters sent to the members. The proposed program is:

1. A drive to enroll at least 100,000 new registered voters prior to the coming election.
2. A conference on community activities and the rebuilding of better relations.
3. To urge the Department of Sanitation for a ten day clean up program of all Harlem streets and the enforcement of Sanitation Codes prohibiting the placing of garbage in the streets by commercial establishments.
4. To discuss ways and means of how we can assist the public schools in our area diminish attacks on teachers by students.
5. Report of the action taken to date by the Executive Director in connection with our proposed Housing Project (Frank R. Crosswaith).

The Chair stated he wanted this organization to be recognized City and Statewide. The organization should stand for unions, better race relations and as a guiding light to the labor movement and the entire community and must be recognized City, State and Nationwide.

L. Joseph Overton, Executive Director, reported on several meetings and conferences he had held with Chairman H. Evans of the Redevelopment Board and Chairman Leo Brown of Marine & Aviation and also correspondence was read between he, architects, coordinator and others pertaining to the Frank R. Crosswaith Housing Development. Mr. Overton reported that Herbert Evans stated the Negro Labor Committee would probably receive approval. Commissioner Leo Brown of the Marine and Aviation said there are certain things which must be
included. They want to have the property developed with a boat marina and amusement pier. Under this condition we can have a lease for the land. The project has also been approved by the Housing Commission. The organization is now in a bottleneck between Housing and Marine Aviation over which will have jurisdiction of the project after it has been developed.

The Housing Authority wants figures showing the project will rent for not more than $27.50 per room. This had been reported to them by our architects indicating the feasibility of this being done as they are more interested in rentals than cooperatives because people have to borrow the down-payment. However, they have not said we could build a combination of both.

The Body extended commendations to L. Joseph Overton for his work on the project.

Mr. Overton then continued to read correspondence between himself and contractors, builders, etc., involved in the housing project, also further correspondence was read by the secretary.

MOTIONED by Mr. Banks that the correspondence presented here by Mr. Overton along with all actions taken to date be approved and accepted by the Body. Same was

SECONDED by Mrs. Boyd and unanimously approved by the Body.

Mr. Overton stated he would like to have brochures sent out to all Locals about the Housing Project. We would allocate equal amounts of the projects to all affiliates to sell or rent as they choose. If an affiliate cannot dispose of their allocation it should revert back to the organization for redistribution among those that can. We are trying to get 100% financial backing from the Federal Government and if, by an unfortunate occurrence, our proposed plans do not materialize, the Negro Labor Committee Treasury will not have been affected for the risk money which is being spent now and is coming from the contractors and engineers who wish to have a part in building the project. When the apartments are available the monies needed for security and advance rent will be determined by F.H.A. Specifications not by the Negro Labor Committee.

The members of this organization were asked at one time to keep the housing project as quiet as possible. This is no longer necessary and may be discussed with whomever you desire since we are the only sponsors applying for this location and the danger of others applying for the same location has now been resolved. The affiliates who have only been advised through our minutes should definitely be given a detailed report of our actions and position. There has been considerable publication already by newspapers, etc. The Honorable Paul Screvane and Borough President Constance Motley have already given their approval.

Mr. Overton believes approval from all agencies will be forthcoming within the next two weeks. This is the reason he would like to set up brochures so you may take it to your locals with all procedures and actions in it.

The capacity of the project will be a minimum of 2,553 units, a 32-story house with four different structures, from efficiency apartments to three bedrooms.

The Chairman stated that we should try to do things to bring glory to the N.L.C. We must move ahead with the times and fight. Rededicate ourselves to programs going on today as we did when we first started — to further Negro labor causes.

Mr. Overton said "What needs to be done by the Negro Labor Committee is to preach the gospel about trade unions if you can't do anything else. We need the job done now more than ever. It is not that we have no leadership -- it's that the leaders are not organized -- they don't know when a riot occurs if those people participating are members of their own unions or not.

I was able to pull people out of the street during the riots because I was a labor leader. The people know and trust me. Some people are against laborers. Everyone wants to associate with those with degrees. We must stop this. People must know who we are and if it wasn't for labor they would not be able to exist without labor paying for it. We must tell them every-time we get a chance that the toothbrush they use every morning came from labor. Every service, every device they use comes through a laborers hands first.
Some girls want to work in stores while going to school and we give them jobs. When I ask them where their mother works they tell me in a factory. When I ask what union they belong to they can't tell me. They will say they don't belong to a union. However, I know there aren't that many non-union shops. This shows me that not even the parents are telling their sons and daughters about unions and their importance.

We then have to show them the importance and involve them so they will want to become a part. When they see union men making $2.00 an hour and they can only receive $1.25 an hour they begin to realize what a union is.

Unions are for workers. Black men are workers and they must be a part. We have a big job to do if we take the time and responsibility. We must get to the people and tell them. The unions are ready now.

We must have unity. We must get mileage in the community by participating in anything that comes up -- the Negro Labor Committee must be a part of it. The members of the Negro Labor Committee must support their leaders regardless of who they may be. The Negro Labor Committee does not have money in their treasury to pay a full time executive secretary to run their projects. There are jobs the members and committees can do themselves. When you report back to your locals have something to report that the members can do. Be present and take part in community affairs and learn to do some of the work yourselves. One operation could be to have a center for people so when they go out looking for a job they will know how much they are worth. Learn to organize the unorganized people. Stop looking for excuses. There is plenty to do if we only do it.

We're going to clean up Harlem in the name of the Negro Labor Committee. Bring people up to 312 even for social hours, with refreshments in the name of N.L.C. The first time you may only have 35 people, the next time it may be 50, etc. The work we do is for the organization and organizations affiliated with the N.L.C. and not just for individuals who may be participating in the program. I want glory for N.L.C. not for any individual."

Mr. Banks said "We haven't met for a long time and we don't know what's happening. Why don't we charter a way of how to do things and plan for it and then do it. I propose: 1. An election for the N.L.C. 2. Each officer elected told, in a meeting his duties and responsibilities. 3. This is to be taken care of as soon as possible."

Mr. Jackson remarked "When voting a person into office you must go by the guidelines of the duties outlined for the particular office so you can determine who you want for that office by the person's capabilities and qualifications. Also, a person cannot take away another persons duties if they are spelled out.

We should establish a group of permanent committees -- duties outlined -- when this is done then you are ready for an election regardless of present personalities. We should compare the structure of International Unions Constitutions and take out what we need for the constitution."

Mr. Bell: I have asked about duties of elected officers many times. I suggest the Chair appoint a committee to draft the constitution.

MOTION: Mr. Banks -- "I move 1. N.L.C. has an election. 2. Each officer elected told, in a meeting, his duties and responsibilities. 3. This is to be taken care of as soon as possible."

AMENDMENT by Mr. Overton: "The drafting of by-laws outlining the specific functions and duties of all officers be implemented with all due haste."

SECONDED by Christine Scott and motion unanimously approved.

Mrs. Scott said the N.L.C. should take a big part in voter registration. Let's make a concentrated effort to get everyone out to vote.

The Chairman then reread the proposed program for N.L.C.

After a lengthy discussion it was moved by Mr. Jolly and seconded by Mr. Bell that we approve the program as read. Motion was unanimously accepted.

Mr. Jackson, Chairman: Summary -- Tonight we endeavored to set down policies to strengthen N.L.C. Our road won't be smooth. But if we can be united as N.L.C. WE SHALL OVERCOME.

Mr. Overton: Mr. Chairman, I suggest you appoint a committee tonight for the purpose of taking inventory of all the Negro Labor Committee's
assets and liabilities. The Chair should appoint a committee for the purpose of restoring Frank Crosswaith's office, now used as a storeroom and set it up properly as an office we may use for business. An organization should have a place for the Head to use for business and have meetings.

The Body agreed the establishment of committees should be postponed until the next meeting.

Mr. Johnson read condolences sent by the Negro Labor Committee to Mr. Overton upon the passing of his Mother and Sol Green.

It was motioned by Mr. Bell and seconded by Mr. Banks that the meeting be adjourned.

Mr. Johnson stated the next meeting would be the second Wednesday in October.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:15 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

PATRICIA BELL
Acting Secretary
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NEGRO AMERICAN LABOR COUNCIL

3. KEYNOTE ADDRESS TO THE SECOND ANNUAL CONVENTION OF THE NEGRO AMERICAN LABOR COUNCIL, NOVEMBER 10, 1961

By A. Philip Randolph

In the mid-twentieth century black labor is one hundred years behind white labor. Black labor is behind white labor in the skilled crafts. They are behind in trade union organization. They are behind in workers' education. They are behind in employment opportunities.

Why? The answer is not because white labor is racially superior to black labor. Not because white labor is more productive than black labor.

In the race between black and white labor in American industry, black labor never had a chance. How could it be otherwise when Negro workers began as slaves while white workers began as free men, or virtually as free men?

In addition to a quarter of a thousand years of captivity in the labor system of chattel slavery, black labor, even after emancipation, has been a prisoner for a hundred years of a moneyless system ofpeonage, sharecropper-plantation-farm laborism, and a helpless and hopeless city-slum proletariat.

No greater tragedy has befallen the working class anywhere in the modern world than that which plagues the working class in the South. Both white and black workers turned against their own class, to subject them to sharper and sharper exploitation and oppression.

Verily, black and white workers did not fight each other because they hated each other, but they hated each other because they fought each other. They fought each other because they did not know each other. They did not know each other because they had no contact or communication with each other. They had no contact or communication with each other because they were afraid of each other. They were afraid of each other because each was propagandized into believing that each was seeking to take the jobs of the other.

By poisonous preachments by the press, pulpit and politician, the wages of both black and white workers were kept low and working conditions bad,
since trade union organization was practically non-existent. And, even today, the South is virtually a "no man's land" for union labor.

There is no remedy for this plight of the South's labor forces except the unity of the black and white working class.

It is a matter of common knowledge that union organization campaigns, whether under the auspices of the old American Federation of Labor, or the younger Congress of Industrial Organizations, or the AFL-CIO, have wound up as miserable failures.

The reason is not only because the southern working class is divided upon a basis of race, but also because the AFL, the CIO, and the AFL-CIO never took cognizance of this fact. They never built their organization drives upon the principle of the solidarity of the working class. On the contrary, they accepted and proceeded to perpetuate this racial-labor more, the purpose of which was, and is, the perpetuation of segregation—the antithesis of trade union organization.

Thus, they sowed the winds of the division of the workers upon the basis of race, and now they are reaping the whirlwinds.

The leadership of the organized labor movement has at no time ever seriously challenged Jim Crow unionism in the South. White leaders of labor organizations, like white leaders of the Church, business, government, schools, and the press, marched together, under the banner of white supremacy, in the Ku Klux Klan, to put down and keep down by law or lawlessness, the Negro... While, before Emancipation, the Negro only had job security as a slave because he toiled for nothing, so, following Black Reconstruction, black freedmen labored within the framework of a peonage-sharecrop, labor-barter commissary system for, perhaps, a little more than nothing.

And, despite the Thirteenth, Fourteenth, Fifteenth Amendments, clear commitments to the protection of the freedmen, the Negro laboring masses have never fully broken through the barrier of the ethnic-labor mores of the South, which were hardened into a racially segregated order by the celebrated Plessy v Ferguson decision of the U.S. Supreme Court of 1896. Moreover, like the proverbial locusts, the doctrine of least ethnic-labor costs, or a racial sub-wage differential, spread in every area of American industry.

Thus, Negro workers are not yet fully free in the South. By the same token, white workers in the South are not yet fully free, because no white worker can ever become fully free as long as a black worker is in southern Bourbon bondage. And as long as white and black workers in the South are not fully free, the entire working class, North, East, South and West, is not and will not ever become fully free. There is no principle more obvious and universal than the indivisibility of the freedom of the workers regardless of race, color, religion, national origin or ancestry, being based, as it were, upon the principle of least labor costs in a free market economy.

This is why the racial policies of the American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations have so devastatingly weakened, morally, organizationally, and politically, the American labor movement before the Congress, the public, and the world.

One has only to note that while trade unions, such as the Amalgamated Clothing Workers, Ladies' Garment Workers, and United Textile Workers, are building up decent wage rates and sound rules governing working conditions in New York, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania and Illinois, corporate capital, highly sensitive to the least threat to high rates of profits and interest upon investments, promptly takes flight into the land of non-union, low wage, low tax, race bias, mob law, and poor schools, namely, Dixie. Southern mayors, governors, and legislatures make special appeals in the northern press to industries to come South for non-union, cheap labor.

But this anti-trade union condition in the South is labor's fault. It is the direct result of the fact that neither the old AFL nor the CIO, nor the AFL-CIO ever came to grips with the racial-labor problem in the South. Instead of meeting the racial-labor issue head on, organized labor has always adopted a policy of appeasement, compromise and defeatism. The evidence exists in the fact that it has recognized and accepted:

(a) The Jim Crow union
(b) The color bar in union constitutions, rituals, or exclusionary racial policies by tacit consent
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(c) Racially segregated seniority rosters and lines of job progression
(d) Racial sub-wage differential
(e) Indifferent recognition, if not acceptance of the concept and practice of a "white man's job" and a "black man's job"
(f) Racial barriers against Negro participation in apprenticeship training programs
(g) Failure to demand Negro workers' participation in union democracy
(h) Racially segregated State conventions of the AFL-CIO in southern cities

Is there anyone so naive or cynical as to believe that these forms of race bias are not organizationally and economically disadvantageous to the black laboring masses? Not only has the long system of color caste condition in American industry thrust the Negro workers to the lowest rungs of the occupational hierarchy, but it tends to reinforce the accepted inferiority hereditary position of black labor, which drastically limits their economic mobility and viability.

Although not unaware of the fact that racial discrimination in trade unions affiliated to the AFL-CIO has existed for almost a century, no profound concern is now manifest by the leadership about this dreadful evil.

Instead of becoming aroused and disturbed about the existence of race bias in unions that affect employment opportunities and the economic status of the Negro worker, AFL-CIO leadership waves aside criticism of the movement's racial policies, as pure exaggeration unworthy of dispassionate examination.

Such was the reaction to a memorandum on race bias in trade unions, together with corrective proposals, I submitted to George Meany and the Executive Council at Unity House, Pennsylvania, June 1961.

Instead of giving the memorandum a painstaking, rational analysis to determine if it contained any meritorious suggestions, it became the occasion of voluminous rebuttal and attack upon, and censure of, myself.

The rebuttal was not only innocuous, barren and sterile of a single new, vital, creative and constructive idea with which to grapple with the menace of race segregation and discrimination, but was a distressingly vain effort to justify a "do little" civil rights record in the House of Labor.

Just a word now about the objective effects and results of race bias in trade unions and industry in two major cities that are generally considered to be relatively liberal, New York and Detroit.

In New York City, as well as throughout the State, non-white persons make up a very large part of those who live in poverty; a poverty that is frequently related to discriminatory racial practices that force Negroes into a marginal position in the economy, even though opportunities may increase for other groups within the community.

The two major industries in New York City are garment manufacturing and printing and publishing. The printing and publishing industry alone employs more than 160 thousand workers, or about nine percent of the manufacturing labor force. In both garment manufacturing and printing, however, we find that Negroes and Puerto Ricans are concentrated in the low paid, unskilled job classifications.

The Graduate School of Public Administration of Harvard University recently conducted a series of case studies in New York metropolitan manufacturing and concluded that in the New York garment industry Negroes and Puerto Ricans "were largely to be found in the less skilled, low-paid crafts and in shops making the lower priced lines, and in this industry their advancement to higher skills is not proceeding very rapidly. In the higher skilled coat and suit industry the new ethnic groups have hardly made an appearance."

The New York metropolitan region has twenty percent of the nation's employment in printing and publishing. In a survey made by the NAACP of Negro employment on the seven major New York City newspapers we find that, with the exclusion of building service and maintenance, less than one percent of those employed on the seven major newspapers are Negroes. Virtually all of the Negroes that are employed on these newspapers are within the white collar jurisdiction of the New York Newspaper Guild.

We estimate that less than one-half of the one percent of those currently employed in the newspaper crafts outside of the Guild's jurisdiction are Negroes. This includes printing pressmen, compositors, photoengravers, stereotypers, paper handlers, mailers and delivery drivers.
In the past decade very little progress has been made in eliminating the traditional pattern of Negro exclusion and discrimination in the Plumbers and Pipe Fitters Union; the Iron and Structural Steel Workers; the Plasterers and Lathers; the Sheet Metal Workers; the Boiler Makers; the Carpenters, as well as the Bricklayers, Masons and Plasterers Union, and others.

In New York City, Negro waiters and bellboys are more noted by their absence than presence in the hotels and restaurants except, perhaps, in a token form at some banquets. However, Negroes are members of the Hotel and Restaurant Employees Union. One will need the proverbial microscope to discover a Negro bartender anywhere in the city except in a Negro community.

Negro motion picture operators have no job mobility. They are chiefly confined to the second-class motion picture theatres in Negro communities where they receive a sub-wage differential paid operators in this class of theatre.

At present there is a broad exclusion of Negro youth from major apprenticeship programs jointly conducted by industrial management and labor unions in the City of New York. For many occupations the only way a worker can be recognized as qualified for employment is to complete the apprenticeship training program. This is true for the printing trades, among machinists and metal workers, the construction industry, and others.

The role of the labor union in these occupations is decisive because the trade union usually determines who is admitted into the training program and, therefore, who is admitted into the union. This is especially true when the union controls access to employment.

In the New York metropolitan area there are many apprenticeship training programs in the building trades. Apprenticeship programs provide essential training for a wide variety of skills in their important area of the region's economy. These include apprenticeship programs for asbestos workers, electrical workers, glaziers, ironworkers, latherers, painters, plumbers and sheet-metal workers.

A recent study by the NAACP clearly indicates that less than one percent of the apprentices in the construction industry throughout the nation are Negroes. Unfortunately, the number of Negroes in apprenticeship training programs in the New York construction industry differs little from the national pattern.

The lack of apprentice-trained Negro craftsmen directly affects the economic standing of Negroes as a whole. Data indicates that craftsmen command substantially higher incomes than unskilled workers. If, then, Negroes are not employed in such occupations in large numbers, a potential source of high income is removed from this group. When this is coupled with other income limitations it becomes apparent why Negroes constitute a permanently depressed segment of American society.


4. UNLESS SOMETHING SPECIAL HAPPENS

By Whitney M. Young, Jr.

Before the Third Annual Negro American Labor Council Convention, New York City
Friday, November 9, 1962

Mr. Overton, Toastmaster Randolph, President Meany, Distinguished Guests, Members and Friends of the Negro American Labor Council:

I bring you greetings from the National Urban League, and wish especially on this occasion to pay tribute to Phil Randolph -- who on November 19th will receive the National Urban League's EOD Award for distinguished service as a labor leader, one who has made an outstanding contribution to the concept of equal opportunity.
Race relations in America stands today at the crossroads. For both white Americans as well as Negro Americans, the moment of truth has arrived. The direction we shall move from this point on depends upon both of these groups of citizens, and their leadership recognizing the certain realities which face us in this celebration of the Centennial of the Emancipation Proclamation.

For white Americans, these realities are as follows:

1) The widely-heralded progress which the Negro citizen is supposed to have made, is more fantasy than real, more intangible than tangible. The evidence for this can best be seen when we view the extent to which the gap has been closed in the areas of economics, education, health or welfare, between the Negro American and his fellow citizens. The facts reveal little change in the last ten years. For example the average income of Negro families is 53% that of white families as compared with 1952 when it was 57%. There are 2½ times more unemployment among Negro workers, and in cities like Detroit the unemployment rate is as high as 60% although Negroes represent only 20% of the total population. Housing is actually more segregated than it was ten years ago. According to the 1960 Census one out of six homes in which Negroes live is dilapidated, as compared with one out of thirty-two homes for white citizens. Of the one million new homes built annually during the last ten years less than 3% have been made available to Negro citizens. In education our children still receive 3% less years; and in the matter of health our parents still die seven years sooner.

2) Another reality that Americans must face is the fact that the day has passed when the Negro citizen can be relied upon to react to his abuses and injustices with despair, resignation, or feelings of inferiority. From this day on his reaction to these inequities will be one of resentment, bitterness and hostility.

3) The disappearance of old barriers and the establishment of new laws or even the adopting of the more polite language will not in and of themselves, substantially erase the 300 years of deprivation, and certainly not for many years to come, unless something special happens. The back wheels of a car cannot catch up with the front wheels unless something special happens. I have insisted that if those who make the decisions in this country are really sincere about closing the gaps, then we must go further than fine impartiality. We must have, in fact, special consideration if we are to compensate for the scars left by 300 years of deprivation, which actually represented special consideration of another type. Equality for a while, therefore, is not enough. We must have better schools, better teachers, better facilities, and all else being equal the Negro should be given special priority in employment, including apprentice jobs.

This is not an original idea, since we recall that after World War II veterans were given a ten-point preference in Civil Service exams because they had been out of the mainstream of American life for four years. Certainly those of us who have been out of it for 300 years are not being unrealistic when we ask for similar consideration.

4) The alternatives to positive and accelerated action in this field are becoming quite clear. Either the Negro citizen will be helped to become a constructive, productive consumer, proud of his citizenship, or he will become a disgruntled, chronic dependent through indifference or casual treatment. Either long-time experienced organizations in the field, like the Urban League,95 will be given support to provide effective, responsible and most certainly inexperienced. Finally, our choice is between spending millions for programs of prevention, education, and rehabilitation, or billions for social disorganization and international loss of prestige.

For the Negro citizen, he must reflect his new maturity by also facing certain realities:

1) That no individual member of a minority group, however secure and privileged at any given moment, is ever permanently secure and advantaged until the least among these, our brothers, know and feel that same security.

2) That monolithic approaches to the solution of our problems, and no single leader will guide us into the Promised Land. Those who think this, are as naive and out-dated in this jet age as the horse and buggy. It is not a question of whether we need an NAACP or CORE or the Negro American Labor
Council, or the Urban League. The issue is whether we are sufficiently in-
formed on the nature of our problems to recognize the value and the unique
contributions which each of these groups can make if sufficiently supported
both morally and financially.

3) History has taught us that the struggle for dignity and first-class
citizenship must always begin with protest and activities that dramatize the
injustices and mobilize public opinion. These are also helpful in the removal
of barriers, and the opening of new opportunities. But history has also taught
us that this guarantees us only the opportunity. It does not assure us of the
desired status.

This is why the organization I represent, the National Urban League, is so
crucial and so important at this moment in history. And this is why, though
much younger, the Negro American Labor Council can be so important. For, while
both of us were born in a climate where protest and righteous indignation were
appropriate, and had been the proven formula of other minority groups through-
out history; and, while to a degree we must never lose our divine discontent — we,
nevertheless, must face in a responsible way, the total challenge which
is ours.

The Urban League sees its challenge beyond that of protest, to assist a
citizenry that has been scarred, discouraged, and in many cases demoralized,
to take a second look at a new destiny and their role in helping to share that
destiny. Toward this end, we therefore make no apologies for concentrating
our efforts largely in the area of counseling and guidance of youth, activities
designed to strengthen family life, programs of education to stimulate Negro
youth and adults to improve themselves through re-training, adult education,
and better use of their economic resources. We shall no longer be embarrassed
or intimidated by the charge that we are negotiators — for the mature person
must certainly know by now that unless we are represented at the level of
policy-making; unless we are there to shape the rules and regulations which
implement the new laws; and unless we screen very closely those who have the
responsibility to carry out new policies — then all of the legal changes, the
legislative changes, and even the new vocabulary which is so now in vogue,
become meaningless platitudes and pious hopes.

Finally, we will no longer be sensitive about the fact that our 350 staff
people located in 62 strategic American cities where 70 percent of the Negro
population lives, are professionals, and highly-trained and skilled in the
areas of research, social science, education, and industrial relations. For
today we know, as the labor union has so-well learned, that in order to bring
about effective social change, one must be able to compete educationally with
those whose policies he would seek to change.

The challenge to the Negro American Labor Council, is also to go beyond
the protest stage, and to see to it that every Negro first understands the
benefits of union membership; and, secondly, that he participates actively in
all meetings and programs of his union. I cannot help but feel that when more
than 20 or 30 percent of the membership of the union happens to be Negro, and
there are problems of discrimination and lack of representation on the policy-
making body — then a great deal of that blame rests squarely on the shoulders
of the Negro members themselves, who have become masters in the art of protest,
but remain naive babies in the art of planning and organization.

May I conclude by saying, as forcefully and with as much conviction as
possible, that it is imperative that we always keep in proper perspective,
whatever discontent we in the Urban League, or any other organization in the
field, or the Negro American Labor Council itself, may have with organized
labor as an institution in our society. Our activity must be clearly focused
on a specific and well-documented act of discrimination.

For, in the final analysis, on those basic rights which affect the health,
wellfare, and social and economic status of the masses of Negro citizens,
organized labor has been the institution which uniquely and almost without
exception, has been standing by our side.

I am therefore pleased, as a representative of the National Urban League,
to join with you on the occasion of your Third Annual Convention, and symboli-
cally associate the Urban League with the objectives of the House of Labor.
At this crucial moment in history, we mutually seek for every citizen his God-given right to dignity and equality of opportunity.

Speech delivered at the third annual Negro American Labor Council convention, New York, November 9, 1962, Box 123 Speeches, Whitney Young Papers, Columbia University.

5. RANDOLPH FEARS CRISIS ON RIGHTS

By Raymond H. Anderson

Content removed at rightsholder's request.


6. NEGRO JOBS FOR A STRONG LABOR MOVEMENT

Following are excerpts from the address of Cleveland Robinson, national president of the Negro American Labor Council, to the Council's national economic conference in Washington last month. Robinson is also the secretary-treasurer of District 65, Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union, AFL-CIO.

The Negro American Labor Council came into existence as the result of the clash between A. Philip Randolph, president of the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters, and George Meany, AFL-CIO president, at the AFL-CIO convention in the Fall of 1959.

At the convention Randolph cited the failure of the AFL-CIO leadership to lend its full weight to the struggle of the Negro workers, or to insist that certain unions abolish their lily-white practices and accept Negroes as equal partners.
Meany rejected Randolph's proposals in arrogant and insulting fashion. This brought an angry reaction from Negro trade unionists throughout the country and the NALC was formed in 1960.

The NALC founding convention was based on the conviction that the essence of the civil rights struggle is an economic revolution.

We realize that segregation, discrimination and the denial of basic human rights means for Negro masses joblessness, low wages and other forms of deprivations. Thus in the midst of the struggle, while we join forces in the broad civil rights fight, we place emphasis on the economic plight of our people.

The fact remains that despite all the progress that has been made thus far, economically the Negro masses have made no real progress in terms of their every day needs. As a matter of fact, statistics could very well prove that we are worse off today than we were a decade or more ago. In the midst of unprecedented affluence, and a national output of close to 800 billion dollars per year, Negro unemployment is still more than twice that of whites. And unemployment among Negro youth is rising at an alarming pace. Whereas in 1953 average wages for Negro workers was 59 percent that of whites, today's figures places average wages of Negro workers to be 53 percent that of whites. The advent of automation and cybernetics, and the lack of basic skills amongst masses of Negro workers makes him not only an unemployed worker, but too often an unemployable worker. But perhaps of greater significance is the fact that in the areas where masses of Negroes are to be found working, their wages are abominably low and working conditions deplorable.

The fact is that despite headlines from the employer-controlled press about the strength of the labor movement, less than 20 million of the working people of the nation are organized. Upwards of 50 million are unorganized and the unorganized are to be found, in the main, among that 70 percent of the nation's work force who are not industrial workers, but in service industries.

Our people are to be found in service. Masses of us work in the laundries, in the hospitals, in the educational system, in the hotel and restaurants, in the stores and in the fields. We perform services in the homes of the rich. We perform services which are vital and essential to the life of our community and our nation in these areas. Yet our jobs are often described as menial.

In most instances we have no unions. We are unorganized and have no way of determining for ourselves what our salaries should be, what our hours of work should be, or whether we work or we do not work. It is a fact that except in rare instances the mainstream of labor has not seen fit to put forward efforts necessary to organize in these areas.

Therefore, it is my proposal that through the initiative of Negro trade unionists and the NALC, in every community throughout the nation, and especially in our large urban communities, we convene conferences of all forces concerned with our struggle. Conferences for the purpose of mapping plans for the organization of our people into unions, unions which we will control, unions that will be democratic institutions, unions whose programs will respond to our needs, unions which will be a force to be reckoned with.

It is my belief that with this kind of determination and with this kind of effort, we will receive the backing of many fine unions now with the AFL-CIO, or even some independent unions. But it will be up to the people in given localities to decide with whom they affiliate, or whether they will affiliate with anyone at all.

Knowing that in unity there is strength, it would therefore be to our greatest advantage to maintain affiliations and alliances with unions and organizations whose workers, programs, and philosophies are compatible with ours.

We of the NALC know that in this society, and in this system under which we live, strong trade unions are essential for the welfare of working people. Where there are no unions the people will surely perish. The failures of certain unions and union leaders to live up to their trust, of necessity had to be highlighted, and this of course has gotten more publicity in the press than the good things the unions have done.

For example, there have been more headlines about the discriminatory practices of certain craft unions and their exclusion of Negro workers from their ranks or of the collusion between certain union leaders and employers in signing "sweetheart" backdoor agreements, thus exploiting Negro workers
and others, than there have been of the fine work that has been done by the vast majority of our unions in elevating wage standards, providing health and welfare benefits, vacations, pensions and job security for workers. This has brought about a situation where Negro workers and other oppressed minorities such as Puerto Ricans and Mexican Americans have looked upon unions with a certain amount of suspicion and mistrust, and in too many instances have acted against their own interests by not joining legitimate unions, and fully participating in the lives of their unions. This situation we cannot permit to continue.

It is up to us through such conferences as I have proposed in our localities, and by other measures to bring home to the masses of our people the basic truth that unions are essential, and that in a large sense it is the people, the workers themselves who really make the union; and that their physical participation in the life of the union is as necessary as their financial support.

In these days when there is so much talk about power, I think it is fitting and proper to state unequivocally that we need power and we must have power. Our oppressors would want us to believe that we are powerless. The slogan BLACK POWER has been distorted and taken out of context by people on both sides of the aisle -- friends and foes alike. And I am here to say that the organization of our people along the lines we are now projecting will be the greatest manifestation of power ever to be realized by us. Power to demand -- power to negotiate -- power to decide. Power to make decisions, politically, economically and socially. Unquestionably with this kind of power at our command, alliances with other groups sharing our views and our objectives will first be meaningful.

It is my belief that in the same manner and method that we organize ourselves for the eradication of low wages and exploitation, we organize ourselves so that we can deal effectively with the cancer of discrimination, and adequate programs for the training of our people for today's job market.

Low wages and exploitation, job discrimination and unemployment carries with it inherently the social problems so prevalent in the communities from which we come. These are basically in the areas of housing, health and recreational and educational needs.

In the meantime the administration and Congress, despite declarations to the contrary, are making it crystal clear that they are not ready to appropriate anything resembling adequate funds for the needs of the millions who are living in poverty and deprivation. Can we imagine how many jobs could be created if in our urban areas there was a massive program for building decent homes, hospitals, schools and facilities for recreational and cultural activities? Certainly if this were done our building trades unionists would have no fear for jobs since there would be more than enough for everyone who wanted to enter these trades.

We have to make it clear what we believe our priorities ought to be. If we believe that our priorities and our national interest and our moral standing in the world community rests with a continuation of our current foreign policy, which today is resulting in the expenditure of countless of billions for a war in Vietnam, and thereby stymieing from a practical point of view any realistic expenditures at home in these areas which are of vital concern to us, then those who keep silent or give support to the administration's foreign policy are correct; but they should have no illusions that through government efforts there will be any meaningful change as long as this situation continues to exist.

But if on the other hand, we believe -- as I do -- that our priorities are here at home, that our nation will be immeasurably strengthened when we have closed the gap between the fine words articulated in our Constitution and our Bill of Rights, and our day to day performances, then our prestige with the world community will grow by leaps and bounds when we have erased from our society racism and its effects. And that nations will be more quick to emulate us because of these things, than because of our ability to wipe them out of existence by our military might.

Hence -- our current foreign policy is wrong from a moral as well as a practical standpoint. Then I believe it is our obligation to press for this priority which means in the first place that we demand an immediate end to the killing and war expenditures, and that we provide for our nation's youth,
real opportunity and hope for life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness instead of the dismal outlook of death on a Vietnam battlefield.

But here again, experience will indicate that the voices of the masses of people must be heard, and this we can only do through organization. Let us not forget that organized labor as a force has been responsible for many of the fine things which today have become a part of our national life. Yes -- there was a time when the leadership of organized labor was not the conservative and complacent image that by and large it represents today.

We must profit from these experiences of the past, because then the masses of the people who constituted organized labor were lowly paid, they knew what joblessness and exploitation were. They were hungry and they were angry and therefore, they knew they had to fight. The leadership which arose from their ranks were men dedicated to their struggle who were prepared to fight all enemies, employers or government, and to give their lives if necessary to the cause of the people they represented.

Today we are the jobless, the exploited, the hungry and the angry -- and what we need is to learn to fight, and fight we must.

The steel workers, the automobile workers, the workers in the coal mines all have a history. A history replete with violence meted to them by harsh, vicious and unconscionable employers. But a history made rich by the fact that they were smart enough to stand together and fight together, thereby winning for themselves and their families, dignity and self-respect, good wages and security. So now -- we the black masses must look to ourselves for some of the answers to the problems that we face today -- and that our children must face tomorrow.

The Worker, June 25, 1967.

7. FRUSTRATION IN THE GhettoS: A NATIONAL CRISIS

Pleas by a Northern Negro Union Leader and by a Southern Group

A Northern Negro union leader and a Southern interracial group have responded to the outbreaks in Negro ghettos with statements built on the same theme: the poverty, discrimination and degradation imposed upon the Negro people must be ended if riots are to be eliminated and the entire society is to progress.

Cleveland Robinson, Secretary-Treasurer of District 65, Retail and Wholesale union and president of the Negro American Labor Council, in an article in the August issue of his union's paper, makes a strong argument for white to join the Negro in his struggle for equality, "in which whites, too, will be the gainers."

The Southern Regional Council, an interracial Atlanta-based organization formed in 1944, issued a statement titled "On the National Crisis," which itself indicates the council's contention that the problem of the ghetto is the product of the whole country. "We are compelled . . . to speak to the nation," said the council, "urging that it act against the causes of the riots and not merely with repressive force to end them." Below are excerpts from both statements.

Following are excerpts from Cleveland Robinson's statement:

Whether we like it or not the strife raging in many cities of the U.S. is on the rise. Some explain it as just a matter of lawlessness, or simple frustration, or rampant police brutality.

Others blame it on resentment against segregation and poor housing, or job discrimination, or poor education, or ghetto conditions, or plain ugly race hatred.

While it is recognized by most people that all of these and other factors are elements in the picture, many black people are coming to the conclusion that these elements are really by-products rather than causes. Many who see
things thus have referred to the events of Newark, Detroit and elsewhere as a rebellion. There is amongst the black people a strong belief that a basic historic force is revealed in the clashes, and that this very force is the root cause of what we see. It stems from a growing self-esteem among dark races everywhere and amongst the descendants of the African slaves in America. We see ourselves as resisting aggression which we contend America has waged against us for centuries. We are, in effect, demanding that the U.S. confess its historic crime, agree to make amends for its sins, and repair the damage it has inflicted upon more than a dozen generations of black people.

An individual white person who sincerely believes that he personally never did a black man any harm, who may have contributed to "Negro causes" who perhaps even joined civil rights demonstrations, feels that he is not guilty and, therefore, not responsible for the conditions of Negro life. He even agrees to fight to correct these conditions. But he tends to resent what appears to him as ingratitude, lawlessness, irresponsibility, and, perhaps worst of all, race hatred in reverse.

Whites will continue reacting this way if they maintain the illusions which have been obscuring the picture. Can anyone deny that this nation brought Africans here in chains and forced them into slavery? For whose benefit did these slaves toil if not for the benefit of white America? And, are not white workers among the beneficiaries of this America, whose prosperity was at least in part, eked out of the sweat and blood of the black man? If the black man feels he is unjustly oppressed why should he obey laws which are designed to oppress him? Would whites play according to rules in a game where the cards are stacked against them?

But above all, what good is done, what contribution is made towards a solution of existing problems, by reacting negatively to the black man's efforts to escape his condition, even though some of these efforts tend to irritate?

Would it not be better to insist that those conditions not be permitted to continue for a single day? Should you not aim your resentment against those who talk of war on poverty, but wage war on the poor; who find billions for guns, but mere pennies for people; who come up with unlimited incentives for fat corporations, but never a hope for frustrated youngsters?

It is impossible for the black man in America to accept his fate without resistance. His spirit of rebellion is a positive thing even though his methods may at times be self-defeating. The reaction to it can make him an enemy or can make him the initiator of a wonderful surge of human progress. Undoubtedly the job that needs to be done is gigantic, almost impossible. In fact it can't be done unless you really remake the nation. Somehow, white workers seem to have forgotten that the enemy of the black workers is the enemy of all workers; that their own human needs can never be fully met unless the very nature of our society is changed. If the black man's rebellion will hasten recognition of such a need, isn't he making a contribution to all?

If he irritates occasionally as he tries to master the complex technique of rebellion, is that too great a price to pay for a victory in which the whites, too, will be the gainers? A nation good enough for black people to love will be a nation where whites, too, will walk in dignity, in confidence, knowing that their prosperity, their humanity is not built at the expense of, but in partnership with, all Americans.

Following are excerpts from the statement by the Southern Regional Council:

As an organization of Southerners of both races dedicated to equal opportunity for all, the Southern Regional Council has watched with profound grief and alarm the riots that have occurred during this first half of the summer of 1967. But far more destructive than riots would be the failure of the nation to recognize riots as a symptom of profound failure--social and economic--of American society to provide a chance for a decent and constructive life for vast numbers of its people, North and South, white and Negro.

Fully aware of the need for tact and sympathy in doing so, we are compelled as Southerners, out of a knowledge of our own region's tragic history of racial antagonism, to speak to the nation, urging that it act against the causes of the riots and not merely with repressive force to end them.

We would also urge the South, as it continues to strive to restructure its society to conform to laws of equality and racial justice, to look upon these
riots which have occurred in Northern cities as a severe object lesson in the tragic consequences of not achieving these objectives fully for all citizens.

... historically, the South has exported people of both races not properly prepared for life in a complex modern nation, and with them have developed new variants of the deprivation and racial antagonisms that have so long crimped the South. And we can only look about our own region and know that the same conditions which have exploded into riots exist in many places in the South.

The starvation-level poverty in many parts of the rural South is a particular problem of ours, more severe and punishing probably than any other poverty in the nation.

We must note too another grave factor in our current crisis. There now are millions of Americans outside our economic system, neither contributing to it or benefiting from it. This must realistically be seen as a serious threat to the economic system.

We continue in the conviction that for the South and for the nation, the only realistic and moral goal in regard to race relations is the full integration of Negroes and other minority groups into the American society. We recognize and applaud efforts of such groups to develop legitimate methods of gaining objectives of self-interest, economically and politically. But we continue to oppose racism and separatism as antithetical to everything America stands for. It is our belief that Southerners, white and Negro, have moved and are resolved to continue to move toward the kind of society, one of equal opportunity and human dignity, made possible by the Constitution of the United States.

It is toward this high goal rather than to the absence of riots that we must look.

_The Worker, September 10, 1967._

**8. NALC HEAD ASKS LABOR AID MARCH OF POOR**

YOUNGSTOWN, O.—Cleveland Robinson, president of the Negro American Labor Council, called on the organization to "play a vital role in the remaining days" of the Poor People's Campaign in Washington.

He urged the NALC to "carry on the struggle throughout our unions and our communities to mobilize the poor and oppressed people, and their allies to be fighters in this cause."

Addressing the NALC's annual convention here last week Robinson declared that "this nation is facing a crisis of untold proportions."

"Our calls for justice by and large have gone unheeded, many times unnoticed," he said. The "root cause" of the "tragedy of our times" is "white racism," he added.

For the past two years, he said, the NALC "has continued to wage limited struggles in the fight for equality of job opportunities, with some limited success."

"The fact is that our work and our activities are still not welcome in large sections of the labor movement. Maybe, in a way, this is a credit to us because it means that we are facing issues head-on. We reject compromise, and tokenism, and we continue to tear away the mask and expose the hypocrisy that still exists in far too many places."

"However, there remain areas where our black full-time trade unionists and rank and file leaders are not full participants in our movement. This we must view with deep concern, and seek ways and means to encourage and enhance their participation."

"This is a time for us to reassess our goals, and make new demands on ourselves, on the labor movement and on the nation."

"When we raise quite sharply the question of jobs, meaningful wages, the breaking down of discriminatory barriers, meaningful training programs, and show our distaste and impatience, we are so often told or reminded that much progress has been made, and that black workers are better off today than they were ten or 15 years ago."
"Is this so—really?" he asked.
"Isn't it a fact," he asked, that
* "the wage gap between black and white has widened not narrowed?";
* "unemployment amongst Negro youth is four to five times higher than among white groups?";
* "with automation and cybernetics all the way from the farms to the factories, thousands of our people are now hopelessly unemployed, and are among the ten million who are going to bed hungry each night, not knowing where the next meal is coming from?"

We know that things are not better, he said.
"For thousands, yea, millions of our people, and others, poverty, deprivation and misery is the way of life," he said.

"So in reassessing our goals we must come to the conclusion that the elimination of poverty and disease and misery, and the bringing about of conditions whereby all may share in the abundance that is available without regard to race or color or creed, must be our nation's highest priority."

"This means demands upon the Congress, upon State Legislatures, upon industry, for radical changes. And it means demands upon our labor movement, that it once again put itself in the vanguard of the struggle for the most oppressed."

"Our first demand upon the Congress, must be the appropriation of funds—funds that will make possible a decent home for every American at prices he can afford to either own or rent."

He called, also for—
* "Complete health care for every American."
* "A job for every worker at meaningful wages."
* Abolition of the current welfare system.
* A pension, equivalent to a living wage, for the "infirm and the disabled, dependent children and widows."
* A "guaranteed annual income at a living wage," for "those Americans who cannot find work" who "can turn their attention to the improving of the academic or vocational skills" while they are unemployed, "thus making them available for today's job market."
* Improvement in social security "to provide pensions for senior citizens on which they can live."

"Six percent of the gross national income," said Robinson, "approximately 50 billion dollars per year is the price tag for these unmet needs."

"We must here take note," he declared, "that today our nation is spending in excess of 30 billion dollars on the war in Vietnam. And an additional 85 billion dollars for defense appropriations."

"This nation must now face squarely the question as to whether it can continue to wage war in Vietnam. A war in which the poor do the fighting and the dying, and the black youth of this country are fighting and dying in Vietnam in high disproportionate numbers. A war in which the Pentagon is spending 71 million dollars to kill rice crops and starve the Vietnamese people. And napalm bombs are killing thousands of innocent women and children.

An unjustified war, an illegal underdeclared war."

"Our demand," he said, "is: stop the fighting, make peace, and spend the money, the talent, and the energy of the nation in creating, prolonging and sustaining the lives of our people here at home, as well as helping other oppressed people."

"This, we believe, is America's best and first line of defense."

"We must make other demands on the Congress and on our nation's executives," he declared. "Too long have we seen the spectacle of corporations and contractors who are practicing discrimination, being rewarded with fat government contracts. We say an end must be put to this."

"We must demand that Congress and the Executive Branch see to it that no corporation, no contractor, does business with the government or performs work where Government funds are involved, where there is not clear cut fair employment to practice on all jobs, and all training programs, and in all hirings pertaining to such firms or contractors."

"We cannot, as workers sit by and see our brothers, Mexican Americans and Puerto Ricans, or our black brothers in the fields," Robinson said, "toiling at starvation wages, exploited as slaves, having no laws to protect them."
He demanded that Congress "pass laws giving collective bargaining rights to all workers," "see that all such workers are protected under laws governing workmen's compensation, fair labor standards, child labor and so on," and "enact minimum wage legislation covering all workers." Such minimum wage at this time should be no less than $2.50 an hour, he said.

"There may be some who feel that the demands outlined are unachievable. To this I must say, it is not our job to determine what is achievable. "Our job is to determine and place before the nation what is needed. Let the Congress and our nation's chief executive, and the industrialists, those who hold power, let them know what is needed."

"We know what this nation can afford, and that our people are entitled to these things and more, not as charity, but as a right."

Robinson charged that the AFL-CIO executive council "has not seen fit to wage an effective struggle for the most oppressed."

"The dynamic, progressive, demanding, and dissatisfied voice of labor is nowhere present in the top echelons," he said.

"Nor do we find," from the top body of the labor movement, directives or calls for total mobilization of all of labor, to the cause of the oppressed in a manner where the strength of the labor movement, in its totality, including its rank and file, can be brought to bear on a given issue.

"Instead, too often we find this leadership is prone to be satisfied with what 'is possible,' and hail mediocre victories and compromises as evidence of progress, when to the victims of discrimination, the oppressed and the have-nots, such results are totally unsatisfactory.

"We believe that the time has come for a change in the structure of the top body of the labor movement," he said, in order "to make possible representation from the most oppressed, the Negro, Puerto Rican, and the Mexican American, in the highest councils of labor."

"We are not asking or suggesting that anyone be replaced," Robinson said, "we are suggesting that the leadership be broadened, for this is the time when people must speak for themselves."

He urged that the AFL-CIO "make available funds to aid in the organization of the most oppressed workers, and develop leadership from their ranks in full-time positions, so that an effective war against poverty can be waged on behalf of such workers."

Robinson called for the building of NALC chapters "in every city and in every community."

"In every union where our black brothers are to be found, strong caucuses must be developed so that an effective struggle can be waged, not only on the broad programs we outlined, but on the basic day to day grievances and problems which our people face.

"We must help our people to get into decent unions, and be good union members, and to develop leadership from their ranks for us workers, progress cannot be made in a real sense without unionism."

"Where we cannot get the help from organized labor" he added, "we can encourage the formation of independent unions of such workers wherever they may be."

The Worker, June 2, 1968.

9. SOMETHING NEW IN THE HOUSE OF LABOR

By Gene Grove

A black-led union of 40,000 workers of all races has set out on its own war on poverty . . . championing and organizing the unorganized, the underpaid, the underemployed. . . .

The minutes dragged by in the stifling afternoon in Suffolk, a little town between Norfolk and Newport News, Va., and although the air conditioning wheezed manfully it was fighting a losing battle, as were the some 100
delegates deadened by the heat and the mounds of ham and Southern fried chicken they had eaten for lunch. It was a meeting of labor union leaders, and the people in the room represented some 40,000 workers scattered across the country, most of them considered unskilled, most of them among the lowest paid, most of them in what are regarded as menial jobs, half of them black. They had trekked to this little town to register their final protest against what they regarded as the irrelevance of their union, the Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union, and of the AFL-CIO itself.

When the meeting at last got under way a minister pronounced a benediction and led the delegates in Jesus Keep Me Near the Cross, a good hymn which most of the black delegates seemed to know and to which most of the white delegates listened with studied interest. They all continued to listen with care as, one after another, men approached the speaker's stand to denounce their union. Then a large, impressive black speaker with a musical accent and glasses like the bottoms of Coke bottles rose and said, "We belong to the house of labor, but when the house becomes so rotten and dilapidated that the walls crumble and the roof leaks and the floors sag, then it's time to get out and build a new, clean house," and the delegates exploded at last with fervor that brought a sense of purpose to the room.

As a matter of fact, the speaker, Cleveland Robinson, admitted later that he had used that same phrase once before, in 1962, as a threat which helped pry some concessions—which later had proved, Robinson thought, ephemeral—from AFL-CIO President George Meany, and the New York Times had thought it striking enough then to print it as the newspaper's quotation of the day. Robinson, too, thought enough of it on reflection to use the words again, and this time not as a negotiating tactic. Minutes after he sat down, workers voted to renounce their affiliations with the RWDSU and AFL-CIO and to strike out on their own as an independent union — the National Council of Distributive Workers.

The Times seemed to have something of a predilection for quoting from people who were to turn up at this small, even obscure, gathering in Virginia. Only a few weeks before the meeting it had used another of the speakers, David Livingston, for its quotation of the day. Speaking of labor's failure to organize black and Puerto Rican workers, he had said: "To say you're for civil rights is not enough any more; everybody is for civil rights. The oppressed want power and some share of the responsibility and they have not generally found relevance in the labor movement." Relevance is a much over- and mis-used word in these times, but it is a fact that the union officials meeting in Suffolk were prompted to build anew in what Robinson called "the house of labor" primarily by the race issue. The immediate causes were contained in a statement read to an RWDSU meeting—and subsequently ignored—which referred to the "restlessness of the oppressed in our midst," as well as the Kerner Report's identification of white racism and the necessity for unions to organize and develop full-time leadership among Negro, Puerto Rican and Mexican workers. The statement also proposed that the RWDSU, whose national officers all are white, "rearrange and/or expand its national leadership to include outstanding Negro trade unionists in its highest echelon of authority and thus provide inspiration and stimulus to the organization efforts of black working people." The dissatisfaction went beyond that specific, though, to a general unease about the direction of organized labor: various spokesmen complained that many international unions had refused to let down their racial bars and the AFL-CIO had done nothing effective to force them down, that Negroes were not admitted to the top echelons of union leadership, that the unions had failed to recruit and train members of minority groups and that they had failed to try to organize the poor and the dispossessed. The labor movement, the spokesmen insisted, no longer was relevant (to again use that word) to the needs and aspirations of the minorities, the unorganized, the underpaid, the underemployed, the oppressed.

They punctuated their dissent and convictions, after they had voted to disaffiliate, by electing Cleveland Robinson president of the new union, an election which made him the first black president of a multi-racial union in American labor history, if one remembers that the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters, led by Robinson's good friend, A. Philip Randolph, is an all-black union. And if the fledgling union of 40,000 sounds small by the standards of, say, the Teamsters, the Mine Workers or the Steelworkers, already it is far
larger than such established unions as Randolph's Porters, the American Newspaper Guild or a host of others. Nominating Robinson, Livingston said he would be "glad and proud to serve in a union with black leadership. We will tell our members that they must accept the democratic principle. This will be the first union in America where black leaders are proud to follow." Including Robinson, four blacks were elected to office, as were one Puerto Rican and three whites.

The trail that led these 40,000 to break away from the RWDSU and the AFL-CIO is somewhat winding and the nuances of all the relationships are not always clear, but the final reason, the one they flung at the delegates to the convention, was simplicity itself. "It is clear to all," said Livingston, who is president of what was District 65 of the RWDSU before the break and now is District 65 of the National Council of Distributive Workers, "that the AFL-CIO has not faced up to the fight against racism and for liberation."

Accepting the new national presidency, Robinson put it at some greater length:

"The minorities," he said, "are left out of the mainstream of American life. There are 57 million unorganized workers in the United States, and the AFL-CIO is not relevant to their problems. The only real war on poverty is the war of workers organizing for a living wage: for the right to say if they work, when they work and for what they work. Without a living wage you can't take advantage of any other rights. The failure of the AFL-CIO to organize the poor and dispossessed . . . means for the poor whites hungry bellies and for the poor blacks degradation . . .

"We intend to organize near everybody who is now excluded from the labor movement, and if the AFL-CIO attempts to interfere with our locals we shall not let them rest. When the poor black and the poor white are liberated, America will be liberated."

Robinson's talk comes out rather tougher in print than it sounds when listening to him. He is a big man who nevertheless gives an impression of gentleness. His voice, naturally soft, is softened still further by the accents of his native Jamaica. And the syntax of his speech, a considerable improvement over the extemporaneous offerings of most union leaders, is made the more impressive by the fact that he retains only a hint of vision after a losing 10-year fight with glaucoma and, as a result, cannot read his speeches. He is, perhaps, the least known of the significant movers and shakers of the civil rights movement of the past dozen years, certainly less widely known than Randolph, Martin Luther King, Jr., Roy Wilkins, Jesse Jackson, Whitney Young, James Farmer and the rest, less known even than Bayard Rustin, whom Robinson hired to help him organize the 1963 March on Washington. Yet Robinson is, for example, president of the Negro American Labor Council, a long-time advisor to and recently elected a regional representative of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference and a member of the New York City Commission on Human Rights. He came to this country in 1944 from Jamaica (where he had been, at various times, a schoolteacher and a policeman), found himself in jobs that paid in the neighborhood of 30 cents an hour, and within a year was participating in the struggles to organize the clothing wholesalers and warehouses where he worked.

"Aside from whatever dedication came to me from a sensitivity to my own problems and those of my fellow workers," he says, "I was angered at the discrimination I saw when I came to this country, discrimination I didn't see in the West Indies. There you could aspire to the heights. A man knew there that the best gift he could give his son was a good education—men would sacrifice all their lives to send their sons to Oxford—because you knew that if your son got a good education he could use it to the best of his ability. You didn't know that here then, and you still don't."

The union in which Robinson found himself showed its social conscience quite early. District 65, the union of which Livingston is president and of which Robinson remains secretary-treasurer while serving, too, as president of the new Distributive Workers union, began life as a union for Jewish dry goods workers on Manhattan's Lower East Side. It participated in the great organizing drives of the '30s and through mergers with other locals, such as the shoe workers, and efforts to organize such as the city's textile workers, its membership had risen to perhaps 10,000 by the time Robinson joined it and its ethnic composition was changing rapidly. Within a year after Robinson joined the union he was made an organizer and not long after that the union leadership, then entirely Jewish, made its first efforts at adjustment to the
altered facts of its life by backing Robinson and an Irish Catholic, Bob Burke, for election as vice-presidents of the union. It later helped form the Distributive, Processing and Office Workers union and took over many of the locals of the Food and Tobacco Workers and the United Office and Professional Workers when those unions collapsed. This, plus the continuing pursuit, as far as Phoenix and Los Angeles and Kansas City, of runaway shops from New York, succeeded in transforming what had been a New York local union into District 65, with shops scattered throughout the country.

Robinson is fiercely proud of his union and, conversely, contemptuous of unions which haven't done as much for the underprivileged. With 25,000 out of the new Distributive Workers' total of 40,000 members, District 65 naturally provided the impetus and leadership for the breakaway, and it was partly to take the focus away from New York that the founding convention was held in the hall of Local 26 of Suffolk. District 65 has some 10,000 black members in its 25,000, while some 70 per cent of the 15,000 members in the 10 locals which joined it in disaffiliating from the RWDSU and the AFL-CIO are black. The members, black and white, remain largely the economically disenfranchised. District 65's big drive right now seems modest enough: its hiring hall is refusing to send workers out to jobs in which the minimum pay is less than $100 a week. Its headquarters remind one as much of the Rev. Leon Sullivan's self-help school in Philadelphia as they do of a union headquarters: there is a co-op drugstore, for instance, a dental clinic, classrooms for the teaching of language and arithmetic skills and, for the Puerto Rican members, English itself. Beyond that, there is instruction in community action, how to get improved bus service and police protection and so on.

The new union didn't begin, naturally, without considerable preparation, all of it directed by District 65. Before they arrived in Suffolk the delegates were assured of a loan of $120,000 from the United Auto Workers to help them get started and of organizing help from both the Auto Workers and the Teamsters.

"If any of the unorganized workers in the country ask for our help," Robinson said, "we are going to help them. We are going to become the vehicle through which they cross the barrier to dignity and full citizenship in this country. We are going to organize the Mexican-Americans, the black Americans, the poor whites and the American Indians. We extend our hand and our strength to those who have seen the door of opportunity slammed in their faces." The union is working on plans for organizing campaigns in 50 cities, in cooperation with the Alliance for Labor Action, a new group headed by the Teamsters, who were thrown out of the AFL-CIO, and the Auto Workers, who quit.

The sort of blanket jurisdiction to organize anyone who is unorganized, primarily the poor and disenfranchised, which the union has arrogated to itself is the reason for its new name, the Distributive Workers, a name broad enough to cover anyone this side of the American Medical Association and necessary, too: already the union has such disparate groups as loggers in Virginia, clothing workers in North Carolina and sanitation workers in South Carolina under its aegis, as well as the people who pack the peanuts for your cocktail party and the people who make the buttons for your dresses. It is, then, neither a craft union in the tradition of the old AFL nor an industrial union in the tradition of the old CIO, but a union willing to be all-encompassing in its style.

In his New York office seven floors above Astor Place at the northern edge of the Lower East Side—where the Bowery begins and just across the street from Cooper Union, the ancient school where Abraham Lincoln made the speech which started him on the path to the presidency, Robinson spoke one day recently of himself and his union.

"Generally, in the labor movement, they say they'd really like to have blacks and Puerto Ricans in positions of leadership but that they've got no one qualified for the job. And it's even worse in industry. In other unions they once in a while give Negroes a title but it's only window dressing and they never see that they do a job. Now, when I was first with District 65 I was placed in charge of 10 or 15 organizers and every one of them knew more about organizing than I did. If they'd have waited for me to have the experience I'd never have made it. It was the same when they made me secretary-treasurer. I was treasurer in name only after I was elected, but I worked in every department—as a bookkeeper, as a teller in the credit union—even if I was the secretary-treasurer, to make myself qualified. What I'm trying to say is that
you can take a raw, dedicated guy and train him for the job if you really want to, and that's what has to be done. You can't wait for a person to be qualified because he never will be if he doesn't get the chance and the responsibility."

Robinson by now is quite comfortable with responsibility. Among his many other jobs, he is a founding member and currently is president of the Negro American Labor Council, an organization of unionists dedicated to the elimination of racial bias in the labor movement and in industry. "The only black in the highest councils of the AFL-CIO," he says, "is A. Philip Randolph. In 1959 he documented the racist character of the labor movement and called for action. And George Meany asked: 'Who appointed Randolph spokesman for Negroes and the labor movement?' Well, we showed him." Negro labor leaders formed the NALC, elected Randolph president and Robinson vice-president and by 1962 were threatening to picket the AFL-CIO convention unless someone paid attention to them. Meany agreed to meet with 18 of the blacks—six of them from District 65—before the convention.

"The night before the meeting," Robinson remembers, "there were some who felt we should be docile and polite and they called their own meeting. I learned of it and went and read the riot act and the next day, instead of being polite, we presented a list of angry demands. Meany was totally unprepared. He said of Randolph: 'I don't know what's happened to the old man lately. He seems to be getting senile.' [Meany himself is in his 80s.] We told him off. We pointed out the irrelevance of the labor movement to the oppressed workers. Instead of helping them, the unions and employers, especially in the craft unions, are in a conspiracy to keep the blacks out." (Meany advanced to his present position from New York's Local 2 of the plumbers union which, two years after the confrontation with the NALC, struck the Bronx Terminal Market construction when an employer tried to comply with the state's fair employment practices law by hiring a Negro and three Puerto Ricans who were not union members. The union later said it was not segregated: it had 16 Negroes among its 4,100 members. What was heralded as a concentrated drive to recruit minority group members ended a year later with four Negroes added to the membership, raising the proportion of Negroes to something less than 0.5 per cent).

"We told Meany he must change or the blacks would find a new home," Robinson says. That was the first time Robinson used his analogy about the house of labor. "Meany made some concessions and wound up saying he had misunderstood and at the next convention of the NALC he was the guest speaker. But nothing meaningful resulted from it all. Meany would make speeches and use the proper terms but they just weren't relevant, not in the building trades in particular. Over a period of a year and a half the best they could show was an increase of one or one-and-a-half per cent in the number of blacks."

It was this agitation within the ranks of labor that was the genesis, a little-known footnote to history, of the March on Washington. The NALC convention in the Fall of 1962 projected a march on Washington for jobs and freedom for the late Summer or Fall of 1963, preferably Labor Day, with the emphasis heavily on the demand for jobs for Negroes and an end to industry and union bias. Then the Spring of 1963 saw an outbreak of civil rights activities and the accompanying violence, the freedom rides, the spectacle of Bull Connor's police dogs in Birmingham and all the rest. In late Spring, the Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. called on Robinson and Randolph and asked them to move the date of the march up to August and to expand the aims of the march to put pressure on Congress to pass the pending civil rights legislation which became the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

The relationship between King and District 65 was neither sudden nor casual. It dated back to the Montgomery bus boycott in 1956, when King first became a national figure. District 65 had contributed heavily to the boycott—not from union funds but from gifts solicited from the membership—and through the years had given as much to King's Southern Christian Leadership Conference as all the rest of organized labor together. King attended every District 65 convention from the days of the bus boycott and announced to a Chicago meeting of labor leaders a few months before his murder that he, too, was a union man, "ever since Cleve Robinson and Dave Livingston made me an honorary member of District 65, about 10 years ago." The union's contracts now call for a holiday on Dr. King's birthday. Speaking at one of the conventions, King once said:
"As against the many massive international unions you are small, but only in one dimension. A deep look into your quality shows that you are like a diamond in a massive vein of coal. You have brought your fighting tradition into the present, and wherever there is a battle of decency you have made yourself a part of it. There may be bigger unions, but none with a larger heart and conscience. In a day when all too many unions have lost their vibrant identity of the '30s, District 65 is a refreshing ray of hope. Indeed, you are the conscience of the labor movement. When the day comes that your example is the theme of all in the labor movement, the dream of brotherhood of men will begin to live in the world around us."

After the appeal from Dr. King, the NALC leaders talked with other civil rights leaders and by June word of the March was out. "Whereupon," Robinson remembers, "the pressures began to grow in Washington to forestall the march. All the top civil rights leaders were called into the White House and asked to call it off on the grounds that it would be uncontrolled and that there would be violence. But we went ahead, the NALC and Jim Farmer from CORE and the SNCC people. Early in June we hired Bayard Rustin, four weeks before the announcement of the March, and for those four weeks he was paid out of District 65 funds while he planned the March.

Robinson, by now an accomplished treasurer, took care of the money and shocked everyone by announcing after the March was over—as they waited anxiously to learn how much more than the $150,000 which was raised had been spent and how much more they would have to cough up—that there was a balance of $16,000. Part of the balance could be attributed to Robinson's refusal to pay a bill from the City of Washington for $6,700 for portable latrines. "I was going to pay it," he recalls, "when someone pointed out to me that American Legion parades and such were never billed for latrines, so I said, 'The hell with it.'" Robinson took personal charge of the balance and used it up in contributions to other civil rights activities such as the New York City school boycott and the Poor Peoples' March on Washington. When Randolph retired as president of NALC in 1966, Robinson was elected in his place.

His final defection from the AFL-CIO is explained by Robinson with a certain sadness. "There are grave contradictions in our nation," he says. "It is the richest country in the world but there are millions who work a full day and live in abject poverty, grossly exploited, and you can add to them the millions who are simply barred from their rightful place in society. The government's response is to talk about the war on poverty but in large measure that's a farce. It cannot address itself to the real problems. The only real war on poverty takes place when those who are exploited have organized themselves and achieved power on the basis of the collective bargaining of their wages and working conditions and have determined as an organized group what course they will pursue."

"The AFL-CIO is not relevant to these basic issues. By and large, the masses of Puerto Ricans, blacks and whites have grave distrust for the AFL-CIO despite the fact that they know organization is their only hope. They distrust it because they don't see themselves reflected at all, even in those unions where the majority is black or Puerto Rican. What we have done in the Distributive Workers is to take cognizance of that and to share the power in a real sense. We're determined to use our dues money to help the poorest, those the other unions have disregarded, to organize and control their own unions and to become masters of their own destiny. This is a multi-racial organization in which all will share power, in which our aims and aspirations are to organize the poor, not those who already are in the middle class. The Poor Peoples' Union would be a good alternative name. There are millions of such people, unskilled mostly, doing tasks which in most instances are of the greatest importance in terms of community welfare, subsidizing a rich, affluent society with their blood and sweat."

"I say the only thing that makes a job menial is the wages. People need wages that make them proud and for good wages they are good jobs: for menial wages they are menial jobs. The jobs we're talking about go directly to the health and welfare of a community, distributing food or collecting garbage, for instance. If the doctors in a community go on strike for a week, few people would suffer, but let sanitation men strike or the food processors and distributors, and the community would collapse."

10. NALC DELEGATES WARN AGAINST REDBAITERS

By J. J. Johnson


11. NALC CONVENTION URGES POLITICAL ACTION

Content removed at rightsholder's request.
Anti-Communist Clause

Charles Wilson, a member of Local 719, UAW, in Chicago, called for removal of the anti-communist clause in the constitution. He recalled how anti-communism was used to destroy a 500-member NALC branch in Chicago. Cleveland Robinson, NALC's president, replied, "Anti-communism is a constitutional matter and not a subject of debate. For practical and organizational reasons, the executive body of this organization saw fit to include this clause. We do not red-bait. We condemn red-baiting and will exclude no one for political beliefs. But we will not be controlled by anybody, right of left. When the gavel goes down, the majority rules. We changed the constitution two years ago and eliminated what we felt was unnecessary."

Jobs for Youth

The economic action workshop took up the questions of full employment, minimum wages, the organization of the unorganized and areas of discriminatory practices. A report by Beverly Pyron, of the Young Workers Liberation League, on unemployment among youth brought cheers from the crowd. "A deep crisis," said Pyron's report, "faces the young people of our country, particularly Black youth. . . . Throughout the country we witness brutal attacks against Black youth. The slaying of two Black youths in Baton Rouge is only one of many brutal attacks. These attacks have resulted in a rising tide of unpunished police, FBI and National Guard attacks, and frameups against Angela Davis, the Soledad Brothers and other militant figures."

"... The generation gap concept is used to keep young and old apart in the movement when the only gap is between working people and the bosses. We address our resolution to the following problems.

1) On the job training with pay.
2) Unemployment insurance for first job seekers.
3) Job programs for Vietnam veterans and ex-prisoners.
4) Open admission with remedial programs.
5) Equal minimum wage for youth.

In order to meet these problems we would like the convention to go on record as a supporter of the concept of a National Youth Act."

A resolution urging a $2.50 an hour minimum wage law was passed by the convention.

Community Action

Jim Davis, head of the Youngstown, Ohio, chapter delivered the community action report. He called for building autonomous community groups and establishment of an educational program within each group. A young worker from Philadelphia attempted to open discussion of the anti-communist clause, but he was called out of order. Leo Rabouine, president of the New York Chapter, stressed the need to fight for guaranteed pensions. He cited the case of a worker in his local (Brewery, Teamsters) who retired but was unable to collect his pension. Felicia Coward, a young electrical worker from Philadelphia, spoke of the dangers of runaway shops to Black workers and the entire labor movement.

A delegate told the Daily World, "We have a good program and the workers are ready. We must now organize to implement that program."
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MR. HAYES:

Ladies and gentlemen, will you please clear the aisles and take your seats. We are ready to proceed with the first annual Conference of Black Trade Unionists. Please clear the aisles. Will the Sargeant-at-Arms please clear the aisles.97

(PAUSE)

This is a very historic occasion. Assembled here today is one of the single largest gatherings of black trade unionists in the history of the American Labor movement.

MR. HAYES:

Before we proceed further let me call on someone who is a civil rights activist and certainly a friend of the Trade Union movement, one with whom I served as an Executive Board Member of Operation PUSH nationally, and who is pastor of Monumental Baptist Church here in Chicago. I give to you The Reverend D. E. King for the invocation.98

Reverend King.

(The audience rose for the invocation).

THE REVEREND MR. KING:

Thou Who hast made us in Thy image and after Thy likeness, O God, we come acknowledging Thee and all Thy ways, for Thy ways are right and altogether holy.

We come to acknowledge Thee in all our ways, for our ways are not always right, nor are they always holy, but in whatever way we find ourselves, we acknowledge Thee, for we know that we were not made by blind chance or by unthinking dust, but we were made after Thy Supreme Intelligence.

As we come, we pray that Thy wouldst forgive us for not making of ourselves all that we should be, for not making our respective communities all that they should be, for not making of this nation and of this world what they should be.

Forgive us for not pressing our claim to the highest and best in humanity and as trustees of Thy creation. We thank Thee for these Union leaders and members from the various states, and we pray for them as they assemble here for their convention.

We especially pray that they might be sensitive to the unnamed and unappreciated masses who merely exist trying to live, working for others who live by the sweat of their brows.

We pray that they may be sensitive to the unexpressed emotions and to the inaudible sentiments, and we also pray, O God, that Thou wouldst help these leaders and these members of this Union to speak for people who cannot speak for themselves, and to act for people who are unable to act for themselves.

May all of us, whether we are of religious persuasion, or civic, or political, help us to unite in one common bond of leadership to give leadership to those who need us.

We pray Thy blessings upon the President, upon his corps of officers and workers. We pray Thy blessings upon the homes of those who have come to Chicago. Bless their families, and bless their labors back home, and may Thy
peace and love abide with us throughout this meeting, and we will give Thee the praise and the glory and the honor due Thy name. We will be trustees of Thy creation and of the work that hast been assigned to our hands. In the name of Jesus Christ who came to save the masses and to make us free, we pray. Amen.

MR. HAYES:

On your behalf I want to say to Reverend King that we are appreciative of his taking time out from his busy schedule to give us those words of wisdom and to express words of blessing over our conference here. We would like to extend to him a welcome opportunity, if his schedule will permit, to spend as much time during our deliberations at our conference as he so desires.

Now, to those of you who don't know me, I am Charles Hayes, Vice President of the Amalgamated Meat Cutters and Butcher Workmen of North America; one of the convenors of this conference; one who has the honor and privilege of making a few remarks in the opening of this historical conference and extending to you some words of welcome, those of you who are from out of town.

Let me say at the outset, personally, I want to thank each and every one of you for being here. You don't know what it might mean in terms of results your coming here to this kind of conference.

We have people here from every corner of this United States -- North, South, East and West, -- who are Trade Unionists, who have responded to our call to be here today and tomorrow.

What you have done here has superseded anything that I ever dreamed that we had any right to expect.

(Applause)

Some of you have come here with great sacrifice. Some of you here didn't come with the umbrella or the blessings of your own organization. You have done so on your own, because you recognize the need to get together and have some dialogue on some of the problems that are of deep concern to black people who are in the Trade Union movement.

We also have among our midst some white Union leaders who feel the same as many of us do when it comes to recognizing the kind of problems that need immediate attention on the part of the members and leaders of the Trade Union movement.

Most of us realize and want to say it in a most profound way that the leadership of the AFL-CIO certainly did not reflect our views when they came out with a position of neutrality in this year's Presidential Election.

(Applause)

There are many white Trade Unionists who share that view. Many of us realize, too, that we have to begin to give some profound thought to some kind of an ongoing structure that stretches beyond the November elections and reaches out into the bowels of the Trade Union movement to give some guidance and sense of direction in helping us to overcome some of the shackles that are around our ankles within the movement.

We are deeply concerned about the failure of many unions to recognize the need for the elevation of blacks and other minorities into policy-making positions and we intend to try to change this. It is only through organization that we can hope to do it.

(Applause)

Let me hasten to say that I am not suggesting or telling you that it is the purpose of this conference to form and leave here with a structure designed to compete with existing Trade Unions.

We intend to organize and work within the effectuate certain reforms, just like the Democratic Party did in Miami Beach.

(Applause)

During our two days here we are going to be quite hard at work in workshops, panels, and we have tried to select people who will be able to impart to others some of the knowledge and expertise that they have on the given subject matter.

We certainly aren't going to discourage full participation on the part of the people who are here in the discussions. However, let me caution you that we will not be able to permit monopolization of discussion on the part of any one or two individuals.
I know that many of us are orators in our own rights (Laughter) and have one weakness. The only thing we need is an audience, and when get it we are hesitant about turning it loose. (Laughter)  
Well, we don't intend to, but we haven't provided you with a forum for you to turn loose the whole ball of wax on people. Express yourselves as concisely as possible, as briefly as possible, and understand that we will not be able to conclude a conference here that will please everybody, or satisfy every whim or wish, or every idea. 
Whatever decisions we finally arrive at will, in our opinion, be decisions which will be couched against a backdrop of what is best for the whole. This is the only way we can look at it -- not individually as such. 
We are pleased to have with us this afternoon a representative of Congress who is a member of the Black Legislative Caucus, and even before he went to Congress he stood on the side of organized labor, and more recently, has been making his voice certainly heard in the civil rights arena, particularly in the area of brutality of police against citizens here in the City of Chicago. 
He has gotten out of favor, to some extent, with the Establishment because of his positions, but this is in recognition that his first allegiance is to his constituency, the majority of whom are black like us. 
So it is with a feeling of great honor and pleasure that I give to you now a friend of mine, a leader in the political arena, Congressman Ralph Metcalf.

CONGRESSMAN METCALF:
Thank you very much, Charlie Hayes, for that very splendid and very elaborate introduction.

To the members, the convenors of the Black Trade Unionists, in addition to Charlie Hayes who very modestly omitted telling you that he is the Chairman of the Labor Committee of the First Congressional District of the State of Illinois, which I am proud to represent. 

Also, he is an advisor on labor matters; a very distinguished and dynamic Bill Lucy, Mr. Jack Edwards, Mr. Cleve Robinson, and Mr. Bill Simmons, all convenors. I would like for you to know that I am right-handed, and I want to now give recognition to my right arm. That is Mrs. Addie Wyatt, Addie, will you stand.

Platform dignitaries, delegates, my brothers and sisters in the labor movement, my brothers and sisters of the Black Trade Unionists, I am most appreciative of the invitation to come and address you this afternoon. 

But, Charlie, if you had only said, "Ralph, would you stick your head in the door and just see this outpouring of dedicated Black Trade Unionists," my afternoon and my day would have been complete without me having said a word. 

This is indeed an inspiration to me, and we all need to inspire others, because the tasks before us today and tomorrow are monumental. I compliment you on your concern about the oncoming election, your desire to have a massive registration. And I hope that massive registration is being thought of in terms of 100 percent. 

I am where I am because I am a team member. I listen to my advisors, and then I am frank with those that I talk with, and I am going to be frank with you.

Sometimes we want to pat our chests and take a great deal of pride before we are really entitled to it, and, therefore, we dilute our real effort in the goal that we are seeking -- which is to bring about meaningful changes in the Trade Union movement, to eliminate discrimination, and to make all of us free.

What we really need to face up to is the fact that you and I have not been successful in encouraging and urging the vast majority of our people to register and vote. 
Let me tell you what the Establishment thinks -- I am no longer of the Establishment -- Charlie and Addie Wyatt have made me free.
They look upon us as just a minority, a minority who casts fewer votes than any other ethnic group. And if you want to prolong the struggle that we are confronted with, then keep our voting record down to the level that it has been.

But if you want to really have recognition and then move in the right direction, then it will be the numbers that count, for there are those who work on strategy -- and President Nixon is one -- who say that the blacks don't come out and vote in sufficient numbers to warrant his really programming for us.

This, to me, is one of the challenges that I think all of us must accept. Certainly, you are to be complimented as Black Trade Unionists for working toward the goal of bringing the vast number of minority group members who are presently excluded from participation into the economic life of our country, into areas where they have, and are still being excluded.

You are to be complimented for working toward the cessation of inequities that bring about the discrepancies in the hiring of our people, and thus we have the unfortunate statistic of being more unemployed than the national average.

I say to the Black Trade Unionists that while you have come a long, long way -- for I remember when you emerged, Charlie, out of Operation Breadbasket to a very viable and a dynamic force. You are today only at the crossroads.

There is a new black man, and there is a new black woman, and there is a new white person who thinks as we do, and we have new and truly great black leaders, which is terribly important.

I read an article in the paper recently where some of the white fathers discouraged some of the black brothers and sisters from attending this convention. I would want them to come here today, see who is here, see the prestigious group that is here, see what we are talking about, and let them catch up with the changing times.

For we are emerging from that state. I don't see a handkerchief on anybody's head here today.

I see men and women, and they only reflect strength and determination, and that is the way we are going to achieve it. We want to send the word out that we are capable of making decisions, of selecting our own leaders, and participating at the decision-making level.

I raise the question, and I haven't had a chance to talk with my labor advisor, but I want to know how many black people were involved in the decision-making when George Meany decided that AFL-CIO will be neutral.

Well, this is our answer to him. No longer -- no longer are we content to be taken for granted. No longer are you to tell us what to do, but you are to discuss with us, and we will make our own agreements.

I have said over a period of time that every election is different. It is different because you have different candidates. You have different issues, and the times are different.

But this is a unique election like I've never seen before. There is this idea of neutrality that they are trying to encourage us to witness. They don't tell you that those who are going to vote for President Richard Milhous Nixon -- the suburbanites, the upstaters, the downstaters -- will be coming out for the minority party while we stay home.

I must refresh those of you in the State of Illinois with what happened a few years ago, and I think that history is good to let us know where we have been, but to prepare us for today and tomorrow.

We had a great liberal in the United States Senate. His name was Paul Douglas, a proven liberal. He had the nerve, the audacity, to be democratic and to bring about change. He had the nerve and audacity to appoint a black man, Henry Magee, as the Postmaster of the world's largest post office, here in Chicago, and that position had heretofore been awarded to a white German-American.

And they resented it. So, when election time came, a little rain fell, and our people just didn't bother to come out and vote.
At that time I was the Chairman of the City Council's Building and Zoning Committee. I inherited and welcomed the support of my administrative assistant, who was Polish, and who was also at that time a ward committee man.

He related to me what happened, that as he would go into the black precincts, the judges would be sitting there twiddling their thumbs with no one to vote. He went into the Lithuanian precincts and into the Polish precincts, and he found them standing out in line with rain caps on waiting to get in and vote against this liberal, Paul Douglas.

Now, what does that say to us?

We want to make sure that we know where our rain caps are on November the 7th.

And we want to remember that if we are to have more friends who are liberal -- be they black or white -- then we have got to come out and reward them for what they have done for us.

So, our problem is that of indifference. Black youth are not sufficiently motivated, and this world is theirs, after we prepare them for it, and they ought to be exercising the right to vote.

I was one of those who fought for that right to vote, but we have not motivated them. Rather, we stay in the area of "The party ain't no good," or "The white man ain't no good," you know, instead of saying we are going to bring about changes.

So, we get right back into this old thing called neutrality, call it apathy or indifference, and Dante said in his Inferno, "The hottest places in Hell are reserved for those who in times of great moral crisis maintained neutrality."

And that is our position.

We are confronted with the problem of being neutral, or of being active. I've seen a lot of pieces of literature, but let me tell you that while I was waiting for you to convene I had a chance to read this.

I ask you -- I beg you -- not to read it as a piece of literature, but rather to read it as information that you can take with you and that can motivate you, and you, in turn, can motivate others to do the job. I endorse every word in this particular piece of literature, including that part that says we can't depend upon the Democratic Party machine bosses to defeat Nixon. We've got to do it ourselves.

And I know of no President who has not during his four years in office had a chance to name at least one or two more members to the Supreme Court. And the Supreme Court makes the law of our land. Let us quickly review.

And I know of no President who has not during his four years in office had a chance to name at least one or two more members to the Supreme Court.
the Supreme Court ruled that there should be no discrimination in education, but I submit to you that there was a momentous decision that was passed down before that.

That decision was that racially restrictive covenants shall not be enforceable in the courts of law, which means that we, by Constitutional rights, have a right to move and have our being and live wherever we can afford and have a desire to live.

Then, of course, came the 1965 Voting Rights Act, and look today at the number of black elected officials that we have. Over two thousand of them spread out throughout the width and breadth of the United States, and it is still but a pittance of our percentage of the population.

So, we cannot afford four more years of Richard Milhous Nixon, and we cannot look forward to eight years of dominance and catering to special interests and disregarding the labor man, the black man, the minorities, the liberals, those who want to move ahead.

Already as you have seen, as I did, viewing the Republican National Convention, that they cut down those handful of liberals who wanted to follow the Democratic Party program of opening up the party to women equal to their population, to minorities equal to their population, to youth equal to their population.

If we don't give our youth a chance to participate in the early years, they won't be prepared to do it when they get into adult life.

At the Republican Convention they set the stage for Spiro Agnew to be the Republican nominee four years from now. Mrs. Pat Nixon said already that he was her candidate for the Presidency in 1976, and you know she wouldn't be talking unless she had conferred with Richard. (Laughter)

You talk about credibility, and this is something that all of us have to be really on our toes and wide awake about, that we really look at the word "credibility," where the Republicans are trying to show that our very champion of democracy, our champion of the rights of all people, Senator George McGovern, lacks credibility.

I say, to the contrary, he is a man of great courage and determination, and he has taken some very calculated risks for the principles that he believes in.

(Applause)

Contrast that, if you will, with the statement that was made by General Dwight David Eisenhower -- and he got away with it -- when he said just some few weeks before he ran for election, that if elected, "I will go to Korea."

He was elected. He did go. But I ask you what the hell did he accomplish?

But the American people were moved by that, and four years ago the American people were moved when Richard Nixon said, "I have a secret plan to end the war in Vietnam."

Well, he didn't say when, and it is still a secret, and we are still spending $24 million an hour to keep up the escalated war in Indo-China, when that money ought to be spent domestically.

I have voted against all of these military appropriations.

(Applause)

I think that money ought to be spent domestically. Then, when we see the expose of the alliance between the Republican Party and the donations given by ITT, it was so hot they had to leave San Diego and move to Miami to let it cool off.

We see the President still favoring the favored few by tipping off the world market in wheat in Russia and, therefore, they made a killing on that. And they talk about "credibility."

Here we catch red-handed members high in the Republican Party coming into the Democratic headquarters at the Watergate Hotel in Washington and bugging it. Where can we have any sense of security, any sense of privacy?

They stop and search and frisk us, a typical example of the fears that we have today, and we have a Governor here who said, when he was campaigning, "I will not raise the taxes."

As those of us in Illinois know, the first thing that he did, the first year, was to raise the taxes and insult the intelligence of the electorate by thinking that if he did it right away, they would forget it three years from now, which will be 1972, but we haven't forgotten it.

So, we must recognize that we have to reorder our priorities, and we
have to be looking at labor as it truly is in the present situation and devoting our time to education, to housing, to a reduction of crime.

But who is going to do that? It is going to have to be you, the labor leaders, the leaders of our community, the ones that we depend upon to lead us and bring us victory.

Don't be caught up with this negativism about polls. We remember what happened when they said that Truman couldn't win. And I tell you this, that he did win by one vote per precinct in the United States.

John Fitzgerald Kennedy won by less than one vote per precinct. And we can be the difference. I don't ask for us to be the difference between his winning or losing. I ask that we be a part of the main body to pile up the votes so that we can have a victory not only for George McGovern, not only for Sarge Shriver, not only for liberal members of the Congress, but for liberality in itself.\textsuperscript{102}

Then, and only then, can we have aspirations of truly being free.

(Applause)

MR. HAYES:

Thank you, Ralph, for giving us those inspiring and informative remarks. At this time I have an important announcement to make.

There are some people who have taken the liberty to park their automobiles outside of the hotel and proceeded to become participants in this conference. I may already be late, because I forgot to tell you when we first started that the hotel has held them off as long as they can.

The first procedure when you park in a no-parking zone in the downtown areas of Chicago is to place a ticket on your car. And if that doesn't move you quickly, the tow truck will be along and move it for you.

Then you will have to pay the cost of the ticket, plus the expense of towing and storage when you retrieve your car.

Now, let me make a few introductions. To my extreme left is the International Vice President of the Textile Workers Union, Brother Ed Todd.\textsuperscript{103} (Applause)

I want to introduce -- you have heard from Congressman Metcalf. The next person will be introduced by someone else. This young lady here is Mrs. Gwen Hemphill, the secretary to Brother Bill Lucy. I just can't help but introduce her.

(Applause)

On the extreme right is a representative of the United Steelworkers Union, Brother John Thornton. Would you stand, please. (Applause)

Next, sitting to him, to his left, is Brother Tom Turner who heads the Wayne County AFL-CIO Council, and is also a member of the Steelworkers Union. Brother Turner.

(Applause)

I see we've got some Steelworkers out in the audience. I notice the manner in which they leap up.

Next to Brother Turner is the Director of the State, County and Municipal Workers here locally, Brother Neil Bratcher.

(Applause)

The norm would have been to have you hold your applause until the end, but I thought it was just unfair not to permit some of you to let your steam off. (Laughter)

Next is a leader of the United Automobile Workers Union, Sister Lillian Hatcher.

(Applause)

Next to her, to her left, is a Vice President of the Distributive Workers Union who operates not only out of New York but all over, particularly in the South, Brother Cleveland Robinson.

(Applause)

Now it is my great pleasure to introduce to you your Conference Chairman, who is one of the convenors of this conference and certainly is well represented here by members of his organization.

He recognizes full well what unity of purpose means. His International Union has for many, many years exemplified by action what the struggle for human and economic rights means for working people.
This dynamic leader of the Trade Union movement, while he concerns himself with the problems of collective bargaining, certainly finds ample time to participate in the political struggles and the social struggles which take place not only within the Trade Union movement but within the communities in which we live.

He is a big leader in more ways than one, not just physically, but in terms of his sphere of operations. So it gives me a great deal of pleasure to present to the conference your Permanent Chairman, International Vice President of the United Automobile Workers Union, Nelson Jack Edwards.104

(Sustained applause)

CHAIRMAN EDWARDS:

For those very kind remarks, I recognize Charlie's remarks as being the high point of the day, so far as I am concerned. If I would now take my seat and you believed all that Charlie said about me, my day would be a great day.

But Charlie didn't use a piece of equipment that I think is very, very great, because Bill Lucy decided that the old gavel that he chairs AFSCME meetings with, or the gavels that we have chaired many of our Local Union meetings with, was not sufficient for a new beginning, and he went out and bought a new gavel.

(Applause)

This gavel -- this gavel someday generations down the road I hope, will be in a museum, and the youngsters of our posterity will understand why we bought it and what it achieved.

(Applause)

Now we have had several meetings, obviously, to get this kind of outpouring, and we thought we had overshot our field when we said that we would go for a goal of one thousand Trade Unionists from across the length and breadth of this country, that was in total disagreement with Mr. Meany's neutrality.

At this point we said this, many of us thought that if we have a session and only have five hundred people there, it's going to look bad for us. It will signify the fact that maybe we are disturbed and our colleagues are not.

Well, I want to report to you that we have already registered 950 delegates to this conference and we are still registering.

(Applause)

I am sure that before the day is over the figure of one thousand will be down the drain, because it could very well go down the drain with my next statement.

Over in the Century Room is a room that we were able to secure for guests. We had expected to have space in this hall to house non-delegate members to this conference.

You need not take my word. Just look around. There is no space for one guest. We are going to be cramped for space for delegates. But over in the Century Room is a group of guests that came here to be with us in this conference, and I am going to predict that there are fifty or more over there, so the one thousand figure has been achieved already.

(Applause)

Now we come together here today voluntarily. No one twisted your arm to come. No one twisted our arms to call you to come. We decided in Miami -- Bill Lucy and I and Charlie Hayes and others -- always keeping in mind the Old Pro, Cleveland Robinson, that somewhere along the way labor representatives must rise to the point of demanding respect and recognition.

Now, in Miami that was a big convention. The track was fast, and every one was doing his thing there, and no one thought about you or the representatives you sent there. You had more lawyers speaking for labor people than ever before. You had the clergy there telling what was good for all of you, and we never got a chance to say a word.

We had technical, professional people there saying what was good for you that never associate with you, never know a thing about your hopes and aspirations, but they spoke for you.

We decided that it was about high time that the labor movement stand on its feet and say that there is a section of the labor movement that was ignored -- the black section -- that the next Democratic convention, we will go into that convention united and we will demand respect and no one will take it away from us.
Chicago is a great city, for many, many reasons. But Chicago is a symbolic city if you look at the purpose for which we came here. In 1890, a conference was held in this city, and the delegate attendance was 145 delegates.

The delegates came into this city and formed the Afro-American League of the United States. And the purpose that brought them here is the same purpose that brings us here today.

I will read to you, quoting from the stencil history of that conference: "They gathered themselves for the purpose to protect against unfair taxation, to secure a more equitable distribution of school funds, to insist upon a fair and impartial trial by a judge and jury, to resist by all legal and reasonable means mob and lynch law, and to insist upon the arrest and punishment of all such offenders against their legal rights."

Now, you can say, if you will, that you are from a certain section of the country, and that while lynch mobs and that sort of thing is passe, is no longer with us, that if you are from Michigan, you can say that. If you are from New York, you can say that. If you are from Ohio, you may say that. But I can tell you that I am a seed from Alabama, and I wouldn't want to write that off at this conference. We had better prepare to fight against it coming upon us again, because it has not disappeared from the American scene.

A growing mentality in this country suggests that in Alabama, Georgia, Mississippi -- where my good friend Charles Evers can't see the difference between the two candidates -- I don't know what's blurring his eyesight.

I see very clearly the difference between the two.

One is against us and the other is for us. But let's be candid about the whole subject. We have never had in the history of this country, to my knowledge, and I have read about evil men in the White House to a degree, but we have never had a man in the White House that fanned the flames of hate and racism against us.

When Tilden and Hayes made the great compromise in 1886, President Tilden didn't do a thing for us. The deal had done enough against us, and he went on through four years of doing nothing except what he was told.

And you can read into your history, looking for the guy in the White House that said no blacks ought to be housed in the suburbs because it's not good for the nation. Blacks ought not to have integrated schools, after the Supreme Court says separate but equal means inherently unequal, and he says no, we ought not integrate our schools.

The Congress prepares a bill to deal with unemployment, and he says, "I can't sign that bill, although it is passed by the Congress. It is inflationary."

You send a kid to school that is out of a home that is a welfare home, and he has built the welfare rolls higher than they have ever been in the history of this country, but he vetoed the bill to give them milk and food in the classroom.

If you can't see the difference between that man and Mr. McGovern, I suggest that we ought to all go home and die early so our posterity can bury us.

Now it is fairly obvious that when things are bad in this country, it is always worse for us. We are never on the same plateau no matter how bad things are. But even when things are good in this country, they are not good for us in many cases.

And the unemployment figures that run through the fabric of this country suggest that Mr. Nixon is really wrestling with bringing down unemployment. 5.6 percent of the population that is in the work force is unemployed, but that tells you nothing about what we have in our section because we help to magnify that 5.6 percent, but if you pull us out of that, it becomes 5.1 percent.

And if you look at what happens to us if you separate us from that figure, it then becomes 9.7 percent nationally, and if you bring in our younger people, it goes up to 25 percent.

I can tell you that I worked for a living for a long time in a lot of
places, and nothing is more wrecking and discouraging to young people that are looking for jobs and praying that some employment manager will hire them than to have them constantly turned away and told there is no need for your services.

Think of the demeaning value in that alone, and that ought to be enough to make anyone wake up and speak out in behalf of his children. Never mind what's happening to me that's good. I have children and I know what's happening to them in many cases, and you have children, too.

So what we must do here today and tomorrow is to find out how we can collectively bring about a cohesive movement that will say to all concerned, "Don't take us for granted. We are not automatic. And if you think we have no place to go, we will give you desolation and you won't have any place to go because you're not going to use us as a rung."

Now, finally, I am going to give you what the Congress can talk about, and then I'm going to go on with the program.

Thurgood Marshall, one of the greatest jurists, I think, this nation has ever known, was speaking in Washington at a White House Conference in 1966, and he had this to say about the law.

Before I give you the quote, I think you ought to understand that we have only two areas that are available to us to make progress in behalf of ourselves and our families in the political-judicial area.

If we fail in politics, it is almost obvious that we're going to fail in the courts, and especially when a court is stacked against us, such as the Supreme Court is now stacked against us.

So Thurgood has this to say. He said, "Some lessons for the future is the history of the struggle for Negro rights."

Marshall went on to say, "What is striking to me is the importance of the law in determining the condition of the Negro." "He was effectively enslaved not by brute force, but by a law which declared him a chattel of his master, who was given legal rights to recapture him even in free territory."

Now if you think the law doesn't bear upon us, you kid yourself. We can't win in that area yet, but I'll tell you where we can win, where we can make progress, and that is in politics, and that is why we are here.

We all have received great equity from collective bargaining, or otherwise you wouldn't be here. You are leaders and activists in that area, and if we do what this conference was designed to do, and that is have every one of you become a missionary of good will, because our communications system basically differs from this updated, elite system that TV pawns are trampling around and picking up guys. They are not going to pick us up here.

They care less about us, because we are against Mr. Nixon. If this was a black conference in behalf of Mr. Nixon, you would have TV strewn all across these aisles.

(Applause)

What we must do -- what we must do is go back home and never mind the tom-tom drums. We have other ways of doing it now. We sold those, got rid of them. They were not effective enough.

We must go back home as missionaries from this conference and decree that no matter what happens on November 7th, we shall not cease our efforts, and what will happen, I think, on November 7th can greatly be determined here today and tomorrow in this conference.

(Applause)

Now, it is my job to move this program, but I just had to say something. I am going to bring to you now a young man that I have a great deal of respect for. All working class, no matter what their color have a great deal of respect for him.

No matter where you hear the name, if men and women have heard of work that he has done, they say, "Oh, he is great. I'd love to meet him."

Well, many of you here today will meet for the first time this great labor leader, the Secretary-Treasurer of AFSCME, Mr. William Lucy.

(Sustained applause)

MR. LUCY:

Certainly one of the great labor leaders of our time is Nelson Jack Edwards, and I am pleased, first of all, just to be introduced by him, and secondly, to hear the very kind things that he said.
I see Charlie Hayes up here. You know, Charlie almost had the shakes here a little bit ago, because Charlie started talking about what the Lord said do.

I am supposed to tell you why we are here and how we hope to move this movement from the arena of discussion into the front lines of action. We want to talk about what is important to us and maybe contrast that to what has been happening to us.

Like the Congressman, like Charlie, and like Jack, it is awful hard to look out upon this crowd without really just taking a swing at the present incumbent in the White House, because, you see, this crowd is what really raises the questions in your mind as to which way can he be thinking.

Now, we are Black Trade Unionists, and I think -- and I hope you agree with me -- that it happens to be a worthy combination, worthy of respect, and certainly worthy of admiration, and worthy in the same sense as one would call himself a doctor, a lawyer, an engineer.

We have a profession, and we ought to be doing it. We've got to get about doing our work. I just want to add to the voices who have already said it, and I don't want to review the record too much, but I see no way that Black Trade Unionists, black workers, black people, poor people, can support the candidacy of Richard Nixon. There just isn't any way.

(Applause)

When Charlie, Cleve, Jack, myself and others of us met down in Miami and said we were going to give some thought to putting this kind of meeting together, I want to tell you that folks went straight up the wall.

They said, "What are you all trying to do -- create a separate thing?"

We said, "No, we're not, but it has always been separate anyhow."

(Applause)

What we want to do is define our role in whatever it is. What we want to do is point out to you that you have become more involved in making decisions that affect our well-being, and you don't even remind us orally about it anymore. You let us read about it.

Shortly after our convention, where some of us were lucky enough to get ourselves elected, I suppose a whole lot of folks thought that what we would do now is like we always have done, go into our hole.

When we started to talk about this thing, folks came to me and said, "You are going to mess up your credentials."

I said, "I have no credentials."

They said, "If you do this, your constituency might not like that."

Well, you see, my constituency didn't know where I was coming from -- What's going on here?

(Shouts of "Can't hear")

Well, I will have to holler, then.

My point is that there are those in high places in the Trade Union movement who said that we shouldn't do this. My point -- and I think it was supported by the rest of the Planning Committee -- is that it is time for it to be done. Time has moved by us, and we have not come together and said to ourselves what we are and where we ought to be going.

I want to also say, and nobody has touched on this yet, but I think we ought to do it, that those of us who are workers and who are poor, and those of us who are black and who are hungry, and certainly those of us who have walked the long road from Selma to Montgomery can't help but look with contempt -- and I want to say "utter contempt" -- for those of us who claim to be black leaders and yet by endorsement give credence to the program that Nixon has been running down for three and a half years.

(Applause)

When it is made for the promise of maybe a few -- and I want to point out -- "uncollectible" dollars, or maybe just for the right to get one of those grinning photographs taken next to the man (Laughter), and in the minds of so many people who believe in them, that Nixon is all right.

But, you see, we've got a mission to perform, and we've got a job to do, knowing that those grins and HEW loans and HUD loans to a bunch of those who have just decided that now is the time to get into what they call black capitalism are not "where it's at." We've got a job to do in that respect.

(Applause)

I think we have to ask them where is their blackness, where is their sense of integrity, and where is their commitment to millions of black folks
and to poor folks who had confidence in trusting them. You see, we have to call
the roll before we get out of here; I think we've got to do that.

Because, you see, the time has come for the folks in this country to
understand the sense of commitment to each other. Now, I know Sammy Davis has
got a bunch of money. Lots of money. I want you to know that he is free to
support any candidate he wants to, but why wasn't he doing it earlier? Why
wasn't he doing it before the convention and on national television?

I know that Jim Brown, one of the greatest football players we have ever
seen — and I am proud of that — but he is one of the worst political analysts
that I've ever seen.

(Applause)

There is a fellow in Washington, D.C. I think his name is Paul Jones, or
something like that. He is responsible for bringing together the black leaders
for Nixon.

They had a $100-a-plate dinner down there, and they got more news coverage
than a general session of the United Nations. Do you know why? Because that
was a rare occurrence.

But that isn't rare. Black Republicans have been supporting the Republican
administration for I don't know how long. But I want to make one distinction
here, that I would like to see them down in the bayous and the ghettos digging
our votes for Richard Nixon.

They have served their purpose. (Shouts of approval)

Now, some of us -- and I know the cat from Philadelphia is going to be
waiting to see -- and I know from Cleveland, from Detroit -- we are all going
to be waiting to see when the $100-a-plate cats come down and convince our folks
as to why they ought to be voting for Richard Nixon.

You see, we also ought to keep in mind a couple of things, and then I'm
going to get off Nixon. You see, we for a long time have been acting out of
emotion, and I think we ought to deal with that a little bit.

A long time ago, my father used to say, "I don't have to worry about get­
ing involved in the issues because I sure go to church on Sunday morning and
they shall be explained to me."

And right in the midst of a sermon, as the minister goes for his thing Up
There, his arm shall come down upon the issues. It's not like that anymore.

(Applause)

It's not like that anymore, because, you see, we are starting to act out
of our interests. We are beginning to look at the record. I think Jack was
running it down, and Charlie was, and, you see, we can just find enough in the
record to convince everybody as to why they should be opposed to Nixon.

Now, we must not make one mistake, though. We must not lie about it, you
see, because we quickly believe a lie, and that gets the thing all out of
kilter. What we have got to do is tell the truth, because it is the truth that
he is at his worst.

You see, when he talked in his Labor Day speech about the "Work Ethic"
versus the "Welfare Ethic" and people ate that up because people, in our
society, want to have somebody to look down at.

He talked about the fact that we've got to control the welfare rolls, yet
since his administration came into office they have added six million people to
it.

He talked about the fact that all these people ought to be put to work, yet
he has eliminated two and a half million jobs in three and a half years.

(Applause)

And then he does the ultimate thing: In this big proclamation that we
ought to all go to work, then he vetoes a Public Service Bill that would have
created enough jobs to offset the two and a half million that he wiped out.

And then he does the one thing that is terrible in the sense of the high
office that he holds: He refused to spend $5.6 billion that was appropriated
by Congress for an accelerated public works program.

Now, let me just say one thing on that score. We know where we work, most
of us. When you start talking about building highways and roads and things
like that, that is us. That is us. (Laughter)

Now, you see, if you were talking about designing a space shuttle, that is
none of us. Now, you notice what happened to the space shuttle -- right? When
you talk about designing fancy airplanes like Lockheed and others do, that is
none of us.
You know they got $250 million just to keep them going. And when you start talking about doing in Penn Central Railroad, that is none of us either. (Applause)

Now I want to point out something to you. In summary, let me say that this President has run up the highest budget deficit since the close of the Civil War. This year he will have a budget deficit of $22.3 billion. That is more than me and Charlie make in a week. (Laughter)

The point, then, there is an absolute lack of concern for the things that affect us. But there is an absolute commitment to the corporate interests of this country. When this administration came into office it had no problem in immediately declaring itself in support of the oil depletion allowance. Do you all know what that is? Well, let me take a moment on that.

That is where the government will grant oil seekers money to go out and look and then will give them more money if they find it, and will give them some more money to pump it, and then will pay them for that that is gone.

Now, I think they have no problem making sure that the big grain exporters understand that they can cut a big deal with the Soviet Union, and that the grain exporters should move out into the country and buy from the farmers when the prices are down here and then sell to the Soviet Union for the prices up here, and then everybody claims they know nothing about it.

But one month before, the man who was cutting the deal now works for the grain people. You see, there is a strange system in this administration. It is known as "Socialism for the Rich and Free Enterprise for the Poor." (Applause)

Yet, I want to point out to you that there are some people in the labor movement who can't tell the difference between them.

Well, I want to tell you that some of us can. You see, our union -- and we have a member on that illustrious body known as the Executive Council -- frequently winds up in the position of being on the short end of votes. 29 to 1.28 to 2, when Charlie's union joined us.

But it is always like that, because we are talking about what is important to folks. We served notice on Mr. Meany and his council that we were moving out across the country to try and tell people what's going down. (Applause)

And it doesn't matter if they don't like it because we have been sitting on the sidelines for all these years and stuff has been happening and they didn't care if we didn't like it.

We are saying -- and the Congressman said it so well -- "If you all don't do it, we'll try it." And I think that together we can tell this country something. We can tell them that it may well be that the politicians, both in the White House and on the Executive Council, have something in common.

Maybe we ought to tell folks that one of the total concerns of the labor movement is not tied up around whether the Iron Workers can do this and laborers can do that -- because, you see, when the Wage-Price Freeze hit, it hit both of us.

You see, there is a thing in the industry called the Construction Stabilization Board. Have any of you heard of it? You see, they have their separate pay board, and they haven't turned down anything yet. (Applause)

Everybody thinks that a worker is a $10-an-hour plumber. That's not the way it is. So our people are trying to get a five percent wage increase, and he calls that inflationary. They say we can't do that because it would contribute to the bad economic situation in the nation.

Well, if it is as bad as it is, we can't help but make it a little bit worse by giving people enough to eat. There's nothing wrong with that. You see, I see something wrong when they give Stennis and Eastland thousands of dollars not to plant food and then tell me that it is inflationary just to give me enough to try to buy some.

I think that the Trade Union Movement, that powerful institution that affects and holds sway over practically everything we do, chose this time, like it did when Roosevelt ran against Hoover, to sit this one out. Some of you all remember that, I believe.

It seems like they have to have a situation at its worst in order for the Trade Union Movement to perform at its worst. But we're going to do what we
can do. It doesn't matter what the Executive Council says now. The impact is there.

(Applause)

There were some of us who talked about whether we, out of this conference, ought to ask them to reconsider their positions. Well, I voted against that. I would like for them to announce their position as loud as they can, because what's going to be coming after them is us.

(Applause)

You and the rest of us are going to have some time to discuss the issues. We are going to have some time to get our minds together, so that when we go back where we come from, we've got a message.

(Applause)

You see, we are not going to talk about any second labor movement. There is no point in that. We are going to do everything we can possibly do to maximize support for Senator McGovern and the Democratic ticket. We're going to do that.

(Applause)

Then, we are going to set out to organize the neighborhoods. You see, there is only one organization that spans the complete neighborhood -- that's us.

(Applause)

We are going to run into some trouble, though. But that's the way it is, and we've got to do that. I think it is commendable, and I am proud to be a part of the group that called this together. You see, one cat jumped up and said, "Who gave you all the authority to do this?"

"Nobody."

(Sustained applause)

We've got a job to do, and we are prepared to do it. Lerone Bennett, in an article in a recent Ebony, pointed out a bit of history of black workers, about black workers in this society. He pointed out very clearly and very accurately that they had historically been a cheap source of labor, and one to be used if there was ever any problem with the other part of labor.

You know, they would run in a bunch of cats on you in a minute, to work for half of what we were working for, that is true. You see, we are getting ourselves together now. We don't perform as strike breakers no more. No, we will never be looked upon again as subjects in a total labor market, because when we come out of here we are going to have impact both on the labor market and on the labor movement.

(Applause)

At the present time, we occupy a very important and critical position in the politics of this nation, both in terms of the Trade Union Movement as well as the political parties of this country. I am going to reiterate what Congressman Metcalf said, and I hope and I believe that I will get concurrence from the rest of the group, that we are in nobody's pocket, do not intend to get in anybody's pocket, and we are going to assume a position of full partners.

You see, we don't want anybody to be making decisions for us any longer, because we are quite capable of making decisions ourselves.

(Applause)

That doesn't mean that we are going to be right all the time. But it doesn't mean they are going to be right all the time either. And to the extent that we agree, we will go the same route. To the extent that we disagree, we will go our separate ways.

We don't want to be a thorn in anybody's side, but we don't want to be a pivot for anybody's heel. What we are saying is that illustrious body known as the Executive Council -- not criticism of it -- and I want to be clear, no criticism of the Trade Union Movement, because if our economic and certainly our social well-being is going to be protected, there is a need for that institution.

But what we are going to do is make it honest. We are going to make it concerned with what its social goals used to be, or else they are going to have a hard time explaining why they're not.

My organization contributes to that worthwhile enterprise to the tune of $65,000 a month. That is a lot of money out of our union, and it seems to me that we ought to have a voice for that amount.

I know that others will do the same thing. And I want to point out to you that since they didn't see fit to consult us on their position of
neutrality, I saw fit to take a position not to give them nary another quarter because of it.

(Applause)

Now, they get upset about that, but do you know what? They don't elect me. That's true.

(Applause)

We will use what resources we have to move our own program. We will use what resources we can gather to move this program. And I am sure, with the support of the other unions, we are going to do something.

Now, they may ask, "Where are you all going to get your money?"

"The same place you get yours."

(Applause)

You see, because, I want to tell you that within the confines of Solidarity House they've got some wise cats with pencils and stuff. And I know that at Charlie's place over there they've got some guys that have been plotting and scheming for years. (Laughter)

We are not short on them. We can do it, you see, because we don't have to ask anybody for anything now. We have already overcome one problem. We have developed a sense of commitment.

A long time ago -- when I was talking about the preacher a minute ago -- some ministers weren't free because they had to depend on somebody. I want to tell you that the most free cat in the world is a black preacher. He is responsible to nobody except who's Out There.

And what we are saying is, "If you will allow us to serve and work with you, we are responsible to nobody except those who are out there. That's all."

To the extent that we can develop a program that you can agree with, and to the extent that we can develop some ideas and concepts that you agree with, that is where we're going, and everybody else has to either get with it, or get out of it, one or the other.

(Applause)

The challenge that is before us is to organize. I think that John L. Lewis said one time, "It is the job of the union to organize." And what does the union want more? And the cat says, "What does that mean?"

And he said, "Well, you sit around until you figure it out. We want our fair share of the benefits of this society, both as workers and as citizens."

I think that for too long we have not been a part of the institutions that have brought about change in this society. As the Trade Union Movement has been a haven for others, it is also going to be one for us. If it can be made to move on behalf of others, it is also going to be made to move on behalf of us.

And across the country in the black and poor communities of this land we are going to say to folks, "Join hands with us, and we jointly will see if we can do something about what's going down."

Now, we don't want you all to go back home after we get through spending these few hours and talk about what a great time we had listening to the speeches, because that's not doing it. You see, we want to develop a program that when some of us come together, maybe after the first of the year, and take a look at it, maybe then we will be coming out across the country, to Cleveland, to Philadelphia, and other places and stretching the program out in front of you and saying, "Let's think about it."

You see, we don't want any hit-and-miss and half-steps. What we've got to have is a concrete thing that is going to stand the test of time. As we were talking this morning, a lot of them have tried and for one reason or the other have failed.

But as Black Trade Unionists, I think we have a special obligation to get into the game and stay. We have a readymade constituency that is going to benefit from the expertise that we've got.

And I say to you as we move out of here and go into the workshops -- and we want full participation because we are searching for something, and we want to find that. We have no problem knowing what to do with it, because, you know, we've been doing it for somebody else for a long time.

(Applause)

Now we are going to try and do it for ourselves. We've got a good chance to make it work, too, because I want you to know that this is no small local union sitting up here.
We've got Cleve's union. We've got the historical and mighty Textile. We've got Amalgamated Meat Cutters. We've got some Teamsters in the house, too. We've got some Steel Workers down here. (Applause)

We aren't much in State-County — we've got 550,000. (Further applause)

Every week we organize 1200 more. Here is the point that I'm making. The point that I'm making is that we've got the resources, we've got the commitment, and among even the people allowed to bring this together we've got the dedication.

What we need to hear you say is: "Put the thing together." (Applause)

MR. EDWARDS:

Now you know what I meant when I said the people all across the country want to meet this dynamic young man, a great, courageous, articulate man. We have on the agenda -- we may be running a little behind, but we don't want you to violate our commitment. We had promised a coffee break. If you are desirous of a coffee break, we will break now for coffee. If you would prefer that we continue the program, we will continue. (Supporting applause)

You know, many of you are acting in the manner of youngsters that grew up during my time. There was a story about coffee which said that you don't want coffee because it makes you black. (Laughter) You're not against it for that purpose, I'm sure.

I am told now that if we don't drink the coffee, we're going to have to pay for it anyway -- (Shouts of disapproval) -- AFSCME ordered the coffee, the commitment is made. I want to recognize your wishes, but I am told by others -- In order that we keep pretty close to our agenda, which requires us to get out of this room early enough so it can be arranged for our dinner -- we will have to keep our program moving.

I have the privilege of introducing to this conference a man that needs no introduction. If you have been around where labor was involved in a struggle, this personality has always been there. If it was a civil rights march or a civil rights struggle, this young man has always been there. He was crying aloud for organized Black Trade Unionists to get together ten years ago.

Every time we have had an affair of this sort, that was dedicated to the purpose of advancing the best interests of those that work for a living, this fellow has been with us.

I would like to introduce the panel that will be working with him, because if I introduce him now and not introduce the panel, it would be a bit unfair because this young man will make the trail very fast and very hot for anyone that comes after him.

So we have for the next panel operation three people -- in fact, four. I will give out a bit of information on each of them in terms of their contribution to the labor movement and the cause for freedom, and if you will hold your applause, we will try and give you the five names that will operate on this panel and you may recognize them and give your expression of appreciation that time.

The gentleman I have made reference to so far is Mr. Cleveland Robinson, President of the Distributive Workers of America. He is also Secretary-Treasurer of District 65 and National President of the Afro-American Labor Council.

Serving on the panel with Brother Robinson is Neal Bratcher, Director, Council 19, AFSCME. Now, I am told -- I don't know Mr. Bratcher personally, but based upon those that know him and his work, they tell me that he is doing an outstanding job in the field of helping to advance the best interests of all workers.

We have also serving on this panel Mr. Hilton Hanna, International Vice-President of the Meat Cutters Union. And anyone who knows anything about the Meat Cutters Union knows that to ascend from the ranks of Vice President, you've got to be doing many, many good things, because that is a mighty tough contest.

So, we have a man that we can salute in this position.
Now, the person that I know best that will be serving on the panel is a very dear friend of mine and has been across the years. It is a female, and females today are fast proving that we have been wrong in deciding what kind of involvements we ought to allow them to engage in.

When it comes to politics, they boast — and rightfully so, and this young lady stands out in this area — that we will do as much political action work as any male in your section, including the candidate that is running for President, and this young lady needs no defense from making that kind of statement because she has proven it.

When it comes to economics, she is outstanding in that area because she knows, in this country, that females own more money than males, and most men don't believe that. But if I were a businessman and had a choice between dealing with males or females, I would take females because they have the money.

But from a Trade Union position, this young lady serves on the staff of our International Union in the Women's Department and has done an outstanding job there protecting the working of both genders, masculine and feminine.

She has done an outstanding job in the area of collective bargaining. She has articulated the purpose and the goals of the union wherever she has gone. I now would like to announce the great woman of the UAW, Mrs. Lillian Hatcher.

Now, coming on to take the chair at this time will be my great friend, your friend, a fighter that never quits, one that has visions that I believe well should have waited for a few years so that some of us could get wise enough and devoted enough to join in and help them be carried into fruition — I give to you now my friend Cleveland Robinson.

Mr. Robinson:

Thank you my good friend Nelson Jack Edwards.

Soul Brothers and Sisters and allies, to me this is an occasion that I long dreamed for. I do not want to go too far back in our history and our struggles, but allow me to tell you that in my time this has not been the first occasion.

And before my time there have been other attempts. I think that to make it plain that the black worker of America from the days of slavery was never content in his lot, and he has always been trying to find some way to break the shackles, whether it was during the days when the shackles were of iron, or today when they have certain complexities and niceties so that you can't even locate them.

I can remember back in the Forties and Fifties the Black Unionists' attempts to meet, and they did meet and form some kind of organization, and it was short-lived. Our white counterparts said then that we were Communists. They did not talk about dualism then. That word did not reach their vocabularies. We were Communists, they said.

In the Forties they set up other organizations to counteract us, because they could always find what the young people called the "house slaves."

Then, in the Sixties, after George Blue had blasted Philip Randolph in the convention in San Francisco we met in Detroit, a strong body, and we said that this is the time, then, that Black Trade Unionists must come together.

And we came together. But some of us dropped by the wayside. At that time, it was dual unionism, and those of us who chose to remain were called Mau-Mau's. At that time, of course, it was felt that to associate with anything from Africa would be degrading.

But thanks be God today we know Africa to be our homeland. We are not afraid of being identified as Afro-Americans anymore.

Yes, men were instructed to stay away, and so they did. But we struggled on, and I thank God today when I look around and see the array of people, including those young leaders who have come forward, many of whom have been brought within the ranks of leadership as a result of the struggles that have been carried on.

And I am here as one who has participated in some of those struggles, as one from a union that has been sensitive because we are a union of poor people
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-- blacks, Puerto Ricans, other Latins, poor whites.

We are not from the big craft unions, and we have been struggling all along for unity and understanding and sensitivity to the problems that beset poor working people in this country.

And say thank God today that we can have a meeting such as this, and maybe if there is one good thing which Richard Milhous Nixon has done is to just let us know where it is so that we can't be silent any longer.

(Applause)

I remember 1963 when there were those of us who had to confront George Meany in Miami Beach, Florida. We threatened to picket the AFL-CIO Convention on the very issues on which we are meeting here today.

I remember him telling us of the senility of Philip Randolph, but the next year he came to our convention, and he started beginning to say that he understood. But I will tell you something: the leopard never changes his spots.

He has not understood us yet, and the unfortunate thing is that today we have a situation where even once enlightened leadership -- white leadership -- in the labor movement has now succumbed and sat by and echoed the reactionary backward sentiments that he expresses, whether it be on the war in Vietnam or the current political situation.

It is a matter of survival, Brothers and Sisters, because I say today -- 1972 -- if we were to be silent, who would cry out? Something has to be done. Not enough can be said for the trials and sufferings of our people.

No longer can those of us who think we probably have it made sit by and think we've got it made.

(Applause)

It is late in the day. I tell you, as one who goes out in the field, when I today have to negotiate for people who are making $1.60 an hour, even if they are driving 40-foot trailer trucks, black people, when the employer says "5.5 percent is all you're going to get, because that's what Mr. Nixon says," you know something has got to be wrong somewhere.

When workers who are toiling, who by their productivity are producing the kind of profits for big corporations that are unprecedented in our history, and when such workers can have leadership that today can say they have to be neutral, when a man in Washington is there sitting down, setting a wage board that will stop you from getting the wage increases after you've gotten them from your employer, something has got to be wrong, Brothers. Something has got to be wrong.

It is my prediction that we are able to change things around. This administration and the people who are supporting them -- and I am going to make it clear by saying that is George Meany, He is not neutral because he is supporting Nixon -- (Applause)

That is a fact, and now I am making it plain. Maybe there are some people whom others cannot tell them that it is wrong for us to spend our tax dollars making bombs and napalm and all kinds of instruments of destruction, and to be wiping out a country 10,000 miles away.

Maybe some of us are impervious to that kind of immorality. Maybe there are those who believe that it is right for blacks to be underpaid while whites get higher wages so that they continue to perpetuate racism and support Mr. Nixon's call for continued racism.

We've got to make it plain that when Richard Milhous Nixon gets on nationwide television and condemns the courts because of their stand on integration he is telling people to be racists. That's what it is, and maybe there are those who feel that it is all right.

They don't want to ask the working men and women, whether they be Steel or Auto or anything else. You tell me that you are saying it is all right for the man to stop your wage increases?

Well, if anybody has an illusion, they can look at the record, because the Longshoremen on the West Coast got a damn good increase, and Mr. Nixon cut it in two. The Longshoremen in New York got a wage increase, and Mr. Nixon cut it in two.

After that was done, the President of the Longshoremen in the East went to the Executive Board and recommended that the Executive Board endorse Nixon. Of course, the board members turned him down. Something is rotten in the cotton.
Today we've got to understand what we face. We are facing one of the worst conditions in our country because racism reigns supreme. Racism makes it so that people cannot think straight anymore. This is what it's all about.

Why are they so upset about the Democratic convention? Because black and poor people and women now have a say in it, so that it's going to be a little more democratic, and black and poor people's programs have to be attended to, and the man McGovern was the one who called for the reforms.

But even so-called Democrats decide that they've got to abandon him, and so they met with Mr. Nixon yesterday in Texas eating steaks while our people are going about not having the next meal.

We can't allow that to happen anymore. So 1972 for us is a year of decision. We have got to make a decision as to where we go from here. Let me say that it is not enough to cheer. It is not enough to say, "Why don't we?" You've got to put your money where your mouth is.

Now, Nixon has all the money and he is getting more and more. They can't even reveal where it's coming from. And I tell you, the first thing we've got to do, aside from what the good brothers have told us here before, our registration, is that we've got to dig in our pockets and put some dough on the line so that McGovern's program can go across and labor's program as we see it can go across.

Let me say one thing further. We can talk about building an organization, but we cannot unless we are prepared to make some sacrifices. I have had experiences over the years, at least the last decade-plus, of working within the confines of what is known as NALC. Many of you know it. Many of you might have heard of it.

The purposes for which we set up that organization are the very purposes for which we are meeting here. And I am telling you here now that we didn't do so well, because we did not capture the imagination of the broad masses of the rank and file and black leadership.

I am saying here, if we are to set up an organization, we have got to make up our minds that it has to be a broad coalition, that it must encompass all of us, irrespective of our affiliation, within and without the AFL-CIO.

We meet as black people with a common concern. That is what we have to do.
In this kind of unity there is strength. In this kind of unity there is a recognition. In this kind of unity you have the ability, if you please, to stand up and talk because you know you have people behind you and organizations behind you that will back up what you say.

Moreover, I will tell you that the days of the Nervous Nellies and the Uncle Toms and what have you are gone.

I will tell you that this is the day of the Bill Lucys.

Young bright men and women who are going to come forward and not be so worried about the titles and the positions that they hold are going to use their positions and their titles to enhance the progress of their people.

And this is the new thing about it, and when you have this, when you feel tired -- and I'm not getting any younger, believe me -- we feel a sense of new direction. We feel that we now can make it.

And above all, let us understand something. McGovern must win the election, should win it, but if he doesn't, we've got to live with it, against evil, the great odds of Nixon for four more years of Agnew waiting behind him.

Brothers and Sisters, we've got to live. This country is what we have built by blood and sweat and tears. We made cotton King. We built the castles. We were the construction workers when there was slave labor.

Today we need picks and crowbars and hammers to break the bonds that are holding us back. Thank you.

END OF SESSION

BANQUET SESSION
SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1972

MR. EDWARDS:

May I have your attention please. Ladies and gentlemen, may I please have your attention. We are ready now to begin this session of the program and we have a real treat in store for you. We have with us tonight some very important people who will be introduced to you a little bit later in the program.

Right now I would like to take this time to again present to you a young man who this afternoon gave us a very inspiring presentation and who I am sure we will hear a lot from in the months and years ahead. He will serve as chairman and presiding officer for tonight's program.

Brothers and Sisters, I now turn the program over to Brother Bill Lucy, the Secretary-Treasurer of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees Union.

MR. LUCY:

Thank you Jack. We are going to move right along because we have quite a bit to get accomplished in the very short time we will be in Chicago.

Before we introduce the brothers and sisters on the platform up front, I would like to acknowledge and give credit to those people, those members of the combined staffs who worked so hard putting together today's meeting and putting together tonight's dinner, and doing the behind the scenes things that are so necessary and so vital to putting together a meeting and conference of this type.

Let me, if I can, do it very quickly. If they are present they can stand. If they are not, forget it. I will just run through some names for you, because these individuals have really given time and effort and energy to trying to make things both comfortable for you and certainly meaningful for you.
I don't know where Frank is, but Frank Cowan, who is a special represen­tative in the office of the President of our union -- Frank has done a tremendous job in putting together the things necessary to make this thing work. I don't know where Frank is, but let me just run through the list. I would hope that you would give the entire crew a great hand, because they've done a great job.

Working with Frank has been Tony Harrison from the National League of Cities.

Certainly, from the great union of the Auto Workers, Horace Sheffield, who has been representing Nelson Jack Edwards. Charles Brooks, sitting down here somewhere.

Chris Nelson from our convention coordinator's office.
Brenda Cote, who is Chris Nelson's assistant.
Edith Moore -- I saw Edith around somewhere just a moment ago.
Prince Moon from the United Auto Workers.
Whit McCrae from the Auto Workers.
Al Hyde from the staff of State-County.
And just a tremendous group of people who put a lot of time and effort trying to make things work for us here.

Oh, I almost forgot my good buddy, the one who keeps my office straight, Gwendolyn Hemphill.

(Applause)

I would like now to introduce to you those sitting at the head table.

On my far right, the reverend who gave us such a tremendous and moving invocation, Reverend Claude Wyatt, from the Vernon Park Church. Reverend Wyatt, would you stand up again for us, please.

(Applause)

And sitting next to Reverend Wyatt, whom everybody had a chance to go to church with this morning, the big, really moving person and the moving spirit in the Amalgamated Meat Cutters and Butcher Workmen of North America, Vice President Charles Hayes.

(Applause)

And the brilliant young lady who did such a tremendous job as part of the panel this afternoon, and as she put it, catching up, a member of the International Staff of the United Auto Workers, Sister Lillian Hatcher.

And next to Sister Hatcher -- and I think it is fitting, and no more fitting than this moment, to sort of recognize some people who made some tremendous sacrifices, both for themselves and for others --

You know, when Charlie Hayes goes around the country doing his thing, somebody has got to stay home and mind the store. You see, Charlie's right arm is sitting next to Mrs. Hatcher -- Mrs. Charles Hayes.

(Applause)

Sitting next to Mrs. Hayes, one seat over, is our chairman, the one we call the "Maximum Leader" and who has presided over this session today and really has brought us a moving presentation and a great program. I want Jack to stand once again. The great Vice President of the United Auto Workers, Nelson Jack Edwards.

(Applause)

I'm going to skip this cat right here for the time being and go down there next to the far left end and bring to you someone who, again, along with Sister Hatcher, has played a tremendous role in the panel discussion that took place, who sort of came to us in terms of what he thought and what he felt. The Director of District Council 19 of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Neal Bratcher.

(Applause)

Sitting next to Neal is someone from the Amalgamated Meat Cutters, a tremendous representative and one who has made a tremendous contribution over the years on behalf of working people -- Sister Addie Wyatt.

(Applause)

Sitting next to Addie is the dean of activists, who is a mover, who has made contributions that we all like to think that we could make in years to come, one who gave us a very moving and a very stirring presentation today, the great President of the Distributive Workers of America, Cleveland Robinson.

(Applause)
It seems like all great people sort of sit and stay together. Sitting next to him is a man who is a mechanic. You know you think a mechanic is one who turns wrenches, but this is one who makes things come together and makes them work.

A couple of years ago a great organization came into being and sort of sprang up on the scene and started to do things. They called it in the District of Columbia "pari passu," the Congressional Black Caucus.

You know the Congressmen go around and make all the speeches and get all the glory, but it is the cats back in the trenches who do all the work. I want to present to you now the Executive Director of the Congressional Black Caucus, Howard Robinson.

(Applause)

You see, in our union, just like in the Amalgamated and all the other great unions, we have our beautiful men, too. You see, we have not only those who are beautiful but also are moving, knowledgeable, and have the ability to project where we're coming from.

I want to introduce to you now, from the great City of New York, the Associate Director of District Council 37, Lillian Roberts.

(Applause)

We also have with us, from the State of Michigan, representing the Secretary of State of that Great State, Dick Austin, we have his representative Walter Elliot. Walter, where are you sitting?

(Applause)

We also have a number of other prominent people who have come out to share in this occasion with us. We have representatives from various governors' offices. I have lost the name now, but I believe we had a representative from Governor Gilligan's office from the State of Ohio, and he may be here somewhere. I apologize for not having the name. If you are here will you please stand.

I think that takes care of the introductions. We are fortunate to have with us tonight someone to speak to us who doesn't have to talk about Trade Unionism after reading it out of a book.

We have one with us tonight whose background, whose activities, and whose outlook is shaped by his involvement in the very things we talked about today. I was handed a long list of plaudits earlier this morning, and it was said that this was a biography of the one I'm going to introduce to you now.

It was my feeling that long sheets of paper don't nearly speak to the contributions that people have made on behalf of the working people of this country. We are fortunate to have someone with us who comes out of the movement that we are concerned with.

We have someone with us who spent a number of years representing the interests of workers such as you and I. In 1959 he was elected as an Alderman in the City of St. Louis and served with distinction for a five-year period.

In St. Louis, for somebody like this fellow to be elected, takes a little bit of doing. After spending some years on the aldermanic board of the City of St. Louis, Mr. Clay moved on to some bigger things, one of which, I might add, was having been a staff representative for the State, County and Municipal Employees, which in itself ought to be enough to do something.

Several years ago on the scene in Washington, D.C., came one Bill Clay, elected from the First Congressional District in St. Louis, and certainly a new face and a shining star in the House of Representatives in Washington, D.C.

Now, some cats, if they had got elected about the time that Bill did, would have come in and tried to be cool so that they would have got some decent appointments to committees. They wouldn't have made any noise, and that way they wouldn't have had anybody mad at them.

You know, they say that a freshman Congressman can't be "for" anything -- he can only be "against" something. He is not allowed to speak -- he is only allowed to be seen.

I want to say that that is not the case with Bill Clay. He has been a friend of working people. Some of the immediate things that he did, and particularly on behalf of public employees -- he immediately introduced into Congress a collective bargaining bill for the public employees.

That bill was joined in by some seventy-seven other Congressmen, and to those of you who are not in public employment, you don't understand what it is to struggle without a legal means to do that.

Those of us -- and particularly from the American Federation of State,
County, and Municipal Employees -- hold Bill in the highest esteem, not because he introduced the bill, but because he talked about what was relevant on behalf of workers.

I want to say to you -- and we can go on and on and on talking about his accomplishments, talking about his commitment, talking about the fact that he along with maybe one or two other people have welded together an organization in the Congress of this country called the Congressional Black Caucus, who has done a tremendous amount on behalf of poor people and working people throughout the country.

Some of you may remember right at the time that our illustrious Mr. Nixon was elected that the 13 members presented to him an agenda of items that they considered relevant to the black community.

I would suggest to you that Bill made a magnificent contribution to that agenda, and he was one of those who felt very strongly about the sit-out at the President's address to the joint houses of Congress.

It is a pleasure for me, both as a friend and as a Trade Unionist, to bring to this gathering the Congressman from the First District of St. Louis -- William Clay.106

(Applause)

CONGRESSMAN CLAY:

Well, first of all, I'm glad that Bill Lucy told you a little bit about you. You know, sometimes after you appear on programs like Meet the Press and on the cover of Newsweek you begin to believe that everybody knows who you are.

And I found this not to be true a couple of months ago when I went home to my District in St. Louis and decided that I would go down into the old neighborhood that I grew up in.

I saw three guys sitting in the alley there drinking some wine, so I said here's a chance to get three votes. I'll sit down and drink some wine with them. And we started talking, and one guy said:

"Say, your face is familiar. Did you use to live in this neighborhood?"

I said, "Yes, I lived here for twenty-three years."

He said, "Yes, I thought so." He said, "What are you doing now?"

(Laughter)

I said, "Oh, I'm working for the federal government."

He said, "You at the Post Office, too?" (Laughter)

You know, white folks have got a lot of fixations about black folks, and one of them is that black people can fill a hall like this for a dance or a party, but they can't fill it for a cause.

And we've got approximately 1,100 black people at this convention. (Applause)

And I've never been to a meeting of 300 black people unless I could look around and point out at least two or three people who were there to spy for the white folks. So what I want to say to the five or six spies who are here (Laughter) is that when you go back Monday morning, just report one thing: that there were wall-to-wall niggers there. (Laughter and applause)

You know, a lot of people are disturbed because we see such prominent names like Jim Brown and Sammy Davis, Jr., and all of the rest -- Wilt Chamberlain -- out supporting Nixon's effort for re-election.

But it doesn't disturb me, because I knew there were some niggers that kept Dr. King's dream. (Applause)

I happened to be speaking last month in St. Louis at the Urban League's affair, and right in the middle of my speech one of those kinds of pimps walked up to me with a big pamphlet with pictures of all the black people that Nixon had appointed to office, and I'm sure you've seen that garbage that they're passing out.

At first I didn't know how to react. He just interrupted my speech.

So finally I grabbed it and I took a look at it, and I said, "What's this?"

And he said, "Well, those are the black people that Nixon has appointed to office."

And I looked at it again, and I said, "Hell, more niggers in my block have lost their jobs since Mr. Nixon took office." (Applause)
I got a little disturbed last week. I was reading The Washington Post, and they said that Vice President Spiro Agnew had an IQ of 145. It really upset me, because that's getting close to a genius.

So I called the editor to find out about it. And he readily assured me that somebody left out the decimal point. (Laughter)

Last summer I had the good fortune of following our Vice President through Africa. I guess I was about a week and a half behind him. You remember when he made those infamous statements about black leadership in this country.

When I arrived in Kenya, I happened to meet the official interpreter for the Vice President. And I wanted to know what the reaction of black people in Africa was to the kind of vicious attack that Agnew had made on black leadership in this country.

He started telling me, and at first I was greatly disturbed, because he said that Agnew was a very articulate speaker, and that he was able to arouse the people that he was speaking to, and the day he was in Kenya and made the statement, he was speaking to about 400 Africans in an open field.

They said that as he began his attack on black leadership, they started a chant, "Ungawa, Ungawa, Ungawa."

And as he continued and escalated that attack, the chant continued and escalated, and when he finished they were all on their feet hollering, "Ungawa, Ungawa, Ungawa, Ungawa."

That kind of disturbed me, until he told me that one of the Secret Service agents informed the Vice President that they were running late for the next appointment, and that from the way the crowd had reacted to the speech, they would probably be there for an hour congratulating him and they would never be able to make the next appointment.

So, the Vice President looked at his interpreter and guide and said, "Is there a way that we can get out of here without going through the crowd?"

And the interpreter said, "Well, we can cut across that open field there, which is a cow pasture. But be careful, and don't step in any ungawa."

(Laughter and applause)

Let me say from the outset that I am deeply honored and consider it a privilege to have the opportunity to participate in this what I consider to be an historic occasion.

Bill Lucy has told you about my background of experience in the labor movement, and it coincides with my background and experience in the political movement. I started in the labor movement thirteen years ago in the State, County and Municipal Workers Union, and during that period and since I have done special organizing work for the Seafarers and the Electrical Workers.

My most recent job before I came to Congress was as educational coordinator for the Pipe Fitters Local 552 in St. Louis. So I have approximately thirteen years in the labor movement and fourteen years in the political movement.

I come here not as a neophyte in either, and I think that when I say that this is truly an historic event, I think I know from which I am speaking. Even though it may take a little while for many to realize it, history is being made here tonight.

This group, in a sense, has sounded the clarion trumpet of rebellion to charge the archaic Bastille of dictatorial one-man rule in the labor movement. (Applause)

What you have done is to launch a program based on the concept of manhood for blacks in the field of labor, and by taking up the gauntlet at this time and in this manner, you are saying in no uncertain terms that Black Trade Unionists have a responsibility that exceeds those narrowly defined interests of labor generally.

You, in a sense, are saying what black politicians are saying from one end of this country to the other, that we have no permanent friends, no permanent enemies -- just permanent interests. And no one can deny that it is the interests of blacks and poor working people in this country to oppose the re-election of Richard Milhous Nixon this year. (Applause)

Never in the history of our nation has the working people suffered so adversely from the policies and the programs of a President and his administration. What working man, in all honesty, could let Mr. Nixon win by default?
The interests of labor are more than that of wages and working conditions. Mr. Nixon has sabotaged many programs that would have helped laboring people. He was the one who advocated Day Care Centers, yet he vetoed the bill that would have made them possible. Welfare mothers have particularly suffered from this maniac in the White House.

He isn't concerned about them. He once said that they ought to be scrubbing floors, because that is employment with as much dignity as employment as President of the United States.

I think his record speaks for itself. He vetoed funds for education and health programs which laboring people are interested in. He vetoed a bill that would have increased hospital construction, and one that would have provided $3 billion for housing programs.

He vetoed a $9 billion bill for manpower training which would have provided thousands of jobs. He vetoed a bill that would have trained many family doctors, and he vetoed a proposal to raise the pay for blue collar government workers.

But in contrast, Mr. Nixon and his administration gave financial assistance to Penn Central and Lockheed and proposed a depreciation allowance that would save big business more than $3 billion in taxes and imposed a wage-price freeze that left high profits untouched.

He has proposed strike-breaking legislation to stymie every national strike since I have been in Congress. He has advocated that families of striking people or striking workers not be entitled to food stamps and other subsidies.

He is opposed to increases in Social Security benefits, and he is presently fighting a minimum wage bill in the U.S. Congress, and we black people have suffered more under this man than anybody else in this country, and we cannot afford the luxury of neutrality in this election.

(Applause)

And neither can we be taken in by the fuzzy talking and thinking of those who say that we can stand another year or another four years of Richard Nixon. It is imperative that we dispel the notion that the Democrats are taking us for granted.

As Julian Bond said recently, and I quote: "If my choice is between a Democrat like George McGovern and a Republican like Richard Nixon, I would rather be taken for granted than just plain taken." 107

(Applause)

As long as our country is suffering the drastic efforts of this racist society led by a racist President, black people cannot condone neutrality.

(Applause)

As long as our country is beclouded with internal unrest and beset by external war, neutrality is "ungawa."

(Applause)

As long as this country has five and a half million alcoholics, three and a half million drug users, twenty-five million poverty stricken, fifteen million on the welfare rolls, six million unemployed, fifty million who go to bed hungry and undernourished -- neutrality must be considered "ungawa."

(Applause)

Mr. Nixon, who promised to bring this country together, has torn it asunder. He has aroused and encouraged the racial fears and prejudices of the bigots, and the once subtle words for "keeping us in our places," such as "law and order," and "Make the streets safe," has suddenly grown full bloom into overt racist epithets.

Those slogans have generated specific policies which threaten to set us back in our cause by one hundred years. How could our President, in the name of fair play, decree that quotas be abolished. In the name of racism, yes, but in the name of fair play, no.

Merit employment is a euphemism for discriminatory hiring. There never has been any merit employment in this country, and there never will be. The definition for merit itself totally eliminates blacks from consideration.

The nation has thrived on the concept of white superiority. Now, in Sammy Davis's name, can a black person ever --

(Sustained applause)

Many Americans find most distasteful the regretful aversion for truth which afflicts Mr. Nixon and his party -- an aversion which has shown up in this
administration and one which has attempted to create the impression that all is well in our country.

You know, they don't refer to slums and ghettos any more as "slums" and "ghettos." They are now low-income housing areas. Unemployed people are not considered as individuals any more. They are weapons in the fight against inflation.

And you know, the people who are starving and going to bed hungry -- they aren't "poor" anymore. They are what we call the "near-poor."

And white racist attorneys who are appointed to the federal bench and the Supreme Court aren't "racists" anymore. They are rigid constructionists. It is perfectly all right to keep black people out of suburban areas, because you aren't discriminating against them because they are black -- you are discriminating against them because they fall within an economic category.

This is what Mr. Nixon is doing to this country. But no matter how hard he tries to make it appear that this country is made up of well-dressed, well-fed, middle-age and upper-income Americans, the true picture of America will show through.

The real face of America shows the anguish of the poor, the struggle of the minorities, the frustrations of the unemployed, and the tragedies of the war victims. In all fairness to Mr. Nixon, it must be noted that the GOP convention represented the President's interests and reflected that interest in black Americans. Only three percent of the convention was made up of black Americans.

Twenty-five of the GOP state delegations had no blacks at all. And in an attempt to make up for the conscious, conspicuous absence of blacks at the GOP convention, Nixon made conspicuous use of our own Sammy Davis, Jr.

His play upon the conversion, the miraculous conversion of Little Sammy will not enlarge the black audience of either Nixon or Sammy. I know Sammy personally, and I know that he's not the type of person that will sell out for one invitation to the White House. It takes at least three.

Our President has worked miracles with those invitations to the White House. He invited Pearl Bailey. She sat in a rocking chair that broke while she was sitting in it, and he gave her that rocking chair. The next week she was on television rocking in the chair that the President gave to her.

He invited Ethel Waters to one of his prayer breakfasts, and when it was over she offered her a doggie bag. But to her eternal credit, she informed him that she did not have a dog.

You know, it has been tradition in this country for the little girl who happens to be chosen as the Poster Girl for Multiple Sclerosis to have her picture taken with the President of the United States, and that poster is placed all over the country.

Mr. Nixon didn't think that he should invite her to the White House for the picture, and last year our little black girl had her picture on the poster without the President of the United States.

But for fifteen months the Congressional Black Caucus was seeking an invitation to sit down at the White House and discuss some very important problems with our President. He refused for a fifteen-month period, and during that fifteen-month period he extended three invitations to our wives to come over for tea and crackers.

Fortunately, our wives declined because they knew there would be more crackers than tea --

I don't think that our people can sit idly by and let a man whose own contempt for us be re-elected without a struggle. If I had to list three persons who posed the most dangerous threat to the freedom and equality of the blacks in this country, I would have to list them in this order:

Number one, Richard Nixon.
Number two, Richard Nixon.
Number three, Richard Nixon.

My personal opinion of the man -- and I may be a little prejudiced -- is that he finds security in the past and popularity among those who seek to repeal the Thirteenth Amendment.
He has not made himself, or he has not distinguished himself by expanding
the war in Asia, regardless of what he says about winding it down. He has
attempted to stall school integration by destroying constitutional protection
of blacks with his busing bills.

He has appointed racists and reactionaries to the Supreme Court and has
attempted to sabotage the 1965 Voting Rights Act. In regards to the Voting
Rights Act, he took the position, and he argued, that all sections of this
country ought to be treated equally and fairly.

Therefore, he wanted to take half of the federal voting registrars from
Mississippi and assign them to the State of Utah. (Laughter) Now, I don't
want you to get me wrong. I don't think Mr. Nixon hates blacks. In fact, you
can ask some of those who are supporting him for re-election.

The fact is, I think, is that Mr. Nixon not only does not hate blacks, he
loves them so well that I think he would like to see every American family own
one.

(Applause)

Under his leadership, the whole concept of representative government has
been destroyed. This nation was founded on the idea that every section, every
segment, every group, every interest was entitled to positions of authority in
the decision-making bodies. That is why the House of Representatives is
apportioned according to population, and that is why the Senate is apportioned
two persons per state, regardless of your size.

Tradition and custom has dictated that labor and management, Jew and
Gentile, rich and poor, farm and urban dwellers have a voice in our government.
But under Nixon all of this has changed.

He did not appoint to his cabinet a Jew, a Catholic, an Italian, a
Spanish-speaking American, a woman, a farmer, a labor representative, or a
black. Eighty percent of the American population is voiceless in this adminis-
tration.

Now, what did he do? Instead, Mr. Nixon surrounded himself with those
that I have referred to as Nixon's masses, and they have taken over. The storm
troopers and the Gestapo. The Ziegler, the Hartovans, the Erlichmans, the
Schultzes, the Kissingers, the Klines, and all those others of German descent.

You know, if Dick Hatcher did that in Gary and appointed only blacks, the
newspapers would castrate him, and you know it.

(Applause)

Now, he only attempted to justify one of the people that he left out, or
one of the races that he left out, and that was the blacks. Mr. Nixon said
he did not appoint any blacks to his cabinet because he could not find any who
were qualified.

Now, that's remarkable, isn't it. In view of the fact that some of his
best friends are black. (Laughter) More astonishing is the fact that this nut
went on television in an attempt to justify why he did not appoint any blacks
to his cabinet, and he said there was a vain attempt to find qualified blacks,
and that he went to the campuses of Yale, Princeton, and Harvard (Laughter),
and much to his surprise he did not find many blacks at those institutions.

Well, anybody in his right mind would not expect to find many blacks at
Yale, Harvard, or Princeton. Anybody who knows anything about the educational
system knows that the tuition at Yale, Harvard, and Princeton is in excess of
$4,000 a year.

And anybody who knows anything about economics in this country knows that
the median income for blacks is less than $4,000 a year. So why would any
fool expect to find blacks in overwhelming numbers at those schools?

Further, Mr. Nixon himself did not attend Yale, Princeton, or Harvard.
Now, Nixon has implied and has actually said that those of us who oppose the
war in Southeast Asia are unpatriotic.

But to those who say that opposition to that war is unpatriotic, I say
that Americans spend $72 billion on defense and only $4 billion on education.
Some Americans have spent $5 billion for one plane and less than $2 billion on
poverty.

I say it is not American to spend $40 billion on space exploration and
only $300 million for cancer research.

I say it is un-American to spend $20 thousand for the ammunition just
to kill one Viet Cong and only $73 a year to educate each American child.
This country is wasting our money.
NEGRO-LABOR ALLIANCE

Last year this government spent $3 billion in tax money to subsidize rich farmers. Four thousand farmers collected more than $100,000 each for not growing food, and this summer our government paid one farmer in California $10 million not to grow food.

We pay some not to grow food while others go hungry and undernourished. And actor John Wayne, who supported Mr. Nixon, received $810,000 from the federal government for not growing food.

And that conservative ass has the gall to attack welfare recipients.

Mississippi's Senator James Eastland got $166,000 of our money last year for not growing food. But in the State of Mississippi a child on welfare receives $9.50 a month, or $114 a year. And in Alabama a person on welfare gets $1.40 a week for food, and in Mississippi, 80 cents a week for food, or four cents a meal.

President Nixon continues to label the helpless welfare recipients as freeloaders, lazy no-good vultures. He wants workfare for the poor and welfare for the rich.

Another thing about our President — he has become a renowned world traveler. He has traveled 750,000 miles since he took office, 150,000 miles in foreign lands. He has been to the Philippines, Indonesia, Bangkok, Thailand, South Vietnam, Midway, India, Pakistan, Rumania, France, England, Russia, China — he even went to the Vatican.

He is truly the Marco Polo of the White House, or the Genghis Khan of the West. He is really the San Clemente globetrotter.

I say that his traveling would be meaningful if at the same time he had traveled to Watts and Harlem and Fillmore and Anacostia.

But he hasn't seen the ghettos of this country. He hasn't seen the suffering and the deprivation. He hasn't seen the 23 percent unemployment for black males in the ghettos of our nation.

He hasn't seen the 42 percent unemployment of black youth in this country. He hasn't seen the black children dying from lead-based paint. He hasn't seen the rat-infested houses in the slums.

He hasn't seen black babies dying from rat bite at a rate eight times as great as white babies. He hasn't seen black mothers dying in childbirth at a rate four times as great as white mothers.

I just can't understand anybody talking about neutrality in this race.

There are some black people saying that we should not criticize the President. They said we didn't support him, and he doesn't owe us anything. You know, I happen to believe that the President of the United States is the President of all the people.

He should have the capacity to rise above political considerations. I think by the very nature of his office he is obligated to serve the interests of the entire country.

Now, when a United States Senator says that poor people should help themselves, and he helps himself to a large chunk of federal farm money by doing nothing, should we be neutral?

When our President insults black Congressmen, ridicules black welfare recipients, makes buffoons of black entertainers, leads the fight to return us to our previous condition of involuntary servitude — we would be damn fools to take a neutralist position.

Yes, we can be neutral. But if we are, we will never achieve total freedom, economic equality, and social justice. We must take a stand. We must keep on unifying and expanding our goals. This is a practical reality, not an impossible dream.

Let this be the first day of the new reality for black unions, the day when black working people say to America, "We have united, united to make real, to bring into reality now those things so long promised but so definitely denied the 25 million black Americans."

We witness here tonight by your presence a manifested broad base of black unity in the union movement, a unity of black pride and black confidence, and with this unity we can and we will bring into being not only a basic change in attitudes but also a change in basic positions.
I call upon you to work for the building of a greater foundation upon which we can maximize our level of achievement.

This foundation which I call upon you to help build is made of black votes and black participation in the making of new institutions, and to be sure there are alterations to those so long outdated.

What you are suggesting here assumes one thing, and it implies another. It clearly assumes that there is a developing black political power in the labor movement, and it definitely implies that it will be operative in this Presidential year.

I suggest that both the assumption and the implication are accurate. So let's take this occasion to devise a plan of single purpose to obtain through the exercise of black political power the reality of economic equality, social justice, and political manhood.

If you are to accept nothing less than meaningful participation at the center of power, then you as black labor leaders must be united. This is the objective of this meeting -- full participation in labor's decision-making process must be the basis of our unity.

It must also be our purpose to achieve this goal now. We must seek not only for the present, but as a group we must develop and demand for the future.

What has to be the positive assumption of this group assembled here is that the achievement of our goals is essential for the maintenance of an orderly labor movement. No longer can Black Americans silently accept the present degenerate calibre of white leadership.

No longer can we passively tolerate the immorality and the insanity that permeates this country. Our obligation is a challenging one. We must assume the mantle of leadership and direct this nation to the path of moral humane consciousness.

We must become the spearhead in the movement to eradicate racism in private and public institutions, and if you please, labor unions.

We must become the vanguard in the struggle to provide every man in this country with a decent living and a decent home, every child with a quality education, and every person with a job commensurate with his training and his ability; every person must be afforded fair and impartial justice.

Every business must be guaranteed a fair share of public funds, and every community must have full participation in determining how tax dollars are to be spent.

These goals are attainable. They are attainable now if only we can unite and dispel our fears, frustrations, and the indoctrinations that we have been the victims of for too many years.

Who convinced us that we must subjugate the interests of black people to the interests of this nation? I contend that what is good for black people is also good for this nation.

That must become our political rationale. We are the only group of people that I know of who are so concerned and so interwoven in the struggle to protect the interests of other groups that we have neglected our own.

If our politics of the Seventies is to be productive and meaningful, then it must be consistent with the rules of the game.

If we are to play the political game, then we must learn those rules. Rule No. 1 says that we must be practical and selfish. We must put the interests of no group before the interests of ours.

And Rule No. 2 says that we must take what we can and give up only what we must.

Rule No. 3 says that you take it from whomever you can, however you can, and wherever you can.

Now, if we are not ready to abide by these rules, then we have not reached the age of political maturity, and all of our talk about the new black politics is just an exercise in frustrated futility.

The power exists in black America today. If we go to that well in November and come back with our buckets empty, we have no one to blame but ourselves. We must realize that we have real power. We have the balance of power in many instances and the power to negate in many others.

And let me say as I close this evening that I hope that we will not be
influenced by how many black babies a candidate kissed in Harlem or how many black entertainers are invited to the White House or how many government contracts one black businessman receives.

I think we have reached the point of no return. I think the next two months will determine the course and the impact of black political power for the next fifty years.

Thank you.

(Standing applause)

(Whereupon, the banquet session was concluded with the Benediction).

SUNDAY SESSION
SEPTEMBER 24, 1972

The Sunday session was devoted to work on resolutions. Following are the adopted resolutions, each voted on unanimously.

Resolution On The Formation Of A National Black Trade Union Organization

There are nearly three million black workers who are members of American trade unions. This number constitutes approximately one-third of the total black workforce and a sizable political and economic force within the unions and the black community. For example, the United Auto Workers, with 1.5 million members, has 500,000 black members. The International Brotherhood of Teamsters has 200,000 black members out of a total membership of 1.8 million. Two hundred thousand of the 1.2 million members of the United Steel Workers are black. AFSCME with over 550,000 members has approximately 180,000 black members.

Delegates at the Conference of Black Trade Unionists represented the single largest gathering of black union members in history. Throughout the two-day meeting, both union officials and rank and file members voiced considerable displeasure with the neutralist presidential stance adopted by the AFL-CIO without apparent consideration of the consequences of this action on blacks and poor working people. The participants also expressed dissatisfaction with their roles and involvement in the shaping and implementation of union policy at the national and local levels.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED: That the original convenors of the Conference (Nelson Jack Edwards, UAW; Charles Hayes, Amalgamated Meatcutters; William Lucy, AFSCME; Cleveland Robinson, Distributive Workers; and William Simmons, AFT) form a five-man steering committee to develop a structure and program for a permanent national organization for black union members, and that the committee be expanded at the earliest opportunity to provide for participation by women, youth, and representatives from other national and local unions.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: That the steering committee convene a second national conference in the spring of 1973 to adopt an organizational structure and by-laws.

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED: That the organization be temporarily referred to as the "Coalition of Black Trade Unionists" which will operate within existing trade union structure, but function as a collective body of black trade unionists in the promotion of the interests of black workers and the labor movement in general.

Resolution On Political Action

Black voters have proven to be a potent force in past presidential elections. Their claims upon the political parties in 1972 are backed by strong evidence that in a close election, they could again hold the balance of power.

Practically every victorious presidential candidate since 1936 has carried the black vote in northern central cities. In at least nine major
states — California, New York, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, New Jersey, Missouri, Ohio and Pennsylvania — the black has had an enormous influence on large blocks of electoral votes. In the South, blacks make up an even larger proportion of the population in many districts, and aided by the Voting Rights Act of 1965, they have become a sizable portion of the electorate. As in the past, the black vote will be crucial in deciding the outcome of important national, state and local electoral contests.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED: That the Conference opposes the re-election of President Richard Nixon and will do everything within its power to assure his defeat.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Conference declares the AFL-CIO's position of neutrality in the presidential election "alien to our best interests" and in no way representative of the position of the great majority of black workers.

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the two major political parties establish as their first priority the increase in the number of elected black officials at all levels of government, and encourage the appointment of blacks and other minorities in policy-making decisions in all local, state and federal agencies, and

That the Conference urge the creation of machinery for a black political movement which will develop political sophistication within black and poor communities.

That each delegate at the Chicago Conference return to his local community and become actively involved in the political process and build a local political base within his union and community by working in concert with other political leadership and organizations; and

That black union members be encouraged to run for elected public office wherever such opportunities exist; and

That black unionists demand from their respective unions funds and resources necessary for establishing viable national and local political organizations.

Resolution On Voter Registration And Getting Out The Vote

The U.S. Bureau of the Census estimates that 57.6 percent of the black voting age population actually voted in the 1968 presidential election, compared to 69.1 percent of eligible whites. In the north and west, 64.8 percent of potential black voters actually voted, while in the south the figure was 51.6 percent.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED: That black trade unionists mount a massive national voter registration and get-out-the-vote campaign utilizing every available resource in their unions and communities.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: That coalitions be formed between unions and civil rights and civic organizations like the NAACP, Urban League, A. Philip Randolph Institute, Masons, Elks, fraternities and sororities, and church groups.

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the black press be enlisted to help publicize voter registration and get-out-the-vote programs; and

That large union halls be used for youth entertainment programs to encourage youth voter registration; and

That "dial a registrar" programs be established in the various communities to help facilitate voter registration; and

That black trade unionists take full advantage of the considerable number of pamphlets, posters, films, and other resources made available — political parties, the AFL-CIO, the A. Philip Randolph Institute, and other political organizations.

Resolution On Job Opportunities And Organizing Workers

Under the pretense of curbing inflation, the Nixon administration has
permitted unemployment to consistently hover around six percent — the highest unemployment rate in ten years — with nearly 5.5 million workers without jobs. Hardest hit by the Nixon unemployment program are blacks whose rates of unemployment run as high as 40 percent in many inner-city and ghetto areas.

In spite of the chronic unemployment problem, President Nixon vetoed major legislation which would have provided $5.6 billion for an accelerated public works program and $9.5 billion to assure employment and training for the Nation's unemployed and under-employed citizens.

Wage controls were established by the Nixon Administration which froze the wages of workers while permitting prices, profits, and dividends to remain unchecked.

Black citizens who now complete on the average nearly 11 years of schooling, still find themselves earning little more than half the average income of their white counterparts.

Of the 80 million or more workers in the American workforce, only one-fourth of them are organized. Few concerted efforts have been made to organize workers in domestic and service occupations, farm labor, and the public sector.

In 1966, the latest year for which figures are available from the Census Bureau, 42.5 percent of all persons engaged in manufacturing were union members. The corresponding figure for blacks was 57.8 percent. In durable goods, the figures were 44.3 percent and 65.9 percent; for the metal industries, 51.3 percent and 74.1 percent; and in the manufacture of transportation equipment, including the auto industry, the figures were 54.2 percent and 89.5 percent.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED: That the Conference urge the labor movement to use its full powers to solidify trade union coalitions which will work to elect a Congress and national administration whose objectives will be equal and full employment and a decent standard of living for all Americans.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: That organized labor be urged to expand its efforts to organize the unorganized, particularly workers in domestic, service, farm and public service employment.

FURTHER RESOLVED: That funds for the Vietnam War be redirected to programs creating jobs and job training opportunities for the unemployed and underemployed; and

That the Conference support the expansion of minimum wage coverage to all workers; and

That the Conference urge change in educational systems which would emphasize teaching young people how to think as opposed to memorizing data.

Resolution On Community Development

Since he took office, President Nixon has shown a callous disregard for the welfare of millions of black and poor Americans. Under his Administration, the number of individuals and families with incomes below the government defined poverty level has increased for the first time in more than a decade.

The President has been slow to develop meaningful and reasonable programs to help resolve the many domestic problems currently facing the nation. Instead of improving living and working conditions for the Nation's poor, President Nixon's record shows that he has:

- Proposed regressive and punitive welfare reform proposals.
- Refused to spend monies appropriated by Congress for the school lunch program providing nutritious meals for needy children.
- Vetoed comprehensive day care and child development legislation.
- Vigorously opposed the creation of a strong independent consumer advocate program within the government.
- Opposed adequate cost of living increases under Social Security.
- Advocated a policy of "benign neglect" toward black citizens and minimized federal civil rights enforcement efforts.
- Reopened the nation's racial wounds by developing negative policies on such sensitive issues as busing, job quotas, and federally subsidized low-income housing for suburban communities.

The domestic policies of the Nixon Administration have proven disastrous for workers, minorities, and poverty-stricken families.
THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED: That the Conference of Black Trade Unionists work cooperatively and actively with church, social, civic, education, and civil rights organizations to improve the living conditions of black and poor families, and that black trade unionists seek out opportunities to serve on local boards and commissions in order to maximize the participation and influence of black and poor workers in community decision-making.

The following organizations were represented at the first Conference of Black Trade Unionists, held in Chicago, Ill., on September 23-24:

- Allied Industrial Workers of America, AFL-CIO
- Amalgamated Clothing Workers of America, AFL-CIO
- Amalgamated Meat Cutters and Butcher Workmen of North America, AFL-CIO
- American Federation of Government Employees, AFL-CIO
- American Federation of Musicians, AFL-CIO
- American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO
- American Federation of Teachers, AFL-CIO
- American Postal Workers Union, AFL-CIO
- Bakery and Confectionary Workers' International Union of America, AFL-CIO
- Bricklayers, Masons, Plasters' International Union of America, AFL-CIO
- Communication Workers of America, AFL-CIO
- Distillery, Rectifying, Wine and Allied Workers' International Union of America, AFL-CIO
- Distributive Workers of America
- Food Packers Association
- Hotel and Restaurant Employees and Bartenders International Union, AFL-CIO
- Illinois Union of Social Services' Employees
- International Association of Machinists, AFL-CIO
- International Association of Marble, Slate and Stone Polishers, Rubbers, and Sawyers, Tile and Marble Setters Helpers and Terrazzo Helpers, AFL-CIO
- International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, AFL-CIO
- International Brotherhood of Teamsters
- International Ladies' Garment Workers Union, AFL-CIO
- International Longshoremen's Association, AFL-CIO
- International Woodworkers of America, AFL-CIO
- Laborers International Union of North America, AFL-CIO
- Laundry and Dry Cleaning International Union, AFL-CIO
- National Education Association
- Newspaper Guild, AFL-CIO
- Office and Professional Employees International Union, AFL-CIO
- Retail Clerks Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO
- Retail, Wholesale, Department Store Workers Union, AFL-CIO
- Seafarers International Union of North America, AFL-CIO
- Transport Workers of America, AFL-CIO
- United Auto Workers
- United Cement, Lime, and Gypsum Workers International Union, AFL-CIO
- United Steel Workers, AFL-CIO
- United Textile Workers of America, AFL-CIO


13. BLACK UNIONISTS FORM COALITION

Organization Will Work for McGovern But Will Not Disband After Election

By Philip Shabecoff

Content removed at rightsholder's request.
The organization, called for by over 1,200 black union members and leaders at a meeting in Chicago last week, will initially concentrate on registering black voters and getting out the vote for Senator George McGovern. But William Lucy, Secretary Treasurer of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employes who is spokesman for the new group, said in an interview that the organization would be made permanent "to deal with the peculiar problems of the black trade unionists."

"It's obvious that the A.F.L.-C.I.O. is not doing its job for black workers. The federation may consider the problems of poor blacks, but it doesn't understand those problems," Mr. Lucy said in an interview. The Chicago meeting elected a five-man steering committee to draft a constitution for a group to be called the Coalition of Black Trade Unionists.

The coalition will conduct a membership drive to enlist black union members throughout the country, Mr. Lucy said. It will also embark on an intensive effort to organize poor blacks, he added.

There are about 2 million black members of trade unions. The total number of blacks in the work force is estimated at 9.8 million.

The new coalition will not be a black "separatist" or even a "civil rights" organization, Mr. Lucy explained. It will work within the trade union framework for black workers and the black community, he said. "But before now there has been no forum for black militancy within the trade union movement," he asserted.

The decision by George Meany and the executive council of the labor federation to refrain from endorsing a Presidential candidate this year was the catalyst that created the move toward a national black labor organization. Nixon Impact Cited

"The A.F.L.-C.I.O. decision did not take into consideration the negative impact that Nixon has on the poor, especially the black poor," Mr. Lucy said. "There is no way black unionists are going to remain neutral in this election," he declared.

However, the goals of the new coalition go far beyond this year's election, and will deal with matters of particular concern to black workers he said. For example, the new organization would not support the President's continuation of the war, as the leadership of the A.F.L.-C.I.O. has done, Mr. Lucy said. "The war is using up money that is required for rebuilding the cities, for schools, for medical care and other things of vital concern to the black community," he declared.

The coalition will play an active role in bringing more black workers into the trade union movement, he said. It will prepare a "positive" program for raising the percentage of blacks in construction jobs, a task, he insisted, that has not been adequately performed by the labor federation.

The coalition would also serve as a link between the trade union movement and the black community — and with poor communities in general. The black communities, he declared, do not understand and do not trust the trade union movement. Meanwhile, organized labor has not done enough in the development of the poor black communities, he asserted. At the labor federation's headquarters, Donald Slaiman, director of the group's civil rights department, denied Mr. Lucy's charges of inadequate concern with the problems of black workers. Mr. Slaiman also expressed doubt about the need for a national organization.

Mr. Slayman said that the A. Philip Randolph Institute, which is headed by Bayard Rustin, deals on a continuing basis with problems relating to workers and to the black community. Moreover, a large and growing number of unions are electing black officers, he noted. "The number of officers may lag behind the number of minority union members, but it takes time to develop leaders."

Mr. Slayman said that the A.F.L.-C.I.O. had done an effective job on behalf of black workers and minorities in general. Its member unions have
During two cool days in Chicago last September, 1,200 African-American trade unionists gathered to form the Coalition of Black Trade Unionists. The attendance far surpassed the initiators' dreams. One unionist said, "The sleeping giant is awakening."

There are some three million African-American trade unionists in the U.S. This is one-third of the total Black labor force. If they were all organized, their influence would be sizeable, both in the labor movement and in government. Members of the Coalition feel that the demands of Afro-American labor must be felt in those two areas.

Rank-and-filers and union officials from 37 unions throughout the nation attended the conference. Prior to a national constitutional convention planned for this spring, there will be regional conferences in New York, Chicago, Detroit, Washington, D.C., Cleveland and, possibly, San Francisco or Los Angeles.

On January 19, I interviewed William Simons, a member of the Coalition's steering committee and president of Local 6 of the Washington Teacher's Union, AFT, in the offices of his union.

Mr. Simons, besides his organized labor activities, has been involved in the struggle for equal rights with such groups as the National Urban League and the NAACP. He has also been active in the movement against U.S. intervention in the affairs of people of the "Third World."

Q: Mr. Simons, what is the Coalition of Black Trade Unionists?
A: The Coalition of Black Trade Unionists is a group that was organized this past summer. The purpose of organizing at that time was to express our displeasure to the position taken by George Meany and the executive council (of the AFL-CIO) on the elections, that of neutrality.

The group was also concerned about the fact that much needs to be done in the labor movement to eradicate the racist practices, the discriminatory practices, which still exist within certain unions.

And we felt that if we could organize, we would then be able to demonstrate that there are real concerns to which the labor movement is not addressing itself. We want the labor movement to address itself not only to the concerns of Black trade unionists but to those of all trade unionists.

Q: Who participated in the conference?
A: In the formation of the group there was Bill Lucy, Secretary-Treasurer of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Nelson Jack Edwards from the United Auto Workers, Charlie Hayes from the Amalgamated Meatcutters, myself and there were one or two others.

There were members from both the AFL-CIO unions and from non-AFL-CIO unions. We had our initial meeting in Miami in August and we had additional meetings in Washington and we laid plans for the September conference.

Since that conference there have been three meetings. Their purpose was to try to put together a constitution and a structure in order to mobilize trade unionists around the country.

While the name of the organization is the Coalition of Black Trade Unionists, we do not by any means intend to limit it to Black trade unionists.

At our last meeting, we discussed taking our positions to the Secretary of Labor designee, Peter Brennan. We sought to have a conference with him simply to raise certain issues, to get his feeling about how he is going to use his position to further the growth of labor in the United States. Unfortunately Mr. Brennan did not see fit to meet with us.
Today we testified before the Senate Subcommittee holding hearings on the confirmation of Mr. Brennan. We raised these questions with the sub-committee. The sub-committee agreed it would place these questions before Mr. Brennan and elicit responses from him concerning the issues we raised. We did not take a position for or against Mr. Brennan, we simply indicated there were some concerns that we would like the sub-committee to investigate thoroughly before they arrive at any decision with respect to his confirmation.

Q: Who testified for the Coalition?
A: Bill Lucy read the main statement. Dick Parrish, representing the New York Distributive Workers of America, made some comments and I also made a few comments.

Q: You just stated that the Coalition is not limited to just Black workers and Black trade unionists. Who else can participate?
A: We have not drawn up our constitution as yet. But we envision that any trade unionist who is concerned with the same problems as the Coalition will be eligible for membership. I might point out that out of the 1,200 delegates in Chicago, there were at least 200-300 non-black, and other minority delegates. And they had full participation in the deliberations of the conference.

Q: When will the constitutional conference take place?
A: We are shooting for a date some time this spring, possibly in April. That has not been finalized.

Q: Is there a preliminary constitution?
A: No, we only have working papers, documents not in finalized form. We are working on a structure and quite frankly we intend to just about parallel the same kind of structure that the AFL-CIO has. That is, with the central body or executive committee or whatever name we give to it -- with state federations and local bodies with each body being autonomous -- within the framework of being bound by only the constitutional guidelines that are going to be established.

Q: How will rank-and-file participation be encouraged?
A: Rank-and-file participation will be encouraged at the local and state levels. Each local jurisdiction can organize -- have membership. And then each local jurisdiction would send delegates to the state federation. And then of course the governing body would be elected by the entire membership at the convention.

Q: Will you be working with the same unions involved in the original conference and will the group be expanded?
A: It's going to expand. Hopefully, we will get a broad-based participation from the whole spectrum of the labor movement, from those unions which are in the AFL-CIO and those which are not.

Q: What is the purpose of the Coalition?
A: The purpose is to identify the problem that exists in the labor movement as a whole. And to bring whatever pressures we can to try to get these situations corrected, so that all workers can get a fair share of the pie in the labor movement. We are not going to be a watchdog, in terms of practices that exist in individual unions. In other words, a member cannot bring a grievance to the Coalition and expect the Coalition to take action. That is not our purpose at all.

We are looking at the overall trends and, for example, we are trying to influence the decisions made by the executive council of the AFL-CIO. We will try to influence broad policy decisions of internationals.

Also, we intend to concentrate not only on problems in the labor movement per se, but also problems in the community and country.

Q: Could you specify some of the problems which U.S. workers face?
A: For example, I think we had a clear indication just yesterday, when we learned that the American Telephone and Telegraph Company has been ordered to pay some 38 million dollars to upgrade the salaries of women and other non-white workers against whom they were discriminating.

This is the kind of thing we would call attention to in other companies. This practice has been condoned by the Communication Workers (of America) over
the years. They represent the majority of the employees in the telephone system. There are also some workers organized by the IBEW (International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers). Condoning discrimination has been the policy of the union leadership. These are the kind of things we would call attention to.

Q: In the September conference, rank-and-file workers are particularly concerned with methods whereby they could get more Black elected officials in their locals. Could the Coalition lend support here?
A: Yes, in terms of working with groups of workers and acquainting them with their union structure and how officials are elected. And if a structure is democratic enough -- and many unions do have a democratic process -- we will tell the Black workers they have to begin organizing on a political basis and be able to mobilize their voting strength at conventions or meetings or wherever voting takes place.

Q: Are there any qualifications for membership in the Coalition? Is it open to trade unionists regardless of political affiliation?
A: The only qualification will be that the person is a member of a qualified union.

Q: What about President Nixon? Since he is a lame duck President, what might he unleash on the Black worker, and workers in general?
A: Of course we have already seen how the wage-price guidelines have affected workers. I suspect there will probably be some efforts on the part of the administration to try to curb the bargaining power of unions.

I do not expect that we are going to get very much in the way of positive action by the President to lift up the workers in this country. It has not been the pattern in the past and I can see nothing that will project that in the future.

Q: Will the Coalition continue to stress independent political action, such as trade unionists running for elected offices?
A: Very definitely we would stress that kind of action. It is absolutely essential that there should be representatives of the workers in legislative bodies in order to try to help shape the legislation that will benefit the workers.

And also we would support those candidates in state legislatures as well as Congress who have a sympathetic viewpoint toward the problems which the working man faces. . . .


15. NEWEST BLACK POWER: BLACK LEADERS BUILDING MASSIVE LABOR COALITION INSIDE UNIONS

By Victor Riesel

WASHINGTON—Not in many, oftentimes fiery decades has black militancy—which is as different from extremism as a winch from a wench—been on the move.

This is a new black movement—within a movement. Black labor men and leaders who see their strategy as structural, quiet, organizational, motivational, and finally, a powerful massive pressure "group" far beyond the "old" era of civil rights.

This is the Coalition of Black Trade Unionists (CBTU). This is a movement of men, mostly black union officials, who see themselves and the entire three million black rank and file as the force which will make the national labor leadership "black conscious." Such consciousness is not, and was not there during last week's national labor high command meeting in Bal Harbour, Fla., say the CBTU's leaders, some of whom flew directly from the watering place in sunny Florida to frigid Chicago.

On Feb. 24, they met with some 100 black labor leaders there—men and women from western Indiana, Ohio and Michigan. This was one of the regional conferences which gradually will lead to the official national black, infrastructure structured membership organization of unionized workers. There will be
NEGRO-LABOR ALLIANCE

Then with a provisional constitution in hand, the five CBTU leaders—officials of some of the nation's most influential and swiftest growing unions in the land—will make final plans for a national convention of black workers in Washington, May 25-27.

They will sing "Solidarity." They will sing "We Shall Overcome." They will be militant. But they will not bolt the AFL-CIO, or the independent United Auto Workers and Distributive Workers to which the black workers belong. Instead, they will push for more influence, more "relevancy," more high echelon officials, more representation in the highest councils—including the AFL-CIO Executive Council—and more members on the boards of such unions as the United Steelworkers.

Man, the jargon will be different. But not the spirit of national and ethnic pressure groups gone by—powerful organizations of yesteryear which now are tiny bands of workers who have survived assimilation, tradition and the silent slippage of time.

Ever since the first dues stamp, there have been pressure groups of newly arrived proletarians. There have been—and quietly in some corner of the remnant of New York's lower East Side there still are—the one-man offices of the United Hebrew Trades, the Italian American Labor Council, German "vereine" and other groups still speaking their native tongues.

They once were powerful. They once were the force behind some of today's national labor leaders and some who have only recently retired. Some of these men actually launched movements which made Presidents of the U.S. and changed this nation's social action.

They worked mostly inside the labor movement. Now in that old tradition, though one would hardly note it amid the rhetoric of today's black speakers, the Coalition of Black Trade Unionists quietly is structuring itself. And may well have the success of its ethnic predecessors.

Actually the young (there even are some middle-aged) organizers spring from the very same unions which spurted the old movements—unions whose leaders have "graduated" from the garment industry and those in the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, the Amalgamated Meatcutters and Butcher Workmen, the United Auto Workers (UAW), the American Federation of Teachers, and the Distributive Workers.

From these unions come the men on the CBTU's five-man Steering Committee, especially the municipal employees' secretary treasurer Bill Lucy, the meat-cutter's Charles Hayes and the UAW's Nelson Jack Edwards.

They are neo-(Franklin) Rooseveltians, worshippers at the Kennedy's shrine and newly arrived militants. They are anti-NIXON, anti-Republican and even determined to needle Secretary of Labor Peter Brennan. They have demanded that he be recalled to Sen. Harrison (Pete) Williams's Labor Committee—not for a rehearing on his confirmation, but to get some promises from him. They want support from the former president of the New York State Building and Construction Trades Council for a universal law guaranteeing civil service workers the right to bargain collectively and to strike.

They want Brennan to pledge the beefing up of the Labor Dept.'s Office of Federal Contract Compliance (OFCC) to reinstate the black quota system of hiring by those who do business with the government. They say that the OFCC has been cut to 45 employees though 112 positions have been authorized.

They are swinging into the fight to keep the Office of Economic Opportunity (OEO) going, also the mechanism of other groups which once policed the old "Philadelphia Plan."

But mostly they want militant black representation on the AFL-CIO Executive Council, and on the executive boards of their unions. They are saying to the labor movement, match your actions with your official oratory and resolutions. In the lead is Bill Lucy, actually the highest placed black official in the labor movement—secretary treasurer of Jerry Wurf's municipal employees.
There's a labor song which says, "We'll thunder high the battle cry." The CBTU plans just that, not only at its second national convention in May but in street rallies in the big cities with heavy black populations—Detroit, Philadelphia, New York, Washington, Atlanta. The big cities where once the civil rights extremists roared soon will hear the calmer, but more powerful, voices of black militancy attempting to march upward inside their own movement.


16. BLACK CAUCUS IN THE UNIONS

By Stephen C. Schlesinger
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The Black Trade Unionists have since held several other conferences, the most recent being in Washington last May, when twenty-seven national unions and nine nonunion organizations appeared. The coalition now comprises thirty-three unions representing more than a million black workers, and on its steering committee are such black unionists as Nelson Jack Edwards (UAW), Charles Hayes (Meat Cutters), Cleveland Robinson (Distributive Workers) and Bill Lucy.

After one year, the coalition has become, according to one's angle of vision, a powerful irritant or a powerful stimulant inside the AFL-CIO. It has challenged George Meany on a number of issues. In addition to the dispute over McGovern, the federation and the coalition have locked horns over the appointment of Peter Brennan to be Secretary of Labor (Meany favored it), revenue sharing (the coalition approved it because poor blacks form the core population of most cities; Meany opposed it because he felt it lacked federal controls over the moneys), and affirmative action programs in the Democratic Party's selection of delegates (the coalition favored affirmative action with compliance monitored by the party; the AFL-CIO opposed anything which remotely resembled quotas, including most forms of affirmative action). Bill Lucy concedes that, because of these wrangles, many labor people "accused the coalition of being separatist, anti-AFL-CIO, antagonistic, a protest movement. But we never had a quarrel with the AFL-CIO. We just felt that blacks should have some input into decisions." Incidentally, the CBTU did support the AFL-CIO on the Burke-Hartke bill.

However, it does seem rather uppity for blacks to be acting this way now in the AFL-CIO, since for years they led lives of benign passivity within the federation. They upset no applecarts and behaved with pleasant resignation in the face of blatant discrimination by many unions within the AFL-CIO. Even in 1963, when A. Philip Randolph, head of the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters, had the gumption to promote the March on Washington, Meany lambasted him and kept the AFL-CIO well away from the demonstration.

The characteristic federation black of recent days has been Bayard Rustin. Ironically, he was the master strategist behind the Washington March. Now, as director of the A. Philip Randolph Institute, a Meany-funded and Meany-controlled operation which has done work on voter registration and political education, Rustin has become a Meany apologist. He has, for example, preached the AFL-CIO position against the quotas in the Democratic Party's delegate selection; he favors affirmative action, but many observers find his position ambiguous and laced with Meany-type rhetoric. Rustin has earned the furious contempt of many black unionists with whom I talked.

The coalition leaders are younger than Rustin, uncowed by Meany, on the payrolls of unions which themselves act independently; they are outspoken. The only puzzling thing about them, in fact, is why as a group they waited so long to organize the coalition—long after the sit-ins, the civil rights marches, and the elections of blacks to office in the 1960s and early 1970s.

For the black presence in organized labor has, after all, been remarkable. One-third of the black work force is in unions, primarily in the monster industrial unions like the United Auto Workers (500,000 blacks out of 1.5 million members); the Steelworkers (200,000 out of 1.2 million members); the Teamsters (200,000 out of 1.8 million members); and the large white-collar unions like the AFSCME (180,000 out of 615,000 members). The industrial mode of unionization, gulping whole markets at a swallow, has proven to be color-blind. It has subverted racism and created large pools of middle-class black labor.

The successful integration of these unions, in turn, has highlighted the restrictive policies of the craft or building trade unions, whose limited work markets have fostered rigid job controls that lead to the exclusion of blacks. For instance, the most recent figures for the building trades in New York City (where most of the construction unions are concentrated) shows that blacks have actually lost ground in jobs in proportion to their presence in the city's population. In the years 1960 to 1970, blacks increased 60 per cent in population but black construction workers increased only 33-1/3 per cent.

The disquieting fact is that this meager representation of blacks in the building trades has for years provoked little protest from blacks within the AFL-CIO (though outside organizations like Fightback and the NAACP have for more than a decade fought discrimination in the construction unions). Only now, Content removed at rightsholder's request.
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It would appear that the public morality in America at the present time is being dominated, to an alarming degree, by a concern with technological and corporate priorities. This concern, quite naturally, ignores the problems and aspirations of the ordinary individual, particularly the individual who is not equipped either by spiritual inclination or technical training to participate in the processes and values in which these priorities are pursued. A humane culture as we have imagined it and dreamed of it in America, and which at certain periods of our history has appeared possible, seems today to be on the verge of being sacrificed to the special exigences of the marketplace: That is to say, as the new technological and organizational obsession spreads, the possibility of our creating an engaged social conscience recedes further and further into the background, leaving more and more people, particularly our minorities, stranded and neglected in a deepening mire of social and economic problems. . . .

At the heart of this problem — the dehumanization, demoralization, and exclusion of the individual from the prevailing concerns of our society — is the problem of our minorities. And increasingly today the heart of our minority problem is being located in the urban centers of our nation. None of us — even those who are least interested in solutions — can have escaped the sense of fear and frustration that are building up in our cities, perhaps towards an explosion whose effects we might not be able to control. And none of us can deny that this situation is being brought about by the gradual diminution of social morality and social concern for the value of the individual. . . .

As minorities are driven to the cities in search of a better life, those in a position to help them fulfill these aspirations shrink from them to the greener suburbs, leaving behind growing reservoirs of helplessness and misery.

This is by no means an excessive estimate. An objective profile of what the cities will look like in the year 1980, or even 2000, gives no less cause for concern. In a paper written for the Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions, Victor Palmieri, president of the Janss Corporation, states:

Within the next two decades — probably in 1980 — the core area of almost every major metropolitan city of the United States will be a racial — predominantly black — island. This is not a speculation. It is already very largely a fact in Washington, Chicago, and New York City. It is rapidly becoming a fact in Detroit, Philadelphia, and Los Angeles. Three established factors — the rate of population growth among minority groups (almost three times that of the white population in the City of Los Angeles); the increasing income level and mobility of middle class white families; and the resulting domino effect on racially impacted school districts — will maintain the velocity of the trend and virtually guarantee its ultimate concern. . . .

It is in the face of this situation that white majorities become fearful of their mental and economic security and the minorities become more and more frustrated. The privileged majorities either retreat into pockets of resistance or flee to the suburbs, a flight, incidentally, which the government has been subsidizing for years now by the building of new highways and the establishment of suburban housing. While the white majority has been able to find these outlets for their fear, there has been no outlet for minority frustration except in rioting. The cycle seems so vicious and I'm convinced that this whole problem — the problem of our minorities and of our cities —
grows out of one source, and that is fundamental social immorality in our society; the failure or unwillingness to establish humane priorities; the absence of a major public commitment to eradicate poverty and slums. . . .

I myself wrote in the November 1966 issue of Federationist magazine that a vicious cycle was being set in motion:

Failing to deal with the social and economic roadblocks to equality, we stoke the fire of frustration in the ghetto; violent riots and cries of "black power" in turn feed a white backlash which makes constructive solutions to the problems of blacks and whites more difficult. Finally, backlash only confirms Negro isolationists in their hostility toward white America. We are today at the crossroads. Within the next 50 years, if the cycle of fear and frustration is not broken, if the just economic demands of the labor movement are not coupled with the democratic aspirations of the Negro people, and if men of goodwill do not join in the fight for programs such as the Freedom Budget for all Americans, which would end poverty within the next ten years in this country, then we may very well be propelled into a racial nightmare. And not only the ethnic minorities will benefit from the Freedom Budget; 75 percent of the poor white. All of us are affected by the persistence of poverty — in the conditions of our neighborhoods and schools, in our tax rates and public services, in the quality of our lives. . . .

Minority Poverty and Joblessness: The white immigrant waves of the nineteenth century and early twentieth centuries faced ethnic and religious prejudice but they were never confronted, as today's ethnic minorities are, with an organized system of race hatred with its own laws and myths and stereotypes, its own economy. Those of the older generations of the white poor who fought their way out of poverty could thus assimilate into American life as soon as they acquired money, education, mastery of the language, and so forth. Also, the older white immigrant came into an economy which could put grade school drop-outs to work. The minorities who are struggling today are faced with an entirely different problem; they must compete not only within race prejudice but also against machines.

Moreover, the unemployed rates today are much higher than they were even during the worst years of the great depression. As James McGregor Burns points out, 20 percent of the population was then unemployed. Today, a U.S. Department of Labor study estimates that in the 12 largest areas unemployment rates between 14-and 19-year-olds range from 18.4 percent in Washington, D.C., to 36 percent in Philadelphia, with the rate running about 30 percent in seven areas. The rate of nonwhite girls is somewhat higher than for boys (over 40 percent in Philadelphia and St. Louis).109

I believe, therefore, that, in the same way the society provided the old immigrants with opportunities to lift themselves and play a meaningful role in the American social and economic order, so also it is obliged to find a way to provide today's minorities with the opportunity and potential to lift and save themselves: And, in keeping with our present circumstances, any program which is conceived to help our minorities out of their present plight must include, first and foremost, a program for full and fair employment, i.e., federally guaranteed jobs for all those — whether members of minority groups or not — who are eligible or can be made eligible for employment in the production of goods and services in the public sector. Gerhard Colm of the National Planning Association is correct when he suggests that such a program of full employment per se will not solve all the problems of poverty, and especially not the racial problems, but it would contribute substantially to the solution and would create the best conditions for attacking the residual problems. Secondly, the program must include a two-dollar minimum wage; and, thirdly, a guaranteed annual income for those too old, too young, or too sick to work.

We need federally financed public works programs for the creation of the necessary physical institutions — more schools, more hospitals, more roads,
more clinics, more psychiatric facilities, more libraries. Not only should the poor benefit from the increased services of these physical facilities, they should also participate in building them — acquiring skills while being paid. This is especially important if we are going to convince young minority group members to take advantage of training or retraining programs. One cannot train young people in a vacuum and expect to win their seriousness, their hope, or their cooperation; in other words, one must show them that the jobs exist for which they are being trained, and that they can work on those jobs even while they are being trained.

Part of any program for full employment is the need for a "redefinition of work." This is necessary to make up for the inroads which automation has made into areas traditionally served by human labor. That is to say, we must redefine work around those kinds of efforts which the technological revolution cannot affect. What are some of these efforts? They involve creating a whole new hierarchy of non-professional workers to help the professional perform some of the functions he now performs. . . .

There must be creation of new opportunities for human services. Academic study should be redefined as work and paid for. Many functions which are performed today by underprivileged people but which do not have the stamp of prestige should be redefined as prestigious labor and be paid for at dignified wages. All of this, of course, must be part of a grand plan to solve poverty and to create new motivations and liberate new energies by which minorities can achieve a new sense of belonging and a new sense of identity in American life.

I would make the two-dollar minimum wage the next major priority. That alone could do as much as the entire poverty program in terms of helping people rehabilitate themselves through their own lucrative labor. . . .

Even the passage of a two-dollar minimum wage is modest. It guarantees an income of $4160 for a year's work, and that is roughly $2000 less than what the government has computed to be a "modest but adequate" urban family budget. We should not be satisfied until every American family has at least that "modest but adequate" income. For now, however, an increase of the minimum wage to $2.00 is a responsible and reasonable step in the right direction.

Here, I would like to discuss the most common argument against extending wage coverage and against raising the minimum wage itself to $2.00. Rising labor costs in unskilled and semi-skilled work, it is said, provide an incentive to employers to mechanize or even to automate. The results will be the elimination of precisely those marginal jobs which now provide at least an employment opportunity for unskilled Negroes, young people, and others who are not qualified to compete in the modern economy. There is some truth in this argument. If Congress simply amends the Fair Labor Standards Act and lets it go at that, then the result could be negative. That is why we cannot regard minimum wage as a panacea. That is why my proposals make sense only within the framework of a national commitment to generate new jobs.

I would then add a guaranteed annual income. But let us consider a few figures. As recently as March 3 this year, Joseph Alsop wrote in his syndicated newspaper column:

At present, a million American families with 3,200,000 children are living on welfare. In addition, another 3,600,000 American families with 11,800,000 children, though not caught in the welfare trap, are subsisting in grinding poverty that mocks and dishonors our national influence.

Now, obviously, we need a bold social and economic innovation to end the pathetic dependence of so many millions of our citizens on the caprice of welfare agencies, and I would suggest that the innovation ought to be a guaranteed annual income for those who are too old, too young, or too sick to work; or mothers or families who cannot or should not work.

I do not believe that the way to deal with man is to deal with him only as an economic person. . . . We cannot keep on handing out checks like leaflets. A man needs to feel that the check he gets is a wage for services to the society and, given the nature of our Western values, I do not believe that he can feel dignity and humanity by simply having checks given to him periodically. . . .
The foregoing are some of the basic areas in which minority mental health and identity have suffered in the past, and are still suffering, but in which they should be protected from suffering in the future. And, as I suggested earlier, the creation of a more humane future — a more humane 21st century — for these people requires a commitment in social morality such as we have not had at any previous period of our history.

And here I should like to say a word about one or two of the possible ways in which the minorities themselves can help to generate or set in motion the sweeping social changes that the coming decades demand. Without going into the Freedom Budget, I may say I believe the programs it puts forward represent the most urgent demand for economic change in the lives of the poor in America, and provides a rallying point around which millions of America's poor and excluded can mount a massive campaign in behalf of their own future.

Which brings me to the point I really want to make: the need for organization and coalition. As stated earlier, the only elements among the minorities that are in any significant motion are the Negroes and Spanish-American minorities. This is a serious problem because these groups do not have sufficient political power to deal with any of the stubborn economic problems in the society. The only way in which these problems can be dealt with is through the creation of a political coalition made up of Jews, Catholics, Protestants, the labor movement, liberals, the students, intellectuals, civil rights, and other minority groups. And I believe one of the key partners in this coalition has to be the labor movement, particularly because it has been the most successful voluntary organization for the abolition of poverty in American history.

Elizabeth Wickenden points out that "such a coalition will become effective when there is a wide-spreading network of mutual interest. This involves a very delicate balance of what is particular to one group and what is the common interest of many groups. . . . More members of the majority need to recognize their own interests are jeopardized by an excluded submerged minority, not through fear but through real understanding."

The economic challenge that now faces minority groups gives the labor movement an opportunity to face up to a new and profound challenge. Almost half of the heads of poor families work hard and long — yet they are still poor. Some of them are denied coverage under the nation's collective bargaining policies — especially Mexican-American farm workers — others lack Social Security, some work at sub-poverty wages, and many work in unorganized shops. Therefore, I believe the labor movement's program for increasing minimum wage and extending its coverage can constitute a major step forward for the working poor. I also believe that the labor movement must bring its unique skills — organization and collective bargaining — to the unorganized poor. Some trade unionists have already suggested that strong, stable internationals and locals should "adopt" organizing campaigns among workers who are so poor that they cannot pay the cost of organizing themselves, at least at the outset. Others have indicated that union organizing experience can make a major contribution to help organize the poor for "maximum feasible participation" in the war against poverty.

While I have no doubt about the value of maximum feasible participation in solving problems of poverty, or any other problems, I would just like to add that we ought to look at maximum feasible participation as broadly and realistically as possible. More than anything else it should represent from here on one of the vital features of the grass-roots relationship to the political institutions of the society. In other words, I am all in favor of maximum participation if it means participating as fully as possible in the political decisions of the entire society. Finally, in whatever way one interprets maximum feasible participation, it should not relieve political parties and other social institutions of their obligation to act with courage, commitment and responsibility in solving people's problems. That is to say, maximum participation of the people in the solution of their problems should not be an excuse for the traditional political institutions to shirk their own responsibilities to seek and propose remedies for the ills of the people.

All of which takes me back to the need for coalition — a coalition of effort, a coalition of conscience, a coalition of social morality, and a coalition of concern. I have no crystal ball, I have no way of knowing whether
the new century that we create in America will be the one that ought in all justness to be created. But I do know that we can at the present moment ask no less. I do know that it will be a tragedy if we cannot find social answers to the problems created by the progress of technology and automation. So we, therefore, ought to fight for what is right, now. And in doing so we have to work to weld behind us a broad coalition of morality, now. For if we reject the possibility of this kind of coalition, or if we despair that it will ever come about, then we are rejecting and despairing of the only viable democratic alternative that is available. We cannot look any longer to third political parties. No third political party could do what Catholics, Protestants, Jews, intellectuals, students, civil rights workers, labor organizations, Puerto Ricans, Mexican Americans and other minorities did for the passage of civil rights bills, voting rights bills, public accommodations bills, and the March on Washington. If we cannot build that force again, this time around economic and social priorities for the excluded members of our society, then we are on our way to a social cataclysm that we will not be able to control except perhaps by tyranny.


18. ADDRESS TO THE 1969 CONVENTION OF THE AFL-CIO
BAYARD RUSTIN
Executive Director, A. Philip Randolph Institute

President Meany. Lane Kirkland, members of the Executive Council, brothers and sisters, and particular greetings to our guests from overseas. I am going to deal this morning with a very difficult, trying and agonizing problem which is facing America, that is the problem of justice for all of our citizens, regardless of color, race or creed. But before I do, I would like to congratulate Brother Meany on his analysis of Mr. Haynsworth in his speech yesterday, to point out something to our visitors which I know they will see, but which I would not want any one of them to fail to see, and that is that when there were millions of people in this country who were prepared to let the Haynsworth appointment go unchallenged, there were two groups of people who stood up. The first one was George Meany, representing the labor movement, and the other was Roy Wilkins representing the civil rights movement. I am convinced that we are going to get this man, but even if we do not, President Meany, the civil rights movement and the trade union movement deserves profound credit for pointing out to the American people the nature and the danger of his campaign, and for this I congratulate all of you in whose name Brother Meany acted. (Applause).

I would like to secondly point out to our visitors from overseas that COPE, the political arm of the AFL-CIO performed a miracle in the 1968 elections, for history will record that when the ship of progress and liberalism in this nation was sinking it was again the labor movement and the Negro people who in coalition stood up and held the banner of justice; and it's a pity, George, they beat us by a couple of votes.

Now, friends, if anything decent is going to happen in these United States, as has been the case since the time of Franklin Delano Roosevelt, it will be because the trade union movement and the black people of this country do not permit themselves to become divided but stick together. (Applause).

My friends, alliances are not made in the way in which one marries his wife; alliances are not made out of affection; alliances are made out of mutual interest and, although there will be difficult times for us, we must remember that this mutual interest does in fact exist and we must hold on to it.

Finally, let me salute the AFL-CIO for the work it has done for the sanitation workers in Memphis, for the farm workers in Delano, and for the hospital workers in South Carolina. Then let no man say that together we are
not fighting poverty, for that is precisely what we are doing, more effectively
than any other combination of forces.

I note also, and I hope the *Wall Street Journal* will take note and the
*New York Times* will take note, that there was a magnificent march in Raleigh,
North Carolina, a few weeks ago, with 12,000 men and women, white and black,
demonstrating in solidarity, and that was organized by the North Carolina
AFL-CIO and the Building and Construction Trades Council. There was unity
there and, therefore, half of you don't even know about it because the press
does not like unity. It records only discord and ugliness. (Applause).

My friends, I did not come here to make a congratulatory speech. I came
here to talk to you about what I consider to be two of the most serious
problems this nation faces. We are in a difficult period and I think that you
know this better than I do, for you are working daily with the people in the
streets, in your neighborhood, and your factories and shops. Therefore, you
know more about it than the journalists and the television commentators and
the politicians.

What is the difficulty? It is called by many names, but the reality is
there has been so little done to meet the needs of the American people that
we have cropped up with two twin evils, and I want to say a word about these
evils.

One of them is black rage and the other is white fear. We hear all about
black rage and riots but the newspapers are not telling us about the fantastic
fear of many white people, a justified fear in many respects.

These two things feed on each other and they set this nation on a colli-
sion course. I believe there are people in this country who want us to be on
a collision course. First there is a small handful who want this collision
because they see it as the first act of a revolutionary drama of their fanta-
sies. But they are small and sick and a sorry lot with an inflated notion of
their strength which comes from seeing themselves too often on television.

But there are more powerful groups. They are not the Left but the Right.
This group knows that revolution by fanatics will not succeed, but they would
use this threat as a pretext for an assault—and do not misunderstand me—not
only on black people but first of all on the trade union movement, on social
legislation, on civil liberties, and on black people, and they will work hard
at it. They would seize on the fear of the future to draw us back into the
past. If they succeed then the American dream will be shattered.

My friends, this need not happen. We can get off this collision course,
and to do that we must understand what makes for black fear and rage and what
makes for white fear.

There are those who say black people have made no progress, we have won
no gains in the last decade. But they don't know what they are talking about.
Of course we have made much progress. Our long struggle has not been for
nothing. The problem that we face is that progress is all that's relative,
as every trade unionist knows.

For example, I could say that across the country union wages last year
raised 5, 6, 7 or 8 percent, or what have you, but every trade unionist knows
that these are related to prices and you know that many of your workers have
been robbed of this net gain by spiraling prices. Therefore, they are resent-
ful, they are afraid, and they are angry.

Despite this progress that black people have made, there are also many
parts of our ghettos in which unemployment is double, and there are more rats
and roaches in the slums than ever before. Fifteen years after the Supreme
Court decision there are more Negro children in segregated schools than there
were before that decision.

Now, my friends, no matter what some of the black militants and white
intellectuals say, the fact is that segregated education is an inferior
education and we must not permit anyone to say differently. But you know
these things. You know the anguish that comes when men have hopes which have
been dashed.

My friends, there is another misery. It has nothing to do with black
people but with white people. And that is that they are up to here in
mortgages. They appear to own homes which are not paid for; they do not have
enough money to feed their families; therefore these white people have as
much fear because they are afraid of losing what they have, as black people
have raged because they do not have what they feel they should have.
For millions of these white people their jobs and their homes are all they have got. Because their position is so dangerous they feel threatened by the demands of black people for justice because, they argue: "This nation says we will not have enough houses. Therefore, I fearfully cling to mine lest my black brother get it. This nation says there will not be enough jobs. Therefore, I nervously cling to my job lest my black brother get it."

Now, my friends, the only answer to that is adequate housing, adequate jobs, adequate education for all. Then white fear and black rage can be contained.

Now, my friends, I maintain that we have got to build. We have got to build and rebuild. I propose that we tear down all that is dying and dead, all that is ugly and divisive, all that breeds hate and misery, and I propose that we put new towns and cities in their place, new schools in their place, new neighborhoods in their place, fresh air and clean water. I say, friends, let us build.

But the minute I use the word "build," I get right into the middle of a problem which is on all of our minds and which none of us can sweep under a rug. My friends, to use the word "build" brings a subject to the foreground which has caused much controversy and intensely strong feelings, I refer, of course, to the events that have recently occurred in Pittsburgh, Chicago, and other cities, about which I would now like to have a word and would like to have you listen carefully.

The problem raised by these events, the problem of minority participation in the building trades is difficult and dangerous, but let those of you who are in the trade union movement not mistake the problem. It is not the problem of the building trades. It is the problem of all organized labor that to this date, while we have done much, none of us has done enough to completely solve the problem, and we cannot make a scapegoat of any segment of the trade union movement, of any segment of the civil rights movement. This is a fight where we are all in together and nothing can be done without the cooperation and effective action of all.

There is no doubt in my mind that the events of Chicago and Pittsburgh are a source of tremendous satisfaction to the powerful enemies of the black movement and the labor movement. Read, for example, what was written in last Friday's Wall Street Journal. You know, the Wall Street Journal is an interesting paper. The big boys who read that want the truth. There are seldom lies in the Wall Street Journal. They don't want to lie to themselves.


(Laughter).

This is what the Journal says to its people. "It is no accident that the civil rights groups have chosen this particular time to press their attack on the building trades. They clearly are betting that contractors beset by spiraling wage costs and the public in general will be sympathetic to demands for expansion of union hiring and training efforts in the hope that it might slow inflation in construction by increasing the supply of workers."

I don't know whether you got that, because that is a very fancy sentence. But let me proceed.

You and I understand perfectly well what is meant by that delicate and articulate little language—"slow inflation in construction by increasing the supply of workers." Baby, that simply means, let's cut some wages, let's use the building trades to do it, and let's aggravate the Negro to attack them in order to achieve that little bit. (Applause).

I do not for one minute believe that a single civil rights group would want to take such a position, for we know that it is pointless and self-defeating to get more Negroes into the industries where there are skilled jobs and well-paying, only to have the wages in that industry decline. What the hell you want in for then, only to have the wages drop?

After all, one of the main reasons why black workers are now attracted to these jobs is because the pay and the benefits are the best. They didn't get that way because contractors did it, as the Wall Street Journal would have us believe, or because the public in general somehow uplifted the building trades. It got that way because the building trades fought hard to make it so. (Applause).

So I want to say a word to my fellow freedom-fighters in the civil rights movement. We must continue to press vigorously for greater minority
participation in the building trades. We will not let ourselves become stooges for those powerful economic interests that would use our struggle to depress wages and standards, or to cripple the unions that won them. (Applause).

We black Americans have struggled too hard, come too far and learned too much. We are not dumb niggers now sitting on a cotton bale playing the guitar. We have learned too much to be exploited ever again by the capitalist classes of this country.

There is a great Negro spiritual which says, "No more auction block for me," and along with Brother Randolph I say, "No more union busting, either." (Applause).

Some unscrupulous interests have mounted a propaganda campaign to blame labor for the high cost of home building and other socially needed construction. They want to divert attention from the profiteering of the large corporations and the tight-money bankers and the real estate interest—and, if I may say so, from the economic policies of the Nixon Administration. They would divert us and our attention from the dismal record in integrating black Americans into the decision making process.

Now, baby, let's look where the real money is. The real money is in the 500 major corporations in this country. Look at those corporations, and if you can find a black face in any one of them I will give you what money I have. It won't be much, but you can have it.

Look at the Wall Street Journal and the New York Times. How many black faces have they got amongst their reporters, editors, and managers? Not enough to make a black power movement, and today you only need two people to make a black power movement. (Applause).

Well, my friends, having said this I want to say a very serious word to any of our friends who still have a problem left with their unions, and for this opportunity I take off on what has happened with the building trades. I read with profound interest of your recent 55th Convention. I must say that I especially noted your policy statement, which I want to quote.

"We favor the acceleration and expansion of the apprenticeship outreach programs which have proved successful in actual operation."

"We make the flat and unqualified recommendation to local unions throughout the United States that for a stated period of time they should invite the application of qualified minority journeymen for membership in their respective local unions and should accept all such qualified minority journeymen provided they meet the ordinary and equally administered requirements for membership."

I note further a final quote. "We also recommend that local unions and local councils explore and vigorously pursue training programs for the upgrading of minority workers who are not of apprenticeship age."

My friends, I believe that to be a statement of importance and a statement on which we can base profound progress. But one of the problems is that black people have had 350 years of statements where often things did not really happen. Therefore, today many people are the victims of that unfortunate history, though their hearts are totally in the right place. We also know that in the past there has been reluctance on the part of some local unions to comply with such policy statements. This has happened not only in the building trades but throughout that there has been foot-dragging and evasion, and there has been also some implementation. There must now, if our alliance is to remain firm and beautiful, be forthright action. There must be visible results, and now, for policy statements are never read in the ghetto and they cannot close the credibility gap. Action, and action alone, will.

I believe the time has come when such action will be taken. I believe that there will be translation of these words into deeds. I know that for many unions there will be serious obstacles in the face of shortages, but I sincerely believe that you have the leadership, the power, the commitment, and the belief in democracy to overcome whatever those problems are.

I believe this, my good friends, because I know that you have already made progress, in cooperation with the apprenticeship outreach programs of the Urban League, the Worker's Defense League and the A. Philip Randolph Education Fund, and of other groups.

I know that in many cities the building trades themselves have taken the initiative to initiate such programs.

Now, let me give you a few facts. These programs are now operating
in 52 cities, and since their inception in 1967, they have brought in 3,862 apprentices. The fact that over 1500 were recruited in the first six months of this year alone indicates that the pace of these programs is accelerating. I was most impressed to learn that of all the apprentices enrolled in the first half of 1968, over 9 percent came from minority groups. This is nearly four times the percentage of 1960. This is progress. This is moving in the right direction. As my grandmother used to say to me, "Son, it is not so important how far you get, the real question is, are you headed in the right direction." (Applause).

We know that it is possible to find and train qualified young Negroes and other minority youth to become apprentices. We have done it, and we have done it with the help of the AFL-CIO and the building trades themselves. What we are saying is we need to do a hell of a lot more of it. . . . My friends, it is precisely because we have made such progress, which no one believed possible a few years ago, that we now know, and everybody else knows, we can do more, and we can and we must.


19. THE BLACKS AND THE UNIONS

By Bayard Rustin

Which way will the blacks choose—to fight to eliminate all segregation in the trade unions, or to become pawns in the conservatives' games of bust-the-unions?

One of the main articles of faith in liberal dogma these days is that the interests and objectives of the American trade-union movement are in fundamental conflict with the interests and objectives of Black America. One can hardly pick up any of the major journals of liberal opinion without reading some form of the statement that the white worker has become affluent and conservative and feels his security to be threatened by the demand for racial equality. A corollary of this statement is that it is a primary function of the labor movement to protect the white worker from the encroaching black. Furthermore, the argument runs, since there are no signs that the blacks may be letting up in their struggle for economic betterment, a hostile confrontation between blacks and the unions is not only inevitable but necessary. It may well be that historians of the future, regarding the events of the past five years, will conclude that the major effect of the civic turbulence in this period has been in fact to distract us from the real and pressing social needs of the nation. And perhaps nothing illustrates the point more vividly than the whole question of the relations between blacks and the unions.

This question itself, however, cannot be properly understood except in the larger context of the history of the civil rights movement. Negro protest in the Sixties, if the movement is in its turn to be properly understood, must be divided into two distinct phases. The first phase, which covered something like the first half of the decade, we one in which the movement's clear objective was to destroy the legal foundations of racism in America. Thus the locale of the struggle was the South, the evil to be eliminated was Jim Crow, and the enemy, who had a special talent for arousing moral outrage among even the most reluctant sympathizers with the cause, was the rock-willed segregationist.

Now, one thing about the South more than any other has been obscured in the romantic vision of the region—of ancient evil, of defeat, of enduring rural charm—that has been so much of our literary and intellectual tradition: for the Negro, Southern life had precisely a quality of clarity, a clarity which while oppressive was also supportive. The Southern caste system and folk culture rested upon a clear, albeit unjust, set of legal and institutional relationships which prescribed roles for individuals and established a modicum
of social order. The struggle that was finally mounted against that system was actually fed and strengthened by the social environment from which it emerged. No profound analysis, no overriding social theory was needed in order both to locate and understand the injustices that were to be combated. All that was demanded of one was sufficient courage to demonstrate against them. One looks back upon this period in the civil rights movement with nostalgia.

During the second half of the Sixties, the center of the crisis shifted to the sprawling ghettos of the North. Here black experience was radically different from that in the South. The stability of institutional relationships was largely absent in Northern ghettos, especially among the poor. Over twenty years ago, the black sociologist E. Franklin Frazier was able to see the brutalizing effect of urbanization upon lower-class blacks: "... the bonds of sympathy and community of interests that held their parents together in the rural environment have been unable to withstand the disintegrating forces in the city." Southern blacks migrated north in search of work, seeking to become transformed from a peasantry into a working class. But instead of jobs they found only misery, and far from becoming a proletariat, they came to constitute a Lumpenproletariat, an underclass of rejected people. Frazier's prophetic words resound today with terrifying precision: "... as long as the bankrupt system of Southern agriculture exists, Negro families will continue to seek a living in the towns and cities of the country. They will crowd the slum areas of Southern cities or make their way to Northern cities, where their family life will become disrupted and their poverty will force them to depend upon charity."11

Out of such conditions, social protest was to emerge in a form peculiar to the ghetto, a form which could never have taken root in the South except in such large cities as Atlanta or Houston. The evils in the North are not easy to understand and fight against, or at least not as easy as Jim Crow, and this has given the protest from the ghetto a special edge of frustration. There are few specific injustices, such as a segregated lunch counter, that offer both a clear object of protest and a good chance of victory. Indeed, the problem in the North is not one of social injustice so much as the results of institutional pathology. Each of the various institutions touching the lives of urban blacks --those relating to education, health, employment, housing, and crime--is in need of drastic reform. One might say that the Northern race problem has in good part become simply the problem of the American city—which is gradually becoming a reservation for the unwanted, most of whom are black.

In such a situation, even progress has proved to be a mixed blessing. During the Sixties, for example, Northern blacks as a group have made great economic gains, the result of which being that hundreds of thousands of them were able to move out of the hard-core poverty areas. Meanwhile, however, their departure, while a great boon to those departing, only contributed further to the deterioration of the slums, now being drained of their stable middle and working class. Combined with the large influx of Southern blacks during the same period, this process was leaving the ghetto more and more the precinct of a depressed underclass. To the segregation by race was now added segregation by class, and all of the problems created by segregation and poverty—inequality of income and employment, substandard housing, lack of access to jobs or to job training, narcotics and crime—were greatly aggravated. And again because of segregation, the violence of the black underclass was turned in upon itself. If the problems of the ghetto do not lend themselves to simple analyses or solutions, then, this is because they cannot be solved without mounting a total attack on the inadequacies endemic to, and injustices embedded in, all of our institutions. It is perhaps understandable that young Northern blacks, confronting these problems, have so often provided answers which are really non-answers; which are really dramatic statements satisfying some sense of the need for militancy without even beginning to deal with the basic economic and political problems of the ghetto. Primary among these non-answers is the idea that black progress depends upon a politics of race and revolution. I am referring here not to the recent assertions of black pride—assertions that will be made as long as that pride continues to be undermined by white society—but to the kind of black nationalism which consists in a bitter rejection of American society and vindicates a withdrawal from social struggle into a kind of hermetic racial world where blacks can "do their thing." Nationalists have been dubbed "militants" by the press because they have made their point with such fervent hostility to white society, but the implication of their position
actually amounts to little more than the age-old conservative message that blacks should help themselves—a thing that, by the very definition of the situation, they have not the resources to do.

The same is true of black proposals for revolution. For to engage in revolutionary acts in contemporary America—where, despite a lot of inflammatory rhetoric, there is not even a whisper of a revolutionary situation—not only diverts precious energies away from the political arena where the real battles for change must be fought, but might also precipitate a vicious counterrevolution the chief victims of which will be blacks.

The truth about the situation of the Negro today is that there are powerful forces, composed largely of the corporate elite and Southern conservatives, which will resist any change in the economic or racial structure of this country that might cut into their resources or challenge their status; and such is precisely what any program genuinely geared to improve his lot must do. Moreover, these forces today are not merely resisting change. With their representative Richard Nixon in the White House, they are engaged in an assault on the advances made during the past decade. It has been Nixon's tragic and irresponsible choice to play at the politics of race, not, to be sure, with the primitive demagoguery of a "Pitchfork Ben" Tillman, say, but nevertheless with the same intent of building a political majority on the basis of white hostility to blacks. So far he has been unsuccessful, but the potential for the emergence of such a reactionary majority does exist, especially if the turbulence and racial polarization which we have recently experienced persists.11

What is needed, therefore, is not only a program that would effect some fundamental change in the distribution of America's resources for those in the greatest need of them, but also a political majority that will support such a program. In other words, nothing less than a program truly, not merely verbally, radical in scope would be adequate to meet the present crisis; and nothing less than a politically constituted majority, outnumbering the conservative forces, would be adequate to carry it through. Now, it so happens that there is one social force which, by virtue both of its size and its very nature, is essential to the creation of such a majority—and so in relation to which the success or failure of the black struggle must finally turn. And that is the American trade-union movement.

Addressing the AFL-CIO Convention in 1961, Martin Luther King observed: "Negroes are almost entirely a working people. There are pitifully few Negro millionaires and few Negro employers. Our needs are identical with labor's needs—decent wages, fair working conditions, livable housing, old-age security, health and welfare measures, conditions in which families can grow, have education for their children and respect in the community."

Despite the widely held belief that the blacks and the unions have not the same, but rather irreconcilable, interests—and despite the fact that certain identifiable unions do practice discrimination—King's words remain valid today. Blacks are mostly a working people, they continue to need what labor needs, and they must fight side by side with unions to achieve these things.

Of all the misconceptions about the labor movement that have been so lovingly dwelt on in the liberal press, perhaps none is put forth more often and is farther from the truth than the unions are of and for white people. For one thing, there are, according to labor historian Thomas R. Brooks, between 2,500,000 and 2,750,000 black trade unionists in America. If his figures are correct, and other estimates seem to bear them out, the percentage of blacks in the unions is a good deal higher than the percentage of blacks in the total population—15 per cent as compared with 11 per cent, to be precise. And since the vast majority of black trade unionists are members of integrated unions, one can conclude that the labor movement is the most integrated major institution in American society, certainly more integrated than the corporations, the churches, or the universities.

Moreover, blacks are joining unions in increasing numbers. According to a 1968 report by Business Week, one out of every three new union members is black. The sector of the economy which is currently being most rapidly unionized is that of the service industries, and most particularly among government employees, such as hospital workers, sanitation workers, farm workers, and paraprofessionals in educational and social-welfare institutions. This category of worker is, of course, both largely nonwhite and shamefully underpaid...
Thus, it is clear why unions are important to black workers. What may perhaps seem less obvious and must also be sharply emphasized is that the legislative program of the trade-union movement can go a long way toward satisfying the economic needs of the larger black community. The racial crisis, as we have seen, is not an isolated problem that lends itself to redress by a protesting minority. Being rooted in the very social and economic structure of the society, it can be solved only by a comprehensive program that gets to the heart of why we can’t build adequate housing for everybody, why we must always have a “tolerable” level of unemployment, or why we lack enough funds for education. In this sense the racial crisis challenges the entire society’s capacity to redirect its resources on the basis of human need rather than profit. Blacks can pose this challenge, but only the federal government has the power and the money to meet it. And it is here that the trade-union movement can play such an important role.

The problems of the most aggrieved sector of the black ghetto cannot and will never be solved without full employment, and full employment, with the government as employer of last resort, is the keystone of labor’s program. One searches in vain among the many so-called friends of the black struggle for a seconding voice to this simple yet far-reaching proposition. Some call it inflationary, while to others, who are caught up in the excitement of the black cultural revolution, it is pedestrian and irrelevant. But in terms of the economic condition of the black community, nothing more radical has yet been proposed. There is simply no other way for the black Lumpenproletariat to become a proletariat. And full employment is only one part of labor’s program. The movement’s proposals in the areas of health, housing, education, and environment would, if enacted, achieve nothing less than the transformation of the quality of our urban life. How ironic that in this period when the trade-union movement is thought to be conservative, its social and economic policies are far and away more progressive than those of any other major American institution. Nor—again in contrast to most of the other groups officially concerned with these things—is labor’s program merely in the nature of a grand proposal; there is also an actual record of performance, particularly in the area of civil rights. Clarence Mitchell, the director of the Washington Bureau of the NAACP and legislative chairman of the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights, a man more deeply involved in Congressional civil rights battles than any other black in America, has said, "None of the legislative fights we have made in the field of civil rights could have been won without the trade-union movement. We couldn’t have beaten Haynsworth without labor, and the struggle against Carswell would not have been a contest."

Labor’s interest in progressive social legislation naturally leads it into the political arena. The Committee on Political Education of the AFL-CIO, the Political Action Committee of the UAW, and the political arm of the Teamsters were active in every state in the last election registering and educating voters and getting out the vote. This year trade unionists were more politically active than they have ever been during any off-year election. The reason for this is clear. With so many liberal Senators up for reelection, and with political alignments in great flux, 1970 presented itself as a year that would initiate a new period in American politics—a period which would see the regrouping of liberal forces or the consolidation of a conservative majority.

One of the important factors determining the kind of political alignments that will emerge from this period of instability will be the relationship between the trade-union movement and the liberal community, and today this relationship is severely strained. Differences over the war in Vietnam are frequently cited as a major cause of this division, but there has been a great deal of misunderstanding on this issue. The house of labor itself is divided over the war, and even those labor leaders who support it have enthusiastically backed dove Congressional candidates who have liberal domestic records, among them such firm opponents of the war as Mike Mansfield, Edward Kennedy, Vance Hartke, Philip Hart, Howard Metzenbaum, and Edmund Muskie. A better understanding of the trade-union movement by liberals may be developing, but for the present the antagonistic attitudes that exist cast an ideological pall over the chances for uniting the democratic Left coalition. It must be said that the vehement contempt with which the liberals have come to attack the unions bespeaks something more than a mere political critique of
"conservatism." When A. H. Raskin writes that "the typical worker—from construction craftsman to shoe clerk—has become probably the most reactionary political force in the country"; or when Anthony Lewis lumps under the same category the rich oilmen and "the members of powerful, monopolistic labor unions"; or when Murray Kempton writes that "the AFL-CIO has lived happily in a society which, more lavishly than any in history, has managed the care and feeding of incompetent white people," and adds, "Who better represents that ideal than George Wallace"; or when many other liberals casually toss around the phrase "labor-fascists," one cannot but inevitably conclude that one is in the presence not of political opposition but of a certain class hatred. This hatred is not necessarily one based on conflicting class interests—though they may play a role here—but rather a hatred of the elite for the "mass." And this hatred is multiplied a thousandfold by the fact that we live in a democratic society in which the coarse multitude can outvote the elite and make decisions which may be contrary to the wishes and values, perhaps even the interests and the prejudices, of those who are better off.

It is difficult not to conclude that many liberals and radicals use subjective, rather than objective, criteria in judging the character of a social force. A progressive force, in their view, is one that is alienated from the dominant values of the culture, not one which contributes to greater social equality and distributive justice. Thus today the trade-union movement has been relegated to reactionary status, even though it is actually more progressive than at any time in its history—if by progressive we mean a commitment to broad, long-term social reform in addition to the immediate objectives of improving wages and working conditions. At the same time, the most impoverished social group, that substratum which Herbert Marcuse longingly calls "the outcasts and the outsiders," has been made the new vanguard of social programs. And it is here that liberals and New Leftists come together in their proposal for a new coalition "of the rich, educated, and dedicated with the poor," as Eric F. Godlman has admiringly described it, or in Walter Laqueur's more caustic phraseology, "between the Lumpenproletariat and the Lumpenintelligentsia."

This political approach, known among liberals as New Politics and among radicals as New Leftism, denotes a certain convergence of the Left and the Right, if not in philosophy and intent, then at least in practical effect. I am not referring simply to the elitism which the intellectual Left shares with the economic Right, but also to their symbolic political relationship. Many of the sophisticated right-wing attacks on labor are frequently couched in left-wing rhetoric. Conservative claims that unions are anti-black, are responsible for inflation, and constitute minorities which threaten and intimidate the majority reverberate in the liberal community and are shaping public opinion to accept a crackdown on the trade-union movement.

While many adherents of the New Politics are outraged by Nixon's Southern strategy, their own strategy is simply the obverse of his. The potential for a Republican majority depends upon Nixon's success in attracting into the conservative fold lower-middle-class whites, the same group that the New Politics has written off. The question is not whether this group is conservative or liberal, for it is both, and how it acts will depend upon the way the issues are defined. If they are defined as race and dissent, then Nixon will win. But if, on the other hand, they are defined so as to appeal to the progressive economic interests of the lower middle class, then it becomes possible to build an alliance on the basis of common interest between this group and the black community. The importance of the trade-union movement is that it embodies this common interest. This was proved most clearly in 1968 when labor mounted a massive educational campaign which reduced the Wallace supporters among its membership to a tiny minority. And the trade-union movement remains today the greatest obstacle to the success of Nixon's strategy.

The prominent racial and ethnic loyalties that divide American society have, together with our democratic creed, obscured a fundamental reality—that we are a class society and, though we do not often talk about such things, that we are engaged in a class struggle . . . and its outcome will determine whether we will have a greater or lesser degree of economic and social equality in this country.

New York—The AFL-CIO honored veteran civil rights leader Bayard Rustin with its highest honor, the Murray-Green-Meany Award, for his years of struggle to end racial discrimination and oppression the world over.

The award, named for pre-merger presidents Philip Murray of the CIO and William Green of the AFL and for George Meany who presided over the merged organization for 25 years until his death in January, was presented at a dinner here in conjunction with the 20th national AFL-CIO Community Services Conference. Rustin is best known as the organizer of the massive 1963 March on Washington, which drew over a quarter-million Americans to the nation's capital to press for adoption of the landmark 1964 Civil Rights Act. He was a close associate of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. But, as AFL-CIO Vice President Peter Bommarito noted in his presentation address, Rustin's cause has not been the cause of blacks alone. Rustin led the first Freedom Rides in the late 1940s, incurring a 30-day sentence on a North Carolina chain gang, Bommarito observed. As a result of that experience, he wrote an expose for a New York newspaper, which led ultimately to abolition of that practice.

"This was not a political achievement but a humanitarian one," said Bommarito. During World War I, Rustin went to California to help Japanese-Americans who had been interned because of the color of their skin and their ethnic heritage. He also found time to help hospital workers, teachers, and sanitation workers build unions, to work with Mahatma Gandhi in India, to rally support for the democratic state of Israel, and to travel throughout Africa in behalf of independence movements there.

More recently, Rustin went to Indochina to draw Americans' attention to the plight of the Boat People and the thousands of starving Cambodians. Only two weeks ago, he returned from Stockholm, where he participated in an international tribunal to focus attention on the continuing imprisonment and persecution of Soviet dissident Anatoly Scharansky.

"But lest you think that Bayard is a mere gadabout who hops from one problem to another, bear in mind that he also created a durable organization that is very close to the heart of the labor movement—the A. Philip Randolph Institute, which has opened a new two-way channel of communication between the black community and the trade union movement," Bommarito told the 300 dinner guests. Rustin is chairman of the institute.

AFL-CIO President Lane Kirkland praised Rustin's "extraordinary organizing talents and ability to articulate the aspirations of black Americans," and pointed out that he was among the first black leaders to recognize that the gains of the civil rights revolution could not be secured unless they were rooted in economic progress for the black masses.

"Along with A. Philip Randolph, he argued that such progress could only be made through a movement dedicated to full employment at decent wages for all Americans," Kirkland observed. "And at the heart of such a movement, he repeatedly insisted, were the trade unions of America. He was right then. He is still right."

A moving tribute to Rustin came from actress Liv Ullmann, who joined him and a dozen other prominent persons in Indochina in February in a march to draw world attention to the plight of the Cambodian refugees.

"Being with you in Thailand, visiting the refugees from Cambodia, left me with images of your wonderful laughter, your deep and sincere commitment to the homeless, your striding as if you were the freest man in the world, the warmth of your handshake, your fight against injustice, your compassion and commitment, and your happiness to be alive," she said, "always looking like you lived comfortably within yourself with such pride and, as we all know, at the same time, far, far outside yourself."

In accepting the award, Rustin paid tribute to Randolph, who died a year ago, and to Meany. "Whatever I've been able to do has begun with that great and marvelous man, A. Philip Randolph, on whose shoulders I stood eternally," Rustin said of his closest associate during decades of struggle for civil and human rights.
Of Meany, "he believed in me, and this helped me to grow," said Rustin. He also credited Meany for his role in enactment of the 1964 Civil Rights Act. There would not have been a meaningful law if Meany had not prevailed upon President John F. Kennedy to put an effective enforcement provision into the statute, Rustin told the gathering.

Rustin had some sobering comments on the recent racial rioting in Miami as well as the influx of refugees into the U.S. from Cuba and Haiti. "It has been an agony for me to learn of blacks and whites killing each other in Miami," he said. "If we do not deal with our problems at home, sooner or later the President and the Congress will be crippled in helping those who suffer abroad."

As for the debate over whether to let Cubans and Haitians find asylum in the United States, he observed: "If America is ever so cruel as not to allow these people in, she will never be able to deal with those in the black community."


**UNITED STEELWORKERS OF AMERICA**

**21. STEELWORKERS FIGHT DISCRIMINATION**

*By David J. McDonald*  
*President, United Steelworkers of America*

Men can work together and they can live together. This is the creed of the United Steelworkers of America. We believe in civil rights on the job and off the job. We know that life and work are synonymous and that we cannot afford the atomization of our people that inevitably accompanies any denial of civil rights. Ours is not a Sunday or holiday creed. Throughout the year we work to make a reality of our great American ideal of equality for all.

We know that men work together because they do so daily in the mines, mills, and plants of the steel industry. We are confident that men can live together in harmony and on equal terms outside the work-place, as well as inside.

We know that there are problems—that bigotry and suspicion will not of themselves break down and evaporate. Nevertheless, there is no room in our democracy for ghettos of any kind—racial or religious. We call upon all segments of American life—labor, management, churches, civic groups, government—to unite in a common and continuing effort to eliminate such ghettos and the hurt they bring to millions of our citizens. The United Steelworkers of America pledges full cooperation with all who will work with good will in this effort without regard for any differences of view on other aspects of our national life.
In the Plant

Some time ago I visited a big steel plant. In one area, I noted a crane operator manipulating a ladle of molten metal at the end of his crane-hook. As the metal streamed from the ladle it was poured by hand into pigs by a brawny white worker. Beside this worker there stood a husky Negro youth whose job it was to scrape the scum from the surface of the metal as it was being poured. Nearby, another Negro worker was repairing some defective equipment.

As the ladle on the crane-hook gradually emptied of metal, it became necessary to manipulate it manually. Without a word from anyone, the Negro repair worker brought over a two-by-four which he placed in the loop at the end of the ladle handle. The white man and his colored helper, both of whom were stripped to the waist, pulled hard on the ladle to get out all the molten metal.

Finally, the Negro repairman left his work to lend a hand. The white crane operator also came down. It was a hot operation, made even hotter by the heat of the molten metal. Together, they accomplished the job. All the metal was poured into pigs.

There was no attempt to enforce segregation on this job. No foreman rushed over to order the men to separate nor did any mill superintendent order all operations halted. Industry has not built segregated production facilities because they would be entirely uneconomic—it just wouldn't make good sense. If segregation doesn't make good sense on the job, it doesn't make good sense anywhere.

Obviously, the Negroes, the Puerto Ricans, and other minority groups have been and are today discriminated against in employment opportunities and at the job level. We have sought earnestly to eliminate this discrimination in our industry. We have been quite successful. I do not mean by this that our success is total or that we have no remaining problems. The important thing is that we recognize our problems, and we are working actively to overcome any difficulties that we may find.

In a Southern plant some time ago, such a difficulty was called to the attention of the international union. This plant employed 5,100 white workers and 900 non-whites. There were dual lines of promotion and seniority. This resulted in the by-passing of longer service workers in promotions and in the retention of both whites and non-whites of lesser seniority on the job during layoffs while longer service workers of both groups were laid off.

When we learned of this situation, we sent a representative of our international to meet with the all-white local executive board. After we clearly explained the international's policy, the local board agreed to promotions according to qualifications and seniority as well as to a layoff procedure entirely in accordance with contract provisions.

We then called upon the company to end discriminatory practices. While the company did so, it handled the matter poorly. It took eighteen months of dealing with this company to get the problem finally cleared up. Today, this Southern plant is fully integrated. Initial resentments among the workers have been forgotten. The union is better off and so is the company, since there are fewer grievances on the job and fewer production hitches.

Equal Rights in Union

We built the Steelworkers as an integrated union all the way. So far as we are concerned, all members have equal rights and it has been that way from the start. We do not tolerate Jim Crow locals or Jim Crow meetings. Our international policy has been firmly established by convention after convention.

In 1954, the United Steelworkers met bigotry head on at the key employment level. The three chief officers of our organization directed a letter to our staff members and to every local union calling for full compliance with the law and with union policy in employment practices.

The constitution of the United Steelworkers, we pointed out, dedicates our union to uniting all workers in the industry regardless of "race, creed, color, or nationality"; grants membership on equal terms to all of these workers, and obligates the union "to establish through collective bargaining adequate wage standards, shorter hours of work, and improvements in the conditions of employment for the workers in the industry. . . ."

"Thus this union stands unequivocally opposed to discrimination of any
sort, shape or form. That policy applies specifically to discrimination in employment. This union is flatly opposed to discrimination in hiring, promotion, layoff, or any other term or condition of employment. Any collective bargaining contract which either by its terms or actual operation permits discrimination on account of race, creed, color, or nationality violates the policy of this union.

"It is not only the policy of this union to fight job discrimination because of race, color, creed or nationality; it is its legal duty to do so. The United States Supreme Court, the lower federal courts and the National Labor Relations Board have all held that when a union acts as exclusive bargaining representative of employees in a bargaining unit, it is the union's legal duty to represent every employee in that unit fairly and equally, and in such a manner that no discrimination results because of race, creed, color or nationality."

In the United Steelworkers, no contract, which by its terms or operation permits discrimination against any member, is approved. This is and has been our union policy. Because we have made this clear and because we oppose and fight discriminatory practices, the matter of equality at the job level is settled affirmatively in the United Steelworkers of America.

Equality Is Indivisible

Long ago we learned that the fight for civil rights cannot begin in the morning when a man enters the factory gates and end when he leaves at night. When a union member is deprived of adequate housing because of his color, creed, race, or nationality, his standing as a citizen, a union member, and worker is adversely affected. When equal educational opportunities are denied, the productivity of America is lowered to the detriment of union members and all Americans. When discrimination of any kind occurs in the community, fundamental freedoms are under attack, including the freedom of workers to unite into unions of their own making.

The fight for freedom and equality must proceed simultaneously at all levels of our lives, since freedom itself is indivisible. Long ago, we of the United Steelworkers recognized this—out of our experiences and through the long hard years of building our organization. In 1948, we formed a United Steelworkers National Civil Rights Committee which has been provided with the staff necessary to work at the job throughout the year. At our recent convention, this Committee was able to report that as the result of union policy "many categories of employment heretofore closed to non-whites have opened in the past two years in the steel industry." It noted, nevertheless, that discrimination in employment will finally be eliminated "only with the passage of a Federal Fair Employment Practices Law, with adequate finances and personnel to administer it effectively." Once again, at this convention, the United Steelworkers called for federal legislation to end discrimination on the job and in the community.

Our civil rights program is carried forward in four basic areas—in employment, housing, community, and education. We have sought to build for a human relations or civil rights committee in each local. Such committees are concerned with the civil rights and civil liberties of our members on the job and in the community. While they do not function perfectly, they have proven to be of tremendous value in our work. We coordinate the work of these committees through our districts, through our National Civil Rights Committee, and through our union educational work.

In the Community

Our national Civil Rights Committee is represented on the executive or advisory boards of national and local agencies devoted to the fight for civil rights and civil liberties, since we recognize the value of working with other groups in this key area. In the City of Pittsburgh, for example, we are one of 15 participating organizations in the Mayor's Commission on Human Relations and we have representation on the Commission's Fair Employment Practices Committee, which is concerned with enforcement of Pittsburgh's local fair employment practices ordinance.

In Pittsburgh, we joined with the public school system, the Carnegie Museum, and the Commission on Human Relations in sponsoring a booklet called, "We Humans." This booklet deals with the races of man. It is used widely in the junior and
senior high schools. In Los Angeles, we are now working with the school board in an effort to establish a similar project.

A slum clearance project in the Bunker Hill area of Los Angeles will necessitate the relocation of 16,000 low income families, many of whom are non-whites. The Civil Rights Committee of our union is now working with housing authorities to obtain resettlement of these families without discrimination because of race, color, creed or national origin.

So that there may be greater understanding among our members, our union sponsors human relations institutes and seminars, both as part of our regular education program and as part of our work in civil rights and civil liberties. We have worked with university experts in this field to learn how we can better work with each other to promote greater understanding. We have developed and circulated widely within the union and in the community literature combating discrimination.

When a trouble spot develops, we act. Where a situation requires cooperation with other unions, we seek that cooperation. Our district directors have unequivocally condemned mob action against integration in their areas and we have combated bigotry in such areas by following up fast with meetings and conferences aimed at the maintenance of good race relations.

The United Steelworkers is doing its part in the battle against discrimination. The AFL-CIO and its unions are bearing their share of the load. Many religious and civic groups are also in the fight and can be depended upon to continue their work at the national and community level until discrimination is wiped out.

Management Has Responsibility

It is time, however, for management and enterprise to take a forthright and positive stand. I call upon the National Association of Manufacturers, the Chamber of Commerce, and their local bodies to move. Their power is great. They know that all discrimination is costly and uneconomic. I ask them to take a stand with us against the appalling waste of human resources that grows from segregation and discrimination.

More, I ask the managements of American corporate enterprise and individual businessmen in our communities to take an affirmative stand. I ask that they show by example that all Americans are equal. I ask that they work with us to end discriminatory practices in the plants and to end racial and religious ghettos in the community.

The plant manager in a smaller community is a very important person whose word often causes local politicians to tremble. But this man, like the unskilled factory worker, is subject to orders from top management.

Top management in steel, textile, furniture, chemical, and all of our great industries usually are men who live in the North. I urge them to begin educational work against discrimination among their local managers, North and South. This is an obligation of industry. It is not labor's role alone and not alone the role of the political parties to uphold the Supreme Court's edict on education. With the practical help of corporate management, school integration could be carried out more effectively and more smoothly everywhere.

I will make management an offer: If top management will agree, the district directors and staff representatives of the United Steelworkers will work with local managements in programs of education at the plants and at the community level. I cannot speak for all labor, but I will do all I can to get all of our unions to go along, and I am quite certain that almost every one will work effectively in putting over such a program. With the help of management, we can enlist community organizations of all kinds in the work—PTA's, veterans' organizations, Kiwanis Clubs, Rotary and many others.

Together, we can eliminate second class citizenship once and for all. All Americans will benefit. In the words of a delegate at our last convention, "the problem can be solved if we only get together and be sensible and reasonable about this thing—much easier than you think if we just make up our minds that we want to get together."

22. USWA'S CIVIL RIGHTS PROGRAM WINS PRAISE

District 15 Delegates Urged to Fully-Integrate Communities

The United Steelworkers of America under the leadership of President David J. McDonald, has done more to solve the problems of civil rights and bring about social justice than any other union in the United States, according to the Rev. Charles Owen Rice, Pittsburgh.

Father Rice, pastor of Immaculate Conception Church, Washington, Pa., addressing the annual conference of District 15, United Steelworkers of America, quoted the late Pope John XXIII regarding the rights of people and their duties.

"Go after your own rights--live up to your full potential," Father Rice urged. "These people (the minority groups) must go after their rights--it is our duty not to interfere," Father rice told the more than 350 delegates attending the conference at Mountain View Hotel, Greensburg.

"We, as members of the United Steelworkers of America, must help them--we must accept them completely in our own communities."

"We must not only be good members of the United Steelworkers of America but also we must be good members at heart, too," Father Rice declared. "If our labor communities are not integrated in every way--our homes, our hearts, what chance has the United States of America?" Father Rice asked. "If you do not believe that the man working next to you is as good as you--then God help America," Father Rice added. "Peace is gone in our country until that problem is settled," he continued.

Director William Hart of USWA District 19, following the theme set by Father Rice, also lauded President McDonald's leadership and said that the United Steelworkers of America has expended more monies on the problems of civil rights than any other union in the United States.

Supporting this claim, he said, is the fact that the United Steelworkers of America has the "ablest Committee on Civil Rights in existence"; that seminars conducted at major universities emphasize the importance of civil rights and that, finally, the entire program of the USWA is aimed at social justice for all people regardless of race or creed or national origin.

Director, Hart, president of the Allegheny County Labor Council, said that, as president, he had established a Civil Rights Committee for the first time in the council's existence.

Attacking the problem of unemployment in Allegheny County, Director Hart cited the fact that the county needs 130,000 new jobs to attain the national employment level.

"There is need," he said, "to engage in warfare to promote the United Steelworkers of America--to change the image that Pittsburgh is a center of labor strife."

He said that news datelines carry the name "Pittsburgh" because so many national contracts are signed in the city and that people elsewhere get an erroneous opinion when they read the agreements have not been reached.

Director Hart also called for all-out support of the County Labor Council's political action program and he cited instances where federal and even state appropriations and contracts are going elsewhere because of the weak support from elected politicians.

Director Paul Hilbert, chairman of the conference program, listed the steady drop in employment in the steel industry in District 15 -- that employment which once was 45,000 had dropped last February to 23,000 -- then bounced back to 31,000 in May. Automation and technological advancement was blamed for a good part of the decline.

In summarizing the USWA program in District 15 during the past 12 months, Director Hilbert launched the Community Services Committee which he called the "silent service" because most of the help arranged by this committee remains secret . . . and recipients of union help are not embarrassed as is so often the case in public relief or charity.

He also praised the blood donor program and the eye care program.

Guest speakers included Roland Sawyer, USWA housing consultant, who outlined the Four Freedoms Inc. program providing low-cost housing for senior retirees and their wives. He said that the USWA's pamphlet -- "Housing
After 60" — had been widely acclaimed by other unions and by housing authorities throughout the nation. He disclosed that, at the present time, the Steelworkers have 107,000 retirees.

Other speakers at the one-day conference included Maurice Schulte, who represented Vice President Howard R. Hague; Director Paul Normile of USWA District 16 and Charles Ford, USWA legislative representative at Harrisburg.

Mr. Schulte, member of the International staff, traced the course of current Human Relations Committee talks and lauded the "wisdom" of President David J. McDonald and other top officers, including Mr. Hague and Secretary-Treasurer I. W. Abel, in anticipating the inroads of automation and technological advancement which had led to widespread replacement of manpower in the mills.117

He cited the 13 weeks extended vacation plan in the can industry and the supplemental unemployment benefits (SUB) program which has helped during long periods of layoffs and is now creating new job opportunities for Steelworkers.

Mr. Ford rapped the leadership of Republican Gov. William Scranton who imposed a five per cent state sales tax—highest in the nation—and cited the fact that 71 per cent of the state revenue now comes from the "bottom" —the little people, so-called. Prior to this, corporations and machinery taxes made up the lion's share of the tax. He rapped the state's antiquated educational system and constitution and declared that "Pennsylvania is fast losing status—it is not up to par with other states."118

The Wage Policy election highlighted the day's festivities with the following elected to represent District 15:

Pete Latin, Local 1407, James Betters, Local 1514, Sigmund Balogh, Local 2227, all basic steel; Francis Scumaci, Continental Can Local 4337; Matt Brulja, National Tube Salaried Local 2316 and Anton Bon, Vesuvius Crucible Local 3730.

Steel Labor (July, 1963).
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This great union is convened at a time when the precious, hard-won rights of black Americans are under massive attack. By implication, this means that labor, too, will soon come under a similar attempt to tear from it, its own bitterly-won advances, for history shows us that America's actions towards its black citizens is a barometer of its eventual actions towards its organized working men and women.

Black people sense today that the Second Reconstruction — that remarkable stride toward equality made in the 1960s that benefited labor and white people no less than black — is on its deathbed, fatally ill from the diseases of reactionary vindictiveness and liberal withdrawal.

Those who would strip from black people the gains of recent years are also
intent on using the labor movement as a pawn in their struggle. The extent to which they have been successful in changing the image of the labor movement from one of progressive activism is readily seen.

Unions, striving to win decent wages and better working conditions for their members are condemned as selfish and affluent. Since when does a factory worker's income of $8,000 or so make him affluent? Since when do attempts to catch up with galloping inflation become "selfish?" And how can the limited power of unions be compared with the massive power of giant corporations?

But those who would split the mutually beneficial alliance of liberals, labor and blacks go beyond their relatively mild attacks on the labor movement to slander the decency of working people and of ethnic groups. Workers are Wallacites, they say. Poles -- or Slavs, or Italians -- it doesn't matter, pick your own sample ethnic group, are anti-black, they claim. Working people and union members are stigmatized as hard-hat bigots. The Archie Bunker-ization of the American working man is a myth fed by the media, by the enemies of both working people and black people, and by those who roll the clock back to the good old days when blacks knew their place and unions weren't recognized.

I believe this new mood of anti-black, anti-labor feeling represents a dangerous threat to labor and to black people. If the labor movement is split away from its natural allies in the civil rights movement it will itself become weaker and more liable to direct attack. And to the degree that the general public is convinced that labor is selfish and bigoted, the labor movement will lose the moral standing and public goodwill it needs to succeed in its aims.

What I have outlined here is not science fiction or futurist fantasy -- it is a clear and present danger that threatens us both. I care profoundly about this situation because I believe that the cause of black Americans would be seriously weakened if the labor movement became less active in the alliance that has benefited us both. And I care profoundly because if the labor movement is significantly weakened, then the black working man, who has joined unions to a greater degree than any other group, is also significantly weakened in his chances for a better life.

I would contend that unless black Americans are brought back to center stage in this nation's affairs, everyone will suffer, but most especially, the union movement and the average working man. The problems of black people have been shunted to the back of the bus, once again ignored and invisible. And all the while our cities are deteriorating and the thrust toward social reform and greater equity is fading.

This can be seen clearly in this election year. The campaign thus far has centered around charges and countercharges, to the exclusion of serious discussion of the issues of importance to black people. Neither candidate has come up with proposals for better housing, for penal reform, for welfare reform. The issue of education is totally ignored, except for the code-word phony issue of "busing." The value of paychecks is shrinking and the rights of black people are systematically eroded and the cities are sinking fast, but none of those basic issues is getting the considered attention they -- and we -- deserve.

An example of a new issue recently injected into the campaign -- one that spells serious trouble for black working people -- is the issue of so-called quotas. Both candidates have declared they are opposed to quotas. It appears too, that because of the President's opposition to quotas, the Philadelphia Plan may be greatly modified to remove numerical and percentage benchmarks for employment of blacks in construction work. Such a step would be a transparent attempt to drive a wedge between black people, who have historically been denied the right to work and to join unions in the construction industry, and the labor movement. Such an attempt dishonors the labor movement by publicly assuming that the handful of unions that practice discrimination in the building trades are representative of the bulk of the labor movement, which is integrated and which opposes discrimination.

Anyone -- from the man with the shovel to the men contending for the White House -- knows that without some sort of effective numerical guidelines, no affirmative action plan can work. Already there are self-satisfied statements coming from people in the construction industry about how the removal of firm guidelines will mean they won't have to integrate their union or their work force. An end to the Philadelphia Plan spells an end to the only moderately effective effort to open job opportunities for black workers, but it also
spells the end of the very many voluntary hometown plans jointly formulated by
the civil rights movement, government and the building trades locals.

Let us not forget that this country has always had negative quotas against
black people who were barred from jobs, schools and homes. Black people today
seek no special treatment as special Americans, but assurances that we will not
receive the special treatment we've received throughout the history of this
nation. For blacks have been special Americans -- singled out for discrimina-
tion and oppression in the past and today singled out for the special treatment
of isolation and withdrawal. By labelling goals and guidelines as quotas and
by refusing to admit the realities of the necessity of federal enforcement of
civil rights laws, the nation once again threatens black people with enforced
poverty and inequality of job opportunity.

The real obscenity here is that groups have been set squabbling amongst
each other for the scraps from the table of the affluent society; that there
are not enough jobs and employment opportunities to go around in this, the
richest nation in the world's history, and that political expediency is being
allowed to obscure the driving need for black equality in our economy.

The quota issues has come to prominence at the same time that we have
seen the emergence of what might be called "The New Minorities" -- groups of
Americans who, most of them for the first time, have forged a self-consciousness
and a realization that they too, have not fully shared in America's bounty.
Among these "new minorities" are various ethnic groups, women, students, and
others.

In general, I believe this is a healthy development. It has resulted in a
creative ferment that stresses the true pluralism of our country and rejects
the melting pot myth that deprived millions of people of their culture and
heritage. If there is a negative side to this development however, it is in
the way the just demands of various groups for equality of results tends to
obscure the priorities necessary to enlightened social reform. And those
priorities must be those that will reverse the basic racism that deprives the
largest and most impoverished minority -- black Americans -- from a rightful
share of the country they helped build.

The new minorities arose only after the civil rights movement matured and
showed the way. Their rhetoric, tactics and strategies are direct copies of
those used by the civil rights movement. Their very existence is an indication
of the positive moral effect the black movement has had on the rest of the
nation. But it is just clear that the establishment is striving to accommodate
the needs of the new minorities at the expense of blacks. Instead of baking
a bigger pie and giving everyone decent portions, they're slicing the same old
pie of economic opportunities thinner.

I believe that unless blacks return to the spotlight of social change in
America; unless activists and reformers recognize the basic truism that as
blacks go, so goes the nation, all of us will lose out. The issues the black
people are raising and the aims of the civil rights movement are issues and
aims that will benefit all. The tactic of settling the claims of the new
minorities to counter the aspirations of black people is a tactic designed to
drive another nail in the coffin of the Second Reconstruction.

White Americans, and especially the working people, have a lot to lose if
the Second Reconstruction is allowed to die an ignoble death. If we look at
some of the issues of importance to black people, we see that if we lose, white
working people join us in defeat.

The current vicious welfare measure under consideration, for example, will
affect more white people than blacks. Black people are disproportionately poor,
but two-thirds of all poor people are white and a true reform of the welfare
system that corrects the inequities of the economic system will benefit every-
body.

If the civil rights laws now on the books were adequately enforced, black
Americans would have their fair share of the rewards and responsibilities of
American life, and that could only result in an expanded economy that helps
white people as well as black. It also would result in an America in which
there is domestic peace and mutual concern for justice, a nation in which the
resources now wasted in keeping the lid on black people can be diverted to the
benefit of all.

The Supreme Court's about-face from the landmark decision of the Warren
Court affect us both. On the very day that the court split for the first time
on a civil rights case involving school desegregation, it also handed down a decision sharply limiting labor's rights to communicate with the public and with prospective union members. Once the Court places property rights above human rights, we both -- labor and the black man -- get it in the neck.

This goes too, for political liberals who are compromising on the just claims and rights of black people. Historically, we have seen that black people are but the first to be deserted. Union-busting traditionally follows retreat from principles. Today it is the black man's rights that are being compromised. But I can promise you that the next steps will see the revival of right-to-work laws, curbs on strikes, and other anti-labor measures. If there is any doubt on that score, just look at who was the target of the economic freeze and the policies of Phase Two.

The suburban housing freeze that shuts out low and moderate income families is something that hits white workers as well as blacks. Many communities think their zoning laws will keep them lily-white, but those same local restrictions in many instances make it impossible for working people of all colors to live in the towns in which they work. The labor movement has been alerted to the dangers of international companies relocating manufacturing plants in foreign countries; it has still to become fully aware of the need to protect its members from losing their jobs or from having to commute four or five hours a day because of relocation to sites that don't have adequate housing opportunities for families that earn average or below-average incomes.

And the busing issue is one that has been used to divert many working people from the real issues of concern to them. The use of busing is only one of many ways in which quality education can be achieved through ending the illegal and unconstitutional system of segregated schools. Many white Americans have been diverted from their true interest in economic gains and in a more equal society through emotional and irrational appeals to their latent prejudice. The right to equal educational opportunities is a right sanctioned by our Constitution, and if black people's rights to desegregated schooling are compromised, then white people's rights to organize into labor unions and to enjoy other Constitutional guarantees will be compromised.

On nearly all points of the economic and social compass, our mutual interests converge. A full-employment economy with meaningful jobs for everyone who wants to work must be the cornerstone both of the labor movement's desire for jobs and the black community's need for economic empowerment. A total restructuring of our health, welfare, and housing system is on both of our agendas. In a multitude of areas, we both know that our mutual dependence is our mutual strength; that together we will overcome, divided we will succumb to our common enemies -- the enemies of the working man and the enemies of the black man.

It sometimes seems as if the tide against us is irresistible, but we should remember that often what seems irresistible is only the unresisted. I am here today to ask you to join us in resisting those who would weaken us both.

Black people have been excluded from the fruits of this society for four hundred years, and in a thousand ways, white people have been held down because of our exclusion. Black demands for inclusion in our society and white worker's demands for security and peaceful progress are identical. Together we can build a new, better society; together we can create an America that is in tune with its ideals.

Black Americans have not yet renounced America, for all the hatred and deprivation that have been lavished upon us. It is increasingly clear that to the extent that there is among the citizenry hope and faith in the American ideals, they are held by those who have suffered most and benefited least.

Black people, for all our righteous anger and forceful dissent, still believe in the American dream. We believe today as we once believed in the dungeons of slavery; as we once believed in the struggles of Reconstruction, and as we held our faith through the dismal days of separation and segregation.

Black people have a claim on this land. We have fought in its every war -- even in segregated armies -- and are dying today in disproportionate numbers in Vietnam. Our sweat and blood have created its wealth, as our faith and our hope have given it a soul. This nation must find within its innermost being the understanding that our needs, our dreams, and our sacrifices must be shared and that together, black and white, we can build a society that is humane, that is civilized, that is a true community in every sense of the word.
Many years ago, Samuel Gompers was asked: "What does Labor want?" "What does Labor want?", he replied, "We want more school houses and less jails; more books and less arsenals; more learning and less vice; more leisure and less greed; more justice and less revenge; in fact, more of the opportunities to cultivate our better natures, to make manhood more noble, womanhood more beautiful, and childhood more happy and bright."

And that, my brothers and sisters of this great American labor union, is what black people want!


24. HISTORY OF THE UNITED STEELWORKERS OF AMERICA: STEEL UNION BUTTRESSES RACISM

By Staughton Lynd

I. W. Abel, the present president of the Steelworkers union, defeated David McDonald in 1965 partly because he was supported by black members of the union. Once elected Abel would not even grant black spokesmen an interview.

The incident was part of an historical pattern: first, of management deliberately setting blacks, Latins and whites against one another; second, of white union politicians making election-time promises to members of minority groups which they forget after election day.

Blacks and Latins entered the steel industry in large numbers during and just after World War I. Previously the industry had recruited its workmen from American whites and from Eastern European immigrants. As one supervisor put it, the two groups were combined in a "judicious mixture" to prevent effective group action.

The outbreak of World War I stopped immigration from Europe. Blacks from the American South, and later Mexicans, were solicited in their place. By the mid-1920s blacks and Latins together made up about 25 percent of the steel labor force.

The steel companies fostered race hatred among these new workers just as they had among the old. The city of Gary, Ind., is an example.

When U.S. Steel laid out the city of Gary in the early 1900s, it build homes only for its skilled, white, American-born workers. Unskilled laborers, both Eastern European and blacks, had to fend for themselves. There was a severe housing shortage for all poor people. As a result, they lived not only crowded but also very mixed. White and black rented rooms from each other in the same houses. One early resident recalls:

"If you had a house with an apartment on the street and an apartment in the back, in a lot of cases you and your family took the apartment in the back and rented the front out to black people, because the front apartment paid a little bit more. During the hot weather you and your tenants and their family would share in the front porch and the front yard."

Blacks Stayed Below

In this early period, immigrants and blacks had pretty much the same jobs. Later the immigrants moved up the ladder and the blacks were held in the hardest, dirtiest jobs. After World War I the immigrant population of Gary slowly began to move out of the poor area. U.S. Steel's man on the Realty Board did everything he could to discourage residential integration.

The steel strike of 1919 hastened the emerging racial antagonism. Blacks had little reason to support the strike because 20 of the 24 craft unions involved excluded blacks. Whites were offended when the company imported Mexicans and 30-40,000 blacks as strikebreakers to Gary, it is remembered:

"The mills brought black people in here from deep parts of the South in box cars. They promised the black workers promotions and good jobs. Some of
them did work on some of the jobs, until the strike was broken, and then they went back to work at the end of the line."

The incident made use of by the Gary authorities to bring in federal troops to crush the strike began when white workers tried to pull a black scab off a streetcar carrying him to the mill. The CIO organizing drive in the 1930s overcame to some extent the division among blacks, Latins and whites. A black worker says:

"Black workers rushed in in large numbers because they were like drowning men. The white workers kind of hung out. Some of the white workers said, 'Well, I don't want to be part of something that is going to give a black worker as much right as I have.' But all people don't think alike no matter what race they are. If you are going to elect some officers, and some folks are active, that's who you are going to elect. The first election in the Tin Mill we had a black worker for recording secretary. We had a black worker vice president. We had a black worker grievance committeeman. The foreman called a meeting one day of all the white workers. His remarks were, 'Men, the union might be all right. But why in the hell do you have to have a Negro to represent you?' One of those men spoke up and said, 'You might call him a Negro but we call him a brother union member and we are sure that we trust him in all that he has done.'"

After the union was organized, according to this man, "blacks and whites alternated at jobs so that everyone could take a coffee break, pitched in for anyone who didn't have lunch money, played softball together at Roosevelt Park and brought their families."

Racist Union

The spirit of brotherhood evident during the organizing drive has faded, along with the democracy and militancy of that period. The international union itself is demonstrably racist. Today blacks are about a third of the Steelworkers' membership and in some plants they are a majority. Yet there has never been a black member of the international union executive board. The same is true of the union's appointed personnel.

According to the Ad Hoc Committee of Concerned Steelworkers, a caucus of black steelworkers formed in 1964:

"Of more than 1000 employes of the international (in 1968), less than 100 are Negroes. Of 14 departments of the international, only two have Negro personnel. One of these two departments is the Civil Rights Department (obviously). Blacks were in the forefront during the formation of this union 25 years ago. Through the acceptance of crumbs down through the years instead of our just deserts, we now find ourselves hindmost."

More significant than the exclusion of blacks from leadership positions in the union is their concentration in dirty, unhealthy, poor-paying jobs in the mills. True, blacks now work in areas of the mills from which they were previously barred. But departments like the coke plant, sintering plant and blast furnace continue to be all-black in most mills and the black worker's ability to move into better jobs continues to be less than the white's.

A study made in Youngstown, Ohio, in 1964 concluded that "given the same seniority and education, the white employee's chances for advancement are substantially greater than are the Negro's and that is true at all levels of seniority, at all levels of education, and at all job levels." A study of black employment in the basic steel industry of Pittsburgh made for the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission in 1968 observed that "Negroes comprise 12.27 percent of the laborers, 12.93 percent of the service workers and 10.86 of the semi-skilled operatives, but only 3.21 percent of the craftsmen."

The union has a direct responsibility for this situation because of its failure to insist on plant-wide, rather than departmental seniority. Placed in traditionally all-black departments by the hiring practices of the companies, black workers hesitate to try to transfer to other departments because they must give up their accumulated seniority in (say) the coke plant and start all over again.

Also, in every economic recession blacks who had found their way into a previously all-white department tend to be squeezed out. A white worker in the open hearth in a mill in Youngstown, Ohio, who has worked for years to integrate his department, puts it this way:

"Our department was desegregated and blacks moved into all the jobs. I
didn't hear any complaints at all. But now, with the recession again, it is an all-white department for all practical purposes. People are getting bumped according to seniority. How does a black person break through and become a machinist when the youngest machinist has 30 years in the plant?"

Discrimination in job assignment can literally be a death sentence. It has recently been established that a person who works on top of the coke ovens for more than five years is 10 times more likely to get lung cancer than are other steelworkers. Coke oven workers are almost all black.


25.
NATIONAL AD HOC COMMITTEE OF CONCERNED STEELWORKERS ANNUAL MEETING, 1972

BLACK STAFF ON PAYROLL OF UNITED STEELWORKERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BEFORE AD HOC</th>
<th>AFTER AD HOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DISTRICT DIRECTOR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASST. DISTRICT DIRECTOR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERNATIONAL REPRESENTATIVE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT HEAD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASST. DEPARTMENT HEAD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAFF REPRESENTATIVE ASSIGNED TO PITTSBURGH</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUB-DISTRICT DIRECTOR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEY STAFF REPRESENTATIVES</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAFF REPRESENTATIVES</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The National Ad Hoc Committee has been instrumental in increasing the number of international representatives, the number of sub-district directors, and the number of black staff representatives in the steelworkers union.

The National Ad Hoc Committee has also been a contributing factor in the promoting of five (5) black staff representatives to the position of key staff.

Through the efforts of the National Ad Hoc Committee the Civil Rights Department has been reorganized with a black man as the Director. There is also a black Assistant Director of the Contract Administration Department.

Out of twenty-eight (28) departments in the Pittsburgh office, ten (10) now have black staff representatives as members.

There are still eighteen (18) departments that need integrating. In the steelworkers union, we still do not have a black person on the executive board of this union.

The purpose and intent of the National Ad Hoc Committee will remain the same until the United Steelworkers has been completely integrated:

OUR PURPOSE AND INTENT is to secure our rightful share of all the benefits our Union has to offer including employment in all levels. This Committee has embarked on a Three Point Program to achieve this goal.

1. Negroes on the Executive Board.

2. Full integration on all levels within the various districts and National offices as department heads and policy makers.

3. Reorganization of the Civil Rights Department has been dealt with.

Prior to 1964 a National Ad Hoc Committee was a dream; something hoped and longed for. This dream was cherished in the heart of many black steelworkers.
These brothers had met in convention after convention trying to unfold their dream in reality. At the 13th Constitutional Convention of the United Steelworkers in Atlantic City, New Jersey, these brothers joined themselves together and molded their dream into three demands. These demands were presented in both candidates aspiring for the office of President of the International Union.

1. There must be blacks on the Executive Board.
2. There must be blacks in every Department.
3. The Civil Rights Department must be integrated with a Black Director.

I am happy to have been one of the founders of this great committee.

This national committee has organized local branches in eleven (11) States: Gary, Ind.; Chicago, Illinois; Baltimore, Maryland; Birmingham, Alabama; Cleveland, Ohio; Youngstown, Ohio; Detroit, Michigan; Philadelphia, Pa.; Pittsburgh, Penn.; Houston, Texas; Los Angeles, California.

This brochure will give a report of the progress made in those eight (8) years. Many Ad Hocers have moved up into the International Union as International Representatives, technicians, sub-district directors, assistants to department heads.

I have had the privilege to serve two (2) years as Secretary-Treasurer and four (4) years as National Chairman, during these years; I have had the pleasure of visiting every branch.

In this 16th Annual Convention, my term of office will expire. The guidelines prohibit a national officer from succeeding themselves more than once. Coupled with the fact that 1972 makes it possible for me to retire from physical labor, under our labor agreement; this I have taken advantage of.

I appreciate the cooperation given me by the various branches.

I hope that the Ad Hoc Committee will carry on until every vestige of segregation and discrimination has been eliminated.

Fraternally yours,

Rayfield Mooty, Chairman
National Ad Hoc Committee of Concerned Steelworkers

After Abel's election as International President, the Committee tried for four years without success to meet with him. Finally, convinced that it was time to use labor's strongest weapon against labor leaders, black steelworkers confronted Mr. Abel with a picket line at the 14th Constitutional Convention in Chicago's International Amphitheater in 1968.

After three days of demonstration and publicity Mr. Abel was forced to meet with the Committee and discuss the three point program. Some progress had been made. The Civil Rights Department had been reorganized and some departments had been integrated (tokenly). But there was still no black department heads, the number of black employees was less than 100 of a total of about 1200, and the Executive Board was still all-white.

Mr. Abel promised that the Board was planning to support Mr. Leander Simms for District Director. The demonstration was called off. The Committee made an all-out effort to help Mr. Simms in District 8. But this was a handicapped race: everyone knows that you can't start in September to run for District Director the next February. Mr. Simms lost the election. Mr. Abel won. He has never met with the Committee since.

THE AD HOC COMMITTEE OF CONCERNED STEELWORKERS ALSO JOINS OTHER RANK-AND-FILE STEELWORKERS IN DEMANDING;

1. No Neutrality in the Presidential Election! The membership cannot afford to stand in the middle of the road while vital decisions are being made. The rank and file is accustomed to look to the International Union for leadership in national politics. If that leadership is not forthcoming, the rank and file will act on its own.
2. **Eliminate the Productivity Clause From the Contract!** The union was started in the first place mainly to keep the company from defining jobs and arranging work schedules as it pleased, eliminating jobs any time it wanted, and generally pushing people around on the job. In the last contract the union agreed to help the company do these things. It takes all the strength out of the union when local presidents and grievance committeemen are required to help the company do its dirty work.

3. Amend the Constitution of the USWA to provide for a referendum ballot of the membership on all contracts.

4. Seek immediate inclusion of our contracts of a clause which gives our members the right to strike for reasons of health and safety, against speed-up, racial and sex discrimination, and company violation of the contract after a 30-day waiting period.

5. Adopt as the top priority goal of this union the fight for the 6-hour day, 30-hour week at 40 hours pay to make room for a FOURTH six hour shift in the 24-hour day, thereby increasing employment to the potential of 25% and returning part of the benefits of new technology to the working people.

6. Provide that pension funds be invested in loans to union members at the same rate of interest given to large borrowers, that portable pensions be instituted so that total time in the steel industry is the basis for retirement pensions, and that the pension funds give full disclosure of all investments.

7. Provide a full quarterly disclosure of the membership in each company of the current status of the SUB funds, that all interest accrued from such funds be plowed back into the fund, that all workers be included in the fund from the first day on the job, and that senior workers shall have the option to take a layoff instead of younger workers.

8. **Repeal the Wage Freeze.**
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practices. White as well as Black and Latin steelworkers have been a part of these struggles but Blacks—as they have since the struggles against chattel slavery—have played a leading and decisive role in those struggles.

The 19th Constitutional Convention of the United Steel Workers of America is an important event, not only for steelworkers but for workers everywhere. One of the biggest challenges before the Convention will be the struggle to unite the steelworkers union in preparation for the sharp economic and legislative battles that lie ahead. This, in turn, requires a new level of unity between Black, Latin and white steelworkers—and a sharper struggle against racism within the steel industry and within the United Steel Workers of America.

The 19th Constitutional Convention will mark the 10th anniversary of the Ad Hoc Committee of Concerned Steelworkers' picket line at the 1968 convention of the USWA. Rayfield Mooty led that picket line. Labor Today spent a day talking to him about the event and about the struggle for Black representation within the ranks of the steelworkers union. We think it's a story worth sharing.

I see that one of the signs you carried at the 1968 convention says, "Integrate all departments." Just what did you hope to accomplish when you decided to picket the place?

That was just one of our demands. We had three. Our first was that there be Blacks on the International Executive Board. Our second called for reorganization of the Civil Rights Department and our third was for full integration in all levels of the union so that Black steelworkers would be represented at all levels where policy was determined. We called it our Three Point Program.

There's another thing that you must remember—we did not begin our activity in 1968. The Ad Hoc Committee of Concerned Steelworkers grew out of the Negro Steelworkers Leadership Committee that had been established at the 1964 convention in Atlantic City. This was the group that set up the Three Point Program. Ad Hoc was just continuing the work that had been started earlier.

Also, we didn't end our activity with the 1968 picket line. We picketed the International office in 1970 and we went back to the 1970 convention. But none of these had the same impact as our picket line in Chicago. How could it? After all, how many times before that had members of a union set up a picket line at a convention of their own union—to say nothing about the fact that this was a picket line established by Black workers.

You say that the Ad Hoc Committee of Concerned Steelworkers grew out of the Negro Steelworkers Leadership Committee. What happened to that group?

I've learned one very important thing in the 42 years since I joined the Steel Workers Organizing Committee in 1937: Events sometimes force you to take action before you are prepared for it, before you've been able to think everything through. That's the way it was in 1964 when we organized the Negro Steelworkers Leadership Committee.

Don't forget, the United Steel Workers of America was organized in 1942 and before that we had been the Steel Workers Organizing Committee for six years. And in all of those thirty years, fewer than thirty Blacks had been hired by the International Union—despite the fact that the USWA employed, and still employs, between 1,200 to 1,500 people.

In 1964 there were no Blacks in any position of decision or authority. There were no Black department heads. There were only a handful of Blacks on staff and very few working as clerks, secretaries or bookkeepers.

Blacks had been burning over this discrimination—it was racism, pure and simple—for years and we finally decided to do something about it. We organized ourselves at the convention, drafted our program, a statement of intent, and went to work.

As we traveled around the country building support for our program, we came to understand that the concept that gave rise to the Negro Steelworkers Leadership Committee was too narrow. We realized that we would have to establish an organization that would allow white participation as well. So, we dissolved the Leadership Committee and reorganized ourselves as the Ad Hoc Committee of Concerned Steelworkers. Although few whites joined Ad Hoc, they could have if they wanted to. There's nothing wrong with changes if they are
necessary, just as long as you don't forget what you're all about—that's another thing I've learned.

_Labor Today_ (September, 1978).

---

**MUNICIPAL WORKERS**

**28. UNION BATTLE WON IN MEMPHIS**

_Editor's Note: We are reprinting this article (slightly modified) from MDS Newsletter, Box 2647, New Orleans, La. 70116, because we feel it can serve several useful purposes. It is a vivid lesson in building wide community support for workers' struggles and in going beyond strictly trade-union forms of struggle. Memphis is also a case study in the sources of the recent ghetto uprising which go deeper than the bullet that killed Martin Luther King._

By Fred Lacey

A titanic union struggle in the city of Memphis, Tenn. is over and the workers have come out on top. City sanitation workers stayed out on strike for 65 days. They defied a court injunction demanding their return. They braved police terror which included mass arrests and the widespread use of mace, tear gas, and police clubs against them. And they defeated a city administration which brought in scabs by the truckload from the bordering states of Mississippi and Arkansas in an effort to break their strike.

The strike began on Feb. 12, in the wake of the sanitation workers' strike in New York City, and was sparked by a typical action of job discrimination against black workers (black workers make up over 1,300 of the city's 1,600 sanitation workers). On a rainy morning a good number of black workers were sent home, while a smaller group of favorites, including white workers, was told to stay by the trucks. Later, the weather cleared up and those who were told to stay got in a full day's work. The workers sent home demanded that the city pay them for the lost day, but the administration refused to give the men more than two hours compensation. Piled on top of all the other rotten working conditions, the men decided that they had taken enough, and walked out on strike.

Some of those other conditions that led up to the strike include: (1) no bathrooms, no washrooms, and no showering facilities for the men to clean up with after work, and no protective work-clothing, which meant that all workers had to go home in the same clothes they had been working in all day; (2) no place for the men to lunch, which meant a situation that one worker described as "having a sandwich in one hand and a garbage can in the other"; (3) job discrimination against black workers, who were consistently denied job promotions; and (4) no pension or retirement system.

Besides this, the sanitation workers were not listed as regular city workers, and therefore did not qualify for workmen's compensation. Early in January of this year, two men were crushed to death by a defective packer in their truck. The men's families received a "gift" from the city of $500 for "burial expenses," and one month's pay. Nothing more.

Wages were another factor causing the strike. The city administration hired mostly older men with families for the job, and paid them 5¢ over the minimum wage to start, with the maximum wage rate set at $1.80 per hour. This pay scale, averaging between $53-$60 a week after taxes, came nowhere near to supporting the men's families. Forty per cent of the sanitation workers qualified for and were drawing welfare checks in addition to their sanitation pay to support their families before the strike. Many more workers were on the food stamp program as well.
Long Organizing Effort

Memphis is one of the many big Southern cities that the freedom movement never really organized. But there is no question that the battles of Mississippi, Alabama, and Georgia and the early Memphis sit-ins had a major impact on the city's black workers. Their response to this tide was to move and try to organize against what was oppressing them the most, which began in 1963 for the sanitation workers when they threatened a strike. Another strike was planned in 1966. But on both occasions the city administration immediately got an injunction against the threatened strike, fired the most militant workers, and promised to fire any man who dared to walk out on strike. These tactics of the city stopped the walkout those years, but nothing changed for the workers, and in 1968 they were ready to fight.

One reason for this lies with a man named T. O. Jones. He was fired by the city in 1963, after six years on the job, for leading the workers to strike. Later that year he was hired by the American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees (AFSCME) to continue organizing among his former co-workers.

The Opening Guns

On the first day of the strike, T. O. Jones appeared with a committee of sanitation workers at the office of the city's director of public works demanding a pay increase and action on many of the job conditions the men were fed up with. When the public works director refused to commit himself to improving the conditions or raising wages, and insisted that the strike was illegal under the 1966 injunction, T. O. Jones pulled out a brown paper bag, took off his dress clothes in the director's office, and put on what he called his "jail clothes." He then told the director that they could throw him in jail if they wanted to, but that the strike was definitely on.

The next night, the city's mayor, Henry Loeb, addressed a union meeting of over 800 striking workers. He told them that this was not New York City and that "nothing will be gained by violating our laws." He also said that the walkout was posing a grave "health menace to the city" — the men laughed at him. He sternly told the men to go back to work and then there would be negotiations — the men laughed at him again.

The Movement (June, 1968).

29. MEMPHIS: KING'S BIGGEST GAMBLE

March Was Out of Hand Before It Even Started

By Robert M. Ratcliffe

MEMPHIS, Tenn. -- Martin Luther King took the big gamble here last Thursday, and lost: his prestige went on a nosedive and his image was dented and cracked.

Dr. King put his international fame on the line when he dared lead 10,000 or more on a march that was already out of hand before it started.

First sign of trouble popped up when Memphis' handful of "black power" youngsters and "the invaders" rushed to the front of the march ahead of Dr. King. They refused to move back and shouted: "King is not our leader, we want Carmichael."

Anticipating serious trouble, the Rev. James M. Lawson, Jr. of Memphis, advised King to get into a car and move out of sight until the "black power" group could be brought under control. It was learned that Rev. M. Lawson would have called off the march if given the necessary encouragement.

King's aides reportedly recommended that he go on with the march through downtown Memphis, and off they marched.

What happened a few minutes later made international headlines.

The widely ballyhooed march, composed of thousands of junior and senior high school students who had cut classes and hundreds of adults who took a day
off from work, was to have been a peaceful one in behalf of 1,300 negro sanitation workers on strike nearly two months.

The mass of humanity, taking up all street and sidewalk space, moved off around 11 a.m. from in front of historic Clayborn Temple AME Church, up Hernando to famed Beale St. and then west on Beale to Main St. Smashing of store windows and looting began on Beale just as march leaders turned into Main St., and there was more window-smashing and looting for one block on Main St.

It was at this point that Dr. King and local march leaders agreed that the thing was out of hand. The more than 600 policemen on duty were called into action. Tear gas was squirted into faces of fleeing marchers, many of the looters, and those who couldn't run fast enough, were beaten with police sticks.

Meanwhile, King was hustled down a side street where he and his lieutenants bummed a ride back to his suite in the fabulous Holiday Inn-Rivermont.

King and the local march leaders denied that they deserted. Beale St., the street famous with his blues, was in a shambles, broken glass, sticks, placards, stolen items and blood littered the pavement and sidewalks.

The innocent as well as the guilty were clubbed by policemen. Clayborn Temple, where it all started, became a rallying point for "black power" and the AME church administration building next door to the church became a temporary hospital.

Police soon cleared out the entire area and sealed it off. But window-breaking and looting continued throughout the city. A 16-year-old schoolboy, Larry Payne, was killed a mile away from Beale St. He was accused of looting a Sears branch store. Policemen said the boy had a knife in his hand and that the killing was in self-defense. Witnesses said the boy had no knife. That both of his hands were in the air when the officer shot him.

Mayor Henry Loeb set up a curfew Thursday night, 7 p.m. to 5 a.m., and this continued throughout the weekend. State troopers and 4,000 members of the National Guard rolled into town to help keep order. Whiskey stores were closed and no beer was sold.

Pittsburgh New Courier, April 6, 1968.

30. ECONOMIC BOYCOTT IN MEMPHIS TO CONTINUE

MEMPHIS -- The Memphis sanitation strike of some 1300 Negro workers which took the life of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. was settled last week but the Rev. James Lawson, one of the leaders of the economic boycott which has cut white-owned business profits 80 per cent said the "Poor Peoples Campaign" here "is just starting."

"We've just begun," he added. "We want to get to the point where every poor person in this Shelby County of ours will be able to walk on their own two feet. The battle is not over. We've got a fight on our hands."

Along this line, the Memphis City Council was to meet this week with Negro leaders and discuss remaining civil rights issues, including police brutality.

Thus the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, now headed by the Rev. Ralph Abernathy, moved to concentrate more time on its national "Poor Peoples Marches," of which the Memphis campaign is but one part.

That the agreement for an across-the-board wage hike of 15 cents per hour bringing the wages of most of the men up from $1.50 to $1.75 and those of drivers and supervision leaders to $2.20 per hour was a victory, it was agreed.

The City Council voted 12-1 to accept the agreement, including its features of union recognition and dues check-off. The garbage workers okayed the settlement by giving a unanimous vote at their meeting.

Moreover, J. O. Patterson, one of three Negroes on the Memphis City Council pointed out that the final agreement which settled the strike was practically the same one that had been voted by City Council even before the strike.

Councilman Patterson said: "Seven weeks ago, we agreed to the main issues almost identical to those before us today and then a majority of the Council changed its mind, . . . refused to take any action on this matter and a lot of
hell broke out across this city and across the nation. We could have avoided all this including the death of Dr. King."

The resistance against recognition of the union and a settlement had been placed by most Negroes at the door of Mayor Henry Loeb, long-time leader of white hard-line resistance in the city to civil rights legislation.

Some Negroes would stereotype Mayor Loeb as the man who vetoed the appointment of a Negro to the Metropolitan Transit Authority during Mayor Loeb's first term from 1960 to 1963. They also point out that he was against the chosen Negro because he had taken part in civil rights desegregation cases.

Mayor Loeb in last year's primary also defeated a wealthy Negro, A. W. Willis, in a city which is more than 40 per cent Negro in its population.

For this reason much of the economic boycott's fire was directed against the chain of laundries, restaurants and other stores owned by the Loeb family, although Mayor Loeb was said to have sold his interests several years ago.

Typical of the new issues of race and economic uplift in which Memphis Negroes are now interested is the suit filed in the name of Colie Jennings, a car man helper, against the Illinois Central Railroad, in behalf of all Negroes in Tennessee employed by the railroad.

This suit in Federal Court charges that the railroad discriminates against Negro employees and others in the areas of promotions, restrooms, locker facilities and time clocks.

*Pittsburgh New Courier, April 27, 1968.*

31. THE STRUGGLE IN MEMPHIS

By Bayard Rustin
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32.
IN MEMPHIS: MORE THAN A GARBAGE STRIKE
By J. Edwin Stanfield

This report is written and published as the strike and accompanying Negro protest -- the old civil rights movement still alive -- in Memphis continues. Perhaps by the time this report reaches the reader, the strike and turmoil will have been settled. This is to be wished -- but only if the settlement is honorable, if it reaches honestly to the issues, from the surface economic ones to the deep-lying ones of human dignity. Otherwise -- as in the past in Memphis and all too many other southern and American locales -- the time of danger and of disaster will have only been postponed, with an ever-increasing store of anger and loss of faith. There is the real possibility, too, that the situation in Memphis may have deteriorated into violence and repression. If so, this report can only contribute to the record, so badly misread and misunderstood in America, of how such tragedy comes about, and of how it might be averted. In simplest terms, avoiding such tragedy was in Memphis and across America merely a matter of government and society living up to their responsibilities to all citizens.

There have been at work through the time of tension in Memphis forces and influences for positive and intelligent action meeting the highest obligations
of society and government. Such forces and influences come from both races of men in Memphis. It remains a problem in all of American life how such positive people and institutions might be supported and encouraged. It is toward that end, primarily, that this report is submitted.

The Strike

On Monday, February 12, 1,375 men (mostly sanitation workers but also other employees of Memphis' Department of Public Works) went out on strike.

The walk out originated over a sewer workers' grievance. Twenty-two employees of that department who reported for work on January 31 were sent home when it began raining. White employees were not sent home and, when the rain stopped after an hour or so, were put to work and paid for the full day. The Negro workers complained. The city then paid them two hours' "call up pay." When they saw their pay envelopes at the end of the week, they called a meeting of Memphis Local 1733 of the American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees (AFL-CIO). Local 1733 is all Negro. The local had no official status, a result of the city of Memphis' policy of not recognizing a particular union as bargaining agent for municipal employees. The question of recognition of the union was to become a central issue in the strike.

Mayor Henry Loeb, who was elected in October, 1967, took the position that the strike was illegal and that the strikers had to return to work before their grievances could be discussed. He declared that he would never sign a contract, that the city could not recognize a particular union as bargaining agent for municipal employees, and that he would not agree to a dues check-off. The union insisted that federal precedent supported its demand for recognition. Indications were that the mayor would not be adamant on any of the other points.

Coming even as it did in the shadow of the devastating, nine-day strike in New York City, the Memphis strike received little notice outside the state. It did not create a "newsworthy" crisis as the New York strike did. The reason is simple: Memphis has a population of just over 500,000. Using non-union workers and supervisors, the city managed to keep picking up garbage and trash downtown from vital institutions, such as hospitals. They also kept enough scab crews operating to pick up in designated residential sections each day. The daily papers printed maps showing which neighborhoods would get service on that day, and the location of city dumps so that citizens could dispose of their own accumulations, if they wished. The garbage problem was kept below crisis proportions.

Mayor Loeb, a tall, big-boned, darkly handsome man, spoke of plans he still had in reserve, such as one to place garbage trucks at shopping centers to which citizens could bring their garbage. When 317 men reported for work on the seventeenth day of the strike (108 non-strikers, 62 strikers who returned, and 147 new men) the mayor was delighted and spoke of the possibility of tapering off on hiring as soon as the force "gets high enough to provide once-a-week pickups."

The strike was merely a symptom of Memphis' larger problem.

More than 200,000 of the city's citizens are Negroes -- about 40 per cent of the population. The 80,000 Negro voters were almost solidly against Loeb when he was elected last October; but Negroes failed to vote in a bloc for any other candidate, including A. W. Willis, Negro representative to the Tennessee House. Mayor Loeb's handling of the sanitation strike, with concurrence of the City Council, apparently triggered the release in the Negro community of built-up resentment over low wages generally and under-employment of Negroes in local government. Their resentment was heightened by disappointment, for there had been hope that the new mayor-council form of city government (changed from commission form in January) and a new police commissioner would mean a change for the better.

On February 16, the local chapter of the NAACP threatened massive demonstrations unless the city met the demands of the strikers. A group of Negro ministers, with the Reverend James Lawson as chairman, became interested in the strike, since many of the workers were members of their congregations. They began by sponsoring a series of meetings between city and union officials.

On Monday, February 19, while the second of this series of meetings was in progress, representatives of the NAACP and some of the strikers picketed in front of city hall. Little progress was made in the negotiations. The demonstrators continued their vigil through the night and left at dawn without incident.
The "Sit-In"

The City Council's Committee on Public Works scheduled a public hearing for Thursday at 10 a.m. Some 100 people, including union officers, ministers, and sympathizers were present when the hearing began. Fred Davis, committee chairman, hinted that the union representatives might not be speaking from the viewpoint of the strikers. "We are going to pay particular attention to what the men themselves have to say on the issues," he declared. "We are concerned that the men as individuals have not been able to bring out their views."

With that, union officials called the Rubber Workers' Hall, where the daily rally of strikers was to begin at noon. In a short time, roughly dressed sanitation workers began to drift into the City Council's chamber. Soon the room, which has a seating capacity of 407, including chairs for councilmen, clerks and reporters, was crowded with about 700 people, mostly sanitation workers.

Chairman Davis insisted that the rank and file were being misrepresented. Officers of the union local insisted that they were the proper spokesmen, not some unlettered member chosen at random. Mr. Davis declared that due to overcrowding of the chamber contrary to fire laws, the committee would recess.

The workers and their leaders and friends said that they would remain in the hearing room until they got satisfaction. Speaker after speaker exhorted the workers and voiced grievances of the strikers in particular and of Memphis Negroes in general. There was singing of spirituals, patriotic songs and the anthems of the civil rights movement. "The plush, red-carpeted council chamber," reported the Memphis Commercial Appeal, "was jammed with strikers who vaulted across the railing onto the dias reserved for city officials."

Since no one had eaten lunch, union leaders sent for bread, bologna, cheese, luncheon meat, ham, and mustard. The city attorney's table was appropriated for making sandwiches. "The usually immaculate carpet for the chamber," the Commercial Appeal complained, "soon became spotted with bread crumbs and tiny pieces of paper despite the small trash cans placed in each aisle for refuse."

Meanwhile, Inspector Sam Evans of the Memphis Police Department had 142 officers converge on city hall. They remained parked within a block, five to a car, with car motors running.

The committee eventually capitulated. It reopened the hearing and finally, about 5:30, agreed to recommend that the city recognize the union and agree to "some form of dues check-off." A newsman asked Councilman Davis what the mayor replied when told of the committee's recommendation. "He maintained a polite silence," said Mr. Davis. The recommendation was to be presented to the City Council in a special meeting at 2:30 p.m. the next day.

A cartoon published in the Commercial Appeal on Friday, February 23, after the sit-in at city hall on Thursday, silhouetted a fat Negro sitting atop a garbage can surrounded by a pile of rubbish and overturned receptacles. The garbage can was labeled, "City Hall Sit-In." Wavy lines indicated an odor rising from the garbage from the garbage heap and the black man. Above his head these fume-lines formed the legend, "Threat of Anarchy." The cartoon was titled, "Beyond the Bounds of Tolerance."

"Memphis garbage strikers have turned an illegal walk out into anarchy," said an accompanying editorial, "and Mayor Henry Loeb is exactly right when he says, 'We can't submit to this sort of thing! ... When the Council deals with the problem today it should not be intimidated or stampeded into imprudent decisions by yesterday's belligerent show of force." (Ironically, on Wednesday evening, scarcely twenty-four hours before that cartoon and editorial were published, Commercial Appeal Editor Frank R. Ahlgren had received a brotherhood award at the annual affair of the local National Conference of Christians and Jews).

The February 23 March

On Friday afternoon, so many people showed up for the Council meeting that it was moved to a municipal auditorium. (The Memphis Press-Scimitar estimated one thousand. An observer sympathetic to the strikers estimated two thousand. Estimates of crowds at meetings and marches by the two Memphis dailies were, with notable consistency, about half those of Negro leaders).

In view of what ensued, the Negro ministers and union leaders wondered why they bothered. The meeting was called to order at 2:30 p.m. with the traditional
cry of the sergeant-at-arms: "Oyez, this honorable City Council of the City of Memphis is now in session. All persons having business to transact or matters to bring before the City Council draw nigh, give attention, and ye shall be heard." The Public Works Committee's resolution was never heard. Another resolution, obviously prepared and discussed by the councilmen in advance, was substituted and passed by a vote of nine to four. (The four opposed were three Negro councilmen and one white man who thought the recommendations too compromising.) The substitute resolution suggested concessions by the mayor on all points, except the vital issues on a contract recognizing a union and a system of union dues check-off. After passage of this resolution, Council Chairman Downing Pryor announced that members would not, at that hearing, hear citizens — and the Council was adjourned at 2:45.

This had an electric effect on the assembled strikers and their leaders. As on Thursday, union officers and community leaders, including some recognized even by the white press for the "responsibility," got to their feet to express anger and resentment. T. O. Jones, president of Local 1733, was quoted by the Press-Scimitar as saying, "We are ready to go to their damned jail." Dr. Vascoe A. Smith, Jr., a Memphis dentist and NAACP leader, was reported in the press to have said, "Don't let them hoodwink you. You are living in a racist town. They don't give a damn about you..."

A march along Main Street was quickly organized after the meeting and an understanding was reached with the police that marchers would stay on the west side of the street.

For several blocks all went smoothly. Then, in the marchers' version, a police cruiser edged over the center line, bumping and nudging the marchers, crowding them closer to the curb. The Tri-State Defender reported that the car stopped on a woman's foot and the marchers tried to push it off. Other accounts were that it ran over the woman's foot, and in anger, marchers tried to shove it back over the center line. The white press questioned whether the car even touched the woman's foot. Whatever the case, marchers were under the impression that it had; there was pushing of the police car.

Indeed, in the police version it was claimed that (for unspecified reasons) an attempt was made to overturn the squad car. Officers quoted one marcher as yelling, "Let's turn the patrol car over," and said that men then started rocking the car. At any rate, five policemen jumped from the cruiser and, joined by other officers, began spraying the marchers with Mace, a new tear gas-like chemical causing temporary blindness and severe facial discomfort. They sprayed not only the men in the immediate vicinity of the squad car, but other marchers up and down the block. In the ensuing confusion, they gassed a number of bystanders and even fellow officers.

Jacques Wilmore, staff director of the regional office of the U.S. Civil Rights Commission, whose office is in Memphis, saw the police grab a man in the crowd, pulling him toward the curb. According to Wilmore, "a third policeman came up and just cracked the man across the head. I walked up to them and pulled out my identification. That's when they squirted me two or three times directly in the eyes with Mace." Bobby Doctor, another employee of the Civil Rights Commission, and Baxton Bryant, Executive Director of the Tennessee Council of Human Relations, were with Wilmore at the time and were sprayed in the same sweeps of the gas canister.

Gerald Fanion, director of the Shelby County Community Relations Commission, a Negro, said he was helping a woman out of the ruckus when a policeman walked up to him and squirted him in the face. "I told him who I was and that I was acting as liaison for the county and he squirted me again," said Fanion.

P. J. Ciampa, white field director for the striking union, was sprayed repeatedly. On the following Monday he still had raw, peeling skin under his left eye. He was treated for abrasions and bruises inflicted by the police. "I've never seen such brutality," said Ciampa.

The Tri-State Defender, a Negro-owned weekly, published a page of photographs of the fray. One showed a policeman sprinting directly toward a camera, club in hand. The cameraman reported that a few seconds after he snapped the picture, the policeman yelled, "Gimme that camera, nigger," and chased him into the crowd.

The lead editorial in the Press-Scimitar on February 24, the day after police broke up the march, lamented that "leaders of the union have shown no respect for Tennessee law...." It continued:
On the other hand, Memphis can take deep pride in the prompt and efficient way its law enforcement officers handled the volatile situation. Police were on the job as the strikers and their leaders boiled out of the meeting and started a march on Main Street.

They had guns, but they didn't shoot.
They had Mace, the new irritant gas which incapacitates but does not permanently injure — and they used it. They went into action as soon as fired-up marchers attacked a police car . . . 

How much better to do it this way than to be late and soft as were police in Detroit and other places . . . letting disturbances grow into full-scale rioting.

The use of Mace and billy clubs by the police resulted in unprecedented unity among Memphis Negroes. According to residents, Negro ministers who in other years were often leaders of divisive factions were virtually unanimous in calling for support of the sanitation workers and the union.

The ministers cancelled a demonstration scheduled for Saturday and had a strategy meeting instead. Next day they went into their pulpit and called for a boycott of (1) all downtown stores; (2) the two daily newspapers; and (3) every establishment doing business under the name of "Loeb." (Mayor Henry Loeb's brother, William, owns a chain of barbecue and fried chicken restaurants, and a laundry chain). They also announced downtown marches in support of the strikers and the boycott for both Monday morning and Monday afternoon, and a mass meeting at Clayborn Temple AME Church on Monday night.

The action of the police greatly strengthened the strikers. It made the preachers mad, and preachers still have influences among Negroes in the South. One of them, still furious on Monday night, urged the boycott of all Loeb businesses in this manner: "Somebody tried to explain to me that William Loeb who owns the cleaning places is just Henry Loeb's brother. I don't care if it is his brother or his sister or his mother or his father or his uncle or his auntie or his cousin — if it says 'Loeb' on it, you stay out of there! If it says 'Loeb' on the sign or on the front of the store or on the back of it, on the side or on the top or on the bottom of it — you stay out of there!"

The boycott was effective, and again the actions of the police had helped. Downtown streets and stores were virtually empty throughout the next week. Clerks straightened and restraightened stock, arranged and rearranged window displays. Negroes apparently were supporting the boycott. Speculation was that white people stayed away from town out of fear of another melee.

Demonstrations

In spite of Friday's bitter experience, marches were conducted daily throughout the following week (February 26 - March 2).

In Monday's march, which was typical of others during the week, most of the demonstrators were adults and nearly all were Negroes. There were four white girls from Southwestern at Memphis and a couple of young white men from Memphis State. Not many Negro youngsters were in the lines. Quite a few were on the sidewalks, watching and chuckling.

Some of the signs were neatly stenciled and carried pointed, but judicious messages: "Dignity and Decency For Our Sanitation Workers," and "Keep Your Money In Your Pocket," and "Jim Crow Must Go," and "We Are Together Once And For All."

Others were crudely lettered and blunt: "Only God Is King, Henry," and "Watts Also Waited Too Late," and "Watts Also Fired Negroes," and "Sign Contract Blue-Eye Soul Brother."

The march moved slowly up Beale Street and turned north on Main. Trying to keep two car-lengths apart, as instructed, the marchers moved past the pawn shops on Beale; past dozens of fashionable shops on Main. All but a few of the stores were empty. So were the streets, except for clerks who had stepped out to watch and people waiting on corners for buses.

Asked how the boycott was affecting business, a dime store clerk answered, "Well, it ain't helping any. People stay away from fear of getting involved."

An elderly woman carried her sign and faced straight ahead, lips pursed and eyes darting from side to side. She was dressed simply, but neatly, and
wore a hat. Asked if she were a member of a striker's family, she answered, "No, I'm just a church member and a friend. It's easier for me to march because I am alone now, and don't have children to take care of. I could be home in bed. But I remember. I been there. I've been without work. And I've been too poor and hungry to go to work when I had it."

On Saturday, March 2, 400 to 500 college and high school students picketed downtown stores all day. That afternoon there was a joint march by the young people, the ministers and their followers, and the sanitation men. The papers estimated 1,000 people in that march; again observers sympathetic to the strikers guessed twice that many.

Meanwhile, on the day after the use of Mace on marchers, Mayor Loeb and City Attorney Frank B. Gianotti decided to seek an injunction against the strike in Chancery Court. Chancellor Robert Hoffman issued an injunction prohibiting engaging in a strike against the city; picketing city property and coercing the city by striking, picketing, or other means by recognizing the union as bargaining agent. Officials explained that it would be difficult to enforce the injunction so as to require the men to return to work, but 23 persons specifically named could be cited for contempt and jailed for up to ten days. These included Jerry Wurf, president of the union international; P. J. Ciampa, the union's field director; T. O. Jones, president of the local; and other national and local officers.

City Council Meeting - February 27

The City Council agreed to give Jerry Wurf thirty minutes at its regular meeting on Tuesday, February 27, to present the views of the union and the purposes of the strike. Even as they sought to do well, however, insensitivity and, perhaps, fear caused the Council to wound the feelings of Negro citizens.

Mr. Wurf was scheduled to appear at 3:30 p.m. Strikers at the noon rally were urged to fill the Council chamber. The daily march from Clayborn Temple was timed to arrive at city hall just before the council session began at 2:30. Police had ruled that the public seating capacity of 407 would not be exceeded, stating that fire laws prohibited standing in the aisles. But strike leaders wanted a show of strength and determination and urged the men to fill the lobby outside the chamber. Loud-speakers were arranged so that persons in the lobby could hear the proceedings.

Shortly before 2:30 the doors of the chamber were opened and people started filing through the two entrances. Four to six policemen were stationed at each, counting the people going in, murmuring, "If you will go in two by two, we would appreciate it." Neat cardboard signs scotchtaped to the marble wall beside each door stated, "Council Chamber - Public Seating Capacity 407."

The first hour of the session was taken up with bone-dry business having to do with licensing and zoning. Then Council Chairman Downing Pryor observed that it was time for Mr. Wurf's presentation and he had received word Wurf was delayed, so there would be a recess until he arrived.

Pryor did not tell the crowd that Wurf, along with other union officials, had been cited for contempt and summoned to Chancery Court. The summons had come, Wurf said later, just as he arrived at city hall for the Council meeting. Aware that he was to appear in court at precisely 3:30, he had asked Councilman Pryor if it would be possible for him to make his presentation earlier in the meeting. Pryor told him the agenda of the Council was fixed by law, but assured him that the Council would recess and stay in session until he completed his business at Chancery Court — unless, of course, he was sent to jail for ten days. (At the mass meeting that night, telling the crowd the Council should be credited with decency about this matter, Wurf said when two members of the Council made him that promise, the attorney for the city told them they might be in contempt of court).

When the recess of the council meeting was announced, people began milling around. After an hour of growing restlessness, Baxton Bryant, with others, persuaded Chairman Pryor that it would relieve tension if some of the ministers were allowed to speak while the audience was waiting for Wurf. Pryor called the meeting back to order and nervously laid down the rules. Each speaker would be limited to five minutes, a standing rule, he said, and not new for the occasion. If Wurf arrived, the person then speaking would be allowed to
finish, then Wurf would speak. If he had not arrived after thirty minutes, the council would recess again and wait for him.

With only five minutes apiece, the preachers wasted no time on amenities. The clerks sat round-eyed, spellbound, giving the impression that they had never heard anyone address the Memphis City Council in such tones before — certainly not Negroes.

The Reverend S. B. Kyles said that all the policemen at the door and the five or six "emergency cars" outside made him think he was in Russia. He resented the thirty-minute time limit — "I'll tell you, we have all night!" He rebuked spokesmen for the ministers for agreeing to speak to the Council on the Council's terms: "We aim to talk to you on our terms."

"If you don't settle it here," he told them, "it is going to be settled, anyway. You may have to settle it down where we are."

The Reverend James Lawson, chairman for the group of ministers, said he was so flustered and angry that he hardly knew how to begin to express himself. Negroes, he said, are still the victims of two "sticks": (1) the police — "those symbols of repression" guarding the door and (2) rigid structure. "If you don't beat us over the head with a night stick, you hit us over the head with an agenda!"

"Some of us want to settle this in this council," he told them, "but if we can't, we may just go back to our studies, perhaps just go fishing, and let whatever happens happen! Just forget it! This is not a threat, because Memphis is a part of this country, and this country is in turmoil, whether we like it or not!"

It was during Lawson's speech that Wurf arrived. During the preachers' speeches, the police had moved along the sides of the chamber and stood there, watching. One had a two-way radio, another a bullhorn.

"I planned to come here to make a humble statement," Wurf began. "Posturing does more harm than good. In the interim some of us have been arrested . . . and now it is a temptation to try to prove one's manhood. But I am going to forego that and simply state our goals."

He reviewed the objectives of the strike and negotiations with Mayor Loeb. "The mayor has made much of the fact that he will not sign a contract," Wurf told them. "It has become a posture which is more important to him than the substance of the issue." He concluded with the suggestion that the Council should not abandon its responsibility entirely to the city's chief executive. The meeting was adjourned.

Legal Actions

At the request of counsel for the defendants, the hearing on the contempt citation had been postponed until Friday morning, March 1. On Thursday, union attorneys filed a petition to have the matter shifted to federal district court, arguing that the injunction violated the First and Fourteenth Amendments, the rights of free speech and due process of law. On Friday morning, Chancellor Robert Hoffman refused to relinquish jurisdiction voluntarily, but did grant a continuance until Tuesday, March 5. On Friday afternoon, the federal court refused to take jurisdiction. Subsequently, the leaders were given sentences of 10 days in jail and $50 fine in state court. They were freed pending appeal.

Legislative Action

In the state capitol at Nashville, meanwhile, Senators Joe Pipkin and Hugh Stanton of Memphis had introduced three bills aimed at the strike. The bills were rushed through the Senate committee system and scheduled for a vote in only three legislative days.

One bill, passed by 21-10, provided a five-year prison sentence for persons disrupting public communication with police and fire departments. (There had been some talk in Memphis of tying up police and fire department telephone lines).

The other two bills would have outlawed strikes against police, fire, and sanitation departments and prohibited union dues check-offs from government paychecks. Little opposition had been expressed to them, perhaps because of the speed with which they were sent through the legislative machinery. Then, on the night of the 26th, according to the Nashville Tennessean, "Organized
labor . . . descended on the legislative halls . . . and appealed to each senator." Matt Lynch, president of the State Labor Council, said "all elements of organized labor" opposed the two measures. The bills were defeated, in effect, by referring them to a committee.

Thus, in the first two weeks, a pattern was set which was not in significant degree to change through subsequent meetings of the City Council, protest confrontations of strikers and their sympathizers with police, and negotiating sessions with city officials. In effect, the Negro community, unified as probably never before around the issue of the garbage strike, met and became increasingly aware of stubborn resistance from the city's top official, and less stubborn but vacillating and ineffective response from the City Council, this accompanied by abrasive encounters with police and harsh criticism from the press. A measure of support unprecedented in the South had come from white union members who marched some 500 strong with the strikers on March 4. But beyond that, the other elements of white power, including clergy and businesses, the latter hard-hit by the boycott, had not effectively entered the crisis on either side, a not unusual situation in the South. With each passing day of inability of the city (and beyond it, the state and the nation) to deal realistically with the simple terms of the strike and the larger issues of Negro rightful demands, tension in Memphis mounted.

By March 7, such whites as Baxton Bryant, who had played a valuable role as a trusted intermediary between the Negro community and the city, began to doubt the utility of their function. It seemed to them that the city officials retained the imperturbable, immemorial southern charm and willingness to discuss the problem, but that in fact they were not yielding an inch. Perhaps he should cease walking through open doors which led only to closed minds, Bryant suggested to the Reverend Starks, president of the black Interdominational Ministers Alliance, over breakfast that morning. "Oh, no," responded Starks. "Somebody's got to talk to them; some channels have got to stay open. And we can't do it. We've got nothing to say to them any more."

But a man as positively disposed toward life as Reverend Starks has difficulty in persuading himself of his own counsel of despair. He decided to join Bryant and a reporter in interviews with the mayor and Downing Pryor. In both interviews, Bryant tried to convey his sense of the dangerously escalating hostility between the solidified Negro community and the city officialdom. He cited the report of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders. Memphis was becoming a perfect example of two alienated, antagonistic communities tensely confronting one another, he said. The mayor responded with great personal warmth, repeated his unalterable opposition to dues check-off, now the crux of the conflict. In the large, beautifully appointed, softly shaded office, the anguish expressed by Bryant and reflected in the tense faces of Starks, seemed incapable of passing to the other side of the executive desk.

But if the mayor was unmoved, he was not inactive. He wanted so much to "keep talking" to the Negro leadership and he was so happy Starks had come. Could he not return later; the mayor would be happy to clear his calendar. Was it not the utmost importance "that we keep talking to each other?" The group was rising to leave, but it looked as if Starks were rising alone. He drew himself up to his full height and said, "No, mayor. I cannot come to see you. Our community has taken a position and I stand with them. You have to talk to all of us." There was no sign that the mayor had heard the death knell of plantation politics for the duration of this crisis.

Downing Pryor tried to express his personal concern, and the helplessness of the Council. Any action by the City Council would require six weeks to hurdle a mayoral veto -- surely that was too late? But Starks did not let him off so easy. A pro-union resolution by the Council would not only hearten the Negro community, but show them that there was now an independent agency at city hall, capable of redressing their grievances. Pryor evaded this challenge, describing instead the bold new fair employment resolution promulgated by the Council: Increased hiring of Negroes until their number in city jobs equals their percentage in the population, accompanied by the necessary placement and training services. It sounded like a serious piece of legislation and there was little doubt that it had been hastened, if not inspired, by the mobilization of the black community behind the sanitation workers.
The Issues

The objectives of the strike were outlined by Jerry Wurf, president of the AFSCME (AFL-CIO) as follows:

1. Union recognition and a contract with the city.
2. Effective grievance procedures ("So if it rains they don't send a man home like a dog without wages -- or worse, send you home and give the white man "wages.")
3. Union payroll deduction, or dues check-off.
4. Merit promotion -- without regard to race.
5. Equal treatment in the retirement system.
6. Payment for overtime.
7. Decent wages.

Something like accord was reached early in the strike on all of the strikers' demands except union recognition and dues check-off. At the start of the strike, wages averaged about $1.70 per hour, and the strikers were demanding $2.35. The mayor and councilmen made an offer of a five per cent raise immediately, with another five per cent scheduled for the next fiscal year, and the sanitation men seemed willing to accept this. The other points apparently did not present insurmountable problems, either. As previously noted, Mayor Loeb said publicly that he would never sign a contract and could not agree to a dues check-off. The union tried to give him a face-saving way out by suggesting an exchange of letters between him and the president of the union in lieu of a contract, and collection of dues through the independent employees' credit union. It was reliably reported that the mayor was considering such a letter, but when a news report labelled the projected letter a "compromise," the mayor was incensed and resumed his stance.

After the Negro community, led by the preachers, got into the fight other issues were defined. Dr. Ralph Jackson, director of the Department of Minimum Salary of the African Methodist Episcopal Church, was principal speaker at the mass meeting on Monday night, February 26. "We're going to march until the sanitation workers say 'Satisfied'!" he told the crowd, and the crowd shouted its affirmation. "But I have news for you: We're going to march after that!" And again the crowd let it be known that he was speaking for them. He listed the following issues:

2. Housing. ("The housing authority has announced plans for 12,000 units -- 8,000 for whites and 4,000 for Negroes. Well, they'll never get off with it!")
3. Jobs. ("And the days of the one Negro are over. You know what I mean, -- one here, one there, and one over yonder -- and look what we've done for you!")
4. Wage scales.
5. Justice in the schools.

The Police

"Almost invariably," says the Report of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders, "the incident that ignites disorders arises from police action. Harlem, Watts, Newark, and Detroit -- all the major outbursts of recent years -- were precipitated by routine arrests of Negroes for minor offenses by white police. But the police are not merely the spark. In discharge of their obligation to maintain order and insure public safety in the disruptive conditions of ghetto life, they are inevitably involved in sharper and more frequent conflicts with ghetto residents than with the residents of other areas. Thus, to many Negroes police have come to symbolize white power, white racism, and white oppression. And the fact is that many police do reflect and express these white attitudes. The atmosphere of hostility and cynicism is reinforced by a widespread perception among Negroes of the existence of police brutality and corruption, and of a 'double standard' of justice and protection -- one for Negroes and one for whites."

Obviously, the police spark was present in Memphis. The injudicious use of Mace seemed the worst excess of the first month. It was far short of the injudicious use of rifle fire by state police against rampaging students at Orangeburg, South Carolina, at about that same time, but something less than
even-handed and cool-headed protection of all citizens, including those exercising constitutional rights of protest.

At the mass meeting on Tuesday night, February 27, after the Reverend Mr. Kyles' impassioned speech before the City Council, the Reverend Malcolm Blackburn thanked Mr. Kyles for "calling attention to our blindness."

"We have been blind to conditions of life in America," he told the crowd, "for none of us noticed or questioned how we were surrounded by police in the Council chamber this afternoon, and counted like cattle as we entered our chamber. . . .! If none of us can stand in the aisles or around the walls because of the fire laws, then next time we ought to see to it that the police do not, either, because we don't need them!"

Then, pointing into the ranks of upturned faces, all black, he added, "We don't need them watching us in this mass meeting, either!"

"And we don't need two or three policemen on every other corner during our marches," he went on, "or helicopters circling overhead, when we have shown that we can march peacefully and with dignity without some damned cop . . .!" His arm shot out for emphasis and the end of his sentence was lost in applause.

Bishop J. O. Patterson attempted to deal gently with the Negro policemen who apparently had been singled out, but in so doing he made the point again. "I feel sorry for our Negro policemen," he said. "Most of them are nice fellows. But now they have been assigned a task that is contrary to the Bible. The Bible says that the law is for sinners, not the righteous."

The police were always very much in evidence before and during the marches downtown or when workers and sympathizers attended meetings at city hall. They talked back and forth on walkie-talkies. They cruised in police cars with shotgun muzzles visible above the dashboards.

Little wonder the crowds were sparse for the marches. Rumor had it that the mayor was greatly pleased at the report that only 120 people showed up for one of the marches, and concluded that the strike would play out. But at the union hall, when no police were in evidence, the meeting places were packed and jammed.

One other police incident during the first month was noteworthy. On Thursday night, March 1, three policemen -- two patrolmen and a lieutenant -- arrested two Negroes outside the church where a mass meeting was being held. They charged them with jaywalking. One of those charged was Gerald Fanion, and the other was Edward Harris, photographer of the Tri-State Defender.

Police commissioner Frank Holloman personally appeared in city court the next day and asked that the charges be dropped. "We have been trying to keep the peace in our community," he explained, "and if a mistake is made the best thing to do is to admit it and try to correct it."

Judge Ray Churchill granted the motion and commented, "I think this is a wonderful step forward. In all my experience I believe this is the first time anything like this has happened."

Police Chief J. C. MacDonald announced that the three officers had been suspended and said they had made an "error in judgment."

"I never have, and I never will tolerate harassment of citizens by police," he said.

The past record of the Memphis Police Department in this crucial area of relations with Negro citizens has not been a notably bad one, as these things go in the South and the nation. In a special report of the Southern Regional Council on Memphis as among southern cities which had made most progress by 1964, Benjamin Muse wrote: "In few cities have the police been so largely and favorably identified with the civil rights advance. Public order has been maintained in Memphis -- tranquility in which negotiations could quietly proceed and insurance against disorder which enabled desegregation steps to be confidently taken. With small exceptions, police brutality, which is incompatible with any durable public order, has been absent, but the police 'mean business,' and the public knows it."

But, perhaps ominously for the crisis that was to come in 1968, the report pointed out that in the sit-ins of 1961, police were deployed in numbers that some considered excessive, arrests were made in great quantities with very many of the cases subsequently dismissed, and complaints of rough handling of demonstrators were numerous. All of this was under a former police commissioner, but it is indicative of the kind of police relations with Negro citizens that underlay the outburst of feeling
against police in 1968. In that same 1964 report, incidentally, the Memphis press was praised for its role in fostering successful desegregation, but once more an ominous note was sounded: "... Those demonstrations [of 1960-61] were larger and more disruptive than many realized -- owing to the policy of the Memphis press of minimizing the publicity."

Incredibly, albeit incidentally, it was reported on February 27 that 3,000 Tennessee National Guardsmen were to bivouac in Memphis on March 9 for one day of riot control practice. Other drills were to be staged simultaneously in Nashville and Knoxville. The practice was planned to acquaint Guardsmen with the topography of the city and identify potential trouble spots. Details were not revealed. The Guardsmen would be outfitted, the report said, in full field gear, including weapons.

The drill took place on schedule, but without incident. The Guard avoided "areas of existing tension."

Dignity As An Issue

Negro citizens' complaints against police and the newspapers were only a part of the problem in Memphis. The attitude of public officials was the common denominator of the preachers and the garbage collectors in their struggle. If one were required to say in a word what the strike and the marches and the mass meetings in Memphis were all about, that word would have to be dignity. One can read in the narrative of events of those days in Memphis a chronicle of indignities suffered by Negroes at the hands of the Public Works Department, of the City Council, and of the mayor. Early in the strike, the people began calling him "King Henry."

Dr. Ralph Jackson was by his own admission a conservative minister. But he happened to be marching in support of the strikers when the police broke up that march and his ministerial standing meant nothing: he was gassed with all the others. Preaching at one of the mass meetings, he let it be known that the Mace had opened his eyes:

I have a confession to make. For thirty years I have been training to hold myself in check. I couldn't understand what made some people lose control of themselves and fly off the handle. I never thought it would happen to me. But I lost thirty years of training in just five minutes last Friday!

A union official said, concerning negotiations for a settlement of the strike, "I don't think Mayor Loeb has any objection to seeing the men get more money. But he wants them to continue in dependency. It's a strange social system he is trying to preserve."

Jesse Epps, another official of the union who is a young man, looked out over the rally of more than a thousand black men at the Rubber Workers' Hall. Most of them were in their upper thirties or older. "We have to win this one," he told them. "This is the last chance for many of us to be men." The willingness of the men to hold out so long when they could have settled for better wages, grievance procedures, and everything else except the dignity of collective bargaining with the mayor, suggests that they agreed with Mr. Epps.

Jesse Epps is the AFSCME's staff man in charge of the southern region. Highly capable, he is a union organizer who recites poetry ("Heaven is not reached at a single bound . . .") and quotes the Bible accurately. In one of those quiet, two-men-in-a-room conversations that do not lend themselves to posturing, he said matter-of-factly and with a far-away look, "I don't think I've ever been so hurt as I was when the City Council walked out last Friday afternoon without hearing the people." This was Jesse Epps the man, the black man, talking -- not Jesse Epps the union organizer. "The basic issue," he continued, "is not pay, but recognition of the union. There has never been the unity in the Negro community of Memphis that there is now, and the reason is that recognition of the union involves recognition of the workers as men. The mayor wants to say, 'Go on back to work and then we'll do right about your complaints; you know our word is good as our bond.' Just as if Memphis were a Delta plantation."

A Coalition?

There is much talk these days about coalitions, and particularly a coalition of the labor movement and the civil rights movement. What happened
at Memphis seems, at first glance, anyway, to be an example of the kind of coalition that is so much discussed. Union men readily acknowledge that, if it were not for the Negro ministers and the unity of the Negro community behind them, the sanitation workers "wouldn't have the chance of a snowball in hell." On the other hand, the union has provided the sort of know-how (and money) that seems to be necessary these days to come to grips with a not-so-simple issue around which to rally liberal and minority-group forces. AFSCME officials did this without preempting -- and, in fact, encouraging -- local leadership of the strike. "This is a new day for these ministers and these churches," said one observer.

As noted, organized labor forces torpedoed anti-strike legislation in Nashville. That was fine, according to coalition strategists, for, while it was obviously a matter of self-interest, it happened to be supportive of an all-Negro local and the black community of Memphis backing that local.

In Memphis, labor forces were standoffish at first. The rank and file did all for better wages and working conditions for the sanitation men, but did not care for the civil rights overtones. As late as the fifteenth day of the strike, an AFSCME official commented that he had heard from only three white men representing local labor unions. There had been a few relatively small checks for the strike fund, he said, but helpful as that was, he needed some white faces at the union hall rallies to let the sanitation men know that they had labor's support.

The evidence of support came on March 4, at the beginning of the fourth week of the strike. Under leadership of Tom Powell, head of Memphis' AFL-CIO Central Labor Council, and Dan Powell (no kin), Southeastern Regional Director of the AFL-CIO Committee on Political Education, five hundred white labor unionists joined Negro ministers and sanitation workers in the daily downtown march. It was a red-letter day for the strikers.

It remained to be seen whether the AFSCME or other unions will find in the Memphis experience anything like a standard procedure for organizing in the South. Perhaps conditions in Memphis were unique, so that there would be no way of approximating the developments there in other cities. Moreover, the American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees is not just a union of sanitation workers. It seeks to include all municipal employees, "from city engineers on down." But as one union official put it, it is hard to get white Southerners to join a black man's union.

Nevertheless, the coalition of civil rights forces with organized labor in Memphis, however brief or singular, was noteworthy. President Wurf had the candor to acknowledge at one mass meeting that some parts of the AFL-CIO have given Negroes less than a fair shake. "But we see this labor struggle as part of the basic struggle of the Negro community for decency and dignity," he said.

A few minutes later he added, "Memphis has been, for me, one of the most moving experiences of my life."

It was unusual, labor observers said, for a national president of a union to put so much of his personal prestige on the line in a situation as unsure of victory and unpredictable in tone as that in Memphis. Mr. Wurf's union does have a large number of Negro members across the nation, and they might be expected to be pleased with his role in Memphis. But beyond that, he seemed genuinely involved in the demand for dignity that transcended the practical and pragmatic aspects of the struggle in Memphis.

Outcome in Doubt

The outcome of the strike remains doubtful. At the end of the fourth week, both city officials and strikers were standing firm, despite pressures building up on both sides.

On Thursday, February 29, Mayor Loeb, in a letter to the strikers, could still only "restate the city's position." The letter offered eight cents an hour pay increase and, in general, met demands of the workers on insurance, retirement plans, a grievance procedure, and hours and overtime. But the mayor still refused union recognition, refused a dues check-off and insisted that "as a precondition to any rearrangement of wages and working conditions, the strike must end." He concluded:
I assure you of fair, dignified treatment. As I have said many times, there will be no reprisals. In fairness I should remind you that some of the regular jobs have been filled and others are being filled daily. Your jobs are of the utmost importance to you and your family and I am sincerely interested in your welfare.

Next day strikers assembled in Rubber Workers' Hall rejected by a thundering, unanimous vote an offer from the City Council that proposed a raise of ten cents immediately and five more cents in July, but made no concession on union recognition or dues check-off. The morale of the men was good.

"But they're getting hungry," admitted one union officer. "On the other hand, it was warm and sunny today, and the garbage is getting noticeable. All in all, I'd say the city is in as bad shape as we are."

By that time, as obligations mounted, the strike was costing the union $2,000 a day, up from about $400 a day at the beginning, to provide the absolute necessities of the men and their families. Collections at the mass meetings were running $600 to $800, but $2,000 per day was hard to come by.

Just the logistics of aiding the strikers was a problem. Some of the men were in debt to more than one loan company; some owed several months' back rent; some reported demands for immediate payment or payment in advance of rent. An older worker summed it up: "The man came for the payment on my burial insurance. I told him that right now I'm just trying to keep alive. Reckon I can let somebody else worry about it when I die."

Tragedy Waiting in the Wings

In the midst of all the drama in Memphis, the excursions and alarms, one sensed tragedy waiting in the wings.

For one thing, there was a strong undertone of alienation, even among the ministers, whose basic attitude toward the society is positive. "This country has given us a bad check" one of the preachers said. "It bounced. They had the money. They just didn't put it in the bank."

Dr. Jackson, who seems to have become the semi-official money-raiser for the strikers, told the audience one night, "I'm going to New York on Monday to talk to some of these white folks who keep talking about their consciences." He mentioned the National Council of Churches. "I don't guarantee we will get the money. But if we don't, this whole nation is going to know that they've just been lying about their consciences!"

That was not the only hint of deepening disillusionment. Another minister told of receiving a letter from the Memphis Ministerial Alliance asking him to contribute toward a $1,700 ad titled, "An Appeal to Conscience."

"Well, where are they now?" he shouted. "They would do better to give the $1,700 to the strikers' fund, make their appeal to conscience from the pulpits of their own churches, and come to the meeting to show their support."

He was followed by the Reverend John W. Aldridge, the assistant minister of Idlewild Presbyterian Church, who also happens to be chairman of Memphis Presbytery's committee on social concerns. He was the only white preacher present that evening, except the Reverend Malcolm Blackburn, only white minister of the African Methodist Episcopal Church. Aldridge read a resolution of support which had been adopted by the Presbytery's committee.

The Catholic Council of Human Relations, like the Memphis Council on Human Relations, passed a resolution calling upon the mayor to meet all stated demands of the sanitation workers. The Catholic Council on Human Relations is affiliated with the National Catholic Council for Interracial Justice, a voluntary association of laymen and clergymen concerned about intergroup relations.

Otherwise the white churches and churchmen of Memphis were not notably involved. Jerry Wurf made a poignant statement in his talk at one of the church meetings. "I'm a Jewish boy from Brooklyn," he said. "I've stood in a lot of Protestant pulpits in times of stress and trouble. It just occurs to me that these have always been the pulpits of Negro churches. You have always made me feel welcome and at home."

"The ministers are in this thing until it is proven beyond the shadow of a doubt that our way won't work," said the Reverend Malcolm Blackburn on
another occasion. "Then, as one minister said yesterday, we shall just have to go fishing."

Another preacher said that the ministers were committed to nonviolence as a way of life. "But if we ministers, leading the people our way, cannot get results, we have no alternative but to withdraw and..." The end of his sentence was lost in an approving roar, in the midst of which he turned and pointed into the balcony where three or four young black men, self-proclaimed radicals, stood watching. They broke into broad grins.

Bishop J. O. Patterson said he believed completely in nonviolence. But he added, "Someone asked me, 'What would you do if somebody was standing on your foot?' I said, 'Well...I got to have my foot!'"

The Reverend W. Herbert Brewster preached on Wednesday night. An orator of the old school, he admonished the crowd: "Don't reduce yourself so low that you will hate any man. I feel sorry for any man who is a little man in big times, because a little man in big times is a loser."

When he had finished his sermon, Dr. Jackson came to the pulpit to direct the taking of a collection for the strike fund. "Dr. Brewster better go on back and pray some more," he said, "because he hasn't got me liking Loeb yet..." The crowd loved it.

"When he was up here talking about loving Loeb," he continued, "Bishop Patterson passed me a note that said, 'He didn't get a whiff of that gas, did he?''' The crowd loved that, too.

"You better be careful, Doctor," he concluded. "You might be up here calling for water while the rest of us are calling for fire!"

With that he pulled an object out of his pocket and held it up for the crowd to see. It was a gold-plated cigar lighter.

That Monday night meeting was drawing to a close. It had been in progress for three hours, which is not unusual for civil rights mass meetings. Before the Reverend Mr. Blackburn pronounced the benediction, he introduced a tall young black man wearing a light, olive-colored jacket with the word "INVADERS" across the back.

"I'm a radical," the young man began. "I'll tell you just like that. I'm a radical...

"Before Henry Loeb will listen, the garbage has to be in the street...not in your back yard. As long as those trucks are allowed to roll, they can keep it picked up wherever they want it picked up..."

"Preaching and money raising are fine. Somebody has to do it. But there are some men out there, we've got to do some fighting. Not marching--fighting!"

"And when you talk about fighting a city with as many cops as this city's got, you better have some guns! You're gonna need'em before it's over!"

When he sat down the minister who had been presiding throughout the evening returned to the pulpit and said he apologized for not recognizing the young radical. It is a free country, he said, and while he did not agree with the brother, he certainly granted him his right to say what he thought. Then he reminded the crowd, "We have chosen our weapons. These are the weapons of nonviolence."

The incident was discussed later by a small group at the front of the church. Someone commented that the sentiments expressed by the young man were a new wrinkle in mass meetings. "Yes," replied Blackburn, "but I felt we had to let it wrinkle to keep it from tearing. We may be in trouble this way, but if we did not recognize this mood as part of the picture, we most certainly would be in trouble."

"Yes," someone else added. "One of the young folks said to me, 'You old folks are barking up the wrong tree. But we'll wait and let you bark a while longer.'"

And so at this writing, with the strike a month old, the tension mounting (in the fourth week, there were incidents of brick throwing by Negroes, of garbage being set afire, of a sit-in at City Council with 121 Negroes arrested and released on their recognizance, of a policeman brandishing an over-sized club gleefully and just as gleefully being laughed at scornfully by Negroes), the outcome was highly uncertain in Memphis -- both as to the immediate issues of the strike, the deeper one of dignity, and the awesome one hanging
over America in 1968 involving the danger of massive violence and police-state repression.

Out of the impasse, these points, with meaning not merely in Memphis but for all the nation, seemed clear:

1. Spiritual as well as physical needs are imbedded in Negro protest and agitation and, indeed, anger. The demand for dignity as well as better pay was the most profound and moving quality of the Memphis garbage strike. In all of its floundering with the problems of race and poverty, America has seemed unable to grasp that there are hurts in deprivation to the psyche as well as the stomach. Organized labor, in many of its modern manifestations, has seemed to miss this point, also, concerning itself with economic security rather than social needs of people. The excellent performance of labor in Memphis, like the old Operation Dixie, and the current efforts to organize textile plants in the Carolinas and farm workers in Texas, served to point up how rare such attention to the underdog by labor has become.

2. Black power, for all its ambiguity and sometimes irrationality, is a psychological force at work in such a situation as that in Memphis, not by any means all negatively. Indeed, the most positive, constructive meanings of the phrase were implicit in Negroes' demand for dignity. That this demand was made in a context of nonviolence and in the traditional framework of a labor strike should serve to give new insight into the semantics and psychology of black power as an influence on Negro thinking and emotion.

3. The impetus to violence by Negroes is also a factor to be reckoned with in such a situation as that in Memphis. In the simplest terms of human anger, the capacity and ability of Negroes in such a situation to espouse and practice nonviolence was extraordinary. For here as in nearly all other southern locales, there had been the beautiful spirit of the Negro movement of the early 1960's met with hostility and force and arrests when all that had been demanded was the most basic rights of American citizenship. And here as in nearly all other southern locales, eight years after the sit-ins and four years after passage of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, the demands were the same ones of basic rights -- for an end to discrimination in education, jobs, housing.

Those who have pronounced the civil rights movement dead and buried may want to take a second look at such phenomena as Memphis. "Well, well," said an old man there, shouldering a protest sign and moving out of the church for a demonstration, "marchin' again." The tone and much of the spirit of the old movement was newly alive in Memphis, and its impetus still lives in Negro communities and hearts across the South's cities, towns, and farmlands. One of the very most hopeful things about such new manifestations of the movement as Memphis has been the absence of exploitation from afar for less than local interests of the braveness and beauty and belief of the local people who are the strength of the movement. It was to be hoped that such exploitation would not be attempted in Memphis.

Maintaining nonviolence among masses of untrained and volatile demonstrators was never easy. The implicit threat of the nonviolent leaders in Memphis to "go fishing" and leave things in the hands of "radicals" was a mark of the loss of faith, the frustration and the despair that has come to so many Americans of good will and pragmatic common sense out of the failures on every level of government and through all elements of American society to answer the most elemental needs of race and poverty.

Two Negro women waiting for a bus during one march were asked by an observer if they were supporting the boycott. "We sure are," one of them declared. "I ain't buying nothing!" She glanced at the man's white face, looked down at his notebook, then straight into his eyes. "I don't know who you are," she said firmly, "but we're tired."

4. Failure was on prominent display in Memphis. The city government, the press, the business community, the white church, all the institutions, seemed for the first month simply incapable of coping with what was at once a fairly clear-cut demand, and also a highly dangerous situation. The role of the police in such crises is of particular concern. The danger of Negro violence exploding out of all the failure of the government and society was matched by the danger manifest across the nation of overreaction and
repression by police. Instead of agencies for maintaining peace and order, police departments in racial encounters have become themselves direct and dangerous influences toward disorder. In Memphis (and this is not an uncommon situation in the nation), there seemed to be a failure of men on the force to carry out the police commissioner’s generally enlightened racial policies and methods aimed at avoiding violence. If the pay of garbage collectors in Memphis was a surface issue, reflective of unmet problems confronting cities the nation over, a less obvious but far more fundamental problem was that of the pay of policemen — the need that such pay and the standards and qualifications for police services be greatly increased. But it was a paralysis of the normal function of the city and society to resolve the strike and its issues and its dangerous potential, rather than a will to confront basic problems that characterized the first month of the strike in Memphis. An observer felt that those in positions of power never seemed to grasp the reality of the situation, its danger, or its promise. And this same sort of paralysis seemed to afflict the other agencies of government, state and federal, which might be expected to act toward mediation and reconciliation when a city did not.

Special Report, Southern Regional Council, Atlanta, Ga., 1968, Box 31 Administrative Files, Whitney Young Papers, Columbia University.
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ADDRESS OF WALTER P. REUTHER BEFORE THE ANNUAL CONVENTION OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE, DETROIT, MICHIGAN JUNE 26, 1957

Mr. Chairman, friends, it's difficult for me to tell you how happy and proud I am to be here, because when I come to an NAACP meeting, I have a feeling that I belong here. I'm home here. And I can never escape the same reaction every time I hear that wonderful song, "Lift Up Our Voices and Sing." It always gives me a sense of renewed faith and dedication, because I feel that a people who can sing that song cannot lose.

I'm proud to belong to the NAACP, because it is made up of people who are dedicated in a great crusade to make America true to itself. This is what this is about. Make America live up to its highest hopes and aspirations and translate those hopes and aspirations into practical, tangible reality in the lives of all people, whether they are white or black, whether they live in the North or in the South. I say that each of us is blessed that we can be engaged in this crusade, in this struggle for justice for human dignity—in this struggle to wipe out in every phase of our national life, every ugly and immoral kind of discrimination.

A couple of years ago I had the privilege of attending an ADA meeting in Washington and Roy Wilkins was the principal speaker. And I want to say that Roy did himself proud that night. He was reporting on some of the struggles in the South. He had just returned from a speaking tour in a number of the Southern states, and he said there are three organizations that are being held responsible for the drive for civil rights and human dignity in the South. He said there is the NAACP. There is the ADA, and there is the CIO. Mrs. Roosevelt was my dinner partner and I said to her, "No wonder I'm having trouble. I'm an officer of all three of those organizations."

Now why did they come together? Well, because they shared the same values, the same respect for human dignity. They shared the same hopes and the same aspirations. And they dreamed the same dreams about the bright new tomorrow that we're working and fighting to build. A tomorrow where
discrimination will be no more. Where Jim Crow will be buried for keeps in
every phase of our national life. A bright tomorrow where every child,
regardless of race, creed, or color, all created in the image of God, will
have equal educational opportunities so that every child can grow, intellec-
tually, spiritually, and culturally, limited not by a segregated schoolroom,
but limited only by the capacity that God gave each child to grow.

But the NAACP and the American labor movement do more than just dream
about that better tomorrow. And that's why you can preach about the broth­
erhood of man from morn til night, and the Eastlands and the Talmadges and the
bigots will never raise their voices in protest. But when you begin to work
to translate the brotherhood of man into practical fulfillment, that's when
they begin to fight back. They are fighting us because we are working, try­
ing to give practical substance and meaning and purpose to the noble concept
of the brotherhood of man.

We had a distinguished churchman, Bishop Oxman, who addressed the UAW
convention some months ago. He said, you know there is a lot of noble talk
about the brotherhood of man, but there are some people who keep the hood and
drop the brother. And those are the people who are fighting us. And because
they are fighting us, we meet at a time of great crisis. The challenge is
compelling, but when the burden is heavy, always remember that the reward is
so great and wonderful in terms of basic human values that it's more than
worth the struggle and the sacrifice that go into winning the reward. Since
you meet in the city in which the headquarters of the UAW is located, I am
sure you will permit me to bring to you the fraternal greetings and the best
wishes from the one and a half million members of the UAW, and I would like
to say for them that we are with you all the way until victory is ours in
this fight for civil rights.

You have come back. You were here in 1943. Detroit was the great
arsenal of democracy. We were turning out more weapons of war with which to
fight Hitlerism, totalitarianism, than was any other city in the world. But
unfortunately, this city went wrong and we had tragic, ugly race riots back
in that period. But one of the things that we have always been proud of
about the UAW is that when the people of Detroit were rioting and destroying
and killing each other on the streets, white and Negro workers worked side by
side in brotherhood in the plants under our contracts. Because they had
learned to know the meaning of human solidarity, of brotherhood, because they
had learned through the hard experience of struggle that when the employer
can divide you and pit white against black, American-born against foreign-born,
he can divide and rule and exploit everyone. And we learned a lesson that
only in the solidarity of human brotherhood, only as you stand together with
your fellow man can you solve your basic problems.

That's the lesson the whole of America needs to learn. I've often
thought—why is it that you can get a great nation like America marching,
fighting, sacrificing, and dying in the struggle to destroy the master race
theory in Berlin, and people haven't got an ounce of courage to fight against
the master race theory in America? We need the same sense of dedication, the
same courage and the same determination to fight the immorality of segregation
and racial bigotry in America as we did in the battlefields against Hitlerism.

We've made progress in Detroit since you were here in 1943. We haven't
made enough progress, but we have made great progress, and I think that we can
take great satisfaction and encouragement from the progress that we have made.
The delegates to this convention in 1943 were treated as second-class citizens,
and you were put in second-class hotels. This time you are in the best hotels
where you ought to be.

We made progress on the FEPC front. The NAACP, the trade union forces,
the church groups, civic groups worked together, and despite the overwhelming
opposition of the Republicans in our State Legislature, we finally got an FEPC
law on the books in the State of Michigan. Negro workers have made progress,
but we still have not broken down the barriers to equal job opportunities in
every phase of our economic life. They are in the factories, but they are not
in the offices, where they have a right also to equal job opportunities.

And just as the Negro workers have proven themselves in the factories and
on the assembly lines, Negroes have proven themselves in the field of public
service. Since 1943, we have elected four Negroes to important political
positions in the City of Detroit. They were elected by tremendous majorities,
have demonstrated the good judgment of the people who put them there, by
dedication and by a high sense of public trust and service. We're proud of
the fact that the Honorable Judge Wade McCree sits in the highest court in
the City of Detroit. And we are proud that another Negro, the Honorable
Judge Davenport sits in another court of Detroit. And we are equally proud
that in the City of Washington from the Thirteenth Congressional District,
a Negro, the Honorable Charles Diggs, is in that position. And we are proud
that a distinguished Negro doctor, Dr. Remus Robinson, sits on the Detroit
Board of Education. Because of the outstanding public service and the sense
of dedication that these four outstanding Negro citizens have demonstrated in
the public positions to which they have been elected, I would like to predict
that in the fall election of 1957 in Detroit, we will elect a prominent Negro
to the Common Council where we need one.119

I think we all realize that the world is troubled—that we live with
crisis in America and the people of every nation are living with crisis in
the world. I have been saying for a long time that the crisis in the world
is not economic or political or military. Essentially, the crisis in the
world is a moral crisis. It's a reflection of man's growing immorality to
himself. Of man's growing inhumanity to man. The H-bomb is the highest and
most terrible destructive expression of that growing inhumanity.

And in a sense our crisis in America, the crisis in education, the crisis
in civil rights is not political, it is moral. We've got all that it takes
to solve these problems. But we haven't demonstrated the moral courage to
step up to solving these problems, and this is our basic problem. America
is in crisis, not because it lacks economic resources, not because it lacks
the political know-how, not because we don't know how to do the job of
squaring democracy's practices with its noble promises. We just haven't
demonstrated the moral courage. And until we do, we will not meet this basic
crisis in civil rights and in education.

And I believe that the civil rights issue—and I don't say this because
this is an NAACP convention, I have been saying this wherever I go, because
I believe it--I believe that the question of civil rights must be made the
top priority item on American democracy's unfinished business in the twentieth
century. Civil rights is not a political issue, because when a matter or
issue is essentially a moral matter, it must transcend partisan politics.
This is exactly the approach that we have been making together. We have been
trying not to play politics with civil rights, but to put the civil rights
question in its proper focus and mobilize people from all political parties to
try to adopt legislation and to take necessary steps to implement an effective
civil rights program.

We have been saying that there are three basic reasons why we support
civil rights. First, we support it as a matter of simple justice. As a
matter of human decency. As a matter of dignity and as a matter of basic
morality. Secondly, we fight for civil rights to make them universal, because
as Joe Rauh said in his speech, civil rights and human freedom are indivisible.
You cannot have those things unto yourself. You can be free only as your
neighbor is free. You can be free only as you share freedom with the people
you live with. Hitler taught us that when he jeopardized the freedom of the
smallest country in the world, he jeopardized our freedom. And when Mr.
Eastland and the Dixiecrats and the bigots in the South jeopardize the Con­
stitution and deny Negroes their freedom, they are putting my freedom in
jeopardy, even though I live in the City of Detroit.

Those people who can't understand the first two basic reasons that ought
to put America on the highroad in the struggle for civil rights at least to
understand the third reason: that civil rights is no longer a domestic
question confined to the geography of the United States. The question of
civil rights in the United States is an international issue. As a matter of
fact, there are more people thinking about it abroad than there seem to be in
America thinking about this problem. Because more than one-half of the people
in the world are dark of skin, and they look at America, and they brush aside
our noble slogans about the virtues of American democracy. They brush aside
our economic indexes which say that we are the richest country in the world.
And they say, yes, but how do you square your noble professions with your ugly
practices in the civil rights field?

Mr. Eastland sits there in his Committee, and you would think that he is
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really trying to fight the Communists. He doesn't know anything about what makes a Communist. What he does not understand are the great social, dynamic forces sweeping the world. This struggle between freedom and tyranny is not an old-fashioned struggle for geography. This is a struggle for the hearts and minds of people. And you can't win it with an H-bomb, even though you need one to defend yourself. You can't win the struggle of ideas and ideals with guns, although we as a part of the free world must of necessity be strong on the military front, in order to meet the challenge of aggression no matter where it may raise its ugly head. But what we need to understand in the world is that military power is the negative aspect of a dynamic foreign policy, and that if you want to win the struggle of ideas and ideals for the hearts and minds of men, you have got to wage the struggle on the positive basis, and civil rights is the key issue in the world. Mr. Eastland and his associates and the association of bigots don't understand this. We need somehow to get through to the dark corners of their small mentalities on these kinds of things.

My feelings on this are not based upon reading a book. I have been in Asia, India, and North Africa. I have talked to people—workers in the big cities, intellectuals, businessmen, government officials. I have gone into the mountain villages. I am here to tell you that they know what's going on in America. I went up into the foothills of the Himalaya Mountains in Northern India, in a little village of three hundred people, and we had a meeting, and I talked about America—what we were doing trying to bring to fulfillment the great promise of America. They didn't want to know about how many Chevrolets General Motors made last year, or whether the Chrysler fins had a bigger sweep than the Cadillac fins. They asked me about Montgomery, Alabama. Just sit down on a doorstep with a peasant in a village of Northern India and take on the task of trying to explain to him why America, conceived in freedom and dedicated to the proposition that all men are created equal, a nation that can split the atom, that can make a pursuit ship go three times as fast as sound and yet, in this twentieth century, we can't live together in brotherhood and we continue to discriminate against Negroes. It will tax your ingenuity, and you will give them no answers. You can only give them excuses. And excuses are not good enough, if we are going to win the struggle of freedom in the world.

I came back more convinced than ever, after talking to people in North Africa and India and Asia, that America's immorality in the field of civil rights could be the Achilles' heel of American democracy in the struggle against Communist tyranny. Because when you have to put footnotes to try to explain in a feeble way why American democracy fails to meet the challenge on the civil rights front, when you have to make excuses, you are in serious trouble, and we are in serious trouble, because the people of the world are not going to judge America by the number of tons of steel that the U.S. Steel Corporation can roll in a year, by the number of shiny new automobiles with more chrome that we turn out every year. They are not going to judge us by these things. They are going to judge us by what we do about basic human problems. Not how modern is our plumbing, but how modern is our civil rights program as it affects people. These are the things they will judge us by, and if this Congress would step up to its responsibilities and pass a civil rights bill in it, with effective enforcement machinery, that civil rights bill would give America a moral force in the world more powerful than all the H-bombs that we will ever make in the fight against Communism.

And yet we have enemies. I get a lot of literature, you know. I don't read it all, but I read a couple that came over my desk the other day. Here's one: "Behind the Plot to Sovietize the South." And on the back it tells you in summary what this pamphlet is about, and I'll quote it to you: "This booklet tells about the activities of Walter Reuther and his collaborating white and Negro Communist, Socialist and Marxist kind of labor agitators who are mobilizing a massive offensive to impose an insidious civil rights program on the South." I want to say to the people who put out this kind of literary trash that the NAACP, the AFL-CIO, and all of the good people who are joined together in the fight for civil rights, we do more to fight the Communists in one week, than all these people would do in their whole lives put together.

We need to understand that this fight between freedom and tyranny is for keeps. It's the only world series in which there is only one game. No
play-offs, no return matches, no next year's season. You either win the first game, or you lose for keeps. That's what we are in. Now you would think that in that kind of a game you would put your best team in, and Mr. Eastland and Mr. Talmadge and those fellows shouldn't even be on the scrub team. We've got to put our best team in, because we've got to demonstrate not only that we have great economic muscle, but we have the sense of moral responsibility to find a practical way to equate economic muscle with social and political morality in terms of the lives of everyone, because, you know if we were just a little country, no bigger than Luxembourg, it really wouldn't be tragic if we were doing so badly. But in truth, America is the last hope of freedom. If we can't make freedom and democracy work in America, then it can't be made to work any place in the world. And I say we are going to make it work in America, because it must be made to work.

Now the task ahead is a difficult one. We will need to mobilize all of our forces. We will need to pull together men and women of good will and good faith—people in the NAACP, our good friends in the churches, the labor movement, the liberal people who are willing to stand on the side of morality in this struggle. We need to broaden our efforts to get more allies in the leadership conference that has been working so effectively in the past. And we need to have the courage to tell both political parties that they both should be ashamed of the shoddy record that they have registered on the field of civil rights.

The Supreme Court is living in the twentieth century and the Congress is still somewhere back in the dark nineteenth century. It's about time they catch up. You know, these fellows are the same fellows who passed the Taft-Hartley Act. The same people who fight against civil rights are the same people who fight against social progress. Well, I want to say to these people in Congress that they have been on the longest sit-down strike in the history of America—eighty long years. And we think it's about time that they terminate that sit-down strike on civil rights and begin to turn out some legislation. Now the bill has passed the House, but that's nothing new. That's happened many times, but now it's over in the Senate, the graveyard of civil rights legislation. I think that we've got a job to do. It can be done. We must mobilize the American people, and we must translate their moral will into practical pressure and say to that Senate, "Stay in there. Outlast the filibuster, if it takes all summer and all fall, until the next Congress meets in January." And if these evil men who use the right of unlimited debate to block the will of the majority, if they know that the majority is going to stay put through the hot summer into fall, and into the winter months, maybe they won't try so hard, because they know it will not succeed. And the only people in America who can see to it that the filibuster does not succeed are the American people, and our job is to mobilize the American people, so that their will and their moral pressure will exert itself upon the Congress. We also must make it clear there can be no compromise on the jury trial provision. We don't want a civil rights bill in name without any substance. We don't want a civil rights bill that looks good on paper, that has no enforcement machinery, and these people who talk about the jury trial, they are using that only to try to destroy a civil rights bill that can be enforced through the federal government and the federal courts.

Then we have the long-range fight on Rule 22. Because even though the present limited civil rights bill is adopted, this is only the beginning, because there are many other areas in which the ugly forms of discrimination are working every day in the lives of millions of Americans and Rule 22 is the key that will open the door in the Senate so that majority rule can prevail and the filibuster can be ended. We have been saying a long time that the right of debate does not mean the right to prevent the majority from acting. Debate is not an end. It is the means to an end, and the end must be legislative action.

We are very happy that the UAW was able to join forces with the NAACP in originating the original approach to changing Rule 22. We helped finance some of the constitutional lawyers who went back to the Hamilton papers and the early constitutional papers and developed the whole case to prove that the Senate was not a continuing body. Therefore, every new Senate on the day of its organization can adopt its own rules and, any new Senate can abolish Rule 22 and substitute in its place a rule providing for majority rule. And
because we participated in that historic effort, with the NAACP, we have received many brickbats from the people in the Senate who believe that filibuster is their best line of defense.

Now we made progress on Rule 22. In 1953, we only got twenty-one votes. We had fifteen liberal Democrats with us. We had five liberal Republicans, and we had Wayne Morse, the Independent. In 1957, we got forty-one votes, seven votes short of what we needed. I say we need to intensify our efforts between now and the January date in which the new Congress in 1959 is organized to get those other seven votes, so that we can abolish the filibuster in the United States Senate for all time.  

We are continuing to work on this matter. As a matter of fact, hearings are being held now. Friday morning, June 28, 1957, I'm going to testify on Rule 22 before a Subcommittee of the United States Senate dealing with rules of procedure. But Rule 22, let us always remember, has been the shovel with which the Dixiecrats and reactionary Republicans have always dug the grave for our civil rights legislation. And until we abolish Rule 22, we will never be able to translate our civil rights program into practical legislation and implementation.

We have the question of FEPC. Yes, thirty-eight major cities have adopted the local FEPC ordinances. Fifteen states have state FEPC laws. We have made progress, but no one should kid us into believing the answer to FEPC can be found either locally or at the state level. Tell me how you'll get relief in Mississippi, at the state level, where you need it most. The only way that we can get a comprehensive FEPC law on the books is to do it in Washington, D.C., and to bind the forty-eight states in the process.

Well, there are some mighty fine people in America who tell you, yes they are against discrimination in every phrase. They are opposed to it in terms of job opportunities. They are opposed to it in terms of education. They are opposed to it in terms of transportation. But, they say, legislation is not the way to do it. Education. You've got to educate people. You've got to get hatred out of men's hearts. Well, we agree. Education is important. But you can't educate this problem out of existence by education alone. You've got to work both on the educational front and the legislative front. And you've got to parallel those two activities right down the line.

I have told a story on other occasions which I think bears repetition because it's the simplest way to illustrate what I think to be a very fundamental point. These people who talk about education as the answer to FEPC, and these other problems, I ask them to look to see what happens in America in about ten days from now. We're going to have a Fourth of July weekend. There are going to be millions and millions of Americans in their automobiles driving all over America. And on the Friday before the weekend, the National Safety Council will launch its comprehensive, intensive educational program. They'll be on the radio networks, the TV networks, and the newspapers. Everybody is going to be told and warned to drive carefully, don't exceed the speed limit. Don't go through a red light. Observe all the traffic regulations. We're going to just saturate America with education on traffic safety, but no one would propose that that's where we end. In addition to this educational program, we have thousands of fellows on motorcycles in blue uniforms. And when you go through a red light or exceed the speed limit or violate some other traffic law, the motorcycle officer pulls you over to the curb. He gets a book out, and he gives you a ticket. It costs you ten bucks, and that speeds up the educational process like nobody's business.

So we say let's educate and educate and educate. But let's expedite the educational process by some effective legislation. And if an employer will not give a qualified Negro, or a qualified Jew a job, because of prejudice, let's take that employer into court the way you go into court when a cop catches you going through a red light. And you will see how fast the educational process picks up. Now these good people who are all for education and opposed to legislation don't think it's wrong to have this fellow on the motorcycle. They think that's perfectly proper, perfectly fine, and yet, when you're dealing in a field of basic human values of human rights of basic morality, they just want the educational process to take its own course.

We've got to keep pressing and pressing and pressing until we get a federal FEPC law.

We're proud in the Auto Workers Union of the progress we've made. Other
unions have made great progress in breaking down discrimination in the factories. But we haven't got one single major contract, although we've got one and a half million workers under contract, and although we try and try and try at the bargaining table in which the employer has agreed to a clause prohibiting discrimination because of race or creed or color at the hiring gate. They say to us, "Oh, you don't represent the workers until we employ them. We aren't going to let you say anything about whom we hire. After we hire them, then you can talk about their work, their conditions of employment, their wages." Well, we believe that the question of the policy at the hiring gate is important, and if we can't do it at the bargaining table, then we have to do it in the halls of Congress.

Now there are many other things we need to be thinking about. I want to say to this convention of the NAACP, the American labor movement is not a fair-weather friend of yours in the fight for civil rights. I want to say for the AFL-CIO, its leadership, George Meany, and the people involved in directing that organization: "We are with you all the way, and we are going to stay with you all the way until we get on the statute books of America effective civil rights legislation in all of these fields, not only in FEPC, but in every other aspect of our national life."

We want an America in which every citizen is equal when he walks into the polling place to cast his ballot. We want an America in which every child has educational opportunity, an America in which every citizen has equal job opportunity, equal rights to the use of all public facilities, the right to live in a decent neighborhood, in a decent house.

It's about time we look at this problem of clearing the slums in our major cities. We're not clearing the slums. We're just modernizing them. We're just creating new ghettoes. I say it's about time we had some courage to build decent communities in which all Americans can live on an integrated basis as decent citizens living together in a wholesome community.

Now these are not matters of special privileges. These are basic rights to which every American is entitled. And no American should be satisfied with less.

The task is difficult. The struggle will be hard, but let us always remember that human progress has never been served to mankind on a silver platter. The history of the world shows chapter after chapter that men of faith and courage have had to fight to bring to fulfillment their dreams and their hopes and their aspirations. What we need to do is to keep the faith. Keep the faith in ourselves. And when the going is rough, as it will be, let us remember that the test of one's convictions is now how did you behave, how did you stand up when it was convenient and comfortable. The test of one's convictions is: do you stand up for the things that you believe when it takes courage? Do you stand up in the face of adversity, in the face of great controversy? This is the kind of fight we are engaged in. That's why when the going is rough, always remember that there are millions of us, and that together we can move mountains, and that together we can solve this problem and make America in the image of what it really stands for.

So I say to you, we pledge our hands and our hearts, we pledge our all to you in this struggle, because we believe that this is the most important struggle that America must win, if it is going to be true to itself and provide leadership to the free world. And if we mobilize our multitudes, if we mobilize all the people of good will and good faith in America, I say that we can do the job, and together we can build that brave new tomorrow that we dream about and fashion it in the image of peace, freedom and justice, and human brotherhood.

34. THERE'S NO HALF-WAY HOUSE ON THE ROAD TO FREEDOM

In the two years since our last convention, this nation has made more progress in achieving civil rights for all citizens than was made in all the years since the period of Reconstruction following the Civil War. Yet this progress, historic when measured against the habits and hostilities of the past, falls short when measured—as we must measure it—against the prejudice and discrimination that remain and the goals of equal rights and equal opportunity that must yet be attained.

The UAW, true to itself as a union whose strength is derived from the solidarity of all workers and to its mission to make progress with the whole community, played a prominent role in the historic 1963 Washington rally and in efforts to obtain the strongest possible civil rights law from Congress. These efforts were climaxed with passage of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, a sweeping law attacking segregation and discrimination on a broad front, including public accommodations, jobs, education and the right to vote.

However, the voting rights section of the law left intact much of the southern pattern of systematic denial of voting rights to Negroes; it equivocated in the matter of literacy tests and made no provision for Federal voting registrars in states and localities where Negroes were deliberately kept from registering.

The Negroes of the deep south were terrorized by southern officials and the Klan whenever they attempted to exercise their rights. They mobilized early in January, 1965 under the direction of the Rev. Martin Luther King's Southern Christian Leadership Conference to assert their constitutional right to register and vote. As this campaign of nonviolent demonstration progressed, the violence against Negroes intensified, reaching a climax of calculated terror in March.

I had the honor that month of leading a UAW delegation to Selma in support of equal rights and in protest against the brutality of southern racism. UAW members from every region participated in that freedom march.

The Montgomery march was shadowed by the tragic murder of a northern civil rights worker, Mrs. Viola Liuzzo, wife of a trade union official, who was shot by Klansmen on the highway. The failure of all-white southern juries to return murder convictions in the Liuzzo case and in the trial resulting from the earlier slaying of the Rev. James Reeb brought to national attention another aspect of southern injustice which requires further Federal legislation.

In June, 1965, as work went forward in and out of the Congress to shape a strong voting rights law, President Johnson made an historic address at Howard University, dealing with civil rights legislation in the broader context of the entire struggle for equality of opportunity in a society that professes to be free and democratic.

He opened up for national discussion and public action an aspect of the civil rights revolution which the UAW had long been concerned—its economic aspect. For us in the UAW, the chief interest of the President's address lay in his emphasis on what he called "the next and the more profound stage of the battle for civil rights"—that of reinforcing legislative gains by an across-the-board attack on the unemployment, poverty and deprivation which hit the Negro hardest but affect millions of whites as well.

The rioting in the Watts section of Los Angeles that occurred in August, 1965 soon after enactment of the Voting Rights Act, was a clear signal to the nation of the urgency of our getting on with economic solutions.

The bitterness of frustrated hopes gave rise to the destructive and senseless violence of Watts. We cannot approve or condone violence, for the futility of violence born out of bitterness will solve no problems. But it is not enough for the advantaged to condemn the violence on the part of the disadvantaged. The advantaged must work harder to help the disadvantaged to achieve equal rights and equal opportunities in every phase of our national life.

The UAW was actively involved in efforts to fight unemployment and poverty in the Watts area before the rioting, through the Watts Community Labor Action Committee. We were working with other AFL-CIO unions to find jobs for Watts' residents, to help set up a Head Start program and a problem clinic, and to campaign for greater health facilities.
Throughout the UAW, and in all the communities where our members are concentrated, we are making an expanding effort on behalf of equal rights and equal opportunity. New state, area and local fair practice councils have been established in several regions.

We are participating in a registration drive in southern communities where Negroes under the 1965 law are protected in the exercise of rights long denied them.

In Boston, we joined in an attempt to end a regime of entrenched bigotry on the board of education. In Pontiac, Mich., we have been working with the county AFL-CIO council to overcome municipal opposition to sorely needed additional public rental housing.

In Detroit, the Citizens Committee for Equal Opportunity—which we were instrumental in founding in 1963 with the cooperation of religious, business, civil rights and other civic leadership in the metropolitan areas—has worked effectively in creating understanding and proposing reasonable action in all the sensitive areas of race relations.

Nationally and in many cities, we are active in cooperation with human relations councils and through local union educational programs in fostering equal opportunity in housing.

This is not to say that civil rights legislation will not continue to be of basic importance. Laws already on the books must be strengthened as experience dictates.

Senators Douglas of Illinois and Case of New Jersey have already introduced a bill proposed by the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights, in which the UAW participates, which would have the effect of integrating southern juries and would provide Federal protection for civil rights workers and Negroes exercising their constitutional rights.

The point is that we must press forward on all fronts toward full citizenship and equal opportunity for all our people. Centuries-old prejudice dies hard, but we have to understand that there can be no half-way house on the road to freedom.

UAW Solidarity (May, 1966).

35. WATTS: WHERE THEY MANUFACTURE HOPE

By Alvin Adams

At the age of 14, he had saved enough money to buy a train ticket that would take him as far as Meridian, Miss. as he could get.

In Los Angeles, he washed cars, steam-cleaned engines and managed to finish high school. He learned something about the police by being one: an Army MP.

For something like 25 years, he was one of the unspectacular citizens of Watts. In 1949, employment at Ford's Los Angeles plant and his resultant membership in the UAW brought out leadership talents he admits he didn't know he had.

Early in 1965, Ted Watkins became a UAW International representative. After a day and a half in the Region 6 office and long conversations with UAW Director Paul Schrade, he went to the Watts section of L.A. "to bring some activities." He hasn't been back.

Watts, where he went to work, is the predominantly-Negro area of some 150,000 people at the south central edge of Los Angeles that gained world-wide notoriety as a result of racial rioting in August, 1965. The Kerner Commission, established to study the rash of riots since that time, said the Los Angeles riot "evoked a new mood in the ghettos around the country."

Before the riot, Watkins and other trade unionists who wanted to put their union skills and experience to work in the improvement of their home community laid the groundwork for a unique combination of labor and community forces: the Watts Labor Community Action Committee.

As full-time administrator of WLCAC, 45-year-old UAW "rep" Watkins directs a staff of 150 persons in the programs of the organization, which is
chartered by the state of California. The scope of the program has grown beyond the traditional borders of Watts to include surrounding territory.

With the eyes of a man surveying his own neighborhood, Watkins sees the problems in human terms:

"The people of Watts have no community economic base. They have no major food markets; no major department stores; no theater; no hospital. Whatever they need, they have to go outside the community—sometimes, miles away. The buses are expensive, and slow, and many of our cars don't always run right.

"And Watts is boxed in by housing projects at each corner. This is a concentration of poor people, a concentration of problems, a concentration of families without fathers, a concentration of mothers on welfare, a concentration of kids who don't have any male images or anything to go by," Watkins said.

The consumer action office of WLCAC goes right to the heart of many problems.

"Any problem that the resident might have, whether it be contract buying, food stamps, welfare, lighting, street maintenance, sweeping—just about anything that affects the consumer, we work directly with them," said former UAW Local 509 mechanic Ollie Taylor, director of the office.

"A car dealer will sell you a car and know that in three months you can't keep up the $122 a month payments," Taylor's staff tells people. "So he gets your trade in, the extra money you had to borrow on the side to complete a down payment and the car back."

Often the help needed is legal, and WLCAC refers such cases to the Neighborhood Legal Services of the anti-poverty program.

Other problems require direct action. And a delicate touch.

"People will come in and say the store on the corner has high prices. Run him off," Taylor said. "Unless this man is just a regular gyp artist, you've got to try to establish some communication to see why his prices are so exorbitant, what he can do to bring them down, before you do anything to him. We find in this community, a lot of people have everything they own invested in one of these little stores. I think it would be wrong to chase him out of business before trying to relate to that individual.

"You have to try to solve the consumer's problem and the merchant's problem."

Such are the cool heads at work in the once-hot Watts.

Along with advice on spending, WLCAC now offers advice on saving through the newly-opened WLCAC federal credit union, chartered with the international unions' support.

The credit union's trained, nine-member board of directors which raised $2,000 to get started, include UAW members E. J. Franklin (a retiree from North American Local 887) who serves as president; Ellsworth Freeman, also of Local 887 and WLCAC program director George Williams, UAW Local 923 member and credit union treasurer.

In its first six months of business, the credit union acquired $8,000 in 180 accounts, many of which were opened by WLCAC-paid workers. Payroll deductions now bring in $1,400 a month. In the same six months about $5,000 was loaned, in amounts from $25 to $200.

"Loans are made for every reason that banks and finance companies would not lend money," said credit union manager Melvin D. Streator, "such as if a man had his utilities shut off, he got arrested last night, or—who knows what."

"Nobody would make loans on these grounds, but we do. Just because we know that they're telling us the truth and we know the circumstances."

Although the underlying factor in all the problems of the Watts area, economics is not always easy to get at, thus WLCAC has found itself reaching out in nearly every direction to build a better community.

Significantly, the first success of the brand-new organization was a drive to have built a new hospital to serve the area.

(The need for hospital facilities was involved in the spark which ignited the six day of rioting, which cost 35 lives, $200 million in damage and was called the worst U.S. riot in nearly a quarter century.

Rioting broke out in Los Angeles after a white policeman, two miles from Watts, stopped and shot to death a Negro motorist Leonard Deadwyler who was
rushing his expectant wife to County General Hospital).

With the nearest hospital 15 miles from Watts, the McCone Commission—created to study causes of the riot—recommended that a hospital be built to serve the community. WLCAC spearheaded a hospital referendum drive, which failed, but "we went before the commissioners until they finally got tired of looking at us and pushed that hospital through," said Watkins.

Construction is now underway on the 470-bed, $24 million structure, renamed the Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Hospital, to serve the Watts-Willowbrook area which has a population of 347,000. Watkins has been named a vice president of the permanent South Los Angeles Hospital Authority Commission.

WLCAC next turned its attention to the kids of Watts.

With understanding no outside organization could muster, WLCAC realized: "Much of the mischief and more serious trouble that our youngsters get into is a desperate cry for help, for attention."

Watkins observed: "The process of socialization that our boys and girls are exposed to from the time they begin to crawl is a very tragic one.

"The destruction of our family structure has been effective to the point where the father has been driven out of the home (because of his inability to provide for his family) and the mother has been forced to become dependent upon the welfare system, which stifles drive, ambition and motivation."

A father in the family can be a proud possession.

One teenager, enthusiastic about his WLCAC activities, described his family: "two brothers, one sister, a mother--and a father."

Ferman Moore, a husky 19-year-old, is typical of many Watts youth.

In the days immediately following the riot, Ferman Moore was "full of animosity."

"I had a lot of problems. I disagreed with a lot of things that were going on. I talked and tried to advocate a lot of violence; a lot of no good."
He was talking in a barber shop when he met Ted Watkins, who asked if he needed a job. "I said yeah, I need a job."

At the age of 17, he went to work as a WLCAC crew chief, supervising a group of young workers. But first, "I had to change my image, so the young brothers and sisters would grow up and want to be the right type of young men and women."

The work with the youth of Watts has been one of the most rewarding aspects of WLCAC for Watkins.

"The month we started working with kids we had 1,700 with us--cleaning up the community, going on trips, taking hikes," he recalled. "We found that these kids had never gotten any positive attention. It gave them some real, new kind of feeling as far as being somebody."

Obtaining funds from government anti-poverty programs to augment support from labor and other sources, WLCAC was able to engage once-idle youths in work-education-recreation projects and, at the same time, launch services beneficial to the community at large.

Enrollees are paid wages, whether their work is to help construct buildings or to clear a vacant lot of weeds and debris. They are not paid when they do not work. From the beginning it was clear that they would not be content with "make work" activities. They wanted skills, training, real jobs.

Both job training and transportation were tackled when WLCAC obtained from the government broken-down trucks and buses. The motor pool not only serves as a NYC-enrollee mechanics training school but also as a transportation center using the 60 repaired vehicles.

Just as the problems of Watts are interwoven, attempts to solve a given problem may begin to unravel others.

The seemingly single task of cleaning and beautifying the community led to the concept of "vest pocket parks," the utilization of scattered, home-sized vacant lots as playgrounds. Some 23 parks, including at least one to have swimming facilities and one for senior citizens, are near completion.

Attractive parks required, in addition to playground equipment, shrubbery and WLCAC persuaded private business to contribute half a million dollars worth of street trees, shrubs, ground cover and flowers. Then, for a place to cultivate these plants until they are planted throughout the community, WLCAC turned to the Los Angeles Dept. of Water and Power. In an unprecedented move, the department leased to WLCAC 12 blocks of fenced land beneath gigantic power lines and provided free water and thousands of feet of pipe for irrigation.
Negro-Labor Alliance

Providing training of CCC and NYC work crews, the "growing grounds" project is directed by Duane West, a landscape architect who said youths have an opportunity "to learn what it takes to grow a plant, how to water it and care for it. Most of them have never done this before. Then they realize that plants are a part of the visual improvement of our community."

A second service station recently was put into operation by WLCAC after officials of Mobil Oil described the volume of sales at the organization's original, eight-month old station at 103rd and Central Ave. as "fantastic." That station sells 65,000 gallons of gasoline a month and performs minor repairs through a program that offers training to 34 young men and women ages 16 to 21, said former longshoreman Lehman Copeland, WLCAC staffer and Mobil-trained general manager of the station.

The trainee program at service stations includes young women as well as males. Although this program works well, WLCAC discovered that young women in the area generally are more difficult to work with than the young men. "Their future expectations, based on their observations of their mothers and older sisters, are self-defeating," one adult explained. To meet this need, special girls' programs, including grooming courses designed to revive a sense of feminity, have been established.

Another youth training and money making venture launched by WLCAC is a poultry ranch located on an acre-sized lot outside the city limits. Equipped with incubators, cages and additional equipment, the ranch is stocked with 5,000 fowl and produces about 70 dozen eggs a day. Manure from the ranch is aged, chemically treated and used for fertilizing the portion of Water and Power Dept. land cultivated for the growth of farm produce.

Under the direction of Vertis Hayes, former chairman of the Dept. of Fine Arts at Le Moyne College in Memphis, Tenn., and one time product designer for a California-based maker of house and gift wares, young people work in ceramics, paints, pastels, papier-mache, soap carving and other methods of creative expression.

"In order to develop a person totally and well, you must help him to feel free to express himself," is the belief of Hayes, who, as a young man, entered the field of art against the advice and best wishes of persons who warned that as a Negro he would never be able to make a living.

"The art talent, though," observed Hayes, "is one of those strange things that seems fit often to visit across the railroad tracks, and we find it everywhere." In his project, one portion of the remediation program which includes math, English, grammar and social science, he has found "a great deal of talent, a great deal indeed."

A maker of miniature race cars contracted to have WLCAC put together the 15-piece section of track which counts the tiny car's revolutions around the track. Eight to 10 17-year-olds complete the production in a small-scale assembly line operation, expected to last two months and turn out 4,000 pieces.

The list of activities will go on--undoubtedly has been expanded even as this report is published, for WLCAC has gained that toehold which has eluded similar-intentioned groups in other communities.

Solidarity (August, 1968).

36. A BLACK CAUCUS FORMED IN AUTO UNION

By Jerry M. Flint
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37. OUT OF STRUGGLE—SOLIDARITY

By Cornelius Cobbs

The Solidarity Caucus at International Harvester's Tractor Works plant was formed three years ago to fight to regain and maintain decent working conditions and to educate the new, young members about their rights under the union contract. Our symbol is the clenched fist. It means that if we all stick together against International Harvester like a clenched fist, we can't be broken like the fingers of an outstretched hand.

From "All-Black" to "Solidarity"

The caucus had its origins when many of the militant blacks at International Harvester formed the New Breed Democratic Trade Union Caucus to try to break the white monopoly on skilled trades jobs. Last year some whites first asked to join the caucus because they also disliked what was going on in the shop.

They felt that our group was carrying on the best fight against the company, so they asked to be part of it. We believed we would be strengthened by their participation, so we agreed. Of course, the first thing people would catch when they saw New Breed was "all black," so we changed our name to Solidarity. The name is closer to labor's goals.

Of the 2400 workers at I.H., about 60% are white and 40% are black, but the leadership in the caucus remains black.

We work under one of the best contracts in the UAW chain. It comes from the fighting tradition of the plant, which dates back to the struggle for the eight-hour-day in 1886. Then, the plant was the McCormick Works Reaper Division, and the week was 60 to 80 hours long. It was the police brutality during the strike for a shorter day that called out the famous Haymarket demonstration 83 years ago.

A Fighting History

Ask any "old timer." He will tell you about the company goons, the
vicious role of the police, the techniques used by the company to break strikes, the harassment, the bloody, cracked heads, the guerilla movement within the shop, the infiltrators and informers, the wildcats and sit-down strikes, the unity forged in struggle.

He will tell you about the speed-up, the dirty conditions, the company favoritism, the dangerous equipment, the impossible work loads and the polluted air.

**Pace-setting Contract**

The union tradition was developed and fought for by minority-group whites . . . Poles, Italians, Bohemians, Irish, new immigrants who gave leadership to the others. They were members of the old Farm Equipment - United Electrical Workers union (FEUE), and the contracts they won set the pace for the industry. We brought their old contract with us intact when FEUE merged with the United Auto Workers in 1955.

The contract is unusually good in several ways. For example, we have never had compulsory overtime. Almost all of the auto industry contracts require it. We would never accept it. Second, we have one of the best piece-work systems in the Chicago area, and an excellent safety clause, because we can strike on it. Older workers in particular benefit from the contract because of the recent emphasis on pensions, vacations, holidays, medical benefits, and so on.

**What the 'Old-Timers' Don't Say**

Union tradition and the contract have established the local as a leader in the fight for good working conditions. But the story the "old timers" don't tell is how white racism or black exclusion was never dealt with. The blacks and other non-white minorities in the early days were put into the foundry . . . the heaviest and filthiest jobs in the plant. They were almost totally excluded from the skilled apprentice trades such as tool and die, millwrights, machine repair, and so on. These latter were the highest paying in the shop.

Under FDR, the union won a Fair Employment Practices clause in its contracts, but the problem of white racism remained. Today probably not more than one tenth of 1% of the apprentices or skilled workers are black or Spanish-American. This is one of the main reasons why we formed the Solidarity Caucus.

**Contract Weakened**

The second major reason for the Solidarity Caucus is because of the inroads made by the company on the hard-won gains of the past. Although the contract is advanced compared to others, Harvester has knocked big holes into it. For example, formerly there was no prohibition on strikeable grievances. Now, these are limited to health, sanitation and piece-work prices. Before, we had a 52 week-maximum benefit period for workers injured on the job. This has been slashed to 26 weeks.

The list of grievances includes the harassment and speed-up of the piece-workers, the severe discipline imposed for minor violations of company rules, the refusal or delay by the company in controlling smoke pollution in the welding departments and the severe breakdown in the processing of grievances.

An example is the speed-up and harassment of piece workers that affects blacks and whites. Last June we had a sit-down on the Department 55 line, where earth-moving tractors are made, because Harvester tried to put another tractor on the line without adding more men. Traditionally, a new tractor on the line has meant 100 additional new men at the Personnel office. So when they try to sweat more work out of us, we have to use the slow-down or sit-down to make them appreciate the meaning of the contract.

**Speed-up Increasing**

The piece-work departments are especially vulnerable to speed-up. For example, where a lot of fellows had to do 9 to 10 pieces before, they have to do almost 20 pieces now to make the rate. And if you do not make the rate in
30 days, you are disqualified. They will put you in a lower grade or put you on another job. The company literally wants to tie you to a machine.

**Company Harassment**

Or take the piece-work count. Anyone can make a human error. If you have 500 pieces to do, you count them. You might count 500, but maybe a checker will come around later and find only 490. You get a 30-day suspension! They say you are cheating. What we are trying to do is to put a stop to this harassment.

Or take vacation dismissals. The fellows want to get off at 12:00 to start their vacations early. Well, the foreman would not issue them personal passes, so they went home without them. When they came back they were suspended, some for three days, five days, seven days. Company harassment.

One fellow got 90 days off for a roll of tape. They found a roll of masking tape which he left in his work clothes going out the gate.

**The Harvester Company Is Flexing Its Muscles**

Health and safety are both affected because the plant is kept short of maintenance men and janitors. For example, the snorkel-exhausts for welding and cutting break down faster than the maintenance men can get to them for repairs, but the company won't hire any more men... their way of cutting down on overhead. The foremen say they have got to stick within their budget.

Another example: The company would not hire any more janitors, so they had to close up the toilets on the ground floor. Often it is very inconvenient for a fellow to run upstairs to use the toilet. Those that remain open were not cleaned up until the union threatened to bring in the state inspectors.

**Right to Ban Overtime Was Lost**

Most serious is the question of overtime. As I mentioned, we have never had compulsory overtime. But with every contract the company is coming closer and closer to it. In the last contract the union allowed the elimination of its power to completely ban overtime as a hammer in the grievance demands. Prior to this, the union could ban all overtime if there were outstanding, unresolved grievances. It was one of the most important protections we had.

**Sit Down in Allied**

Probably the most effective action we have taken was the sit down last fall in the Allied Building, where most of the cutting and welding operations are done. By one o'clock the afternoon of the action, the smoke from the welding machines was so thick you could cut it with a knife. You could not see your hand before your face.

What has been happening is that Harvester has been converting from the old steel-electrode welding to MIG (metal-inert-gas) welding. These machines work much faster. While the old ones had a ten-inch per minute burn-off rate of the electrode, the new machines burn at the rate of 160 inches per minute! They not only increase the workload down the line, but they also give more heat, smoke, and a gas called ozone, which is suspected of causing lung difficulties such as bronchitis and more colds.

The snorkel-exhausts on the machines do not remove the gas fast enough, and the flexible plastic hoses do not last under piece-work conditions. We had been promised fans to help remove the thick smoke, but we never got them. So we decided to stop all the machines. We sat down. Nobody worked. The whites and blacks together. Then we went to the Superintendent's office and stayed there, even though we were told to go back to work. The men stuck together until we won a concession: the company promised to install fans. Today several fans are in place.

**Education for Young Workers**

The Solidarity Caucus, I think, has played an important role in educating the workers. We have a program of education which we are trying to build around the young workers in the shop. Many of these kids have never been in labor before and they have just come out of the high schools, a few from college. They constitute at least one third of the force. On the day shift,
250 workers are being retired this year, making room for many more youngsters. For most of the men over forty or fifty, who fought in the battles of the early days, struggle is just a romantic notion, although they like to reflect on it and feel good about it. They just get up once in a while and have a testimonial to themselves and the good old days.

Of course we are not trying to build another union within Local 1301, so we do not have a highly organized form. Anything that the leadership brings up that is good for the members, we support. The leadership has participated in the civil rights marches to Selma, they have donated money to various organizations, and these things stand to their credit, as far as they go.

Caucus Runs on Program

Basically the caucus is not so much political as it is educational. When we ran a black for grievance man, it was because there was insufficient black representation from the membership. We want to choose our own leaders. And when we ran a white southerner for grievance zoneman, we were not "running a white." We were running a program. A man will vote for us if he accepts our program whether he is black or white, and whether our candidate is black or white. The main reason Solidarity takes the form it does, of black-white unity from the rank and file itself, is because of the need to put the greatest possible pressure on the company to regain and maintain our contractual gains.

Proportionate Representation Needed

Another big thing that is very important here is that we are demonstrating that blacks can become leaders, too. Here we are trying to show that when blacks are in control, whites are willing to follow that leadership because it speaks for them. When we formed a black-white coalition, we blacks joined it as equals. We were not underdogs in this coalition. It is hard for some people to accept this. You see, this is something that had never happened before. Usually they had mixed caucuses, but blacks never led them. At one time it was good that a small number of blacks were allowed to participate in the leadership of the union. Even tokenism had its place. Today, however, what is needed is not for someone to accept the "black position" in the leadership, but proportionate representation from the rank and file.

The future coalition, I think, will be the Appalachian whites who are the most dispossessed of the whites and the blacks and Spanish-Americans. The Solidarity Caucus can provide for the whites and blacks the proper trade union focus to trade union problems that have been neglected for years. And we can prove to many of the militant blacks that it is not the white guy who is on his back, who is chaining him to the ghetto, because the poor white does not own any ghettos.

In a way you might say that the black workers in the union are helping lead a fight with their white brothers to keep alive and to update the traditions of this plant ... black and white unity, rank and file democracy, Solidarity against International Harvester.

The Caucus is Fighting on the Issues

By Bill Foster

I support the Solidarity Caucus and ran on the Solidarity ticket for grievance zoneman, because the caucus is fighting against a lot of things that are going on at Harvester that I don't care for.

Right now the piece workers, especially, are in terrible shape. Harvester is cutting prices so you just can't make it anymore. Piece-work grievances are piled over my head, nine or ten months behind. They are not doing anything with them. After a year or so they just throw them out, and in the meantime the piece-workers take the brunt.

They are not giving the black workers a fair shake. In the skilled trades they might take one in, here and there, every so often, but I know that more are qualified. Harvester will tell you how you did, but they won't let you actually see the tests. This makes it easy for them to discriminate against black workers.
It does not bother me that the leadership of the caucus is mainly led by black people. Why should it? I think they have basically the same interest I have.

The opposition knows we are there. When we write a leaflet on some issue, they always come out with something a little more militant! I am sure there will be changes in the next few years, because the union is not serving its members as it should, but the caucus is fighting on the issues.

Investments in Slavery

Every month the company mails us their slick-printed magazine, *IH News*. Its purpose is to make us feel we are part of a great, modern family, to fill us with pride so we will come in and bang out those tractors. Every month they have a story about one or two of their plants. They try to show labor and management working together in harmony for everybody's benefit.

But one plant they never write about. One plant they don't even want us to know about is their plant in South Africa.

Conditions in South Africa are a worldwide scandal. By now, most people know something about a country where three million white colonialists ride on the backs of twelve million Africans and one million "coloreds"— East Indians and people of mixed blood.

In South Africa, the Africans are restricted to 10% of the land and the most menial jobs. They are denied education, and are not permitted to join or organize unions or political parties.

To show how they have been made prisoners in their own country, all Africans must carry a pass which they must show at any time to any white who demands it, or go to jail. In order to hold a job, travel in the white area or move from town to town, an African must have his pass specifically stamped.

Since 1948, five million Africans have been convicted of "pass violations." In the Sharpeville Massacre of a few years back, 109 Africans were shot down and their bodies stacked up like steel pipe—for the "crime" of refusing to carry a pass.

We think all Harvester workers should know the truth about how big corporations, including IHC, are involved in the looting and enslavement in South Africa.

IHC South Africa has operations in both production and distribution, centered in Johannesburg. In January of 1968 they sold 120 machines, so you can see it is a going concern. They manufacture farm implements to fit imported tractors, and may be producing Scout trucks as well. (Facts are hard to come by—they don't let their secrets out). Including Pan-African Industries, which they bought out in 1962, IHC South Africa now employs about 1000 workers, two-thirds African.

Wages and working conditions are governed by South African rules: strict apartheid (segregation), no Africans in unions, and so forth. Average wages in manufacturing in 1963 were as follows: whites $2,881, Africans $590 per year.

Now get this—here they are in Chicago with their "New Start" program, trying to show how concerned they are with the problems of black people, and there they are in South Africa with their teeth sunk into the neck of the black people.

Did you ever wonder why there are so few black workers in the skilled trades and higher classifications at Tractor Works? It's all part of the same policy.

Give one group (whites) a few miserable crumbs to make them feel better than the rest. That's company policy.

What's our policy? Is the old union principle "An injury to one is an injury to all" out of date?

Solidarity Committee Caucus, UAW Local 1301.

CINCINNATI, Ohio—New programs are bringing an increasing number of blacks into the ranks of UAW skilled tradesmen at Ford Motor Co. but far greater progress is urgently needed, according to UAW Vice President Ken Bannon.122

Bannon urged 100 delegates at a meeting of the UAW Ford skilled trades subcouncil here to work for expanded opportunities for non-whites in the trades.

Of the 20,525 UAW members in the apprenticeable trades at Ford, 864—4.2 per cent— are members of minority groups, Bannon said. The ratio in non-apprenticeable trades is 6.9 per cent of the 4,000 workers.

Bannon, director of the UAW Ford Dept., and Jeff Washington, assistant director of the UAW Manpower Development and Training Dept., outlined ways in which local unions may increase opportunities for nonwhites in the skilled trades.

Washington detailed Project Outreach, a program under which classes are set up in local unions to provide intensive instruction and counseling to workers who want to take skilled trades apprenticeship tests.

Other actions taken to increase opportunities for minorities and for workers disadvantaged by inadequate education include a pre-apprentice program at several Ford plants. This program allows an applicant who fails a portion of the apprenticeship tests to undergo instruction aimed at overcoming his deficiencies.

The UAW also won agreement from Ford to test only current employees, halting the practice of bringing in new skilled trades apprentices from outside. Testing procedures were changed, allowing greater supervision by the UAW Ford joint apprenticeship committee. Notices of the tests and the procedures for applying were posted more widely in all plants.

Washington told the skilled tradesmen that while upwards of 30 per cent of the UAW membership consists of minorities—blacks, Chicanos, Puerto Ricans, Indians and Orientals—less than five per cent are tradesmen.

"This is the most serious internal problem facing our union today," he said, adding: "In many areas, if the union doesn't eliminate racial imbalance, the government is going to do it."

While aimed at improving opportunities for minorities, Project Outreach has trained more than 1,500 workers, of whom 53 per cent were Caucasians. Washington noted: "If a member, regardless of color, needs the training, it's available."

UAW Solidarity (September, 1972).

"This election wasn't easy—the victory wasn't easy. Racism was used against the slate. Some of the union officials told the workers the Progressive Slate was an all-black slate. But our candidates for the Election Committee, three black and three white, ran on the issues, and we won four out of six spots."

Building Unity

A group of auto workers were talking about the first stage of an election in their union, Local 110, United Auto Workers, at the Chrysler truck plant in St. Louis. The Progressive Slate referred to was the outgrowth of what began as a Black Caucus in the local, expanded to include white workers, and fielded a slate led by a Black candidate for president and a white candidate for vice president. The caucus promises to be a growing, dynamic force in the union.
The brothers and sisters told Labor Today how the Black Caucus used to discuss racism, speed-up, health and safety—all issues—at their meetings: "We found out as we went along that the problems in the shop were problems that had to be dealt with from a worker's point of view. We found out we had to reach out and pull all workers together—female, male, Black and white."

**Strengthen the Union**

Using leaflets written in clear, powerful language aimed right at the brass-tacks issues concerning all workers at the plant, the slate won quick popularity.

But company and some union officials felt threatened by leaflets headlined: "Only a STRONG UNION can Break the Chains of layoffs, cutbacks, oppression, speedups and unjust firing."

Leaflets declaring: "What we need are candidates who are going to fight against the wage-price freeze, right-to-work laws and other anti-labor legislation... And this can only be done with candidates running not on friendship, color, or being a good guy, but on the candidates' knowledge of the issues, as well as willingness to fight for us once elected. And let it also be added that it is only the rank and file workers that can create this willingness to fight on the part of the elected official."

**The Whispered Lie**

A whispering campaign was launched against the slate. Rumors that it represented a Black group seeking Black control of the union, or even planning to form an all-Black union, began to be heard in the plant.

The Slate answered this and other slander with more leaflets. They showed that the six candidates for the Election Committee were divided not only into three whites, three blacks, but also three day-shifters and three night-shifters.

"We didn't know how effective our leaflets would be," a leader of the Black Caucus said. However, a white worker candidate who was attacked the most by local union officials and some racist white workers for being associated with the so-called black slate—anyway, after the results were in, he had the most votes. A black candidate came in second and the slate finished one, two, three, four out of the six spots."

**Progressive Slate**

Next came the general elections. The Slate ran 28 candidates. The platform dealt with problems faced by all segments of the local. "For instance, we had women workers who were being placed on jobs some men weren't being assigned to because of physical limitations." A perverse "concern" for women's equality found the company putting women on these heavy jobs. And union officials did nothing about it.

They also proposed that the educational committee conduct orientation programs for new workers informing them of the history of unions and the role unions have played in the labor movement.

**Racism Dies Hard**

But despite—or, really because of—this broad platform aimed at problems shared by all workers, the "all-Black takeover" rumor flew thicker and faster in the general election last June.

The facts of the matter there were only 700 blacks out of 3,000 in the local, and none of the union officials was Black.

"But there was somewhat of a breakdown of sorts in terms of fighting racism," a candidate on the Progressive Slate told Labor Today. "Some of the white workers became concerned about their own race (for election) in certain departments. But we had some white workers who stayed with it and who really got out there and fought racism to the end. And we won something like 8 out of 28 races."

**The Case of Sister Brown**

The Progressive Slate and the Black Caucus did not fight racism in the abstract. "There are so many anti-worker practices underlying racism at the
Chrysler plant and others across the country that any union worthy of the name should be fighting on this front of the local and international level every day."

For example, at Local 110 there was the case of Gloria Brown, who was discharged because she rejected the advances of her foreman.

The foreman "wrote her up" as unable to do her job—a difficult job she had been switched to after refusing to date him on two occasions. She went to the union, which had already deducted $80 in dues and initiation fees from her paychecks.

"They told me there was nothing they could to--I didn't have my 90 days in."

No One Safe

Sister Brown went to the Black Caucus and a week or two after she was discharged a leaflet was issued. The leaflet headlined "No One Safe," pointed out that no worker could be secure in his job if management was permitted to get rid of a person in the manner in which the foreman McCullough reportedly discharged Sister Brown.

"It was only after she stood and defended her dignity as a woman and a worker that she was found to be unsatisfactory."

The leaflet called for Sister Brown's reinstatement and the firing of the foreman. (Her case is still pending).

The Issue Is Joined

The second half of the leaflet defended the rights of Danny Burns, a white worker unjustly fired from another department in the plant.

Alonzo Bimbo, a foreman, threw his helmet at Burns, the leaflet charged, with the intention "not only to harass Danny but also to do bodily harm."

Bimbo was angry because Burns, through no fault of his own, had run out of material. About 50 workers saw the incident and signed a petition to the union, demanding action. They also notified the Black general foreman, Willie Walker, because, as the leaflet stated, they "felt that he would act to straighten out the issue because . . . he was once a worker, and being Black, had received some of the worst treatment."

Stick Together

But Walker stood with the "wrong-doing foreman." And the leaflet concluded:

"Now all the workers fully understand that company men stick together, no matter what color the workers are. We as workers must stick together too, stick together and demand the firing of Bimbo and the firing of McCullough."

Enforce the Contract

The caucus is also demanding strict enforcement of contract provisions for in-plant promotion.

One leader of the Black Caucus said: "They have hired directly off the street in order to avoid putting a Black in the skilled trades area. I have put in for the skilled trades—carpentry—and I have my apprenticeship hours in. But I never heard from management and they have hired directly off the street since my application's been in. It would be more than a dollar an hour for me if I were in carpentry."

Selling Us Short

The Black Caucus also feels the UAW is selling out to management in the area of so-called productivity. As one brother put it: "The first thing they say when they sit down at contract time with management is that management reserves the right to run the plant. It's about the first thing they (union leaders) agree on when they go up to bat."

As a result, in the speed-up and the use of smaller work forces to do more and more producing, the dispensary and even the morgue fill up."

"They have millwrights," one Chrysler worker said, "so when a line breaks down from too much stress and strain and too many hours running, they have men to come and get it back in operation."
"Your body performs so many hours and you don't have anybody to come put it back in operation. And after 10 hours a day, six days a week, if you have to just, like, rest it, and take a day off because you're exhausted, that's not good enough unless you have a doctor's statement."
"They want you to have a heart attack."

Bored, Hell! We’re Tired!

Another worker agreed: "If they don't fight for these things at contract time this time, we're just in trouble all over again. When I first started with the UAW, I thought things were supposed to get better. I thought we were supposed to progress. With automation the job should get easier over the years, for everybody.

But it ain't working like that. When I started, we were on eight hour schedules. But now, as far as I'm concerned the eight hour day is just nonexistent. It's just on the books. We're working ten hours a day--and more often than not, six days a week!" Hooting at Woodcock's harmony proposal, he said: "We're out there on the line and they're up there in the office. No way we can get together and play 'hand-shakey!'"

Bright Future Ahead for the Union

A veteran member of the caucus described the election campaign as "historical." He went on to say: "We had a Black candidate for president who got the most votes on the first ballot, although he lost in the run-off. Our candidate for vice-president won. We had Black and white candidates running for offices throughout the structure. And a Black won election for a plant-wide office--the first time."

This brother sees greater successes ahead, and a bright future for the union: "... because the caucus is concerned with the well-being of all workers. ... By educating the workers, making them more aware, I think we started on the road to make a progressive union. Because we gave leadership, some of the incumbents, and some of the people elected on their slate have come to the Black Caucus and agreed to work with us on Standing Committees."

"The recently published positions of the union officials who have just won, are very similar to the ones that the Caucus presented prior to the election."

"We're breathing down their backs. We're not really opposing them. We're just trying to make them more progressive."


40. BLACK-WHITE CAUCUSES WIN UAW LOCAL OFFICES

By Ted Pearson

Content removed at rightsholder’s request.
Content removed at rightsholder’s request.
41. STEPP NAMED FIRST BLACK UAW HEAD AT BIG 3 PLANT

By William Allan


42. LABOR, BLACKS MEET, MAP POLITICAL PUSH

By Geoffrey Jacques
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Fraser's Statement

At a crowded news conference at Cobo Hall this morning, Douglas A. Fraser, UAW president stated:

"We're on a collision course with the Democratic Party and they ought to be put on notice about that."

"The politics of personality and not the politics of principle are being practiced in Washington," Fraser continued.

This meeting, which included leaders of 20 national unions and 75 national civil rights, environmental, and women's organizations, will demand both "accountability and responsibility" from the Democratic Party, Fraser said. . . .


BUILDING TRADES

43. NAACP BATTLE FRONT
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NAACP Branch to secure cancellation of the $32 million contract for construction of a Federal Office building.

CLOSED SHOP, CLOSED UNIONS

The craft unions in the construction industry are "closed unions operating closed shops," Roy Wilkins, executive director of the NAACP, charged in an address at the third national conference of Plans for Progress held in Washington, D.C., on January 26.

In addition to Mr. Wilkins, Vice President Hubert H. Humphrey and Whitney Young Jr., participated in the conference called by the President's Committee on Equal Employment Opportunity and attended by 500 top executives of the nation's major corporations.

The Vice President called for an all-out effort by big industry to curb the "massive unemployment among nonwhite workers in our cities." Mr. Young warned that Negro unemployment is "approaching a catastrophe."

"Construction unions," Mr. Wilkins said, "have imposed de facto closed shop arrangements that give the union effective job control. As far as the Negro worker is concerned, the skilled craft locals in the building and construction trades are closed unions operating closed shops."

"This, of course, is clear violation of the National Labor Relations Act as well as of executive orders banning racial discrimination. The excuse of unemployment in this industry is not valid." He cited a recent New York City report indicating an increase of 20,000 jobs in construction in that city.

The NAACP leader reviewed the efforts of the Association to level the color bar in construction industry employment in Philadelphia, New York City, Cleveland and elsewhere. Also cited were the more than 900 complaints filed by the NAACP with the President's Committee alleging job discrimination in plants of some of the country's largest corporations.

"The history of government contract compliance in relation to anti-discrimination provisions indicates that so-called 'voluntary compliance' is an inadequate approach," he said. Plans for Progress was instituted as a means of securing voluntary compliance with executive orders requiring non-discrimination.

"The encrusted traditions of anti-Negro practices by employers and certain powerful labor unions have become the traditional pattern in many industries and is deeply resistant to change," he asserted.

"If real significant gains are to be made for Negroes, not just token and symbolic breakthroughs, then something much more vigorous and pattern-oriented than the hesitant approach that is suggested by the term 'voluntary compliance' is required," Mr. Wilkins told the industry leaders.

Recent rulings by the NLRB holding "that discrimination by a labor union is an unfair labor practice" were hailed by Mr. Wilkins as a "new note of progress." He cited also a noticeable increase of Negroes in higher-paying positions in government, industry, films and television.


44. NY BUILDING TRADES UNIONS FACE DISCRIMINATION HEARINGS

William H. Booth, chairman of the N.Y. City Commission on Human Rights said he will ask a full meeting of the civil rights body on March 30 to set public hearings to determine whether the building trades unions have made progress against discrimination since the 1963 hearings.

Booth's announcement came after Peter J. Brennan, president of the Building and Construction Trades Council of New York told newsmen in Washington he had no plans to submit reports on discrimination conditions in the council's affiliates requested by Booth. He said Booth should request each local for such data.126

Booth said last Sunday he set a week's deadline for submission of the reports in letters to Brennan and to Harry Van Arsdale, president of the
Central Labor Council, after the two told him progress had been made in integrating some of the lilywhite areas, and promised him reports within two weeks. But such reports never came, he said.127

Brennan, attending the Building trades legislative conference in Washington, termed Booth's action "political."

Booth said his move in the unions parallels his letters to 15 major suppliers to the city in which he asked them why they don't employ more Negroes and Puerto Ricans.

Booth said he is seeking an executive order from Mayor Lindsay directing companies to comply with equal job opportunities laws on pain of cancellation of contracts with them.

Booth appeared to be dissatisfied with the rate of integration in the apprenticeship program of Electrical, Local 3, a union that has been cited for comparatively more advanced efforts to get Negro and Puerto Rican youths into its apprenticeship program. Recently, said Booth in an interview, Van Arsdale took him to the apprenticeship school of Local 3.

"Sure enough," said Booth, "there was a sprinkling of Negroes among the apprentices. But I told him that didn't prove anything, I'd have to see figures."

The 1963 hearings, an aftermath of the wave of civil rights demonstrations at construction projects that year, showed that certain building trades unions were almost 100 percent lilywhite, notably in sheet metal, plumbers and iron workers.

The Worker, March 27, 1966.

45. BUILDING TRADES TAKE SOLID STAND AGAINST DISCRIMINATION

Bal Harbour, Fla.—Delegates from AFL-CIO Building Trades unions voted unanimously here that "we wholeheartedly support apprenticeship programs and selection procedures which are nondiscriminatory, uniform and fair."

Members of 18 unions representing 3.5 million tradesmen in the U.S. and Canada made it clear that "we oppose and will not tolerate discrimination" and, while favoring efforts to encourage the entry of minority-group members into the skilled trades, they will continue to oppose any lowering of the standards each trade has maintained over the years for the admission of new members.

Delegates to the 54th convention of the AFL-CIO Building & Construction Trades Dept. acted on the subject of hiring practices and apprentice training regulations in two resolutions affirming that:

* The department endorses generally the "principle of affirmative action to assist Negroes and other minority group persons in finding suitable employment" and invites Labor Dept. officials to discuss the matter in depth with B&CTD representatives "in the hope that sources of conflict may be diminished and a higher degree of cooperation obtained."

* Delegates condemn the use of the Office of Federal Contract Compliance, a Labor Dept. agency, of such a formula as "minority representation in every craft in every phase of the work" on every federal building project. Such formulas are "often impossible of fulfillment and destructive of working conditions and performance standards."

* The construction unions call on contractors to join in opposing such "unrealistic approaches and unsound formulas which could destroy the efficiency and flexibility of an industry which historically has met the needs of the country in war and peace" and "can continue to meet those needs totally and without discrimination."

A convention committee drafted a resolution detailing labor's objections to proposed regulations for federal apprentice training programs which find the unions "guilty before trial" of discriminatory practices, and destroy the voluntary character of training programs supported by Building Trades unions and the contractors who hire their members.
Labor Sec. W. Willard Wirtz, a convention speaker, said he had read the resolution on the proposed changes and "while I don't go along with every­thing" in the department's list of objections, "I think it is an error" to talk in terms of "one or more Negroes or whites or anybody else as being required" on every single construction job.

Wirtz said he will talk further about the apprenticeship rules at a January meeting with a departmental committee of union presidents.

AFL-CIO Pres. George Meany, noting that the federation has a policy against racial discrimination "to which I completely subscribe," said he was "quite sure" the Building Trades Dept. adheres to that policy. In today's world, which is largely non-white, it is "just a matter of good common sense, as well as a matter of decent human relations, to eliminate discrimination," he declared.

He asked the 255 delegates "how do you get these new members that they say it is desirable to have? How do you get colored boys into these highly skilled trades? Well, you get them in the way you have always gotten' union members.

Meany recalled that he had had to pass an examination as a journeyman 50 years ago to join his local union of Plumbers in New York City, he added "I don't mind telling you that I failed the first examination. And it was on the level because my father was president of the union."

Meany said his father was "just as sore as hell--not at the examining board that turned me down, but at me. And I had to wait six months and serve another six months as an apprentice" before taking another exam.

There is "no other way" to meet the problem of screening untrained applicants for membership than by the apprenticeship route, and "no short cut," the AFL-CIO president declared. "And when we bring them in as appren­tices," he asked, "do we lower the standards? I say absolutely no. We do not compromise the standards."

Meany was strongly applauded when he said "I think we should say to all government agencies that we are prepared to follow the AFL-CIO policy of non-discrimination but that we are not prepared to lower the standards of our industry or trade to do that."

The convention received greetings from Pres. Johnson in a message and heard major addresses from two members of his cabinet—Wirtz and Sec. Robert C. Weaver of the Dept. of Housing & Urban Development. Other speakers included Pres. Paul Hall of the Seafarers, Dr. Donald Shulman of the AFL-CIO Dept. of Civil Rights, and Deputy Dir. Joseph Rourke of the federation's Committee on Political Education.128

New four-year terms in office were voted without contest for Dept. Pres. C. J. Haggerty and Sec.-Treas. Frank Bonadio, and two-year terms for the department's 10 vice presidents, all top officers of affiliated unions.

Bonadio reported that, since the 1965 convention, the department char­tered 22 new councils and issued more than 1 million quarterly membership cards to building tradesmen whose unions applied for them.

In other actions, the convention voted to support the present Administra­tion policy on Viet Nam but strongly condemned the activities of two govern­ment departments—that of the comptroller general for assertedly advising the Atomic Energy Commission and other federal agencies that they need not observe prevailing wage rates set by Wirtz for contractors on federal con­struction jobs, and the Office of Federal Contract Compliance, which was accused of trying to force hiring quotas for a specified number of minority­group members on contractors and unions.

Weaver praised Haggerty for welcoming the opportunity to provide workers and to train new workers for the model cities program and other programs designed to rebuild rundown neighborhoods in 63 demonstration cities. Weaver also proposed that organized labor help raise $1 billion to match the amount pledged by U.S. insurance companies to "create new and rehabilitated" housing.

Slaiman reported that in the civil rights area "we are making progress." Building Trades councils and local unions are "taking in large numbers of minority group youngsters into apprenticeship trades," Slaiman said. He cited two examples—120 Negroes and Puerto Ricans were in the graduating class recently of 800 journeymen and apprentices sponsored by New York Local 3 of the Intl. Brotherhood of Electrical Workers; and the Washington, D.C. Bricklayers local has 800 Negro journeymen in its ranks.
Rourke warned of the need for extensive COPE campaigns and the collection of badly needed COPE dollars in coming election campaigns. He said "there are at least 10 friendly senators who could go down to defeat unless we do the job." He added: "If we lose 30 more congressmen—and it can happen—we'll have the book thrown at us" in the form of punitive laws.

Earlier, Haggerty had advised local unions to seek qualified craftsmen in city areas and start trainee programs "for those area youths who show an interest and an aptitude."

Hall reported that the Maritime Trades Dept., which he also heads, is preparing to release a detailed study of the adverse impact of the Landrum-Griffin Act and the way it is used against unions. A similar study will be made of the National Labor Relations Board, Hall said.

He reported that in the South and some other areas, employers can get an "ex parte injunction" against unions any time they want one, and receive prompt action from NLRB regional officials while unions must wait "anywhere from three months to six months" for decisions that could be made in a few days or a week at most.

Pres. Thomas J. Murray of the Chicago-Cook County Building & Construction Trades Council gave the oath of office to the top officers and the following vice presidents: M. A. Hutcheson, Carpenters; Gordon M. Freeman, Intl. Brotherhood of Electrical Workers; Peter T. Schoemann, Plumbers & Pipefitters; Edward J. Leonard, Plasterers; John H. Lyons, Iron Workers; Russell K. Berg, Boilermakers; Hunter P. Wharton, Operating Engineers; Thomas F. Murphy, Bricklayers; Peter Fosco, Laborers, and L. M. Raftery, Painters.129


46. BUILDING UNIONS BOILING OVER GOV'T.

MINORITY WORK GAIN SIGHTED

PHILADELPHIA -- A rapidly accelerating showdown between industrial building unions and the Federal government's new policy of having regional Federal agencies pools insist on population-proportionate hiring of Negroes on all roads, buildings or other contracts using federal money, was developing here this week.

The new Federal agency pool policy of immediately and fully ruling on minority hiring and subsequent cancellation of contracts, without months of red tape, has infuriated both contractors and building trades unions.

The plan has been put into practice in Cleveland first, where much of the current racial peace has been attributed to the program, and later in Philadelphia.

In Philadelphia in recent weeks, it has resulted in cancellation of a $37.7 million contract to a builder to construct a new U.S. Mint. It has voided contracts for $97 millions in U.S. aided road construction and the re-allocation of millions of dollars more being planned for roads in Pennsylvania next year.

The not-yet-allocated millions of dollars for such road work will be used to appeal House Ways and Means Chairman Wilbur Mills' demand that federal domestic spending be cut in light of threats of inflation and Vietnam war demands, it was said.

It has also resulted in cancellation for $19 millions worth of federally-aided building construction.

The regional Office of Federal Contract Compliance said that it will also affect another $50-million to $75-million in Federally-funded construction, outside of road work, within the next three or four months.

That is, said the OFFC official, if contractors fail to provide an unequivocal commitment and proof that they have hired the proper share of minority workmen at most levels.
The impasse has produced "crash" training programs for Negro youths who are to be paid apprenticeship wages while learning in both Philadelphia and Pittsburgh.

Last week a Philadelphia Councilman, W. Thatcher Longstreth, asked the Federal government to institute a three-month moratorium on the Regional Pool of Federal Agencies Plan in Philadelphia. He also asked for an immediate summit conference among unions, contractors and Federal officials.

The new program of the Regional Federal Agencies Pools follows quickly on a report made by NAACP labor secretary Herbert Hill the first week in April in which he stated he had evidence of a secret agreement of officials of the U.S. Labor Dept. with contractors and unions to institute a "token" training program for Negroes in crafts union trades. The NAACP's Hill said the agreement purported that the Federal contracts would continue "lily-white" despite the token training program.

All this seems to be changed now, as far as the Government is concerned, although Hill has threatened that the NAACP will file suits over the issue.

In light of the cancellations and the new practices, James Loughlin, business-manager of the 30,000-member Philadelphia Building and Construction Trades Council which includes 55 local unions, said:

"The feeling in some of our unions is that if they try to put non-union members into these jobs, our people will just walk off the job."

Loughlin said he feels that the new Regional Federal Agencies Pool program is "only a move to destroy the apprentice programs and standards we have developed over the past 50 years."

He said he believes the plan is illegal in that it "infers a quota system on a race basis for hiring."

He blasted OFCC coordinator Bennett O. Stallworth, who is director of the Regional Federal Agencies Pool, for "only talking to the Trades Council once in 19 months."

His views were supported by Joseph F. Burke, president of the Sheet Metal Workers Local 19, which has a membership of 1400, of which only three are Negroes.

Burke said his union had tried to enlist Negro apprentices but the results have been "disappointing." He said that since 1963 the union took applications from 1148 persons, of whom 99 were Negroes.

Out of the 98 he said 88 qualified to take the entrance test. However, when the tests were given only 60 showed up.

Out of the 60, only 11 passed and were invited to start the apprentice program, but only three showed up.

He did not say how small the number of Negroes was expected to be when the Negro apprentice group reached the journeyman stage.

Burke said this was a typical experience in dealing with getting Negroes into the industrial unions programs.

Meanwhile, Bayard Rustin, outstanding Negro civil rights leader who is executive director of the A. Philip Randolph Institute, said: "The unions are certainly the only major institution that wholly subscribes to, and substantially embodies in its own programs, the proposals and priorities outlined in the Freedom Budget for All Americans."

The Freedom Budget, which had been endorsed by such other Negro leaders as Dr. Martin Luther King Jr., the Urban League's Whitney Young and others is the ultimate goal of the "Poor People's Campaign" set to converge in May from all parts of the nation on Washington D.C.

The Freedom Budget is a program devised by Leon Keyserling, who served as national budget director for President Truman and a task force of the nation's best national economists, as a formula for ridding the United States of both poverty and racism in the next ten years by a budget of $185 billions and a $2-per-hour national minimum wage.

47. OPPOSITION TO PHILADELPHIA PLAN

RESOLUTION NO. 187—By Delegate Maywood Boggs; Metal Trades Department, AFL-CIO.

WHEREAS, The building trades in its efforts to meet the growing demand for skilled craftsmen have in the past worked hand-in-glove with the federal government to select and train the most qualified young men for the skilled trades, and
WHEREAS, This training program has become selective due to the higher skills needed to perform the highly diversified tasks within our industry requiring more education and dedication, and
WHEREAS, The building trades through its own efforts has made progress and its participating in projects which will give minority groups more equal opportunity based on fair and impartial qualifying examinations, and
WHEREAS, The Philadelphia Plan is high-handed, ambiguous and is trying to be enforced without due process, and
WHEREAS, The contractors through the competitive bidding process and government specifications are required to have the best craftsmen possible in order to meet competition and specifications, and
WHEREAS, the Philadelphia Plan can in no way produce instant mechanics regardless of color, and
WHEREAS, This plan can only increase the cost to the contractor and cause detriment to all affected trades, and
WHEREAS, The revised Philadelphia Plan is in definite conflict with the Civil Rights Act of 1964 whereby quotas for Negro or other minority employment are disallowed by law, and
WHEREAS, The Civil Rights Act of 1964 can be searched in vain for authorization to require such programs; therefore, be it
RESOLVED: That the AFL-CIO use all the power and persuasiveness at its means to defeat this plan, to the point and including the withholding of all manpower from any federal project perpetuating this plan, and be it further
RESOLVED: That this Convention prevail upon the Congress to enforce the Civil Rights Act of 1964 by striking down the Philadelphia Plan as not meeting the requirements of the act; and, be it further
RESOLVED, That this Convention prevail further upon Congress to withhold funds from any federal projects where this Philadelphia Plan, or similar plan, is being enforced.


48. REVISED PHILADELPHIA PLAN

RESOLUTION NO. 270

WHEREAS, The Building and Construction Trades Department (AFL-CIO) has been engaged in a program of practical affirmative action to increase the employment of Negroes and other minority groups in the building and construction industry which has produced substantial, concrete results, and
WHEREAS, The Building and Construction Trades Department (AFL-CIO) at its 55th Convention in Atlantic City, New Jersey adopted a Statement of Policy which strengthened and expanded its affirmative action program, and
WHEREAS, The department's Statement of Policy declared that:
"We are convinced that the goal of increasing Negro and other minority worker participation in the building and construction trades can be accomplished with due regard to the rights of the existing organized work force. We think such an approach is preferable to unthinking actions which tend to pit one part of the population against the other." and
WHEREAS, The Amended Revised Philadelphia Plan is a part of a pattern of conduct formulated by political strategists in the Nixon administration to divide the labor movement while slowing the process of implementing the
civil rights program on voting and education in the South, and
WHEREAS, The Revised Philadelphia Plan was adopted after an admittedly improper procedure which was described by the Assistant Secretary of Labor in charge of the Office of Federal Contract Compliance as follows: "... in Philadelphia we announced the Plan then did the hard research." and
WHEREAS, The "hard research" consisted among other things of describing as "Surveys Conducted by Agencies of the United States Department of Labor" a memorandum to the files by one government employee quoting another government employee's "conservative estimates" of "minority manpower available." On the crucial question of minority manpower available, the Philadelphia Order is based also on a single typewritten sheet of "estimates" which apparently became a "Manpower Administration Survey" by writing those words in pencil in the upper right hand corner of the typewritten sheet, and
WHEREAS, the unsubstantiated statistics in this matter raise a serious question as to whether the high standards applicable to the fact finding processes of the Department of Labor have been fulfilled, and
WHEREAS, the Comptroller General of the United States, a non-political officer, who cannot be accused of partiality toward the labor movement, ruled the Philadelphia Revised Plan illegal (August 5, 1969) as in conflict with the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and
WHEREAS, There is doubt as to the validity of the after-the-fact ruling of the Attorney General on the said Revised Philadelphia Plan issued September 22, 1969, and
WHEREAS, The Amended Revised Philadelphia Plan continues the quota system under new legal verbiage, and
WHEREAS, It is clearly evident that the Department of Labor is approaching the question of employment opportunities in the construction industry in a manner highly discriminatory to that industry and unlike the government's approach to other industries such as the textile mills in the South as evidenced by the approved affirmative action program of the J. P. Stevens Company where the "goals" are determined by the company rather than the government and where it is specifically stated that these goals and time-tables "will not be interpreted as numerical quotas . . .", and
WHEREAS, The Amended Revised Philadelphia Plan in addition to its illegality and lack of foundation in fact is impracticable and unworkable.
RESOLVED: That this Convention go on record as opposing the Philadelphia Plan, the Revised Philadelphia Plan, and the Amended Revised Philadelphia Plan.
RESOLVED FURTHER: That the Secretary of Labor is requested to make a personal inquiry into the fact finding and other procedures leading to the issuance of the Amended Revised Philadelphia Plan to ascertain their consistency with the otherwise high standards of the Department of Labor and to take appropriate action on the basis of such inquiry.
The Committee recommends adoption of Resolution 222, and I so move.
... The recommendation of the Committee was adopted.

49. BLACK CLAIMS BIAS IN UNION TRAINING PLAN

By Martin J. Herman

Randolph Hughes signed up for a six-month program to learn how to operate heavy equipment three years ago with a belief he would be making $15,000 a year after completion.
Hughes finished confident he could operate a wide variety of construction equipment. But his dream of a high salary never materialized.
It took him nearly a month after graduation to find his first job. And his first-year salary was $6,900. This year he's made even less -- about $2,500.
Discrimination Charged

The reason for his frustration, Hughes, a black man, claims, is racial discrimination by Local 542 of the International Union of Operating Engineers which ran the now defunct training program.

Hughes said in one year he was referred to 23 different jobs by Local 542. Some lasted a few days; others several weeks. Some, he said, were long distances from his home, 6253 Old York Road, and most were of the lowest paying in the union, which has a top rate of $8.13 per hour for cherry picker and back hoe operators.

Class Action Suit

Hughes, 30, and several other program graduates, spoke at a press conference called by Community Legal Services Inc. and Attorney General J. Shane Creamer to announce a class action suit seeking to remedy discrimination against blacks by Local 542, four contractors associations and a private contractor.

The suit, filed in U.S. District Court, alleges that the defendants have consistently discriminated against blacks and other minority groups to keep them from union membership and high-paying jobs that are available to whites.

The suit specifically lists 12 black persons, most of whom attended the press conference at 311 S. Juniper St. But it seeks to represent the entire class of persons who are so discriminated against.

Claimed 900 Black Members

It alleges that Local 542 was exempted from the Philadelphia Plan for Negro hiring on the basis of its claim that it already had 900 black members and an agreement that the union made with the Federal Government. The agreement was that the local would take more blacks into the union and add blacks to its apprenticeship program and upgrade the existing skills of black members then on union roles.

The suit states that the union actually has 193 black members and undertook to provide these benefits through two training programs which were financed by the state and Federal governments. Pennsylvania paid 80 percent of the cost of the programs which cost $1.2 million.

The money went to rent obsolete equipment at "prime rates," and to pay white instructors also "prime rates," the suit alleges.

'Non Marketable Skills'

"In reality the blacks were trained on obsolete equipment and taught non-marketable skills, and only a handful ever received union membership," the suit claims. The suit asked the court to supervise recruitment and job training programs of the union.

Hughes said yesterday that some of the trainees have not yet gone to work.

Those blacks who did get job referrals, he claimed, were those "who went along with anything the union said." Hughes said he could not operate all types of equipment.

'Because I Was Black'

"I don't think I was discriminated against because of my inexperience," he added. "Only because I was black."

Howard Goodman, a CLS lawyer, said the union refers candidates to jobs through a hiring hall and that this is one way the union performed some of the discrimination. He claimed that a chronological system of referring men should be established.

Creamer said he believed it was the first time such an action had been taken against a labor union by the state. He said after investigating the allegations the state felt it was "in the public interest" to join with the CLS in the suit.

Tighter Controls Needed

William H. Wilcox, secretary of the state Department of Community Affairs, said tighter controls will have to be instituted to see the problem
does not occur again. He said the program did not provide the help expected for training the hard-core unemployed.

In addition to the union, the other defendants are the General Building Contractors Association, Ind., Contractors Association of Eastern Pennsylvania Excavating Contractors Association and Glasgow Organization.


50. LEAP

PROJECT LEAP TO ADD JOURNEYMEN COMPONENT

As announced in late January, USDL's Manpower Administration awarded 1.6 million dollars to the National Urban League to extend LEAP's national contract until August 31, 1971.

The late Whitney Young's subsequent surprise visit to the White House netted an additional award of 1.8 which brings the total package to 3.4. These additional monies are to be used to expand LEAP projects in 10 new cities. More important, though, it provides for a journeyman training component for eleven existing LEAP projects. The new component to be implemented as a unit under current outreach structures establishes a new route of entry into the building trades for minorities. The JTP will recruit, prep, and follow-up on two new groups. Skilled craftsmen who heretofore were unable to secure journeymen status and semi-skilled craftsmen, mechanics who are unable to meet Apprenticeship requirements, who with additional training can achieve journeyman status.

Cities designated by USDL for the journeymen training program are cities where Hometown Plans have not been developed: Akron, Atlanta, Baltimore, Columbus, Dayton, Hartford, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, St. Paul, Omaha, Portland, St. Louis and Tacoma. Although New Orleans has a hometown plan it has been added to the list because the plan is under sponsorship.

Priority cities on USDL's list for new LEAP projects are: Albany, New York; Louisville, Kentucky; Rockford, Illinois; Toledo, Ohio; Springfield, Illinois; Pueblo, Colorado; and Jefferson City, Missouri.

"WHY APPRENTICESHIP OUTREACH FAILS"

At the Atlanta Conference site, Don Slaiman, Director, AFL-CIO Civil Rights Department, discussed conclusions he has drawn after carefully assessing current apprenticeship outreach programs.

"The reasons they fail" said Slaiman, "has to do with three critical factors. First, the savvy and determination of outreach staff. Second, the cooperation of local joint apprenticeship committees." He cited examples of good cooperation as providing information as to when and where apprenticeship openings are available, being fair with applicants, providing a calm, positive atmosphere during joint apprenticeship committee interviews. "Finally," Slaiman explained, "NOTHING is as important as jobs and job openings."

OFCC CONDUCTS HEARINGS IN ATLANTA

Because Hometown Plan negotiations have been at a standstill since January, OFCC conducted hearings, March 31 - April 2 to uncover reasons for the breakdown. Co-convenors for the Minority Coalition, Lyndon Wade, Executive Director of Atlanta Urban League, and Vice Mayor Maynard Jackson requested from two weeks to a month to resume negotiations and come up with a plan. OFCC is considering an imposed plan.

These events seriously hamper efforts to get the journeyman training component off the ground.

NEW ORLEANS PLAN AWAITS DOL APPROVAL

Although two key locals, Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 11 and Plumbers Marine and Steamfitters Local No. 6 are not within the scope of the New Orleans Plan, the Urban League of Greater New Orleans, sponsor of the plan,
is awaiting Department of Labor approval. Though signed last June, the plan was sent back twice for additions: a year-by-year breakdown of the numbers of minorities going into the locals and a budget proposal. According to Henry Braden, Director, Project LEAP, the unreasonable delay as far as he can see, can only be attributed to U.S. Attorney, John Mitchell's delay in signing the agreement regarding actions planned by his staff.

Another reason, perhaps more significant, is the fact that the National Urban League carries the prime contract for all affiliates with outreach programs. The Urgan League of Greater New Orleans is the first affiliate sponsor of a hometown plan. Will OFCC approve the plan if the National Urban League carries the prime contract for the outreach component?

HARTFORD URBAN LEAGUE CONVENES COALITION FOR HARTFORD

Under the capable leadership of Hartford Urban League Executive Director, Bill Brown, Norm Wright, Economic Development and Employment, and Roy Dixon, Director Project LEAP, the Urban League convened a group of representatives from CAP agencies, Civil Rights groups, and social service agencies, to form a coalition for the development of a Hartford Plan. The final draft is being completed by Norm, who will then submit it to the coalition. No input from the trades or employers has been enlisted thus far. The position of the coalition is that it would be better to approach the trades and the contractors with a working document, an established base for negotiating, as opposed to entering negotiations cold.

BREAKFAST HELD IN HONOR OF BALTIMORE APPRENTICES

The Baltimore Urban League, the Baltimore Building Trades Council, and the Association of General Contractors co-sponsored a breakfast honoring more than 400 apprentices and new indentures placed through Project LEAP. Following breakfast, speeches were given by cooperating union and management officials, Travis Vauls, Executive Director, and Tom Waters, former project director for Baltimore, LEAP's senior project.

"Some very important issues were raised later during the question and answer period," said Project Director, Joe Washington. "Primary issues raised by the apprentices centered around:

- Going through the formal apprenticeship system, meeting the age and educational requirements, and finding that whites coming in through the back door who were not required to go through the same process nor do they meet the formal requirements like, education and age that the blacks had to meet.

- Class training, another prerequisite, is seldom work related. "One of the good things that came out of the meeting," Washington added, "was the need to establish a 'buddy system' within the Project itself. We have guys who are new indentures who don't know the 1st and 2nd year guys in their same locals because of different job sites and schedules."

Saturday morning sessions will be held to implement this buddy system where indentures will be assigned to older apprentices in the same craft as well as to discuss and resolve general problems confronted by new indenture.

The need for fundamental change at Boston State College has led to the formation of a coalition of progressive forces. The Coalition includes the Black Student Association, the Radical Action Union, several student representatives of S.G.A., the faculty of the New University Conference, the Fenway Campus Ministry and the Metropolitan Ministry in Higher Education. These groups and individuals have organized the coalition to establish a working relationship amongst themselves in order to confront issues which are of common concern.

The coalition is dealing with the complex issues surrounding the impending construction of a twelve story, $15.6 million dollar building at the Huntington Avenue Campus. The primary concern of the Coalition is that the building not be constructed in a vacuum, ignoring the crucial political, economic, and moral issues involved. Specifically, the coalition is determining to work with the surrounding community to insure that Black and other minority group workers are represented in significant numbers at the job site. We are also concerned that minority contracting firms receive their fair share of the contracts for the building. To this end, the coalition has begun to work with groups from the surrounding community, especially the United Community Construction Workers (U.C.C.W.). This union of Black workers has been struggling against racism and job discrimination in order to provide work with dignity to its members.

None of this will occur unless the building trades unions, the contracting corporations, the State, and the college are forced and pressured into making it happen. The coalition recognizes this fact. This recognition rests on a number of things, most importantly the collusion of all of the above in perpetuating a destructive situation for Blacks and other minority groups.

The building trades unions have traditionally been exclusionary in nature, especially discriminating against Blacks. The racism of these unions is evident today when one recognizes that a minute percentage of the membership is non-white, despite the fact that Blacks have worked for and demanded entrance into these closed unions for a long time. The unions have preferred to keep their ranks confined to small numbers of skilled workers to try to raise the wages of their members. So some workers "make it" while most others are forced to fight for jobs, let alone decent wages. These unions have lately delved into tokenism, to satisfy federal compliance laws. But, tokenism does nothing for the mass of workers.

The contracting corporations perpetuate this situation. They are solely concerned with profits. They prefer to bargain with small numbers of skilled workers, giving in on some wage demands, the cost of which they simply pass on to whomever is paying for the construction project. Thus they continue to make their huge profits and continue to manipulate a situation where black and white workers are divided and fighting each other. There is little chance then that the construction workers will confront them, for fundamental changes in their work situations; some having been bought off, some fighting each other, and most excluded from construction work by this alliance between unions and contractors.

The State and the college simply want this building up. They are not concerned if minority workers are excluded from the job, if they are unemployed, or if the repercussions from this affect the entire Black and minority communities.
While they supply the money to the contractors with one hand, with the other they exude pious declarations against racism. In the contracts that have thus far been signed between the State's Bureau of Building Construction and Contractors, there is a weak and meaningless anti-discrimination clause. It supposedly commits the contractors not to discriminate in the hiring of workers, but says nothing about the unions. In effect, there is no affirmative action demanded by the State to end racism on the job site, and no intention of forcing compliance against discrimination.

What is needed, the coalition believes, is strong and affirmative action by the State and the college to force the unions and contractors into hiring significant numbers of Black and other minority group workers. The commitment of the coalition, of U.C.C.W., and of the community is clear. This construction project can become an opportunity for Boston State to reverse its traditional non-concern with the welfare of the surrounding community, and to work effectively against racism and poverty. If the coalition cannot convince the college of the need for this, then we are prepared, along with others, to do anything necessary to see justice prevail.

No less than thirty percent (30%) of the labor force in all the construction trades, skilled and unskilled, employed on the construction sites of Boston State College will consist of Black and other minority workers. The general contractor and subcontractor shall meet this minimum requirement. The general contractor shall be held responsible for the compliance of all subcontractors.

Minority employment efforts are to be coordinated through and monitored by the United Community Construction Workers Union and the Boston State College Coalition. The UCCW's efforts will be directed towards their membership and other sources of minority employment and training. They will provide recruiting mechanisms for the training and placing of new construction workers, skilled and unskilled. In those trades where there is not thirty percent minority employment available, the United Community Construction Workers will provide minority persons to be trained on the job in the various trades where such deficiencies exist.

Minority persons placed for training by the UCCW shall be counted toward the thirty percent requirement. The UCCW and the Coalition will provide a regular compliance check on the general and all subcontractors. In the event that a subcontractor(s) or the general contractor are found to be in violation of this affirmative action program at any time, this determination being made by the UCCW and the Coalition, they will be given twenty-four hours to bring their employment condition up to the standards provided in this contract. At the end of twenty-four hours the UCCW will again determine whether they are in compliance. If they are not in compliance, but the UCCW and the Coalition has been satisfied that adequate and sufficient steps have been taken to meet the requirements as soon as possible, then the UCCW and the Coalition may permit them to continue on the site with the understanding that the employment requirements for minority workers will be met within no more than five working days. If at the end of five working days the contractor is still not in compliance then he will be required to withdraw from the job and the construction site immediately. Any financial loss resulting from the non-compliance of the contractor will be paid to Boston State College and minority workers who were available to work, skilled, unskilled and/or for training, by the contractor found in violation and forced to withdraw from the site.

To enhance the full participation of the minority community in the construction industry, minority owned and operated construction contractors and subcontractors will be used wherever possible on the construction site. Minority contractors within and around the Boston area shall receive no less than thirty percent of all contracts and/or subcontracts to be given out for construction at Boston State College.

The same minority employment and training requirements shall be enforced against the Black and minority contractors employed on the construction sites at Boston State College. Boston State College agrees to allow the U.C.C.W. to enforce the terms of this affirmative action program on its behalf at the expense of the College.

The U.C.C.W. shall also coordinate and monitor the use of minority owned construction companies. They shall determine compliance and non-compliance
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with regard to all requirements set forth in the affirmative action sections of this contract. When there is a determination that there is non-compliance with regard to the employment and use of minority construction (subcontracting) companies, the general contractor shall be given five working days to comply. If there is no compliance within five working days, but sufficient steps have been taken to insure compliance (as determined by the U.C.C.W.) then the general contractor shall be given no more than eight additional days to be in compliance or to present and put into effect an acceptable alternative for that particular segment of the construction. This section applies to minority construction companies as subcontractors. Acceptability of all alternatives will be made by the U.C.C.W. and the Coalition.

United Community Construction Workers (Boston) flier (n.d. 1972) in possession of the editors.

52. THE BRICKS AND MORTAR OF RACISM

By Paul Good

Content removed at rightsholder's request.
"It's a result of the systematic racism of America," says Jim Haughton, the black director of Fight Back, a Harlem-based group that uses everything from cajolery to flying raids like the First Avenue sortie to get minority members into the construction trades. "And New York is the epitome of racist political hustling. I deal with all the intricate layers of it while City Hall and Albany duck their responsibilities and let the unions and contractors get away with murder. And at the end of the line is that poor, lone black guy looking for a job or justice.

Haughton's charge of systemic racism can be measured in various ways. For example, a close reading of two recent issues of leading industry magazines for this area—Construction Equipment and Constructioneer—showed not a single black or Puerto Rican worker in any ad or article. Similarly, a photo of the annual meeting of the New York General Contractors contained 100 all-white faces.

Minorities, comprising more than 30 per cent of the city's population, hold but 2 per cent of union membership in the skilled trades, such as sheet-metal or elevator construction work, in which a journeyman can make $15,000 a year. But the vast majority of minority workmen are jammed into less-skilled, lower-paying categories where they earn half that. It is the kind of income differential that buys one man a house in the suburbs and pens another in a ghetto flat.

The union card or book is the key to the hiring hall, where job assignments are supposed to be made. The book can be a guarantee of benefits, work continuity, a safe-conduct pass through the economic battleground of construction. Without it, a man is at the mercy of contractors, scrambling each day. But even with it, minorities often find no guarantees. Instead, there is deceit on one hand, distrust on the other.

One cold spring morning, Haughton leads an Alabama-born black plasterer, Joe Strickland, to a building going up on Williams Street, in the financial district. Strickland, father of eight, belongs to Plasterers Local 60 but was laid off last December. Outside the contractor's trailer, he meets contractor Joe Giamboi, his former boss. Giamboi, dressed in camel-hair coat, Italian sandals and light blue pants, tells Strickland: "I brought you colored guys up from the South. You were one of my best men. Then somebody put bad ideas in your head."

When Giamboi leaves, Strickland says: "They brought us up when plasterers were in demand. They worked us like a bunch of damn hogs, then we'd be first let go in a slump. The union takes your dues but doesn't listen. He says I got bad ideas because I spoke out against conditions."

In the trailer, another contractor puts it this way: "We have to keep the Irish and Italians from laying off blacks and hiring their friends. You know the unions; we have limited control. If you think you can get the Irish and Italians to give it up, God bless you."

But a foreman named Louie announces that all eight carpenters on the job are black. Carpentry is one of the lower-paying trades that whites are quitting. Still, Haughton would like to see for himself.

He borrows a hard hat and rides to the 13th floor, where great sheets of white plastic to block the wind billow like sails between naked girders. He finds five black and two white carpenters. "I thought you said eight out of eight, Louie?" Haughton says. "I made a little mistake on the number," Louie replies.

Racial numbers in construction remain lopsided despite numerous laws banning discrimination. The state's first such law goes back to 1935. Federally, there are Executive Orders—some of which originated with the Franklin Roosevelt Administration—the 1964 Civil Rights Act and fair employment provisions currently written into every contract. Mayor Lindsay's administration has the most potent regulations in the nation, on paper at least, and now administers what is called the New York Plan. The plan is supposed to increase the number of minority workmen dramatically by putting trainees to work. In 16 months, it has resulted in about 300 minority trainees at a time when ghetto unemployment equals the white rate during the Depression. Minority critics, however, call the plan a farce.

Most laws and plans provide penalties against unions and contractors that defy them. But the stigma of second-class minority citizenship adheres to most minority legal safeguards, producing second-class law enforcement while law-and-order remains a majority shibboleth.
Local 28 of the Sheet Metal Workers Union is a clear example, though not a unique case, of the law's delay in ending discrimination in the building trades, and it provides legal perspective on the current crisis. In 1948, the State Human Rights Commission ordered the local to stop excluding Negroes. In 1964, the commission found it still was excluding them and the State Supreme Court issued a cease-and-desist order. The union claimed there were no qualified black journeymen and that no blacks passed the apprenticeship tests. In 1966, minority rights groups tutored black apprentice applicants. But the union called their test scores "phenomenally high" and scrapped the test results. The State Supreme Court issued a restraining order and the union promised to let in qualified Negroes.

Two years later, there still were no blacks in Local 28, and the city held up $6-million in contracts affecting metal workers until the union began compliance. Today, nearly a quarter of a century after the first legal action, there are 20 blacks in Local 28—out of 3,300 journeymen. Last year, the state sent black trainees to work on the State Office Building in the center of Harlem. Local 28 refused to let them work and the men were forced to sit in a construction shack, getting paid for the time.

Local 28 is the only union that refuses to have anything to do with the New York Plan. Yet it is working on public construction throughout New York, and because the demand for sheet-metal workmen is great, it often winds up working on ghetto projects. As far as can be determined, no public construction project anywhere in the country has ever been canceled because of civil-rights violations, as required under Federal law, although dozens of courts have documented violations. One example may show the kind of priorities governing enforcement and help to explain why demonstrators feel they have to knock over wheelbarrows and fight with the cops.

The Federal Government accounts for nearly $1-billion of the $2.6 billion worth of construction under way in New York. The Office of Federal Contract Compliance (O.F.C.C.) is supposed to ensure that contractual job pledges are carried out. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (E.E.O.C.) is supposed to process minority complaints. E.E.O.C. has a national backing of 40,000 cases and the New York office admits it has no current minority statistics. The O.F.C.C. office here consists of its director, Kenneth Smallwood, one assistant and a secretary. This is the total staff assigned to supervise the performance of individual compliance officers in Federal agencies holding myriad construction contracts.

But wait. Mr. Smallwood and his assistant must also check compliance throughout the rest of New York State, New Jersey, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands!

"It's tragic," says Herbert Hill, national labor director of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People. "We have all the law anybody needs but the only result is the triumph of tokenism. Nationally, despite vaunted Federal outreach programs, the latest Washington E.E.O.C. figures show that minority membership in construction unions actually declined to 6.8 per cent from 7.4 per cent the previous year.

"In New York State, under Rockefeller, we've witnessed the demise of the strongest state antidiscrimination law in the nation. Peter Brennan—president of the A.F.L.-C.I.O. Building and Construction Trades Council of Greater New York—has always been a big Rockefeller supporter, along with Ray Corbett, the head of the State Federation of Labor. Rockefeller repays political debts to the unions and contractors by the administrative nullification of the law in relation to construction. His calculated sacrifice of principle and law borders on the criminals.

"And Lindsay, at the beginning of his term of office, said all the right things and gave hope that change was possible. But Lindsay has compromised with those who are responsible for the racist pattern, as witness his support for the defunct New York Plan. Tragic is the only word to describe it."

Jim Naughton puts it more succinctly: "The only contract compliance in New York is when the brothers throw up a picket line."

The situation in New York is as complex as it is critical. There are more than 100,000 members dealing with about 10,000 contractors and subcontractors. Construction, it would seem, should be a manly, even noble, undertaking — the raising up of edifices where people can learn. But in
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The tactics drew headlines and token response. The contractors and unions "checkerboarded" the few existing minority card holders, moving them from site to site, from one hot point of jobs-rights protest to another. But minority anger and frustrations were beyond the reach of such public relations tactics.

While militants developed direct action techniques, more traditional routes of redress were pursued by others: The N.A.A.C.P. concentrated on the courts, helping to establish a body of antidiscrimination law with clout. The Workers Defense League (W.D.L.), an old-line job rights group nurtured by the A. Philip Randolph Fund, sought to pry open the apprentice programs, working closely with the federally funded Joint Apprentice Program (JAP). JAP started in a Brooklyn storefront 5 years ago, directed by Ernest Green, the first black to graduate from Little Rock High School. It has produced success stories like Curtis Alexander.

Alexander, 25, holds union card No. 082 40 2459 in the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 3. He is a Class A journeyman in a union about 5 per cent minority. Other blacks and Puerto Ricans in his union lack Alexander's Class A book. They are relegated to "M" card status, hundreds of them permitted to work only on low-paying rehabilitation jobs, but barred from new construction and prime journeyman's pay of about $8 an hour—plus liberal overtime.

"You get no respect without this union card," says Alexander, a short, intense man never far from anger. "With it, you get hired by shaping up at the union hall and most times they send you into a black community—it could be two boroughs from where you live. But without the book you've got nothing. You pick up jobs from day to day at the mercy of the system."

In 1964, after graduating from vocational high school, where he studied electrical installation, he filled out an apprentice application at I.B.E.W. They said they'd call. Alexander would still be waiting except that the JAP program finally got him an electrician's apprenticeship at $2 an hour.

"I remember," he says, "a white foreman told me, 'I'll teach you, but we once had a colored fellow here who didn't want to learn.' I asked him what the hell that had to do with anything. After I got my book, I been on jobs with 300 white faces and one other black. There were jobs you could only talk to the foreman because everybody else spoke Italian. How long were they in this country, I wonder?

"I received a lot of racism. How many times you got to be called 'boy' or 'kid' or 'Jack' when you got 'Curtis' stenciled on your helmet? And jobs' like World Trade Center, where you can stay up for a year and rack up the overtime, we don't see them."

Alexander says his father was a baggage handler for the Pennsylvania Railroad who "started from scratch" like his father before him. "But that will stop with me," he says. "There's a lot of other brothers out there wiped out where they could've made it if they had one real shot like I got. That is going to stop now too."

JAP has 19 offices throughout the country and claims to have placed 4,000 minority members as apprentices, with roughly 1,400 in New York. How many of these have dropped out, how many are working with union books is impossible to tell. But given the present inadequate minority percentages in the skilled trades, even if the unions began accepting minority apprentices proportionate to their population ratios, the best estimates are that it would be well into the 21st century before any parity was achieved.

The trainee concept is a tacit admission that the apprentice system, at best, cannot produce results fast enough. Trainees do not have to meet apprentice standards of age, education and lack of prior criminal record. They are supposed to advance to journeyman as rapidly as their talent and dedication permit.

Mayor Lindsay, who courts minority support, does not have any black adviser among the top-level men around him. So it is not known how he settled on James Norton, a black, to run the City's enforcement agency, called the Office of Contract Compliance. The choice has been controversial. A pro-Lindsay official who is a building-trades expert generally respected by militants and moderates says: "Norton is and was a disaster. Lindsay's executive orders constitute the strongest antidiscrimination program in the country. But he picked the wrong guy to run it. He never asks contractors..."
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Bonsai ordered Steamfitters Local 638 to transfer 168 minority workers from a lower-job branch to one of full journeyman status. The case grew out of protests at the World Trade Center.

New York Plan director Eddy Johnson, a lanky young black, holds his job at the pleasure of the administrative council. He has a staff of nine. Johnson defends the plan, although he thinks the most important thing for unemployed minorities is to "develop the right attitude."

"I'm not so much concerned with the past," he says, "because what's dead is dead. I don't know what somebody means when he talks about unions holding guys back. That's isolated cases. We've found no difficulties with unions. And after they train one of our men for four years, it's gonna be pretty damn rough for them to say he's not qualified for a card."

Johnson stresses that the plan is not merely for jobs but primarily for training. For example, dropout trainees lacking math receive simplified instruction off the job. "Like to teach inverting fractions," he explains, "we cite Lew Alcindor and Bob Cousy, larger and smaller, top and bottom."

It was the first time in years that an interviewer had heard Alcindor called anything but his chosen black name of Kareem Abdul-Jabbar.

James MacNamara, director of the city's Building Trades Training Program, thinks Mayor Lindsay's antidiscrimination executive orders were the best in the business. But MacNamara, a former union organizer with a reputation for square shooting, feels Lindsay was misled into accepting the New York Plan. Still, he is hopeful overall:

"In 10 years I don't think discrimination in the trades here will be a major issue. All we have to do is keep up the pressure and get good administrators. Attitudes are changing. I went to a Bricklayers meeting recently where an Italian foreman said, 'Why should these guineas get the jobs when these niggers have been here all these years'.”

A tour of Harlem construction sites—even allowing for the fact it is black and Puerto Rican turf—reveals changes in the old order. The guide is Bob Scott, a tall young black in a shin-length coat and full-blown Afro, who is a Workers Defense League trouble-shooter. He moves confidently into construction sheds he would probably have been thrown out of a few years ago.

The first stop is a State Urban Development Corporation middle-class housing project at 131st Street and Eighth Avenue. Even the name—Lionel Hampton House—represents some kind of progress. A few years ago, the city built a housing project in Harlem and renamed it after Stephen Foster. "We got good cooperation here," Scott says. "Only two of 13 trainee slots are operating. But that's because certain jobs haven't opened in the building sequence. Forty per cent of the regular work force is minority."

The white superintendent, interrupting a sandwich lunch to talk, is friendly without going overboard. "Nobody's going to do anything without a prod," he says. "You can preach how good you are racially but you have to be pushed to deliver. I really don't think most contractors have to use the power of the almighty buck to lean on people."

To the west, there is a problem at the city's Martin Luther King High School site at 65th Street and Amsterdam Avenue. There have been problems there from the start. When construction began last spring, there were only one or two minority workmen on a building named for the country's foremost civil rights leader. Fight Back demonstrated. There were dozens of arrests, work was temporarily discontinued and today there are five trainees for 95 journeymen jobs, with more to come. One of them, a neat black of 30 with a West Indian accent and wearing new mustard-color workshoes, is the current problem. His name is Al and he has been fired without any notification to the New York Plan.

"Mon, it's a jungle in there," he tells Scott, his voice pitched high and with outrage. "They are so arrogant. They call me stupid and nigger. No matter what I do, I can't please them. I try to live with this and continue to the end of the training because, you know, I have five children. But they won't teach me anything about plumbing. All I do is hold the ladder."

"The other day, one picked up a pipe to hit me and I picked up a spanner. A jungle. I argued with a journeyman and the foreman told me I should apologize. I said, 'I'm sorry.' The man said, 'I don't care what you are, I'm not getting paid to train you.'"
They go into the construction trailer. "A contractor is not allowed to fire a trainee without notifying the plan," Scott says. "That's irresponsible." The atmosphere is chilly. There is the unspoken assertion in the face of a grizzled white carpenter: Whoever got notified when I was fired?

While Scott and Al wait to see the foreman, an elderly site superintendent for the contractor says: "If they said we had to put on 10 more trainees tomorrow, we'd be in real trouble. Racism? Listen, since I started to work there's always been colored on the job. And this goes back 50 years." A minute later he adds: "They been shut out, yes. It's a father-and-son thing in the unions, so they couldn't get in."

The foreman, Kenny, arrives. He's in his early 30's, tall with a mod auburn mustache and looks much like a Marlboro model in his white hard hat. "From the start," he says, "Al didn't understand things like going for coffee. Low man on the totem pole does it. I did it. We had trouble with five white apprentices last month, said they didn't want to do it. The business agent told them: 'It's not in the contract but it's in the system.' But Al took it as a personal racial thing."

Al admits the coffee charge. But he's adamant about other abuse and the refusal to train him. "Look, Al," Kenny says, "guys don't want to teach anybody their trade. They're protecting it." But there've almost been swingouts with Al. "All right, one of the guys was an idiot and we fired him. I switched you around but there was no harmony in your gang. I laid off two other men you had trouble with."

"They were from New Jersey and they had to go anyway," Al says. "Always when there was trouble you didn't believe me. I was always praying for the next day to come when I wouldn't have to work with such men."

After some calls to plan headquarters, it's decided that Al will be switched to another site. Scott cautions him to cool it.

With minority nerves raw from discrimination and white egos bruised from the belief they are being pushed around, "rights" remain in direct conflict. And there is a ready battleground in New York. The city is glutted with private office construction. But there are voluminous blueprints for housing, schools and other civic projects. Much of it will be in or near minority neighborhoods.

There is, for example, the massive North River Pollution Control Plant to the west of Harlem, the largest competitively bid nonmilitary construction in U.S. history, covering 10 city blocks and costing $3-billion to $4-billion. How can minorities get their share of this work that will stretch over years. Norton's compliance office has yet to hold a preconstruction conference with the major contractor.

The N.A.A.C.P.'s Herbert Hill says: "There is only one way—numerical goals. You can call it quotas or ranges, but it must be fixed in writing. Not a token 1,000 trainees in some amorphous scheme to get them books, but so many union journeymen working by such a date. And the bid specifications for contractors must reflect population percentages."

A precedent for ranges has already been established in the Metal Lathers suit mentioned earlier. Judge Frankel, faced with union intransigence, ruled in March that "the following ranges shall be established as the standards for minority employment in the work jurisdiction of Local 46:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-13%</td>
<td>13-17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20%</td>
<td>19-23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This formula is the result of litigation that began four years ago in the U.S. Attorney's office at Foley Square. The office is the most active in the country in prosecuting discrimination violations but the case illustrates the enormous and time-consuming difficulties. All this for one small union. And the union is appealing the decision, which could drag the case on further.

The zeal of the Nixon Administration in prosecuting unions and forcing hometown plans is not regarded as an unmixed blessing by some minority observers. They feel it is a tactic to break union strength at a moment when...
Content removed at rightsholder's request.


53. CIVIL RIGHTS AND CHURCH LEADERS WARN OF ATTACKS ON BLACK PEOPLE

Within a period of a few days I came upon two articles which reported the latest propaganda of the non-union segment of the construction industry, this time aimed directly at black people. One article was by Bayard Rustin in a news release from the A. Philip Randolph Institute, the other article was by Msgr. George G. Higgins from the NC News Service. Rustin's article was titled "A Sneak Attack On Black Construction Workers," and Msgr. Higgins column was titled "Dog-Eat-Dog Job Competition Prescribed for Black Workers."

Let me first quote from Rustin's article:

"Today, much of the bombast, crudity, and overt racism of the recent past has disappeared. Discussion of racial issues has become more refined and civilized. But this 'cooling off' of racial rhetoric raises a new and perplexing problem: many, almost invisible assaults on black people now slip by us unnoticed and therefore unchallenged. Such a 'sneak attack' on black people is now underway within the construction industry.

"Blacks have finally begun to obtain their fair share of good-paying, relatively secure jobs in the unionized skilled trades. But now, just as we begin to see encouraging advances, we also witness the emergence of a bold movement among employers to undermine the wages, job security and working conditions of their new black workers by promoting something known as the 'merit shop.'"
"What exactly is the 'merit shop' and how does it effect black workers? The merit shop is nothing more than a non-union shop in which the employer—and the employer alone—sets wage rates, working conditions, vacations, fringe benefits, and work rules. Workers (in merit shops) lack the protections afforded by a solid collective bargaining agreement. . . . "It is no surprise—and certainly no coincidence—that the lowpaying 'merit shops' have become so popular in areas with large black populations and high unemployment rates which make labor cheap and docile. . . . All of this is just the beginning for the 'merit shop' proponents who are organized in the Associated Builders and Contractors (ABC). This powerful, well-balanced organization now hopes to significantly increase the number of 'merit shops' in urban areas. By focusing its anti-union, wage-cutting efforts in the cities, ABC will be undermining the position of black workers, workers who have enjoyed the benefits and high wages of union jobs. "If we ignore this sneak attack on blacks, we will be openly accepting the cruel destruction of opportunity for the black working class, a group which has overcome numerous obstacles in the long struggle for equality and security," Rustin concluded.

Msgr. Higgins is very disturbed about the essay of a college professor, published in the Wall Street Journal. Walter E. Williams, associate professor of economics at Temple University, declared that blacks don't need a 'political savior—what they need is the opportunity to compete in the market.' But what the professor means by that is that the federal minimum wage law, the Davis-Bacon Act, and all other laws that 'restrict competition' in the workplace should be repealed. He also says, in effect, that black workers would be better off if there were no unions because unions limit competition in the marketplace. Msgr. Higgins said that such policies as Professor Williams favors would condemn black workers to the same kind of dog-eat-dog competition that all workers were exposed to in this country before trade unionism and before the enactment of protective labor legislation.130 True to form, Professor Williams furnishes the National Association of Manufacturers' pet argument that employment inevitably declines after increases in the minimum wage go into effect and that black workers are the main victims. (The fact is that civilian employment was 4.3 million higher in March 1979 than in December 1977 just prior to the increase in the minimum wage. There were 25,000 more black teenagers employed in March 1979 than in December 1977, and unemployment of black teenagers declined by 46,000).

Msgr. Higgins has stated that Professor Williams' arguments against trade unions insult the intelligence of black workers. In fact, black union members fare considerably better than non-union blacks and within the ranks of union members the income gap between blacks and whites is less than among non-union workers. Fortunately, black workers know far better than Professor Williams does which side their bread is buttered on, he said.

Our thanks to both Bayard Rustin and Msgr. Higgins for calling attention to the latest propaganda of anti-union forces against the black workers of America. We agree that their nonsense should be exposed for what it is at every opportunity.

General President

The Laborer (Laborers International Union), August, 1979.
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1199 AND THE BLACK WORKER

It seems fitting to close this series on the black worker with Drug and Hospital Employees Local 1199, Martin Luther King's favorite union, and a dramatic example of the potential power in an alliance between the civil rights and labor movements. Started by Jewish drug store clerks in Harlem and the Bronx in 1958, Local 1199 undertook to organize workers in New York's voluntary hospitals, a group conventional labor savants considered to be unorganizable. The workers were nearly all uneducated blacks and Puerto Ricans whose wages were so low that they needed supplemental welfare relief in order to survive. Moreover, they were barred by state law from collective bargaining, and were not covered by the minimum wage or unemployment compensation laws. Nevertheless, Local 1199 effectively organized these workers because the black community gave the union its strongest support, and its drives were endorsed by the NAACP, the Urban League, and the Southern Christian Leadership Conference. Black activists as diverse as Ruby Dee, Ossie Davis, A. Philip Randolph, Malcolm X, and King lent their personal prestige to assist 1199. In fact, it was from a picket line of the Newark hospital strikers that King left for Oslo, Sweden, to receive the Nobel Peace Prize in 1964.

No strike for union recognition more cogently demonstrated the alliance between 1199 and the civil rights movement than the struggle to organize the nearly all-black Charleston hospital workers in 1969. Initially the hospital administrators treated the union with contempt, and fired their local leaders. Companies such as J. P. Stevens feared that they too would become the targets of unionizing drives if 1199 succeeded, so they gave their complete support to the hospitals. Local and state political leaders also worked closely with hospital officials, and the police and national guardsmen handled the mostly black women brutally, arresting hundreds of the desperately poor strikers. Even during the strike's darkest hours, however, the workers never faltered, for civil rights activists transformed the struggle into one for human rights and dignity. SCLC field staffers, including Ralph Abernathy and Andrew Young, remained in Charleston with 1199 officers, and Coretta Scott King inspired the strikers to go on, while Walter Reuther and George Meany donated thousands to the cause. By the end of April the city had become the scene of mass meetings, daily marches, rallies in churches and union halls, boycotts, and mass arrests. To many it seemed more like the early days of the civil rights movement than a fight for union recognition. Victory finally came through the intervention of the federal government, and Andrew Young summed up the importance of the effort: "We won this strike because of a wonderful marriage—the marriage of SCLC and Local 1199."

Finally, no assessment of 1199 would be complete if it confined itself to bread and ignored the roses. In fact, "Bread and Roses" are inseparable features of 1199's activities, and its unique and imaginative cultural program is called just that. In a union where so many workers were uneducated, theater, poetry, music, and other art forms practiced by the workers themselves, became an essential part of the union's educational campaign. But it is much more for Bread and Roses also offers its members inspiration, and the opportunity for personal development. The modern labor movement could take a few lessons from 1199 if it wishes to become more than a movement for bread alone.
OVERVIEW

1. TWENTY YEARS IN THE HOSPITALS: A SHORT HISTORY OF 1199

1959 was a relatively quiet year for most American trade unions. Not only was organization practically at a standstill, but union membership in many major industries actually declined. Much of the nation was still gripped by the complacency and conformity that typified the 1950s.

Against this unpromising background, a small local union of drug store workers in New York City—the Retail Drug Employees Union, Local 1199, affiliated with the Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union (AFL-CIO) undertook an organizational drive in New York City's voluntary hospitals that was to shake the foundations of the nation's health care system and provide the labor movement with an inspirational example that it was possible to organize the unorganized and forge an unshakable alliance with the rising civil rights movement.

If one were looking for the industry most unlikely to be organized in 1959, the New York City voluntary (private, non-profit) hospitals would have been high on the list.

The workers in these voluntary hospitals had been accurately described as "the most underpaid and poorly benefitted workers in the richest city of the richest nation of the world." They had been forgotten by their employers, the unions, the government, and the public. They included nurses aides, orderlies, dishwashers, porters and laundry and dietary aides. Most were black and Hispanic women, and were poor. Their pay was as low as $28 for a work week of six and seven days and as many as 50 hours. Skilled technicians with Ph.D. degrees were receiving $60 a week. There was no job security and little chance for advancement. Thousands of full-time employees were compelled to apply for supplementary welfare assistance in order to support their families.

It was indeed ironic that New York's voluntary hospitals were staffed by thousands of "involuntary philanthropists" who enabled the hospitals to balance their budgets through substandard wages and working conditions. They were denied such elementary rights as minimum wage protection, unemployment insurance, disability benefits, and, most important of all, the right of union representation.

There had been some sporadic efforts to organize these workers prior to 1958, but for the most part, they had been shunned by the organized labor movement. There were several reasons for this. First was the fact that the voluntary hospitals were controlled by rich and powerful trustees. Their rosters read like a "Who's Who" in New York's financial power structure. Then, too, the unions knew that hospital workers, lacking bargaining rights, would have little leverage other than to strike—and that was considered unthinkable. Finally, and sadly, few unions were willing to undertake the organization of large numbers of black and Hispanic women workers.

In 1956, Doris Turner, now executive vice president of District 1199, but then a dietary worker at Lenox Hill Hospital on the upper East Side of Manhattan, took home $29.71 each week. In order to survive, she recalls, she had to shop in second-hand clothing stores. Other workers were even worse off. "A man who worked there 30 years had diabetes," she remembers, "and had to have his legs amputated. All they gave him was two weeks' pay.

... Then a woman who'd been there five years was hurt accidentally by an orderly pushing one of those heavy trolleys. They fired her, just like that."

Al Kosloski, a maintenance worker at Montefiore Medical Center in the Bronx since 1937, remembers how he was expected to be available on Saturdays or even on hospital time to help a supervisor or administrator build his driveway or make other home repairs. "Your job depended on being cooperative," Kosloski recalls.

A Montefiore cook who was sick of this treatment recalls "most of the workers were scared to join a union, afraid to be fired. We belonged to our stomachs."
The union that defied all the odds and undertook the formidable task of organizing these "unorganizable" workers—Local 1199—had been formed in 1932 under the leadership of Leon J. Davis. By 1958, it included some 6,000 pharmacists, porters, clerks and other drug store workers. Although relatively small, Local 1199 had a long record of success in organizing campaigns, and an equally long record of opposition to discrimination.131

It would be difficult to overestimate the importance of the role played by the late Elliott Godoff in spearheading the organizing drive. Godoff, a former hospital pharmacist with more than 20 years experience in organizing hospital staff in 1958. So, when 1199 made the decision to throw its resources into this new "crusade," it was able to call upon the man who was probably better qualified to lead it than any other trade union leader in the city. When Godoff moved into 1199, workers he had organized earlier at Maimonides Medical Center in Brooklyn decided to accompany him. Thus Maimonides became the only hospital in the city which had recognized the union when it began its hospital organization campaign.

That summer, 1199 decided to organize Montefiore Hospital in the Bronx. President Davis assigned Godoff and Theodore Mitchell, a former drugstore porter who had become the union's first black organizer, to Montefiore. They worked for months signing up 600 of the hospital's 900 workers. "I walked all night with cards in my pocket getting them signed up and the bottom of my shoes burning like hot peppers," recalls Montefiore cook Kenneth Downes, a member of the original organizing committee. In December 1958 the workers voted overwhelmingly for the union, and shortly thereafter the tremendous gains of the historic contract between 1199 and Montefiore Hospital were made public.

More important even than the contract provisions themselves was the fact that the union was able to negotiate a contract with the hospital. The news of this had a truly electrifying effect on workers in voluntary hospitals throughout the city.

Davis and his colleagues recognized early that a crucial factor in the organization of the nonprofessional workers in New York City's voluntary hospitals, the majority of whom were black and Hispanic women, was the need for a working coalition between the union and the civil rights movement. 1199 brought good credentials to such a coalition. In addition to its early record of fighting discrimination against black pharmacists in Harlem, the union had solicited funds from its membership a support of the Montgomery, Alabama bus boycott in 1956. In the process, 1199 established a relationship with the boycott's leader, Martin Luther King, Jr., that was to continue until King's assassination in 1968. On more than one occasion, King called 1199 "my favorite union."

Even as the Montefiore contract was being negotiated, 1199 was organizing workers from other hospitals all over the city. Hundreds of drugstore 1199ers joined "crack-of-dawn brigades" and distributed leaflets at hospitals at 6 a.m. before going on to their regular jobs. 1199's midtown headquarters was alive night after night with organizing meetings of hospital workers asking questions, getting answers, taking on responsibilities.

By Feb. 4, 1959, President Davis was able to announce that the organizing drive had "brought 6,000 new members into Local 1199 in one month." He sent letters to the directors of seven major hospitals where the union had signed up a majority of the workers. The letters requested union recognition and contract negotiations. Management refused. The union then demanded elections at the seven hospitals. But the trustees, confident that their exemption from collective bargaining legislation made their position impregnable, insisted that "nonprofit hospitals are no place for unionization." When The New York Times, in an editorial supporting "Unions for Hospital Workers," raised the question as to why hospital workers should be asked to accept wages lower than those offered in private employment, Dr. Henry N. Pratt, director of New York Hospital, replied, "There is no compulsion to work for a hospital."

Strike votes took place on the sidewalk outside all seven hospitals, with the workers casting secret ballots in portable voting booths. The result was a pro-strike majority of 2,258 to 195.

On May 8, 1959, 3,500 hospital workers walked off their jobs. At 6 a.m., in defiance of a State Supreme Court order, picket lines were set up at Mount Sinai, Beth Israel, Flower Fifth Avenue, Brooklyn Jewish, Bronx and Beth David Hospitals. The next day, the workers at Lenox Hill made that hospital the seventh to join the walkout.
The leaders of 1199 perceived early in the strike that their only chance for success lay in rallying the conscience of the public behind "la cruzada" (the crusade)—as the city's Spanish-language newspaper, El Diario, referred to the hospital campaign. In spite of the militancy and determination of the strikers, they could be replaced on a temporary basis, and the professional and technical workers, including the nurses, were not part of the strike. This, combined with the awesome power of the hospital boards, made it imperative that the union arouse public opinion to demand a settlement. The union used every possible means to bring the pressure of prominent public figures like Eleanor Roosevelt and Herbert H. Lehman, as well as of the media, to bear on the hospital managements. Coordinating this effort was Exec. Sec. Moe Foner. His effectiveness in this area was recognized by the American Public Relations Association when it gave its 1959 award to Foner for his role in conducting the union's media and public relations during the strike.

Interviewing pickets during the third week of the strike, New York Times labor reporter A. H. Raskin wrote:

They seem determined to carry on indefinitely. They say they are tired of being "philanthropists" subsidizing the hospitals with their labor. One girl picket said: "Whenever we feel disheartened, we can always take out the stub of our last paycheck and get new heart for picketing." She pulled out her own and showed that it came to $27 in weekly take-home. . . .

Financial hardship has been a part of their life for so long that the prospect of higher pay is less of a goal for many than the pivotal issue of union recognition. They feel for the first time that they "belong"—and this groping for human dignity through group recognition is more important than more cash.

This was particularly true for the women, who had to endure sexist as well as racist exploitation. It is not surprising that they were the most militant of the strikers, battling police and going to jail.

A decisive role was played by New York City Central Labor Council President Harry Van Arsdale. Under his leadership, more than 160 local unions, joint boards, and joint and district councils contributed over $100,000 to the support of the strikers, in addition to participating in picket lines, donating food, and providing other services.

Black and Puerto Rican leaders rallied to the support of the strikers. "Your effort to organize the voluntary hospital workers," said Thurgood Marshall, NAACP leader and later Supreme Court justice, "could well be one of the most important organizing campaigns this city has ever seen." Congressman Adam Clayton Powell led members of his congregation to the Mount Sinai picket line and shared a street meeting platform in Harlem with Leon Davis. Joseph Overton, president of the New York NAACP, expressed gratitude to 1199 for its efforts on behalf of New Yorkers of "Latin American and African descent."

On June 22, 1959, the bitterly-fought 46-day strike came to an end. Even though a justice of the New York State Supreme Court told the hospital managements that their refusal to recognize the union was "an echo of the nineteenth century," he could not budge them. To the end, they refused to grant union recognition. However, they did accept impartial grievance machinery and arbitration through outside representatives of the workers' choosing. They also agreed to include in the settlement the provision that "there shall be no discrimination against any employee because he joins or remains a member of any union or because he has presented a grievance under the grievance procedure." The agreement also included a minimum wage of $1 an hour, wage increases of $5 a week, a 40-hour week with time-and-a-half for overtime, seniority rules, job grades, and rate changes.

The settlement was known as the "PAC Agreement," named after the "Permanent Administrative Committee," which was established under the agreement. The PAC was a body of six management representatives and six public representatives. None had any connection with the labor movement. The Hospital Association, confident that under such an arrangement it could continue to dominate the scene, agreed to accept the PAC, and 37 hospitals signed the statement agreeing to the establishment of the grievance machinery.
On a hot June Monday afternoon, the hospital strikers jammed the non-air-conditioned ballroom of the Hotel Diplomat. The heat was intensified by the lights of the television cameras. The strikers listened intently as Leon Davis urged them to accept the settlement even though it provided only "back-door recognition" of the union. "Don't worry," he assured them, "We'll be in the front door before long." The strikers enthusiastically accepted their leaders' recommendation.

The hospitals, however, were not through fighting. They hired the strikers one by one, in some cases over a period of six or seven weeks. The majority of hospitals which accepted the machinery for reviewing wages and grievances soon made it clear that their acceptance did not mean abiding by the terms. In September, 1959, the union reported that only in the hospitals in which the workers were organized were the provisions in effect. And even in those hospitals that were living up to the terms of the settlement, "there was no way for the union to resolve anything directly with management."

When management at Beth-El Hospital in Brooklyn (now called Brookdale Hospital Medical Center) tried to avert an election in June 1962, the non-professional workers struck. They were later joined by workers at the Manhattan Eye, Ear and Throat Hospital, who also struck for union recognition and higher wages. Because he refused to call off the strikes, Leon Davis was jailed for 30 days for "contempt of court."

A short while after the strike began, 50 black and Puerto Rican civic and religious leaders met at the offices of A. Philip Randolph. The Committee for Justice to Hospital Workers which emerged from the meeting pledged to organize wide strike support in the black and Puerto Rican communities. Co-chaired by Randolph and Joseph Monserrat, and with Bayard Rustin playing a key organizational role, the Committee grew in numbers to 235 black and Puerto Rican leaders, including Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., Roy Wilkins of the NAACP, James Farmer of CORE, Whitney Young, Jr., of the Urban League, novelist James Baldwin and Judge Emilio Nunez. In a letter to The New York Times supporting the hospital strike, Randolph, Monserrat, and Baldwin wrote:

As leaders of the Negro and Puerto Rican communities, we believe that the hospital strikes symbolize in most dramatic form the second-class citizenship status and sweatshop wages of all minority group workers in our city.

The hospitals' refusal to agree to such a simple request as a secret ballot election and the elementary right of union representation is unreasonable, unjust and cruel. Such refusal constitutes nothing less than a determination to perpetuate involuntary servitude among the minority group workers at the bottom of the economic ladder. . . .

The situation became even more potentially "explosive" when the union threatened 11 additional walkouts for higher wages and union recognition by July 31. Governor Nelson Rockefeller intervened. He promised to recommend to the Legislature the passage of a law granting collective bargaining recognition to the workers in New York City voluntary hospitals, provided that the union call off the strikes then in progress and abandon the threat to call out 11 more hospitals. In the words of The New York Times, Rockefeller was promising "for ill-paid hospital workers collective bargaining rights which workers in most other industries have had for more than a quarter of a century."

On the basis of Rockefeller's assurance, the union, after 62 days, called off the strikes at Beth-El and Manhattan Eye, Ear and Throat Hospitals. Martin Luther King, Jr., hailed the strike settlement as "historic" and said of the struggle of hospital workers:

It is part and parcel of the larger fight in our community against discrimination and exploitation, against slums, against juvenile delinquency, against drug addiction---against all forms of degradation that result from poverty and human misery. It is a fight for human rights and human dignity.

The struggle for collective bargaining rights for the workers at New York City's voluntary hospitals, so pivotal to their right to genuine union representation, was followed with great interest in the press and throughout the labor movement. New York Post editorial writer James Wechsler wrote:
One feels a special elation about even the limited victory the hospital workers have won because they were fighting against such seemingly hopeless odds. Some of the most respectable, affluent and untouchable figures in the community were arrayed against them. But the miracle of democracy is a continuous one. . . . It was a large affirmation that no good cause is a lost cause. . . .

On Sunday, July 21, 1962, East 72nd St. between Second and Third Avenues was jammed with a cross-section of New York's black and white communities. Speakers at the 1199 rally included Socialist leader Norman Thomas, Roy Wilkins, District 65 President David Livingston, Malcolm X, Bayard Rustin, Father George B. Ford, Representative William F. Ryan, A. Philip Randolph and Leon Davis.134

By the beginning of May, 1963, the state legislature had passed and Governor Rockefeller had signed the law extending collective bargaining rights to hospital workers, but limiting its geographical coverage to New York City. This legislative victory was extended in 1965 to cover the entire state. The 1965 legislation followed a bitterly-fought eight-week strike for recognition by 1199ers at Lawrence Hospital in Bronxville, N.Y., a wealthy Westchester County suburb.

With the way cleared for them to organize into 1199, thousands of hospital workers signed up. One after another, the workers voted in elections for 1199, and the voluntary hospitals recognized the union as the collective bargaining agent. A few weeks after the legislation had amended the state labor law to cover hospital workers, The New York Times described Local 1199 as "the nation's largest organization of hospital workers, with contracts covering 8,500 employees at 24 voluntary hospitals."

In 1964, a campaign was launched to bring a new category of hospital employees into 1199. The drive began with the formation of 1199's Guild of Professional, Technical and Clerical Employees, directed by Jesse Olson, a former pharmacist and one of the 1959 strike leaders. The growth of the Guild not only brought the benefits of union membership to new members, but also strengthened immeasurably the bargaining position of all other workers in the hospitals.

By 1965, 1199 had scored a number of important achievements. Union membership had grown from six thousand in 1959 to 30,000. Wages had more than doubled, and health coverage for workers and their families, paid for by the hospitals, had been won in union contracts. Workers also enjoyed paid vacations, sick leave of 12 days a year, and the 40-hour week (and in a number of contracts, the 35-hour week), with time-and-a-half for overtime. There were three days' funeral leave in the event of death in a worker's immediate family, and, to top it off, two days' matrimony leave.

On March 23, 1968, three weeks before his assassination in Memphis, Tennessee, Martin Luther King, Jr. told an 1199 rally: "You have provided concrete and visible proof that when black and white workers unite in a democratic organization like 1199, they can move mountains." One such "mountain" was moved shortly thereafter. In full-page advertisements in the leading New York City newspapers, 1199 announced "A Hospital Crisis," and informed the public "that starting midnight, June 30, when our contracts expire, we will no longer work unless we win a minimum wage of $100 a week."

The campaign was a success. Under the new agreement, workers who had been earning from $70 to $76 a week were to receive $88 immediately and $100 a year later. (Most of these workers had been earning $32 a week less than ten years before). In addition, for the first time, the contract included employer-financed pension and job training and upgrading funds.

In 1970, 1199 moved from a three-story building on Eighth Avenue to a 14-story structure two blocks south on 43rd St. named the Martin Luther King, Jr. Labor Center. The building's entrance was dominated by a mosaic mural including the words of 19th century black abolitionist Frederick Douglass: "If there is no struggle, there can be no progress."135

At the ceremonies dedicating the new headquarters, Congresswoman Bella Abzug, herself one of the pioneers in opposing America's involvement in Vietnam, praised 1199 for being "one of the first unions to cry out against the horrible, immoral war in Vietnam." The union's record justified this tribute. In July, 1964, President Leon Davis had warned against the "aggressive and dangerous foreign policy we are pursuing in South Vietnam." In November, 1965, Executive
Secretary Moe Foner played a key role in organizing the Labor Leadership Assembly for Peace gathering in Chicago, where over 500 leaders from 50 international unions vowed to "seek to express the underlying and deeply-felt peace sentiment of American workers." 136

1199's spectacular success in 1968 in winning the $100-a-week minimum for nonprofessional hospital workers in New York City climaxed a decade of dramatic progress in its organizing efforts and sent shock waves through un­organized hospitals throughout the nation.

During the 1960s, there had been some limited beginnings in organizing in New Jersey, Connecticut, Long Island and Westchester county. Many of these campaigns were led by Vice Pres. Len Seelig, who took responsibility for the Connecticut area in 1968 but who died in an auto accident in 1971 at the age of 41. The $100-a-week victory, coupled with 1199's success in organizing professional and technical employees, placed the question of extending organizing efforts to other parts of the country high on 1199's agenda. 137

Even the realization was being fully absorbed by the leaders of the union. President Davis received a telegram from Mrs. Martin Luther King. It read:

I have always admired what your union has been doing to eliminate poverty wages and win dignity for hospital workers. My late husband also admired your substantial achievements. I am particularly interested in your current campaign because so many hospital workers are women—Black women, Spanish-speaking women, and white women—often the main supporters of their families. My husband used to say that they were forced to work at full-time jobs for part-time pay. May I suggest that when you have succeeded in winning a new contract with a $100 weekly starting wage for hospital workers in New York, that you undertake a new responsibility—the task of organizing the many thousands of unorganized hospital workers in the major cities of our nation. I believe that such an organizing crusade would represent a major contribution to the struggle to eliminate poverty.

The union announced in October 1968 the formation of the National Organizing Committee of Hospital and Nursing Home Employees, with Coretta Scott King as its honorary chairperson. Just at this time, events were unfolding in Charleston, South Carolina, which would become central to 1199's national organizing effort.

In the spring of 1968, Charleston hospital workers, most of them black women earning $1.30 an hour, began holding meetings to organize. They tried to talk about union recognition with Dr. William McCord, president of Charleston Medical College and director of its hospital, a state institution. They were denied an opportunity even to meet.

The Charleston hospital workers got in touch with 1199. Local 1199B was launched to represent hospital workers in the city. When 12 union members were fired on March 17, 1969, 400 workers at Medical College Hospital walked off their jobs. A week later, 90 workers at the Charleston County Hospital walked out in sympathy. Demands included union recognition, a wage increase, a grievance procedure and rehiring of the 12 fired workers.

The hospital administration treated the strikers' demands with contempt. Dr. McCord, for example offered to give the workers, almost all of them black, an additional holiday—the birthday of Confederate General Robert E. Lee. But contempt soon gave way to naked force. An injunction limited picketing to "ten people . . . at a time—twenty yards apart," and no closer than eight blocks from the hospital. The workers defied the injunction and conducted mass picketing around the hospital site. They were promptly arrested. By the end of the first week of the strike, 100 of them were in jail. The strikers, and particularly the staff members of Local 1199, were also attacked by vigilantes. The room of Henry Nicholas, assistant director of the National Organizing Committee, who had come in to assist the local organization, was fire-bombed. The workers had to organize a security guard around the building as well as around their union hall.

With arrests piling up, staff members of both the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC) and 1199 arrived to direct the battle. Andrew J. Young coordinated SCLC activities and worked closely with 1199ers Nicholas,
Elliott Godoff and Moe Foner. They made the nation aware that the strike of the black hospital workers in Charleston involved, in the words of a *New York Times* editorial, "values as fundamental as those in the original battles for school desegregation and equal employment opportunities."

By the third week of April, Charleston had become the scene of mass meetings, daily marches, evening rallies in churches and union halls, and boycotts of stores and schools. It also became the scene of daily confrontations and mass arrests as the Charleston power structure, headed by J. P. Stevens, owner of 23 textile mills in South Carolina and an implacable foe of unionism, struck back. South Carolina Governor McNair quickly let it be known that the state would never recognize a public employees' union, and Dr. McCord emphasized the same point in his contemptuous remark to a *Business Week* reporter: "I am not about to turn over the administration of a five million dollar institution to people who never had a grammar school education."138

The governor sent 600 state troopers and National Guardsmen into Charleston and announced a 7 p.m. curfew. Mass arrests were stepped up. Hundreds of police, state troopers and National Guardsmen with fixed bayonets, paraded the streets. One of the strikers, Edrena Johnson, kept a diary of her nine-day stay in jail. On April 25, she recorded the following:

As I lie here in a cell at the Charleston County jail, I feel the sympathy of all who are fighting for what is right. We, as black people in South Carolina, have awakened to the fact that we are no longer afraid of the white man and that we want to be recognized, not because of our race, but because we are human beings and we have a right—a right which we shall fight and go to jail for. We, the black people of South Carolina, will no longer sit back and be counted. We're going to stand up for what is right. We're soul from our hearts, and soul power is where it's at.

The national heads of nine civil rights organizations and five elected black officials issued a joint statement in support of the strike. They noted that it was the first time black leaders had come together on a single issue since Martin Luther King's assassination a year earlier.

The signers were headed by Coretta King, who throughout the strike gave herself entirely to the cause of the hospital workers. On May 1, *The New York Times* carried a full-page advertisement with a picture of Mrs. King on one side and on the other, the statement: "If my husband were alive today, he would be in Charleston, South Carolina."

National television newscasts brought Charleston's marching blacks, with their blue-and-white 1199 hats, courageously parading under the guns of Guardsmen, into millions of American homes.

Unions across the country sent delegations to march with the strikers. United Auto Worker President Walter Reuther joined the demonstrations in person and presented a check for $25,000 to Local 1199B. The AFL-CIO donated an additional $25,000.

The daily demonstrations and the nationwide publicity that the strike was receiving, most of it favorable to the strikers, finally persuaded Charleston's businessmen to begin discussing a settlement.

The strike lasted 113 days, during which 1,000 persons, including 1199 President Leon Davis and Reverend Ralph Abernathy, were jailed. In the end, union power and soul power produced what the *Richmond Times-Dispatch* called "an unbeatable combination."

The workers at the Medical College Hospital won wage increases of 30 to 70 cents an hour. They also won the establishment of a credit union and a grievance procedure in which the union could represent them. All workers were to be reinstated, including the twelve whose firing had started the strike. It was, to be sure, a compromise settlement; the union did not win recognition as a bargaining agent. But, as Mary Ann Moultrie, probably the first rank-and-file delegate to address a national convention of the AFL-CIO, told the delegates to that organization's 1969 convention:

We 400 hospital workers—almost all of us women, and all of us black—were compelled to go on strike so that we could win the right to be treated as human beings. We had to fight the entire
power structure of the state of South Carolina. We had to face 1,200 National Guardsmen armed with tanks and bayonets, and hundreds of state troopers. All because 400 black women dared to stand up and say we just were not going to let anybody turn us around. A year ago, nobody ever heard of us. We were forgotten women, second-class citizens. We worked as nurses aides. We cleaned the floors. We prepared the food in the hospitals. And if it had not been for the union, we would still be forgotten people.

At a rally toward the end of the strike, Coretta King had brought a large audience to its feet cheering when she said: "We won this strike because of a wonderful marriage—the marriage of the SCLC and Local 1199. The first of many beautiful children of this marriage is Local 1199B here in Charleston, and there are going to be as many more children as there are letters in the alphabet."

In August, 1969, again with strong support from the SCLC, including Mrs. King, and the black community, Local 1199E, the second "child" of the marriage, compelled Johns Hopkins Medical Center in Baltimore, Maryland to agree to a representation election for 1,500 service employees, mostly black women. The union won by a two-to-one margin.

Other victories followed, in Baltimore and elsewhere. The Hopkins victory in Baltimore led to successful elections at other major hospitals there and to the eventual creation of District 1199E, which now has 6,000 members in Maryland and Washington, D.C. under the leadership of Pres. Ronald Hollie. In Philadelphia, 1199C won elections at several major hospitals and laid the basis for the current powerful district of 10,000 members headed by Pres. Henry Nicholas, 1199P began organizing elsewhere in Pennsylvania and now has 5,000 members in 25 different communities under the leadership of Pres. John Black, 1199 W. Va organized in West Virginia, 1199H organized in Ohio, 1199 Mass. organized in Massachusetts. During the same period, 1199 won elections covering 4,000 workers in New York, New Jersey and Connecticut.

The National Union of Hospital and Health Care Employees was created in 1973 at a founding convention in New York. District 1199 in the New York City metropolitan area became the largest of several districts and areas with a combined membership of 100,000 in 14 states and the District of Columbia. Continued growth up the present finds members from Rhode Island to Iowa in seven districts and in areas that will become financially self-supporting districts when they organize enough members to make this practical.

The two newest districts—Connecticut and New Jersey—are outgrowths of the original Local 1199. When they became big enough to stand as self-sufficient districts, their members voted to do so. District 1199J in New Jersey became a district in 1977 under the leadership of Pres. Aberdeen David. The former Connecticut Area of District 1199, headed by 1199 Vice Pres. Jerry Brown, is now completing the process of becoming District 1199 New England. The new district will include 10,000 members now under contract in Connecticut and Rhode Island, plus whatever new members are organized in Maine, New Hampshire and Vermont.

The hospital and nursing home industry which the new national union first proposed to unionize in the early 1970s was the third largest employer in the country. Non-professional hospital workers were 90 percent unorganized, and administrators intended to keep it that way. Workers in voluntary hospitals had been excluded from federal law giving workers the right of union representation. There was neither National Labor Relations Board machinery for conducting union representation elections nor legal definitions of unfair labor practices. Hospitals were not legally required to recognize the union as the official bargaining agent of the workers.

The new national union pressed for federal legislation granting hospital workers collective bargaining rights. Victory on this front came on July 11, 1974, when Congress passed legislation extending the coverage of the National Labor Relations Act to employees of non-profit hospitals and nursing homes.

As the national organizing effort progressed, a considerable portion of 1199's energies, finances and personnel were devoted to it. At the same time, progress continued in New York both in the Hospital Division headed by Exec. Vice Pres. Doris Turner and the Guild Division headed by Exec. Vice Pres. Jesse Olson.
An impressive display of strength came June 13, 1972 when 25,000 members of 1199 jammed onto Murray St. next to City Hall in lower Manhattan as a prelude to expiration that month of their contract with the League of Voluntary Hospitals.

Wearing their blue-and-white hats and chanting "We want a contract!", the demonstrators carried signs stating "We can't make it on $130 a week," "Don't force us to strike the hospitals" and "Don't blame us for rising hospital costs."

The latter was a reference to a development reported somewhat later by the President's Council on Wage and Price Stabilization. The Council found that hospital workers' wages were not responsible for the dramatic rise in hospital costs over the previous decade. It noted that wages were a steadily decreasing percentage of hospital costs and that other major elements in hospital budgets were rising faster than wages.

"We're here today to let everybody know that hospital workers are not the forgotten people or the invisible people," Exec. Vice Pres. Turner told the June 13 rally. "The jobs we hold are some of the most important jobs in the world. Without us, the hospitals would not run."

Addressing himself to the city as a whole, Pres. Davis told the huge crowd:

"This is a better city because of us. We are not making impossible demands, but only that which we need to take care of our children, our families. We will not permit anyone to destroy our dignity as an organized group of men and women who insist on the right and power to influence our own lives. We know all too well what it's like to have things shoved down our throats. Well, no one is going to shove anything down our throats ever again!"

Three weeks later, a citywide hospital strike was averted when a two-year contract brought raises to 33,000 workers of $24 a week or 15 percent, whichever was greater.

The first half of that raise was due July 1, 1972. But President Richard Nixon's three-year-old Cost of Living Council, which discriminatorily imposed mandatory wage controls on 10 percent of the nation's workforce, including hospital workers, held up the raise for 11 months. Members in dozens of other communities experienced similar delays at the hands of the COLC. The second New York raise was due July 1, 1973. Infuriated over their long wait for the first raise, New York 1199ers set a Nov. 1 deadline for COLC approval of the 1973 raise. When that date came and went without action in Washington, 30,000 members struck for one week. The result was a compromise. 1199ers did receive a second year increase, but it was less than what they had originally negotiated. Nevertheless, the union won respect across the nation for its courage in taking on Nixon's arbitrary and discriminatory wage control apparatus. Part of the price the union had to pay for standing up to Nixon was an unprecedented $725,500 fine for violating a federal court anti-strike injunction. But most 1199ers shared the summary of striker Moshe Goldberg of Brooklyn Jewish Hospital. "Even though the money we lost by striking will be lost forever, the strike had a point. We cannot let them dictate to us," he said.

New York 1199ers were forced to strike again for 11 days in July 1976 when the League of Voluntary Hospitals not only refused to negotiate for wage increases, but refused to agree to take the dispute to binding arbitration. A strike highlight was a rally of 10,000 strikers outside the opening session July 12 of the Democratic Party national convention at Madison Square Garden. In the face of the determination of 40,000 strikers and widespread public support for an arbitrated settlement, management finally capitulated. The disappointing award by arbitrator Margery Gootnick that came two months later convinced many 1199ers that in the future they should rely on their strength on the picketline rather than arbitrated decisions.

The early 1970s were a period of organizing growth for 1199 in New York City as well as in new areas. The biggest success came at the nation's largest voluntary hospital, Columbia Presbyterian in upper Manhattan, when workers voted 875-507 for 1199 on March 1, 1973. Subsequent victories at St. Luke's Roosevelt and Methodist Hospitals reduced those major New York voluntary hospitals without 1199 contracts to a very few conspicuous holdouts.
But perhaps the most dramatic new organizing effort of the 1970s came in an entirely new field. The nation's two million registered nurses had become increasingly militant. They were tired of being ignored by the predominantly male medical power structure when they asked for better salaries and a voice in patient care delivery. They were frustrated increasingly by the inability of the American Nurses Association and its state affiliates to represent their interests effectively.

A few nurses in several states had joined 1199 over the years, but the big breakthrough came in 1977 when 650 RNs at Brookdale Medical Center in Brooklyn voted for 1199 representation and then struck for two days to win their first union contract. A significant factor in the strike victory was the cooperation of the 2,000 other 1199ers already under 1199 contract at Brookdale.

With the Brookdale victory, a fourth division of District 1199 became a reality. Joining the Hospital, Guild and Drug Divisions was the League for Registered Nurses, directed by Exec. Vice Pres. Sondra Clark, a former Brookdale RN who led the organizing drive there.

The 1199 RN Division now has 3,000 members at 35 institutions, among them major hospitals such as Brookdale and Beth Israel Medical Center in Manhattan. An additional 2,000 RNs are under 1199 contract in other districts and areas. Some, like the 160 nurses at Fairmont General Hospital in Fairmont, W. Va., displayed tremendous unity and widespread membership participation in winning union contracts. The Fairmont RNs struck for 45 days to win their contract.

"Our people really stuck together because they finally got tired of years of having no say and being ignored," said Fairmont RN Mary Shafer. "Women were held down in the past, but today they're more ready to speak out on things."

In addition to winning better salaries and working conditions, nurses in 1199 have quickly become involved in a variety of professional programs, ranging from RN Committee lectures and conferences on subjects such as the 1985 proposal or cancer care to the successful fight against the arbitrary voiding of the year's RN licensing exam by New York State.

Another major development was the successful organization during the past year of 6,400 employees at 40 state-run health institutions in Connecticut. The new members include 1,800 professional employees in categories such as doctor, dentist, social worker, psychologist, RN and chaplain. The state employees, organized under the leadership of 1199 Vice Pres. Jerry Brown, form a major portion of the membership of the new District 1199 New England.

Elsewhere, 1199 organizing in the late 1970s slowed somewhat. Reasons included consistent unemployment nationally and retrenchment by many hospitals, a vicious offensive by hospitals willing to spend hundreds of thousands of dollars for anti-union "consultants," and frustrating delays by the National Labor Relations Board in processing applications for representation elections.

In spite of this, election wins brought unionization to new thousands of hospital workers in such widely separated communities as Gary, Ind., Davenport, Iowa; Huntington, W. Va.; Philadelphia; Detroit; Rochester, N.Y.; Portsmouth, N.H.; Aliquippa, Pa. and Providence, R.I. Following Elliott Godoff's death in 1975, organizing drives were conducted under the leadership of National Union Director of Organization Bob Muehlenkamp.

By the end of the 1970s, unionization had brought about an unprecedented transformation in the lives of New York hospital workers. The average wage in the lowest paid job category was $230 a week, or more than seven times the wage received only 20 years earlier. Average weekly salaries in higher-paid categories at the end of 1979 were $315 for radiologic technologists, $258 for LPNs, $281 for laboratory technicians, $414 for pharmacists and $339 for beginning social workers. On top of this, a three percent raise was due to 1199ers at League of Voluntary Hospitals institutions on Jan. 1, 1980.

The union's pioneering Benefit, Pension and Training and Upgrading Funds, financed entirely by management payments but administered jointly by the union and management, had become models in their fields. The Benefit and Pension Funds were directed from their beginnings by 1199 Sec. Treas. William J. Taylor, a former drug store luncheonette worker who retired last summer after 42 years in 1199.

Under the self-administered Benefit Fund, workers and their dependents could be assured of hospitalization, surgical benefits, medical care benefits
in both their homes and the doctors' offices, an optical plan, disability pay of two-thirds of their salary, diagnostic services including x-ray and laboratory work, maternity benefits, life insurance coverage, dental and free prescription coverage. Each year, the Benefit Fund also sends hundreds of members children to all-expenses-paid three-week summer vacations at camp and provides college tuition aid on the basis of need to hundreds of members' children. In 1979, for instance, 460 children of members utilized the summer camp program. The tuition aid program has assisted 4,500 children of members since 1967. Its 1979 budget is $675,000.

The Pension Fund dispersed nearly $1 million to more than 6,000 retired National Union members this year. And Retired Members Division in New York and Philadelphia have been cited by government and private agencies for their outstanding role in providing retirees with a wide variety of programs to enrich their retired years. These have included classes in arts and crafts, languages, photography and film-making. A highlight of 1979 was a handsome exhibit of photo-essays of and by retired members, shown at District 1199 and at the Brooklyn Museum.

The Training and Upgrading Fund aided more than 1,700 New York members who studied for better health care jobs last year. Among graduates of full-time training programs were 32 registered nurses, 15 radiologic technologists and eight respiratory therapists. Full-time students receive tuition, a stipend of 85 percent of their net pay up to a maximum of $150 a week and costs of textbooks. Part-time Fund programs include tuition assistance for health-related courses, basic education, high school equivalency, English as a second language and college preparation. The program is widely recognized for its success in providing avenues to better pay and more challenging positions for workers previously stuck in dead-end jobs.

A democratic structure emphasizes the crucial role of rank-and-file delegates elected by secret ballot every two years by the membership. Currently, 2,000 New York delegates handle thousands of grievances each year and meet in monthly delegate assemblies to discuss and act upon all important union affairs. The delegates spend one weekend every other year at a training session in the refreshing rural atmosphere of Pawling, N.Y.

The union's staff contains a high proportion of former hospital workers who rose from the ranks to take on responsibilities as organizers and officers. Typical of this process was Vice Pres. James Boykin, who died of a heart attack in 1976 at the tragically early age of 48. Boykin was a Flower-Fifth Ave. Hospital cook who became an 1199er in the 1959 strike and served as an active delegate before joining the staff as an organizer in 1963. He was appointed acting vice president and Hospital Division Bronx-Westchester Area Director in 1972.

The union's growing attention to legislative affairs was illustrated by its success in building a coalition that won decisive support from political leaders in the effort to prevent the closing of Brooklyn Jewish Hospital. The district's legislative director is Judy Berek, a former Kingsbrook Jewish Medical Center laboratory technician.

Many 1199ers are living in the prize-winning 1,590-family cooperative 1199 Plaza housing development in East Harlem. The New York Times called the development "superb" and "one of New York's most architecturally significant housing projects."

Many of the New York district's initiatives in social, cultural and educational affairs were matched in newer National Union districts. A leader in this area was District 1199C in Philadelphia, where a new training program has won national recognition and where the district plays an important role in the city's political scene.

For many years, 1199 members have participated in a wide variety of social, educational and cultural activities designed to demonstrate that a good union doesn't have to be dull. These include dances, picnics, moonlight sails, live theater programs with Broadway stars, film festivals, Christmas children's parties, art exhibits at Gallery 1199, annual health care conferences, and regular meetings of special committees of pharmacists, RNs, LPNs, dietitians, radiologic technologists, laboratory technicians and therapists. The union produced three award-winning documentary films and a book of poems by staffer Marshall Dubin on the hospital strikes. Its monthly publication, 1199 News, has been among the most consistent award winners in the labor press.
Building on this base, 1199 during the past year developed the Bread and Roses cultural project, called by Business Week magazine "the most significant program ever undertaken by a U.S. union to bring culture to its members." The program's name is taken from the banner in the 1912 Lawrence, Mass. textile strike on which young mill women proclaimed "We want bread and roses, too."

Supported by grants from federal and state cultural agencies and a number of private foundations and individuals, Bread and Roses offers cultural events to members inside major hospitals and nursing homes, at 1199 Plaza and at union headquarters. Its events include drama, music and poetry programs by professional companies; art and photography exhibits; a Labor Day Street Fair attended by 75,000; an original musical revue based on members' oral history accounts of their own lives; conferences and seminars; videotapes and films; and much more.

Directed by National Union Exec. Sec. Moe Foner, Bread and Roses has already attracted favorable comment by newspapers and magazines across the nation. It is widely recognized as a prototype for the entire labor movement.

Earlier this year, two people with long 1199 associations paused to look backward in articles in 1199 News as the union celebrated its 20 years in the hospitals.

Actor, playwright and director Ossie Davis has been closely identified with 1199 for 25 years as writer, performer and volunteer organizer. He and his wife, Ruby Dee, are "deeply proud of our continuing involvement with the union," Davis said. He added:

"There is a spirit about 1199 that comes from a tradition of struggle. Being aggressive and feisty when the cause is right, 1199 didn't hesitate to jump into any fight where peace, civil rights, race prejudice, corporate greed, bureaucratic stupidity or political indifference were involved."

Edna Mallon, a central service aide at Flushing Hospital in Queens since 1962, recalled the union struggles that brought her wages from 75 cents an hour to her current $260 a week, "with beautiful benefits and the prospect of a nice pension."

"The mural on our 1199 headquarters building tells the story of what we hospital workers were and what we have become, said Mallon. "If there is no struggle, there can be no progress."


2.
LOCAL 1199 MAKES REALISTIC GAINS FOR ITS NEWLY-ORGANIZED MEMBERS
By Moe Foner

A crusade to organize 35,000 workers in New York City's eighty-two voluntary, non-profit hospitals has focused public attention on Local 1199, Drug and Hospital Employees Union, affiliated with the AFL-CIO's Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union.

When the campaign started, early in 1958, few New Yorkers were familiar with "1199" whose 6,000 members, employed in some 1,800 retail drug stores in the metropolitan area and Long Island included 2,100 registered pharmacists. Increased public interest in the local was demonstrated in the course of a ten-day period this past summer.

Across the desk of its president, Leon J. Davis, came inquiries from union leaders in several states and far off Ghana requesting details on the local's experiences in organizing hospital workers, and invitations to lecture at several schools and colleges including Harvard University's Graduate School of Economics. Simultaneously, a feature article on the hospital campaign appeared in Fortune Magazine.

Local 1199 made headlines when 3,500 newly organized members in seven hospitals struck for forty-six days during the Spring and Summer of 1959.
The nation's first major walkout of hospital workers, the strike climaxed a whirlwind organizing drive that had enrolled 6,000 members in a one-month period.

Led by Harry A. Van Arsdale, Jr., head of the New York City AFL-CIO Central Labor Council, the entire labor movement rallied behind the strikers. Thousands of trade unionists turned out daily on the picket lines, tons of food were collected and 162 local unions contributed a total of $167,000 to aid the strikers in a display of labor solidarity unprecedented in New York City history in the memories of some of the oldest members of the labor movement.

Public support for the strikers came in the form of food, funds and resolutions from community, civic and religious groups, including the formation of a sixty-five member Citizens' Committee headed by Dr. Reinhold Niebuhr and A. Philip Randolph.

When Local 1199 decided to organize voluntary hospital workers, few labor leaders held out hope for success. Except for a handful of abortive efforts in the massive drives of the 1930’s, unions had largely left the voluntary hospitals alone. The thousands of workers required to run them were truly "the forgotten men and women" of New York City—forgotten by unions, employers and the public. Within the shining hospital walls, there had simmered in silence thousands of unprotected workers whose daily tasks are essential for the hospitals' maintenance. They were cooks and dishwashers, nurses' aides and technicians, laundry workers and clerks, porters, housekeeping and maintenance workers.

These workers earned as little as $25, $30 and $32 for a six-day, forty-four workweek. Overtime pay was non-existent, split shifts prevalent. In some cases, to eke out a bare existence, many were compelled to seek supplementary relief assistance from New York City welfare agencies. Because they worked for charitable, nonprofit institutions, they were excluded from coverage under New York State's Labor Relations, Unemployment Insurance and Disability Benefit laws.

While workers at city-owned hospitals in New York City have been organized for years, labor had shied away from the nonprofit hospitals. Denied collective bargaining protection by law, it was felt that voluntary hospital workers could not be organized. Some said that hospital workers would never unite in a common fight as members of trade unions. Still others feared that organization might create conflicts between hospital trustees and labor leaders who often sat together at trustee meetings of community and social agencies.

Some eyebrows were raised in December 1959 when Local 1199 scored its first major breakthrough at Montefiore Hospital in the Bronx with a decisive 628-31 vote in a secret ballot consent election and quickly expanded its campaign to thirty hospitals throughout the city. Assisted by stories and editorials in El Diario de Nueva York and The Amsterdam News, the largest Spanish language and Negro newspapers in the city, "La Cruzada de Local 1199," as it was termed in El Diario, the drive soon resembled the mass organizing efforts of the 1930’s.

Dan Wakefield, a reporter who covered it from the beginning, described this aspect in an article in The Nation magazine, March 14, 1959:

"To walk into one of these organizing meetings is to walk back into a time of the five-and-a-half and six day week, the wages under a dollar an hour, the fears of firing from the boss for 'talking union,' and the almost revival-meeting enthusiasm of workers suddenly awakened out of their plight."

Soon, The New York Times and New York Post took up the cudgels for the hospital workers. In an editorial on March 7, 1959 The Times called attention to the financial plight of the hospitals, but added: "... Why should workers performing the same kind of jobs as in private employment be asked to be philanthropists by accepting much lower wages and the human sufferings that follow. And why should collective bargaining be denied them when it is a policy overwhelmingly approved by the American public?"

Actively assisting in the campaign were some 500 drug store members of Local 1199. Recognizing that success depended on massive rank and file participation to distribute leaflets at hospitals throughout the city, pharmacists, clerks, sodamen, porters and cosmeticians enrolled in "1199's" "Crack of Dawn Brigade." At least once a week, these volunteers appeared at hospital gates at 5:30 A.M., distributed leaflets and talked union until it was time for them to report for work at the drug stores.
Today, Local 1199's Hospital Division has a membership of 8,000 and holds collective bargaining agreements covering 3,200 nonprofessional, technical and office employees at voluntary hospitals and homes in the metropolitan area. The Statement of Policy issued by thirty-seven subscribing hospitals at the close of the 1959 strike was improved considerably this past July.

While it does not include union recognition, the revised Statement of Policy provides for a truly impartial board of six public members known as the Permanent Administrative Committee, appointed by the Chief Judge of the New York State Court of Appeals with six consultants equally divided among hospital trustees and labor. An improved grievance procedure has been established with the PAC acting as final arbiter of all disputes and the PAC will make annual reports on all issues affecting workers in the subscribing hospitals.

Since the union entered the scene, wages, still below standard, have improved markedly. Hiring rates, formerly as low as $25, $30 and $32 for a forty-four-hour, six-day week, are now $45 with some hospitals already planning to go to $50 for a normal forty-hour, five-day week. Overtime pay is a normal practice and split shifts have been eliminated.

Activity on the legislative front also has paid off for hospital workers. Bills were enacted in the New York State Legislature last year bringing nonprofit institution employees under the State Disability Benefits Law and a $1-an-hour minimum wage for the first time in the State's history.

But what kind of union are hospital workers joining? The local that defied the warnings about the impractical and impossible task of organizing hospital workers is an outstanding example of professional organization. Its largest segment consists of registered pharmacists but also includes sodamen and women, drug clerks, cosmeticians, porters and deliverymen.

Local 1199 was established at the height of the depression in 1932 when a small group of pharmacists met in a dingy room in downtown Manhattan. Among them were Leon J. Davis, "1199's" president for the past fifteen years. At that time those fortunate to be employed earned as little as $20 for a sixty-six-hour, seven-day work week. Their professionalism included sweeping, mopping and dusting floors as well as filling and delivering prescriptions.

The meeting coincided with the appearance of an editorial in the American Druggist warning that: "Unions in pharmacy will fail because pharmacy is a personal service profession and no drug clerk wants forever to remain a clerk. The job of organization is hopeless."

But history confounded the experts. The pharmacists, prime movers in forming the union, soon recognized that their best interests lay in extending organization to the rest of their fellow employees. Through the long climb back to prosperity and since, improvements in working conditions and status have come to members in all categories. The starting rate for pharmacists is now $130 for a forty-hour, five-day week, in addition to welfare and pension benefits.

More than 85 per cent of New York's drug stores have been organized by Local 1199. Unionization extends to such chains as Whelan's and Liggett's individual stores employing up to sixty workers and small owner-operated stores with only one worker employed for as few as fifteen hours weekly. Contracts are negotiated with seven major employer associations, individual stores, and the managements of the chains.

No local union, particularly one where most members work in one- or two-man stores alongside their employers, can long maintain vitality and effectiveness without membership participation. From the start, "1199" has sought to encourage such participation as decisive to the existence of the union.

The backbone of the local are its stewards. They are the line of communication with the membership, its grievance agents, and its spokesmen on the job. Some 300 stewards—roughly one for every fifteen members working in the same store or vicinity—provide the real leadership of "1199."

Elected by secret ballot every two years, the stewards meet monthly as the Drug Division Council to debate and act on pending union affairs. Their actions, normally accepted, are subject to final approval and veto by the membership itself. Because they possess real responsibility and power in the union's administration, their job has become one of commanding respect. For this reason, the steward structure functions efficiently and democratically.
Divided into geographical areas, usually on a borough-wide basis, the membership meets every other month. Meeting attendance averages about 40 per cent, with more than 60 per cent turning out at union-wide meetings called to discuss contract issues.

Local 1199 has never negotiated dues checkoff in its contracts with drug stores. Its members take the position that each "1199er" should make his dues payments directly to the union, helping to create a spirit of direct involvement in union affairs. The union has never had any difficulty in collecting dues in this manner.

Long ago, the local was faced with definite choices concerning its activities and progress. Its experience clearly indicated that if activities were limited to collective bargaining and grievance processing, internal life and participation would decline. What was needed, its leaders decided, was to bring together its widely dispersed membership into welfare, educational, social and cultural activities that would strengthen union bonds.

Before health and welfare plans became commonplace, "1199ers" decided to pool their resources in a mutual aid venture. In 1940, the local approved a sick benefit plan of its own. Each member contributed twenty-five cents a week, and in return was eligible for benefits for $10 weekly for a maximum of five weeks. In 1940 this was regarded as a very considerable benefit for store workers of any kind.

Out of this beginning, there came demands for employer support to a sick benefit plan and in 1945, agreement was reached with employers for establishment of a fund based on 3 per cent of payroll. Since then, it has been improved and expanded. Supervised by a union-employer Board of Trustees, its director is William J. Taylor, "1199" vice president and a former soda man. Today, employer contributions have been increased to 3.5 per cent of payroll to cover a comprehensive program of sickness, accident, hospitalization, surgical, maternity and death benefits. A similar amount is contributed to the Local 1199 Pension Plan, established in 1950, which permits older workers to retire with a measure of security. Both plans are self-administered and self-insured paying no commissions to outside agencies and permitting savings to be translated into improved benefits and reserves.

Benefits have been increased to a maximum of $65 weekly for twenty-six weeks, something unheard of for drug store workers a few years ago. Under consideration today is a medical reimbursement program whereby members and their dependents would be reimbursed for doctors' visits.

Since 1945, the Local 1199 Benefit Plan has paid out a total of $5,216,000 in benefits to 26,650 members and their families and has reserves of $1,450,000.

The local has developed a diversified program of educational, social and cultural activities to meet the needs and interests of members and their families. Its class program, the Local 1199 "Unionversity," offers courses to meet the on-the-job needs of members. To better equip them to service the growing number of Puerto Rican customers, classes in Spanish are conducted. Members interested in obtaining information on cosmetics products attend special courses in that subject.

For soda fountain workers, special classes are arranged in cooperation with the Food Trades Vocational High School. Latest developments in pharmacy are available to members at classes in Pharmacology and Management Problems taught by leading educators, including Dr. Arthur G. Zupko, dean, Brooklyn College of Pharmacy, who conducted an eight-session course last year attended by 180 members, the largest of its kind ever offered outside a college of pharmacy. Since the "Unionversity" program was organized in 1954, more than 2,300 members have attended courses at nominal fees to cover costs. Classes for stewards and new members are also an important part of "1199's" educational activities.

Because the union recognizes that social and cultural activities play an important role in promoting unity among all sections of the membership, "1199" organizes such annual events as its Negro History celebration, Salute to Israel, and Latin-American Fiesta. These programs are attended by standing-room-only crowds and feature original dramatic-musical presentations with such stage and screen stars as Sidney Poitier, Ricardo Montalban, Sam Levenson, Ossie Davis, and Ruby Dee among others.

Children of members get into the act, too. Small-fry holiday parties and the local's unique program of monthly socials for teenage sons and daughters
of members, Teen Time at 1199, are regular events at union headquarters. Each year, through its camp program, the local has been sending forty children of members to all-expenses paid summer vacations at leading camps.

This program has been expanded to include the awarding of two annual college scholarships of $1,000 each to children of members. One award is for general college education while a second is for use at a recognized college of pharmacy. Selections are made by the "1199" College Scholarship Board, consisting of prominent educators headed by Dr. Hugo H. Schafer, dean emeritus, Brooklyn College of Pharmacy. The Camp-Scholarship Program is financed by annual voluntary contributions from thousands of members and hundreds of employers.

Local 1199 members also maintain their own Blood Bank and Credit Union. Arranged in cooperation with the American Red Cross, hundreds of "1199ers" donate blood each year on Blood Bank Day and are eligible to draw from the common pool in case of emergencies affecting themselves or their families. Its Credit Union, where members may borrow and save without red tape, has loaned almost $600,000 to 3,000 members in the past eight years.

The unusually high degree of participation in these activities led to strong support for a proposal that the union purchase its own headquarters. In 1958, the local opened its own auditorium, used as a meeting hall and for social events. Purchase and renovation of the building, located at 300 West 45th Street, was made possible when members oversubscribed to $175,000 of local building fund bonds.

Most drug store owners agree readily that Local 1199 has brought a measure of order and stability to the industry. Over the long pull, everybody has gained from the union, the owner and the general public, and, of course, the worker.

Local 1199's Committee on Pharmacy has helped to advance the special interests of its pharmacist members and the entire profession. Through classes, forums and at regularly scheduled meetings, the committee constantly advances suggestions and programs designated to enhance the profession. "Professionalism" is not dead within Local 1199, but it has been integrated into the life of a union dedicated to the needs of drug store and voluntary hospital employees.

This year, two separate and independent divisions were established, one for drug store members and the other for the local's newly organized hospital workers. The members of each division meet separately and make their own decisions on all matters affecting their interests. Over-all union decisions require a majority vote in each division. Thus, the rights and interests of both divisions are fully protected.

Hospital workers, still in the early stages of building a union, know from their own experiences that there is no magic to the organization and administration of a union. They are tapping a rich reservoir of experience from their fellow members in the drug stores, experience which indicates that the best answer to continued progress lies in democratic trade union principles and practices.

Industrial Bulletin of the New York State Department of Labor (November, 1960), reprint in District 1199 Archives.

3. LOCAL 1199 SPARKS NATIONAL UNION FOR HOSPITAL, NURSING HOME WORKERS
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"Opened The Door"

Coretta Scott King, honorary chairman of the new union, said recently the victorious Charleston campaign "opened the door to a new day for all hospital workers." The new union, she said, will lead the effort to "wipe out poverty wages and win justice, dignity and human rights for all hospital workers."

Mrs. King's concern for hospital workers is similar to that of her late husband, Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., who often referred to Local 1199 as "my favorite union."

6,000 Organized

Hospital organizing is off to a lightning start in Baltimore, where NUHNE's Local 1199E organized 6,000 members in less than four months. Most prestigious of the 16 institutions unionized there is world-famous Johns Hopkins Hospital. Elections are upcoming at 10 more Baltimore institutions employing 1,000 workers.

1199E organizer Fred Punch is typical of the up-from-the-ranks leadership in the rapidly-growing new union. Until a year ago, Punch was an orderly at St. Barnabas Hospital in the Bronx. He discovered 1199 when the union organized service and maintenance workers at St. Barnabas in the summer of 1968. Last week, Baltimore's NAACP gave the 32-year old former orderly its annual "Man of the Year" award for leading the crusade to end poverty wages for Baltimore hospital workers.

Growing in Pittsburgh

In Pittsburgh, where racial tensions simmer after recent confrontations between white construction workers and civil rights groups seeking jobs for blacks, Local 1199P nevertheless is growing fast among both black and white hospital workers. Management at major hospitals like Presbyterian is trying to undercut black-white unity by labeling 1199P "Black nationalist" in propaganda aimed at white workers. But NUHNNE Secretary-Treasurer Henry Nicholas says this hasn't worked and he expects quick organizing success in Pittsburgh.

From Charleston to Durham to Baltimore to Philadelphia—the hospital organizing drive has been based on the formula 1199 Union Power Plus SCLC Soul Power Equals Victory. Southern Christian Leadership Conference President Ralph Abernathy, who went to jail during the Charleston strike with Local 1199 President Leon Davis, has pledged his organization's continued support in bringing union and human rights to all hospital workers. The link between the SCLC and 1199 has been seen by many commentators as an historic-joining of forces by labor and civil rights movement.

An example of the new organizing techniques the 1199-SCLC cooperation produced occurred this summer outside of Johns Hopkins Hospital in Baltimore. Hundreds of employees leaving work were thrilled to find Mrs. King waiting on the sidewalk to greet them personally and urge them to vote for 1199E. "I was convinced right on the spot that there's something special about this union," said a middle-aged nurses' aide.

Hospital workers in New York first heard about Local 1199 ten years ago. Although hospital workers are the third largest employee group in the country—four times larger than steelworkers, for instance—they had been completely ignored until Local 1199 began organizing them here in 1959. With pioneering journalistic assistance from the Amsterdam News, the union grew to include 42,000 hospital workers in New York, Connecticut and New Jersey. Symbolizing this growth is the union's new 15 story headquarters on 43rd Street off Eighth Avenue, scheduled for occupancy this spring.

New York 1199ers showed the way for many other city unions when they won the $100-a-week minimum wage last year along with a management-financed training and upgrading program, solid health and pension plans and other fringe benefits.

The union has also made an impact outside the world of the bargaining table. It was the country's first union to oppose the Vietnam war and sent the largest labor delegation to the November 15th anti-war March on Washington. It provided strong support for Mayor Lindsay's reelection and opposed last year's teacher strike.
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4. HOSPITAL STRIKE IS SETTLED; $40 MINIMUM, OTHER GAINS WON

The great strike of 3,000 workers in seven hospitals ended June 22 with a victory for all hospital workers. This was the first such strike in history—the first time that hospital workers ever joined together in a union to fight for justice for themselves and their families. With representation through their union won, as well as important wage and hour gains, the workers of the seven hospitals voted at a huge mass meeting at the Hotel Diplomat to accept a settlement of the strike worked out with Mayor Wagner, and go back to their jobs. Some managements have already begun to violate the settlement by discriminating against strikers returning to work. Local 1199 Pres. Davis immediately announced that the union would defend every single striker who is unfairly treated. He pointed out that Local 1199 has the right to take any violation of the agreement to arbitration, and every grievance will be pressed through to arbitration if that is necessary to make the managements live up to their word.

Terms of the settlement cover not only the seven struck hospitals—Mount Sinai, Beth Israel, Bronx Hospital, Beth David, Lenox Hill, Brooklyn Jewish and Flower-Fifth Avenue—but also will cover any one of the 81 hospitals where the workers organize into Local 1199 and elect their own representatives.

The agreement provides the following terms:

1. No workers will receive less than $40 a week (which comes to $173 a month). No worker will receive less than a $2 a week raise, and in most cases the raise will be bigger, running as high as $17 a week in some cases.
2. The work week will be 5 days a week, 40 hours a week.
3. Time and a half will be paid for overtime.
4. Wherever the workers are organized in Local 1199, they have the right to take up grievances through their own elected representatives of Local 1199. And if the union representative cannot settle the grievance with the hospital management, an impartial arbitrator will make the final decision. Never again—wherever the workers are organized in Local 1199—will management have the final say as to whether a worker is fired, or demoted, or anything else.
5. Wherever the workers are organized in Local 1199, they can present requests for wage increases and other benefits every year through their elected representatives to a committee which includes public members. At least three of the six public members must approve the wage rates and other conditions decided upon. Never again will the managements have the final say on how much you should earn, or how little.
6. The first review of wage rates and job classifications takes place next October. Wherever the workers are organized in Local 1199, they are assured of representation to set job classifications which will guarantee that they are properly paid for the work they do. This is important for all hospital workers, but especially for x-ray and lab technicians, social workers and clerical workers.
7. The agreement clearly states that every employee has the right to join the union and remain a member of the union; and that no employee will be discriminated against for being a union member.

Amsterdam News (N.Y.), December 13, 1969.
Accomplishments—But Only the Beginning

These are great accomplishments for so short a time, but they are only the beginning in the hospitals of New York. Because of the fight put up by the strikers and their union, Local 1199, the eyes of the entire city—and in fact the entire country—were on the hospital workers and the terribly low pay they received. For the first time, people learned about wages of $32, $34 and $36 a week, no time and a half for overtime, long hours and no unemployment insurance.

Many improvements have already been made and promises made, but through Local 1199 hospital workers have a guarantee that promises will be kept—and that progress will be made steadily to bring up the wages that are still very low. Through their union, hospital workers proved that they have the courage to fight for their rights. The managements used to treat hospital workers like dirt, but wherever the workers organize solidly in Local 1199, things will be changed. Management now has respect for the fighters of Local 1199. They will have respect for their workers wherever they organize in Local 1199 and elect their representatives.

1199 Hospital News, July 9, 1959.

5. ONE BIG UNION ESTABLISHED FOR ALL HOSPITAL WORKERS:
LOCAL 1199 HOSPITAL DIVISION, AFL-CIO

The powerful Hospital Division of Local 1199—with thousands of members who have come through a tough and victorious strike as a base—has now been set up as a functioning, active organization.

These workers understand that Local 1199 has been able to win substantial improvements for every hospital worker. They recognize that no other union has accomplished anything for hospital workers; that the "vultures" who did little else but pass out leaflets are now attempting to divide the workers and prevent them from achieving what is needed now—one big, united union of hospital workers, Local 1199.

At the meeting June 22 which settled the strike, Local 1199 President Leon Davis announced that the hospital workers will now run their own organization, although he will continue to give it leadership, as he has since the organizing drive began in the hospitals. However, he said, the organizers who had been "on loan" from the Drug Division of Local 1199 during the strike will now return to their duties in that division.

President Davis said that leading strikers were being added to the staff that has been directing the organizing drive, and together they would make up the top leaders who would continue the big job of organizing all voluntary hospital workers in New York. As the campaign expands, and more workers are organized, additional leaders will be brought on the staff from the ranks of hospital workers, he said.

The Hospital Division will function as a separate part of Local 1199, Davis said, with its finances coming from the dues of the hospital workers themselves. Dues for hospital workers are $3 a month, of which 50 cents is paid for a life insurance policy of $500 for each member during the first year and $750 in the second year of membership.

Membership cards for Hospital Division members will be issued every month. When a member pays his $3 dues at the union headquarters nearest his hospital, or at the main Local 1199 headquarters at 300 West 45th Street, he will be given the membership card for that month, which will show that he is a paid-up member.

1199 Hospital News, July 9, 1959.
The campaign to build one great big union of 30,000 hospital workers in Local 1199 is moving ahead at a fast pace as the message of unionism goes out to more and more thousands of workers in many hospitals. The best organizers for 1199 are the workers in the seven hospitals where the historic strike took place. They have been handing out 1199 Hospital News and "talking union" to workers in dozens of hospitals, with good results. Here are developments in some of the newer hospitals being organized into Local 1199:

Organizer Elliott Godoff reports three more hospitals in Upper Manhattan either with majorities organized, or about to be:

Daughters of Israel: The results of organizing the overwhelming majority of the 125 employees into 1199 are: A $1 an hour rate ($173 a month) is now in effect, as well as the 5-day, 40-hour week and time and a half for overtime. In this hospital rates were $108 and $110 a month for 44 hours a week. Godoff said the union would see to it that the hospital stops the 6-day week which it is still maintaining for some workers, and will discuss with the management the charges for 3 meals a day. The workers want to stop eating meals at the hospital. A committee has been elected to represent all departments.

Joint Disease: Workers here are taking advantage of the strike settlement to set up their organization as part of 1199, so that they will be represented by elected persons for grievances and other matters. Although the hospital is paying the $40 a week minimum and meeting other conditions of the settlement, it is not yet paying time and a half for overtime. The union has asked workers who put in overtime to bring their paychecks to the union office at 1421 Madison Ave., so that this can be corrected.

In the Bronx, Organizer Ted Mitchell reports:

Mount Morris Park Hospital has been organized, and the management has agreed to the $1 an hour rate and other conditions. Wages here were from $32 to $38 a week. The workers are becoming full-fledged members of 1199 by electing their representatives and taking out their July membership cards. Chief Steward is Ella Scott. Other stewards are Leotha Becknell, Bernice Godfrey, Luevena Sanders and Joyce Alvarenga.

In Brooklyn, Organizer Horace Small reports:

St. Johns Episcopal Hospital: The organizing drive is sweeping through the hospital, with entire departments signing up. Wages here are $32 and $34 a week for 40 and 44 hours, with no time and a half for overtime. Meetings of the workers are electing stewards for each department.

Lutheran Medical: The organizing drive here is doing very well as the workers sign up to improve wages as low as $32 a week.


The most dramatic fight conducted by the labor movement in the past 30 years, the strike of 3,000 workers at seven voluntary hospitals under the leadership of '1199,' ended on June 22 after 46 hard-fought days on the picketline with a victory for hospital workers throughout the city.

The end came at an inspiring and enthusiastic mass meeting of the strikers at the Hotel Diplomat where they cheered a report on the terms of the settlement worked out at City Hall meetings leased on proposals of Mayor Wagner's appointed committee.

Terms of the settlement, reported to the strikers by 1199 President Leon J. Davis, cover not only the seven struck hospitals but will apply to any one of the city's 81 voluntary hospitals where the workers organize into 1199 and elect their own representatives.
These terms provide:

1. A $40 a week minimum wage, raising the incredibly low pay scales of $30, $32, and $38 a week and a minimum raise of $2 a week. In most cases, workers will receive raises of $5, $10 and in some instances as high as $17 a week.

2. A 5-day, 40-hour week, with time and a half for overtime. Many workers put in 44 hours and longer work weeks before the strike; few received overtime pay.

3. A review of job classifications, wage ranges, sick leave and holidays on October 1, and annual reviews thereafter. A Review Board, made up of six hospital representatives and six public members appointed by the Chief Justice of the State Court of Appeals was established. At least three of the public members must agree with whatever wages and conditions are established, thereby giving greater weight and influence to their views than those of the hospitals representatives.

4. A grievance procedure which permits a worker to choose a union representative to speak for him with hospital management and provides also for arbitration of any unresolved disputes.

5. Reinstatement of all strikers to their jobs.

The settlement was interpreted in the press and labor circles generally as a major victory for the strikers. Pres. Davis hailed the terms as "back-door recognition," and stated in press and television interviews that "we will be at the front door soon." He noted that the hospital trustees had earlier vowed that they would never recognize and deal with representatives of the union; that they would never rehire the strikers (who had been "fired" repeatedly during the strike); and they would never surrender their "right" to make all decisions on wages, working conditions, and grievances in the hospitals.

Davis paid tribute to the strikers for conducting "a heroic struggle that had won the respect and admiration of the entire labor movement and the community at large, and awakened the city to the brutal oppression of hospital workers." He also expressed the profound thanks of the strikers to Central Labor Council AFL-CIO President Harry Van Arsdale for "mobilizing the support of hundreds of thousands of trade unionists to this great crusade and making it the concern of the entire labor movement.

Among the scores of unions that had contributed funds, food and forces to aid the strikers, Davis paid particular tribute to District 65 whose Organizational Director Bill Michelson and participated in City Hall talks and which had loaned 14 full time staff members to '1199' during the strike. The members of '65' collected over $10,000 for strike relief.

Hardly had the strike ended when a new wave of organization was in full progress with hundreds of hospital workers in all parts of the city joining the union. Encouraged by the important gains made as a result of the tremendous fight in the struck hospitals, large numbers of other workers signed up in '1199.'

At one hospital, Daughters of Jacob, all but a few of the 150 workers joined the union and an '1199' committee met with management to work out representation rights. The $40 minimum and 5-day, 40-hour week went into effect early this month bringing wage increases of $20 to $40 a month.

Another important development was the union's announcement of the establishment of the Professional, Technical and Office Section of the Hospital Division, seeking to enroll x-ray, lab technicians, social workers and office workers as a prelude to a campaign to win improved standards for these employees. Despite stories in the press about "non-professional workers on strike," large numbers of professionals took part.

Concrete plans are also under way to set up the Hospital Division as a self-sustaining part of the union, with its own staff and all other independent features. Dues collections from the hospital workers are scheduled to begin with July and Pres. Davis announced that a full discussion of all phases of the organizational structure of the Hospital Division will take place at membership meetings in September.

Commenting on the results of the strike at a union-wide membership meeting on contract preparations, Davis declared: "These workers deeply appreciate the contributions 1199ers have made in building a union in the hospitals. Every 1199er can be proud that he has won the everlasting admiration and gratitude not only of the hospital workers but of the entire labor movement, locally and nationally."
"By extending aid and assistance to these workers, 1199ers have helped expose the unholy alliance responsible for inhuman wages and working conditions in our hospitals. These workers are now engaged in a campaign to build a powerful organization, to stand on their own feet, maintain themselves financially and bring the benefits of organization to others like themselves. They will forever be grateful to you in '1199,' and you can say with pride, "I was one of those responsible for helping the hospital workers."

You have demonstrated through your aid to these exploited workers that you believe in the best traditions of our nation, that we are our brother's keeper, that wherever suffering and indignity exist we will not turn aside but will join in the fight to eliminate injustice.

"This strike was the most sincere and most decent thing that has taken place in this city in some 25 to 30 years. It makes me feel prouder of our union than ever before. People who think that we went into this fight looking for personal glory are way off base. They can only see things reflected in their own small images. Our union has emerged from this fight as a great and wonderful organization. We have won thousands of new friends, practical friends who can be counted on to help all of us move forward to join in the drive to make ours a better and finer nation."


8. THE CHALLENGE OF BRONXVILLE: 1199 TAKES IT UP WITH ALL-OUT DRIVE TO WIN LAWRENCE HOSP. STRIKE

The seven-week-old strike at Lawrence Hospital in Bronxville, N.Y., has become a challenge to all members of Local 1199. The challenge comes from a stubborn and aloof management with contempt for the human as well as the union rights of its employees and from the wealthy and exclusive Bronxville community, where Negroes and Jews are not welcome as citizens and only tolerated as workers.

In the face of this challenge, Pres. Leon Davis told the Hospital Delegate Assembly, "The one thing we can do is to bring masses of our members into Bronxville, to show them they can't ignore us and our problems. It is the one thing we must do to shake the hospital management out of its plantation approach to its workers."

The numbers who have come to Saturday demonstrations during the past few weeks have obviously not been enough. Davis said, in calling for a really massive outpouring for Saturday, March 6. If necessary, he said, massive turnouts would be repeated Saturday after Saturday until the Lawrence Hospital management agrees to a fair settlement.

The strikers, even after pounding the pavement for seven weeks in some miserable weather, are determined to carry on the fight. The civil rights movement, including all top national leaders as well as local leaders, has strengthened its support. The metropolitan press, including the New York Times, the Amsterdam News and Journal-American, and radio stations WMCA and WINS, have given strong editorial support.

The Lawrence Hospital workers' battle, like some others in the history of 1199's Hospital Division, is having and will have results that are important to many more hospital workers than those at Lawrence. Under the pressure of the battle of Bronxville, Governor Rockefeller has announced his support for legislation extending collective bargaining rights to hospital workers all over New York State. Vital support has also been assured by the leadership of the Democratic majority in the State Legislature, which has before it the Berking-Sutton Bill which implements extension of labor law coverage.

These developments recall the struggle of Beth-El Hospital workers in Brooklyn and the Manhattan Eye and Ear Hospital workers several years ago. It was through the determination of these workers that the first historic breakthrough in hospital employees' union rights was won.

The Bronxville strike began on Jan. 16 when the Lawrence Hospital management refused to recognize 1199 as the union the workers have chosen to represent them. The hospital is located in one of the wealthiest and most
fashionable communities in the United States. It is also a lily-white com-

munity, which only permits Negroes to come and work there, mainly at the more

menial jobs. And Bronxville's citizens like to pretend that the poverty wages,

poor working conditions, and discrimination in their midst just don't exist.

But even in Bronxville there are some who couldn't remain silent about

these conditions. Mr. and Mrs. John Richardson, Jr., and Mr. and Mrs. Harold

Turner are four such people.

The Richardsons and Turners have joined the strikers on the picketline,

invited them to their homes and organized the Concerned Citizens Committee

in support of the strikers' demands.

Both couples have withstood countless threatening phone calls in the

middle of the night and other abuses and insults. The Richardsons' family

doctor, who is also an attending physician at Lawrence, told them that he

will no longer care for their five children because of the parents' support of

the strikers.

CORE, NAACP, Negro American Labor Council, Urban League and church

groups from neighboring New Rochelle, Yonkers, Mt. Vernon and White Plains

have also rallied to support the Lawrence strikers. These forces are being

coordinated by Joseph T. Jackson, head of the Westchester NALC.

Actor-playwright-1199er Ossie Davis, who lives in New Rochelle, and

Wyatt T. Walker of Yonkers, former assistant to Rev. Martin Luther King, are

co-chairmen of another support group, the Citizens Committee to Aid the

Lawrence Hospital Strikers.

On five straight Saturday afternoons 1199ers and others have traveled

to Bronxville to participate in mass demonstrations. They came face-to-face

with hundreds of armed and helmeted cops, sheriffs and troopers, imported

from all the surrounding town. The police were stationed in the streets, on

top of the hospital and on the roofs of other buildings.

As the demonstrators have marched, hundreds strong, through the streets

of Bronxville, they chanted, "No contract, no work" and "Jim Crow must go." Black

and white supporters walking hand-in-hand sang "We Shall Not Be Moved"

and "We Shall Overcome."

The curious and upset population of Bronxville lined the streets and

stared. The town had never seen anything like it before.


9. THE BRONXVILLE STRIKE

Content removed at rightsholder's request.

10. TRUCE IN BRONXVILLE

The Bronxville hospital strike has ended with an honorable agreement. Most of the striking workers will be reinstated; the fate of the few who have been convicted of some offense will be submitted to arbitration. By October 1 the hospital will begin to negotiate with Local 1199 of the Hospital Employees Union in compliance with the statute soon to be enacted by the Legislature.

The union has accepted a limited victory; it has exhibited restraint and responsibility in doing so. The outcome is also a triumph for such Bronxville citizens as Mr. and Mrs. John Richardson and Mr. and Mrs. Harold Turner, who spoke out in behalf of justice in a bitterly hostile climate, and for Joseph T. Jackson, who led the Westchester civil rights forces in support of the strikers' cause.

The agreement averts the danger of new, tumultuous clashes such as the one that took place last Saturday when Bronxville resembled Selma. It is, we hope, the augury of a better day for both the underprivileged hospital employes and the whole community. It is also another bright chapter in the history of a union that has staunchly retained the idealism of organized labor's finest hours.


11. BALLAD OF THE BRONXVILLE HOSPITAL STRIKE

Let me tell you the story
Of a union bound for glory—
Local 1199.
Amidst abundance and bounty
Up in Westchester County,
We collided with the color line.

Did we finally win?
Yes, we certainly did win—
And we know what the future's like:
When freedom's cause will ring out,
You'll hear hospital workers sing out
The Ballad of the Bronxville strike.

First the Board of Directors
Said, "How can you expect us
To overcome our grief and rage?
You're brutal and you're callous,
And you're full of spite and malice—
And besides you want a living wage."

Did we have to give in?
Yes, they finally gave in—
But listen well before you shed a tear:
Their average Board member
Made more last December
Than the workers earned the whole damned year.

Just when our hopes were fallin'
Two fine couples came a-callin'
And we made a tremendous find.
With the Richardsons and Turners
Operatin' on all burners,
They rekindled our faith in mankind.
Did we finally win?
Yes, we certainly did win--
We had the civil rights movement in our ranks.
With support behind our backs an' 
With a guy like Joseph Jackson--
He's worth more than all the dough 
in Bronxville's banks.

We had what it took to save us 
In two fellows named Davis--
I mean Ossie and Leon J. 
Though they're differently shaded, 
Otherwise, they're aptly mated:
They're both fighting for a better day.

Did we finally win?
Yes, we certainly did win
Because the strikers' morale was fine.
With Godoff, Nicholas and Black, 
They turned the strikebreakers back 
And they held fast to the picket line.

Then the gals from Sarah Lawrence 
All expressed their abhorrence 
At this medieval labor plan. 
Lookin' spruced up and pretty, 
They paraded through the city-- 
They were equal to any man.

Did we finally win?
Yes, we certainly did win--
We had both justice and femininity.
Then that bright press agent, Foner 
Brought the boys up from Iona, 
And they marched hand in hand for liberty.

Well at last we were able 
To get around the table 
With the hospital hierarchy. 
While the pickets did the walkin',
Phil Sipser did the talkin' 
Harry Weinstock kept the big wheels moving free.

Did we finally win?
Yes, we certainly did win
When we pledged a thousand marchers, 
white and black.
We made plans for assembly--
We got white-lipped and trembly, 
And they took all our strikers back.

Now we've got our pressure steady 
And the Legislature's ready 
To fulfill our Bill of Rights throughout the state. 
In our trade union jargon, 
We'll collectively bargain, 
And they're goin' to negotiate.

Are we goin' to win?
Yes, we certainly will win--
We'll set the hospital workers free.
From Albany to Yonkers, 
We've a formula that conquers-- 
It's black and white unite for victory.
Like some great labor struggles of the past whose fighting memory is alive today in songs about them, the eight-week-long hospital strike of Bronxville will long be remembered in this song by Henry Foner. A brother of 1199 Exec. Sec. Moe Foner, Henry is president of the N.Y. Fur Joint Board of the Amalgamated Meat Cutters Union, AFL-CIO. The words are set to the tune of the The Ballad of the M.T.A., a song about the Boston transit strike of some years ago.


12. FOR SAM SMITH, HOSPITAL ORDERLY:
A BATTLE WHOSE TIME HAS COME

By John M. McClintock

We was making 40 cents an hour, working 12 hours at a stretch. And, man, I couldn't cut it. I couldn't make it with four kids."
The frail 58-year-old Negro was talking the other day about his job in a Baltimore nursing home in 1962. The workers had organized a strike, only to return to their jobs a few days later. Nobody had enough money to stay out.
"We had nothing. We got nothing. We was nothing," he said.
The worker's comment is typical of the plight of the nation's 2.5 million hospital and nursing home employees. And it partially explains the civil rights fervor that has characterized the recent union organizing drives at hospitals in the city. The workers are the dishwashers, nurses' aides, cooks, attendants and so-called "menials" whom one sees but never really recognizes.
The television soap operas do not thrill us with the exploits of Sam Smith, hospital orderly. The romance and fire is reserved for doctors and nurses.

Everyone knows

The orderlies and dishwashers are essentially only to the unromantic, unmentionable processes of health care: the dirty linen, the bed pans, the scraping of plates, the pushing of wheelchairs. These are the lowest jobs, jobs that attract workers from the lowest rung of the socio-economic ladder—the Negroes, the Puerto Ricans, the Mexican-Americans.

When the Johns Hopkins Hospital talks about its workers as "employees whom we will continue to treat them with dignity and respect," everyone knows they are talking about Negroes. And when New York-based Local 1199E of the Hospital and Nursing Home Employees Union (AFL-CIO) began its Baltimore drive last April, everyone knew that its appeal was to Negroes.
The union was then in one of the greatest battles of the American labor movement. It had confronted two public hospitals in Charleston, S.C., with a strike by Negro women hospital workers. The strike, which lasted 113 days, involved the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, and for months television screens in the nation were filled with pictures of Mrs. Martin Luther King, Jr., and the Rev. Ralph Abernathy marching in support of the workers.
It was not a labor battle in the traditional sense. The union was challenging racism in the home state of Strom Thurmond and Mendel Rivers. If the union could win there, it could win anywhere. The same conditions—perhaps to a lesser degree—prevailed in nearly every metropolitan hospital in the country. At stake were the allegiances of the nation's health-care workers who, for the most part, had never been unionized. These 2.5 million workers are greater in number than the workers in the country's basic steel industry.
The union victory in Charleston inextricably identified it with the civil rights movement of Martin Luther King, Jr. The union had won a series of tough strikes before in New York City, but this strike—with its curfews and National Guard troops—the flavor of Selma, Ala., of the white establishment beating down on an oppressed minority.
The effect on the organizing drive in Baltimore has been spectacular. In the past eight months, the union has achieved recognition at 5 major hospitals, including the Hopkins, and 14 nursing homes. With 6,000 members, it already has become one of the largest in the state; there are about 11,000 hospital workers in the Baltimore area. The union membership figure also includes nursing home employees whose total number is not known. The four other hospitals that have recognized the union are Lutheran, Maryland General, Franklin Square and Sinai. An election is to be held next week at the Greater Baltimore Medical Center and at the John F. Kennedy Institute for retarded children.

The victories at the hospitals were especially impressive since such nonprofit institutions are exempted from federal collective bargaining laws and they were not required to hold representative elections.

Flown in

But the Charleston message had been unmistakably clear. No one wanted a Charleston in Baltimore. The union was granted its elections.

And in the key election at the Hopkins—the largest, most prestigious hospital in the state—Mrs. King was flown to Baltimore to rally support. The workers subsequently voted overwhelmingly for the union. The handwriting of Martin Luther King, Jr., was on the wall. In only one case, that of tiny North Charles General Hospital, was the union defeated in an election here.

Charleston had been the kickoff to a national organizing campaign that went successively to Baltimore, Durham, N.C., Pittsburgh, Philadelphia-Harrisburg and Dayton, Ohio. Only in Baltimore, however, has the union achieved such open recognition. Two nursing homes have been signed in Philadelphia and a Pittsburgh hospital has recognized the union. The other campaigns are still in the organizing stages.

While much of the union appeal is oriented to minority groups, the union demand for such things as a $100-a-week minimum, improved fringe benefits and job mobility has an equally great appeal. A typical Baltimore hospital worker's starting wage is about $72 a week—or about $3,744 a year, which is barely above the federal poverty line for a non-farm family of four.

The 22 metropolitan hospitals in the Baltimore area have estimated that the union demands would push up labor costs by $37 million. Hospital officials, though, are not worried so much by the $100 minimum as by the escalator effect it will have in pushing up the wages of other employees.

As a result, for example, the Johns Hopkins Hospital has estimated the rate for a semi-private room would be raised from $51 to $69 a day. The union counters the cost argument with the statement that the workers "are not philanthropists." The union also says that higher wages insure against a hospital's normal high rate of turnover among nonprofessional employees and thus reduce training costs.

Implicit in much of the union actions is the threat of another Charleston. At the contract negotiations last week at the Hopkins, Elliott Godoff, the union's national organizer, warned a state official: "We could make Charleston look like a poker game."

In union's organizing drive is being made to expand its base in New York city, New Jersey and Connecticut.

Local 1199 has enjoyed a spectacular growth since its beginning in 1958. In 11 years its membership has increased from 5,500 to 42,500. The local is actually a division of the Retail, Wholesale Drugstore Union which was founded in 1932 by seven Jewish pharmacists in New York. The president of the union is Leon J. Davis, one of the founders.

The drug union, started during the Depression, was instrumental in breaking the color-line for many Negro pharmacists in Harlem, where stores were owned by whites.

The union also opened up to Negroes positions in drugstores that had hitherto been for white only. Negroes were usually hired as porters.

The hospital division was formed in 1958 after the union's first victory at Montefiore Hospital in the Bronx.

After two key 1199 strikes, in 1963 and 1965, the New York State Legislature smoothed the way when it granted unions the right to organize hospital workers who were previously exempted from collective bargaining laws.

Baltimore Sun, December 7, 1969.
13. THE PLIGHT OF HOSPITAL WORKERS

The basic issue at stake in the lengthening struggle here between two hospitals and Local 1199P is the right of working men and women to have union representation and collective bargaining. The minimum hourly wage at Presbyterian-University and Mercy Hospitals is about $1.75 or $70 for a 40-hour week, which is barely above the officially defined poverty level for a breadwinner with a family of four.

The National Union of Hospital & Nursing Home Employes (the "P" in 1199P stands for "Pittsburgh" and distinguishes the local here from the union's other locals in the South and the East) is aiming for a $2.50-per-hour minimum. That would come out to $100 a week for the approximately 600 service and maintenance unit personnel at Presby and the 650 similar workers involved at Mercy.

The battle over the organization and bargaining rights of workers was, ironically, fought and won largely in Pittsburgh in the first half of this century. But in the ensuing national and state legislation which codified these rights of labor, certain groups—such as hospital and farm workers—were excluded from minimum wage and collective-bargaining guarantees because they were then unorganized and not very vocal. The rationale for excluding hospital workers was that they worked for non-profit institutions.

One result has been that hospital workers have, in general, been helping to subsidize the community's health establishment by working for lower wages than workers in private-profit enterprises. Hospital boards of directors, often themselves captains of industry and banking who deal with unions in their own fields as a matter of course, have generally been reluctant to grant union recognition to hospital workers and have argued that making salaries competitive with those of workers in private industry would boost the costs of hospital care to patients. This is undoubtedly true. But it is unfair to deny hospital workers—janitors, food preparers, plasterers, painters, etc.—collective bargaining rights and expect them, just because they labor in a non-profit institution, to subsidize indirectly the community's health care, the costs for which are, after all, the responsibility of the whole community.

Both hospitals should adopt the position taken by the Jewish Home and Hospital for the Aged here, which was that although it did not believe its workers needed union representation it would not deny them the right to vote on it. (The workers at the Jewish Home and Hospital did, and now Local 1199P represents them). All unions which wish to represent the workers should be welcome on the ballot.

As for the problem of increased costs—which admittedly will arise as a result of the granting of bargaining rights to hospital employees—the hospitals must be preparing now for this later eventuality. They must increase their efforts to curb waste and to achieve greater efficiency. In the case of Presbyterian-University Hospital, the University of Pittsburgh will have to appeal to the state Legislature to help it to meet the higher costs of decent wages for employees. Finally, patient fees must be raised if this is necessary to avoid paying substandard wages. A real effort now to settle the hospital worker dispute on an equitable basis could avoid the confrontations and the suspension of health care services that have occurred in some other cities.

Pittsburgh Post-Gazette, January 8, 1970.

14. HOSPITAL WOES

If there is anyone who doesn't know that hospitals today are in need of more money, he hasn't been reading the newspapers.

One of the reasons why the city of Pittsburgh's attempt to impose the "sick tax" on hospitals was so obviously myopic was the fact that these institutions simply do not have excess funds. The tax, since struck down (but being appealed), would have resulted in another hike in already soaring hospital bills.

Another fact; Non-profit hospitals are legally exempt from recognizing employe unions.
At this time in Pittsburgh, however, a strong effort is being made to organize hospital workers. If successful, it will undoubtedly result in wage increases. The hospitals are resisting. What does one make of it all?

We submit that the right of workers to organize is a basic human right that every corporate entity, profit-making or non-profit, should recognize. We say this with full sympathy for the economic plight of the hospitals. Wages and fringes may be negotiable, but the right to organize is not, with or without legal support.

To say that unionization would launch a wage-price spiral is not only beside the point; it is also to admit that low wages are, in effect, subsidizing the hospitals. If hospitals are in a bind, (and we believe they are), increased operating revenue should be devised from other sources, not clipped from the paychecks of hospital workers.

It is particularly distressing to see a Catholic hospital refuse to recognize the right of its workers to organize by falling back on the claim that the law does not compel this recognition.

Certainly any Catholic institution—particularly one so overtly concerned with suffering as a hospital—has a higher obligation. That obligation is to operate within the spirit of the social teaching of the Church, and one of the principles consistently articulated in that teaching is the right of workers to organize unions.

This is not just a hospital problem; it is also a community problem. However reluctant the hospitals may be, the right to organize will probably be recognized, wages will increase, and somebody will have to pay. Unless the sick are forced to carry the total burden or be refused care (unthinkable), monies from the public sector will have to be diverted into hospitals.

Perhaps partial relief could also come from a reorganization of priorities by agencies administering private contributions—churches, Community Chest, foundations, etc.

We suggest not only that the hospitals recognize workers' organizations, but that they also form a strong organization of their own to talk tough to the civic community. If the citizenry wants medical protection, it will have to pay for it—or at least that portion of the cost beyond the reach of the sick.

The economic salvation of hospitals lies in making demands on the community and private charities, not in denying care to the sick or denying the right of workers to organize.

Pittsburgh Catholic, January 9, 1970.

15.

PITTSBURGH: HOSPITAL WORKERS FIGHT FOR UNION RIGHTS

The big labor story in Pittsburgh this winter has been the refusal of millionaire hospital trustees to extend the elementary right to vote for the union of their choice to $1.75-an-hour hospital workers.

It's a story that will get even bigger, because the 2,500 members of Local 1199P are determined to get the simple right to vote.

In Pittsburgh, a cradle of the labor movement where workers for almost 40 years have taken for granted the right to choose or reject a union at the ballot box, Presbyterian and Mercy Hospitals refused that right to 1199P last December. Presbyterian and Mercy are major Pittsburgh hospitals employing a total of almost 1,500 service and maintenance workers.

National Hospital Union Sec.-Treas. Henry Nicholas, who is leading the Pittsburgh organizing drive, made clear immediately after the refusals what caused them:

"The plain truth is that the vast majority of the workers are members of Local 1199P. They are sick and tired of working for poverty wages. They are fed up with being treated as second class citizens. They are determined to have a union, and they are prepared to take any and all steps necessary to win this basic right."
Pittsburgh hospital workers repeatedly have pointed out that, while the last thing they want is a hospital strike, they fear management's unyielding position may lead to one.

And, if one comes, the workers add, they will win it. One reason is their desire to win the $100 minimum attained by 1199 in New York, Baltimore and elsewhere.

Helen Lyles, waitress in the Presbyterian gift shop and chairman of the hospital's 1199P organizing committee, reflects this determination. "People here are really getting together even though there's been lots of intimidation. They're ready for anything," she said.

Mrs. Lyles knows about intimidation. After 20 Presbyterian gift shop workers were locked out January 5 for handing out leaflets, she was arrested the following day while leading a group demanding to see Administrator Edward Noroian. The charges were later dropped. The hospital also has harassed union members by suspending four dietary workers for wearing union buttons, obtaining an injunction against picketing and leafleting and trying to label the union as a bunch of outside agitators.

The outside agitator charge is put to rest by Presbyterian Co-chairman Labrone Epps, a plasterer. "1199 is a beautiful union because here the local people do their own thing," says Epps, who adds he became interested in the union when he saw plasterers working in private industry were earning twice as much as he was.

Presbyterian workers reacted to the hospital's injunction with an orderly evening march to the hospital January 7 by several hundred workers in weather that was two below. Despite an unusually bitter winter, 1199P organizers have criss-crossed the city, signing up large numbers of workers at over 20 institutions. Among them are St. Francis, Western Psychiatric, Allegheny General, Magee, Montefiore and Uniontown Hospitals.

When management granted an election at Jewish Home and Hospital, workers there voted in 1199P January 5 by a 157-40 margin.

Presbyterian and Mercy conducted a boycott of their hospital cafeterias January 15 that 99 percent effective. It honored the memory of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. by protesting the hospitals' refusal to grant such a basic freedom as the right to an election. Black and white workers cooperated in making the boycott a success. On the eve of Dr. King's birthday Presbyterian assistant housekeeping manager Archie Brooks resigned and joined the 1199P staff, commenting, "I can no longer be associated with an institution that denies the basic freedom for which Dr. King lived and died."

City-wide support for hospital workers' right to an election has mushroomed since the organizing drive began last October. Support has come from religious leaders like Pittsburgh's Roman Catholic Bishop Vincent M. Leonard and the city's Presbyterian clergymen; from many of the city's major unions; from a complete cross-section of civil rights and community groups; from major newspapers like the Post-Gazette and the Pittsburgh Catholic; and from students, professors, the American Civil Liberties Union and the Americans for Democratic Action.

Leading representatives from many of these groups joined to form the Committee for Justice for Pittsburgh Hospital Workers, chaired by University of Pittsburgh professor David Montgomery.

Over 100 1199ers lobbied at the state Capitol in Harrisburg January 26 for a bill that would require hospitals to hold union representation elections like other employers. The next day in Presbyterian Hospital, x-ray escort Mary Woodson, noticing the patient she was wheeling into x-ray was State Sen. Jack McGregor, went right on with the lobbying, getting Senator McGregor's assurance he would vote for the bill.

Chairman of the Mercy Hospital organizing committee is Woodrow Frasier, Jr., Mercy committee member, Thelma Lewis, a $1.75-an-hour housekeeper and mother of eight, says, "If it comes to a strike we'll go out and stay out. We need the union."

Mrs. Lewis recalls registering a complaint with a nun at the Catholic hospital and being told, "You have no rights here."

"I don't see any religion in that," says Mrs. Lewis. "That's why we're all pulling for the union here."

A six-day strike at Presbyterian Hospital brought Local 1199P representation a step closer for Pittsburgh hospital workers March 26 when management agreed conditionally to an election.

"1199P is in Pittsburgh to stay," announced National Hospital Union Sec.-Treas. Henry Nicholas at a press conference at strike headquarters announcing the settlement.

The sole issue in the strike was the right of Presbyterian's 650 service and maintenance workers to an election to choose their own union.

A similar strike by 1199P for the right to an election was in progress at Uniontown (Pa.) Hospital as this magazine went to press.

A key part of the Pittsburgh settlement was management's promise to support pending state collective bargaining legislation for hospitals. The hospital agreed not to obstruct a speedy election once the bill is passed.

It also returned striking workers to their jobs without reprisals, despite earlier threats that strikers out for five or more days would be fired.

National Hospital Union Exec. Vice Pres. Eliott Godoff flew in from New York as strike tension mounted and led the negotiating efforts that brought about the settlement.

Jubilation by workers over the settlement was tempered by their realization that should the legislation not pass, Local 1199P will be forced into further action.

The Pittsburgh strike followed a pattern that led to the organization by Local 1199 of 40,000 hospital workers in the New York area. Strikes in New York City in 1952 and Bronxville, N.Y. in 1965 led to passage of state laws that made representation elections mandatory in hospitals.

The Pittsburgh strike, through focusing public attention on the plight of underpaid hospital workers and on the need for collective bargaining legislation covering them, paralleled the New York experience.

Important factors:

The dramatic appearance of Mrs. Coretta King, honorary chairman of the National Hospital Union, before a March 19 strike rally of 2,000 people. "It is depressing that in the year 1970, here in Pittsburgh, the cradle of the American labor movement, low paid hospital workers are being compelled to strike for the elementary right to have a union," said Mrs. King.

The willingness of 91 strikers and strike supporters to go to jail March 23 to concentrate public attention on the strike issue of the right to an election. The 91 arrests came during mass early morning picketing by 400 demonstrators protesting an anti-picketing injunction issued by Judge Gwilym Price, Jr., son of a Presbyterian Hospital trustee. Among those arrested were Father John O'Malley of St. Joseph's Roman Catholic Church. Rank and file strike leader Lynwood McBride, along with National Hospital Union Vice Pres. John Black, spent three days in Allegheny County Jail. The others arrested were released the same day with $15 fines.

A 26-hour sit-in by Org. Kay Tillow and eight workers in the office of Pittsburgh Plate Glass Corp. Director David Hill focused attention on just who the Presbyterian Hospital trustees are. Hill is one of 26 trustees whose corporate affiliations read like a Who's Who in industry—U.S. Steel, Mellon National Bank, Westinghouse and other big names in Pittsburgh business.

Vital support for the strike came from clergymen, professors, black community leaders, students, the Pennsylvania Nurses Assn., the Pittsburgh Federation of Teachers and other local unions, and from the editorial page of the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette, the city's only morning daily newspaper, which urged the hospital to grant an election.

Finally, the strike could not have been won without the dedication of strikers, most of them women making $1.75 per hour who braved picket duty through daily rain or snow. "We didn't come out here to lose," said striking nurses' aide Henrietta Goree on a wet and freezing 5:30 a.m. picketline on the steep Desoto St. entrance to Presbyterian.

In Uniontown, workers led by Black and volunteer organizer Walter Tillow struck February 26 in a soft coal mining community with a trade union tradition so strong one striking nurses' aide said. "The first good thing my
mother-in-law said about me in 23 years was when she found I was out on strike."

Pickets from 1199P shut down the businesses run by four of the hospital's trustees. These included the long shaft of Robena Number 2 mine, the country's largest coal mine and a part of the U.S. Steel empire, where some 200 United Mine Workers refused to cross the 1199P line.

Striker Delbert Livengood, an elder in the local Christian Church and a hospital custodian for 12 years who now earns $302 per month, said: "This is actually a fight between rich and poor. The hospital trustees control this town and the men who work in the hospital need two jobs to stay alive."

It was, as Mrs. King said, "part and parcel of the struggle going on everywhere for dignity, justice and human rights."

And the meaning of the strike is that for hospital workers throughout Pennsylvania, 1199P is indeed here to stay.


THE STRUGGLE IN CHARLESTON

17. HUGH A. BRIMM, OFFICE OF CIVIL RIGHTS, TO DR. WILLIAM M. McCORD, PRESIDENT OF MEDICAL COLLEGE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, SEPTEMBER 19, 1968

Dear Dr. McCord:

Unforeseen circumstances prevented me from writing to you on the schedule I promised. I sincerely hope the delay has not been too inconvenient for you. I apologize for the length of this communication, but I am attempting to spell out all of our findings and recommendations as clearly and concisely as possible.

Our review was concentrated in three major areas. They were, a) Equal Educational Opportunities, b) Equal Health Opportunities, and c) Equal Employment Opportunities. I will list both our findings and recommendations for each of these areas of investigation.

Equal Educational Opportunities

Findings:

1. The Medical College of South Carolina had not established an affirmative program designed to attract Negro students.

2. There was no systematic or comprehensive recruitment program for predominantly Negro schools.

3. The minority community had not been informed of loans or scholarships that were available to them.

4. There were segregated housing advertisements on the college's bulletin boards.

5. Students were placed with practicing physicians for the purpose of receiving on-the-job training. It appears from reports that some of these physicians practiced racial discrimination.

6. The Medical College Hospital is owned and operated by the Medical College of Charleston. Physicians at the hospital
have faculty status—all are white. In order to practice medicine in the hospital, physicians must be members of a specialty board. No Negro physicians in Charleston County are members of a specialty board. There are several Negro physicians in Charleston.

7. The School of Pharmacy places students for "apprenticeship" training with some pharmacies that engage in discriminatory practices.

8. The fraternities in the School of Pharmacy discriminate racially in membership requirements.

9. The School of Allied Sciences has affiliations with white schools only. This policy tends to limit the potential of the Negro students of the State of South Carolina.

Recommendations:

1. That the Medical College catalogue, brochures and other printed promotional material and applications clearly indicate the nondiscrimatory policy of the college.

2. That comparable action be taken to recruit Negro students to pursue a medical education at the Medical College. These steps should include:

   a. Broad dissemination of information as to the availability of a medical education, and the availability of financial assistance to undergraduate colleges and high school counselors (especially to Negro colleges and high schools) in the state, and to Negro colleges throughout the eastern and southeastern states.

   b. Due to the history of discrimination, special efforts should be directed toward the pre-med advisors at Negro undergraduate colleges and possibly high schools to help motivate more Negroes and minority group members toward a medical career.

   c. The Medical College might consider developing a recruitment team from all of its related schools (e.g., Pharmacy, Nursing, Allied Sciences, etc.) which could make concentrated recruitment efforts, some of which would be directed specifically toward minority students. Various techniques should be developed which would have considerable potential for recruitment of minority group students including:

      (1) Contact with Negro professional, civic, and social groups to elicit their help in recruitment, and in dispelling the image of the Medical College as a segregated institution.

      (2) Promotion of visits to the Medical College by Negro pre-med students over the state.

      (3) Visits to Negro college campuses, by Medical College staff.

      (4) Sponsorship of health-related career days, especially for Charleston County High Schools.

3. That the College assure itself that no arrangements are made between the college and private physicians for the purpose of teaching students when the physician discriminates on the basis of race in his practice.
4. That the Medical College assure itself that no notices for
rental or sale of living quarters are posted or lists kept by
the College unless such housing is available to all students
without regard to their race.

5. That the School of Pharmacy assure itself that every pharmacy
to which they refer a student for work in an "apprenticeship"
arrangement, will accept those who are referred without regard
to race.

6. That the fraternities that have engaged in racially discrimi­
natory policies and practices be informed of the College's
posture on civil rights and asked to change. If they do not
comply, that the organization should be banned from campus
by the administration.

7. That the School of Allied Sciences establish affiliations
with Negro colleges in the state immediately in order to give
all students of South Carolina an equal educational opportunity.

Since the School of Nursing has not previously enrolled non-white
students, the following steps are recommended:

1. There are several non-white persons who have applied and have
been admitted to study practical nursing. Persons in this
category should be screened carefully as potentials for full
professional training as graduate nurses.

2. That predominantly Negro schools be informed of the opportunities
and policies in the School of Nursing.

3. That continuous attempts be made to identify students with
potential at the high school level. Local Negro school
counselors can be of great help in this endeavor.

**Equal Health Opportunities**

**Findings:**

1. There were no written nondiscriminatory policy statements.

2. It was alleged that the clerk at the admission office called
the floor and gave the nurse the race of the patient, and
asked what bed assignment should be made. This suggests that
room assignments might follow a racial pattern.

3. We were informed in the community that patients were shifted
around to achieve a bi-racial mix in anticipation of the
H.E.W. visit. When white patients complained about rooming
with Negroes, the Negroes were moved.

4. No comprehensive or systematic methods have been used to
notify all of the people of Charleston (both Negro and white)
of the hospital's nondiscriminatory policy.

5. Mr. Porter, who was assigned the responsibility for civil
rights, has not developed any kind of affirmative action
program. The subject of civil rights has not been a formal
part of the staff meeting agenda.

6. It was alleged that courtesy titles are seldom used and staff
members are often rude to Negro patients at the clinics.

7. Service (non-paying) patients and private patients are
separated and are alleged to be treated differently.
8. It was alleged that senior medical students attend to private patients, while junior medical students attend service patients.

9. There is some degree of desegregation in the wards, but the separation of the service and private patients has created an apparent imbalance.

10. From interviews and observation, private patients appear to have received better nursing and other care than service patients. The majority of the private patients are white.

11. Private patients have three visiting periods per day; service patients have only one. It was alleged that on one floor, a white patient's sister was allowed to visit while the Negro patient's sister was not allowed to visit.

12. It was also alleged that husbands of Negro patients are not allowed in the labor room, while husbands of white patients are.

13. In many parts of the hospital, it was found that there are essentially "dual" restroom facilities, although "white" and "colored" signs have been removed. It is apparent that these signs have only recently been removed because outlines of the old signs are still visible. This suggests the possibility that old customs are still being practiced in the use of these facilities.

14. In the out-patient clinic, patients appear to be seen on a first-come, first-served basis, however Negro patients are told to wait in Room 18, while white patients are told to wait in Room 53. However, it was alleged that when an observer who appeared to be concerned with civil rights procedures was seen near the admitting station, patients were told to wait in either Room 18 or Room 53.

15. White staff members were observed acting in what appeared to be a rude manner to Negro out-patients. Courtesy titles were not being used.

Recommendations:

1. That policy statements regarding nondiscriminatory practices be written and widely distributed immediately.

2. That room assignments be made at the admitting desk without regard to the patient's race.

3. That a daily racial census be taken and forwarded to the Office for Civil Rights each week for one month, and thereafter upon request.

4. Since everyone will not read distributed material, and since it is the responsibility of the administration to see to it that everyone understands his/her responsibility, it is recommended that seminars be conducted which include the following topics: (a) specifics of the application of Title VI to hospitals; (b) Title 45; (c) H.E.W. guidelines; (d) equal opportunity in hospitals and all health programs; (e) the Federal dollar and nondiscrimination; (f) the Civil Rights Act of 1964; and (g) civil rights obligations of staff members at all levels. A schedule of when and where these meetings are held should be kept for your record.
5. That discussions of developments in civil rights accomplish­ments be placed regularly on the agenda at staff meetings.

6. That all staff be specifically instructed about the necessity for the use of courtesy titles. The breech of this policy should be dealt with severely by the admini­stration.

7. That the quality of service be equalized immediately as between private and service patients.

8. That waiting rooms be truly integrated.

9. That staff restrooms be posted as such and all staff be advised accordingly.

Equal Employment Opportunities

Findings:

1. Bulletin boards did not have Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) posters.

2. Personnel policy statements did not reflect contractor's equal opportunity posture.

3. There was no Equal Employment Opportunity Officer.

4. Advertisements for employment do not reflect that the facility is an equal opportunity employer.

5. Recruitment and employment sources were not informed of contractor's posture on EEO.

6. The old established personnel policies and procedures precluded affirmative action, which was needed to guarantee equal employment opportunity.

7. Interview reports are evaluated by a clerk who does not have personnel experience.

8. Applicants are given a written test that has not been validated. For some job categories, the test is ir­relevant.

9. Some job descriptions require in-house experience for jobs that minorities have not had the opportunity to get. There is no training program to fill this gap.

10. Department heads and supervisory staff are not conversant with the contractor's position regarding equal employment opportunity.

11. Employment patterns clearly suggest a stratification of employees with regard to race, i.e., administrative and professional positions are occupied by whites; non­whites are concentrated in service and non­skilled categories.

12. The application for employment requires each applicant to submit a photo.

13. The contractor (Medical College of Charleston) has not taken appropriate steps to insure the compliance under Executive Order 11246 by the company building the new addition to the Medical College.
Recommendations:

1. That there be a proper display of posters at key points such as main lobby, personnel office, and all employees bulletin boards.

2. That there be put in writing a firm equal employment opportunity policy statement to be disseminated to all department heads and supervisory personnel.

3. That an equal employment opportunity officer be appointed. This officer will assume the duties of, (a) disseminating information concerning equal employment opportunity; (b) keeping surveillance over the implementation of the equal employment opportunity policy; (c) planning equal employment opportunity actions and goals; and, (d) evaluating equal employment opportunity progress.

4. That all advertisements contain the tag line, "An Equal Opportunity Employer."

5. That all recruitment and employment sources be notified of the contractor's posture on equal employment opportunity. It will be desirable to receive an acknowledgment of this notification. This may be done by leaving a space at the bottom of the letter for endorsement, or in any other manner which the contractor chooses.

6. That purchase orders contain a reference to Executive Order 11246. This will serve to notify sub-contractors of their responsibility to the prime contractor in accordance with Executive Order 11246.

7. That all personnel procedures serve to support affirmative action as exacted by Executive Order 11246.

8. That all department heads and supervisory personnel be made fully conversant with the contractor's position with regard to equal employment opportunity.

9. That training programs support the total equal employment opportunity effort.

10. That persistent efforts be made to break the old patterns of stratified racial employment which have concentrated white employees in administrative and professional positions, while shunting non-white into the unskilled and service categories.

11. That the equal employment opportunity officer maintain a day-to-day file relating to the problems and progress of the implementation of the equal employment opportunity policy of the Charleston Medical School.

12. That anything that would identify the applicant, and which might cause him/her to be subjected to discriminatory acts, not be a part of the application form. Such information should not be a part of the employee's personnel folder.

13. That the contractor (Medical College of Charleston) take the necessary steps to ensure that the building contractors who are currently constructing the new physical plant for the school, comply fully with the requirements of Executive Order 11246 in all phases of phases of their employment.
In your response to the recommendations which are set forth above, it will be helpful if you will structure your reply so as to address yourself to each of the three areas separately. Upon receipt of your letter, this office will evaluate your response and then advise you of our findings.

Please let me say once again, on behalf of our staff, that we appreciate your cooperation and that of your entire staff on whom we called. Your personal concern and intentions to correct problem areas made our task much easier than it would have otherwise been.

Sincerely yours,

Hugh A. Brimm
Chief, Contract Compliance Branch
Office for Civil Rights

District 1199 Archives.

18. CAROLINA STRIKE UNITES RIGHTS, LABOR GROUPS

Efforts of Charleston Negroes to Form Hospital Union Could Spread Across U. S.

By Murray Seeger

CHARLESTON, S.C. — Two powerful forces, the civil rights movement and organized labor, have formed an alliance in this unlikely location with the potential of spreading through the rest of the South and much of the nation.

The specific issue in this old seaport is the desire of a group of hospital workers to form a union to bargain with their employers, the county and state governments, for higher pay and improved working conditions.

On March 20, more than 400 service and maintenance workers at State Medical College Hospital walked off their jobs. An additional 100 workers from Charleston County Hospital, a block away, followed March 28. The strikers are nearly all women and all are Negroes.

Most of them earn less than $1.60 an hour, the Federal minimum wage for most workers. Hospitals have a special statutory minimum—$1.30 an hour, the starting wage for many of the hospital workers here.

The scene in Charleston bears a remarkable resemblance to Memphis a year ago, where a group of Negroes who worked for the city sanitation department struck and won union recognition.

In that case civil rights groups allied themselves with the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Workers, the union representing the sanitation men. Dr. Martin Luther King had gone to Memphis to address a rally in support of the strikers when he was assassinated April 4, 1968.

Now the organization Dr. King once called "my favorite union" is attempting to gain recognition as bargaining agent for hospital workers here.

State Won’t Talk

State and county officials insist they cannot bargain with the union representing the workers. They argue that wage scales and conditions are set by law and they have refused to meet with the union committees.

Frustrated by this lack of communication, angered by what they consider second-class treatment because they are black and determined to win union recognition, the women have stepped up their battle.
Five persons were arrested and jailed Thursday, accused of interfering with other workers entering the hospitals and of fighting with the police who tried to enforce a court edict limiting the number of pickets at the buildings.

Friday, 35 more were arrested when a mass picket line was established in violation of the court's injunctions. The confrontation with the police was peaceful—the women, augmented by male volunteer pickets, waved and cheered as they climbed into three paddy wagons.

Talk to Governor

The escalated campaign was agreed to by the women at a union meeting Wednesday after a committee returned from the state capitol in Columbia where they talked for 45 minutes with Gov. Robert E. McNair.

Asked how many of them were ready to go to jail in support of their campaign, dozens of the women stepped forward.

"It was one of the most dramatic things I ever saw," Elliott Godoff of New York, a veteran organizer sent by his union to help the Charleston group, said later.

The Rev. Ralph Abernathy, Dr. King's successor as head of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, is expected to return here for a second visit to help generate more support in the large Negro community. Other AFL-CIO unions in Charleston have given their backing to the walkout and will be asked for more help.

More Money Needed

The strikers need to raise money since contributions received so far total only about $5,000. The union has no money to post the bonds for the arrested pickets.

The organizing effort started last fall at the state hospital, a training facility attached to the only publicly supported medical college in South Carolina.

The Negroes were convinced that they were being paid too little, that the few whites doing similar jobs such as nurses' aides, practical nurses, orderlies and kitchen workers were paid more and that other grievances could be settled only through representation. They also contended that Negroes were barred from entering the better-paying jobs such as those of registered nurses.

Grievance Offer

Dr. William M. McCord, president of the State Medical College, replied that individuals could always enter a grievance through an established procedure. He would name grievance committees, he said. Anyone on the staff who unfairly discriminates "will be gone today, not tomorrow," he pledged.

For help, the women turned to a local labor leader, Isiah Bennett, business agent for a local of the Retail, Wholesale Department Store Union which represents 1,000 workers at the American Tobacco Co. cigar factory and three other companies here.

Bennett, after deciding a majority of the women wanted to affiliate with the union, asked McCord for a meeting last October. He was rejected.

In a staff memo that month, McCord said: "I have notified this union that I am sure that a majority of you would not want to get mixed up in an outfit such as this and I, of course, have no intention of meeting with this tobacco workers' union."

"We don't want a union here at the Medical College," he continued. It would mean "nothing worthwhile or constructive to any of you.

"This union is interested in only one thing and one thing only. That is money, your money. This union is like a business—it is out to make a profit. It is our intention to resist this union in its attempt to get in here with every legal means at our disposal—make no mistake about that."

Attached to the memo were two antilunion cartoons, one showing a fat union boss with a cigar in his mouth, a wine glass in his hand and a young girl sitting on his lap. The characters were white.

The dispute came to a climax when some of the workers walked out of a
grievance meeting, complaining that Dr. McCord had added antiunion workers to
the group to outvote the militants.

12 Women Fired

Twelve women were fired. The union said it was for union activity and
the hospital asserts it was because they had left their duties for more than
an hour.

More than 400 other workers went on strike in sympathy for the 12. Eight
days later the 100 county workers who had joined the union also walked out.

Earlier this year, Bennett called for help from local 1199 of his parent
union because he knew it had been remarkably successful in organizing hospital
workers in the New York area. Starting 10 years ago, the union has grown to
40,000 members, mostly Negroes. It recently negotiated a minimum starting
wage of $100 a week for workers at private hospitals in New York.

Local 1199 has expanded its efforts nationwide by forming the National
Organizing Committee of Hospital and Nursing Home Employees. Although aimed at
private institutions, the group has taken on the Charleston campaign as its
first among public employees.

"I'm hearing again all the arguments I first heard 10 years ago in New
York," Godoff, the New York organizer, commented last week.

The 2 million nonprofessional hospital employees in the country represent
one of the largest low-paid group of workers in the economy.

Several unions have shown interest in trying to organize them.

The handicaps to organizing here are many. This state is notoriously
inhospitable to unions. There are only about 40,000 AFL-CIO members in the
state, but about 25% of them are in the Charleston area including many who
work for the huge U.S. naval base.

Public employees are not covered by the National Labor Relations Act which
guarantees other workers the right to organize and provide machinery protecting
those rights. In addition, South Carolina has a series of right-to-work laws
designed to discourage organizing.

State officials are afraid that if the union wins at the hospitals it
will open the way for organizing in other parts of the state and local govern­
ments.

They are aware that unions are scoring more success in organizing the
vast field of public employees than any other segment of the work force.

When Gov. McNair met with the workers' committee headed by Miss Mary
Moultrie, they talked about the other groups of workers, especially teachers,
that have been agitating for higher pay.

While attention has been directed to the women strikers, 150 Negro men
were fired from their jobs for staging a one-day strike at the State Port
Authority docks. They had signed up with the International Longshoremen's Assn.,
the union that represents stevedores employed by private companies operating
on the same docks. These firings. Bennett feels, have created unrest in the
Negro neighborhood.

The strike has made this city nervous since it comes at a time when
tourists are flocking here to see the famous gardens in full spring bloom.

After his first bitter blast at the union, McCord has moderated his
statement. He now emphasizes the legal limitations on changing working
conditions.

"Wages are requested and appropriated annually by the Legislature," he
said recently. "I cannot delegate authority of the Medical College to any
other outside agent."

Some improvements have been made at the state hospital since the union
started organizing. Annual leave policy was made more generous in mid-March
and requirements for taking time off for holidays were loosened in January

New Pay Minimum

Only in February, however, did the minimum wage of $1.30 go into effect.
In answer to a written question, McCord said he was aware that "some
states have laws that permit public hospitals to negotiate with unions.
"However, this has no bearing on the question of South Carolina because
the laws of South Carolina do not so provide."
Legal advisers to the union contend that while the state may not explicitly provide for granting union recognition, neither does the law bar such recognition.

McCord will not answer reporters' questions directly. Before hospital spokesmen will talk to reporters they are required to check with a recently hired lawyer for authority.

U.S. Also Involved

"We are trying to handle this very carefully and delicately so we don't inflame the community," one official said. Officials are also concerned because representatives of the Department of Health and Education and Welfare are here checking on complaints of employment bias against Negroes.

In old Charleston, the portion of the city which is on a peninsula between two rivers which contains the famous homes and other historic buildings, Negroes represent 70% of the population.

The city's six hospitals including one operated by the Veterans Administration are situated in the same immediate vicinity downtown. The city's whites live mostly in the restored, older part of downtown and in recently annexed neighborhoods across the Ashley River.

One of the workers' grievances that the VA hospital, organized by Service Employees Union, has a higher pay scale and more generous benefits than the state and county hospitals.

Recognition Due

One of the other nearby hospitals, St. Francis Xavier, has indicated it will recognize the union when it can show it represents the majority of the workers.

A small citizen's committee representing clergymen, civil rights groups and the Young Democrats has tried to open communications between the public authorities and the union with no success. A settlement proposed by the group of clergy was dismissed as "biased" by Dr. McCord.

The struck hospitals are operating on a "near normal" basis, according to spokesmen, with the use of volunteers, nonstrikers and hired replacements.

Both sides appear determined to hold out indefinitely. South Carolina, where the first shots of the Civil War were fired, has escaped the kind of bitter civil rights confrontations seen in its sister states of the Deep South. The hospital strike has the elements to end that record, however.

"I've told these people that they have to organize or they will be 20th-century slaves," Bennett said.

Los Angeles Times, April 14, 1969.

19. MRS. KING'S CRUSADE

Content removed at rightsholder's request.

New York Post, April 21, 1969.
We wish to speak out in full support of the 600 black hospital workers on strike for union and human rights at the Charleston Medical College and Charleston County Hospitals in Charleston, South Carolina. The fact that these workers earning as little as $1.30 an hour should be compelled to strike for the simple right to have a union tells much about what is wrong with America today.

We view the struggle in Charleston as more than a fight for union rights. It is part of the larger fight in our nation against discrimination and exploitation--against all forms of degradation that result from poverty and human misery. It is a fight for human rights and human dignity.

We, therefore, applaud the efforts of Local 1199B of the NWDSU/AFL-CIO's National Organizing Committee of Hospital and Nursing Home Employees and the Concerned Citizens Committee of Charleston for spearheading the campaign to win justice for the terribly exploited hospital workers.

Already, 180 workers have been arrested. And while there have been only minor clashes to date, tensions are mounting. We cannot fail to recall that the right of workers to be represented by a union is precisely the same issue that led to tragedy in Memphis last year.

We call on South Carolina's Governor, Robert E. McNair, and the hospital officials to grant the workers this elementary right as a minimal gesture of justice and humanity.

[SIGNED BY:

NATIONAL CIVIL RIGHTS LEADERS

[MRS.] CORRETTA SCOTT KING, honorary chairman, National Organizing Committee of Hospital and Nursing Home Employees
RALPH D. ABERNATHY, president, Southern Christian Leadership Conference [SCLC]
ROY WILKINS, executive director, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People [NAACP]
A. PHILIP RANDOLPH, national president, Negro American Labor Council
WHITNEY YOUNG, executive director, National Urban League
ROY INNIS, national director, Congress of Racial Equality [CORE]
[MISS] DOROTHY I. HEIGHT, national president, National Council of Negro Women
GEORGE A. WILEY, executive director, National Welfare Rights Organization

OTHERS

REPRESENTATIVE SHIRLEY CHISHOLM, member of Congress, Brooklyn, New York
REPRESENTATIVE JOHN M. CONYERS, member of Congress, Detroit, Michigan
MAYOR RICHARD G. HATCHER, Gary, Indiana
MAYOR CARL B. STOKES, Cleveland, Ohio
JULIAN BOND, member, House of Representatives, State of Georgia
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capacity, but events appear to be moving rapidly toward a situation that only Federal intervention will be able to resolve. Prompt Federal intervention is indicated to prevent a tragic escalation into open violence. Tensions have heightened with the arrest and jailing of the Rev. Raloh David Abernathy, Dr. King's successor as president of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference. Andrew Young, vice president of SCLC, has said SCLC will stay "until we win or die." Dr. King's widow yesterday was preparing to join the demonstrators and reportedly ready to be arrested and jailed herself. Many hundreds have been arrested including large numbers of school children and the Guard has been called to help State and local police enforce an injunction against mass picketing.

This response seems out of scale with the issue—recognition of a union sought by low paid black hospital workers, mainly women paid the legal $1.30 an hour minimum, who claim they are discriminated against because they are black. The authorities have refused to meet with the union, insisting that wages and working conditions of the public employes are set by law and therefore not negotiable. Memphis held a similar hard-headed position before Dr. King's murder, but backed down afterward, spurred on by the tragedy and Federal intervention at the request of President Johnson.


22. TEXT OF SPEECH BY MRS. CORETTA SCOTT KING
AT DINNER HONORING A. PHILIP RANDOLPH
TUESDAY, MAY 6, 1969

Governor Rockefeller, Mayor Lindsay, Mr. Rustin, distinguished members of the dais, I want to tell you how proud and happy I am to be at this dinner to pay tribute to A. Philip Randolph, a true giant in the struggle for justice and equal rights for all Americans, especially black Americans at the bottom of the economic ladder.

Just a week ago while I was enroute to Charleston, South Carolina to address a mass rally in that city, I mentioned to one of my companions, Miss Doris Turner, a Vice-President of Local 1199, Drug and Hospital Union in this city, that I had been invited to speak here tonight.

Miss Turner, a former hospital worker, waxed eloquently over the role Phil Randolph had played in her union's great struggle to win union and human rights for voluntary hospital workers in this city.

She recalled that ten years ago—as a matter of fact, the 10th anniversary is this Thursday, May 8—that the hospital workers of this city, virtually all of them black and Puerto Rican workers and most of them women who earned as little as $30 and $32 a week, were compelled to strike for 46 days for the right to have a union.

The point I want to make is that the man who played a decisive role in this hospital organizing crusade is the man we honor tonight—Phil Randolph. And now, exactly ten years later, a similar struggle is taking place in Charleston, South Carolina where 500 black workers, almost all of them women who earn as little as $1.30 an hour, are on strike for the same elementary right to have a union.

What began as a little known struggle by black hospital workers for elementary rights has captured the imagination and touched the conscience of decent-minded Americans everywhere. The Charleston strike is now a major national issue with tremendously important implications for the civil rights movement, for organized labor and for all Americans.

For as the New York Times noted in an editorial last week, this strike "involves values as fundamental as those in the original battles for school desegregation and equal employment opportunities."

You know, several months ago I was privileged to assume the position of honorary chairman of the National Organizing Committee of Hospital and Nursing Home employees of the RWDSU, AFL-CIO. You may have seen pictures of me wearing my Local 1199 hat in Charleston last week. I am interested in hospital workers because so many of them are women—black women, white women, Mexican- and Puerto Rican women. All of them are poor.
They work full-time jobs at part-time pay. Many of them are the sole supporters of their families.

I know you will agree that $1.30 an hour is not a wage, it's an insult. I spent two days in Charleston last week speaking at two overflow rallies and participating in an inspiring march from the church to the hospital. More than 7,500 people attended these rallies. When you consider that this represents almost 30 percent of the entire black population of the inner city of Charleston, you appreciate the tremendous unity that has been forged here.

The alliance that Mr. Randolph has devoted a lifetime to building—the alliance of civil rights groups and organized labor—is a reality today in Charleston. The members of Local 1199B, the SCLC, the NAACP and all the other rights groups working together with the clergy, the students, with old people and young people, with Protestants, Catholics and Jews—are solidly united in support of a clear cause—union and human rights for the Charleston hospital workers.

I will not burden you with the facts of this strike. By now, they are all well known. What is not too well known is the precise nature of the opposition. For example, the president of the Charleston Medical College, Dr. William M. McCord, expressed the management's point of view in an interview with a reporter for a national magazine. He said, and I quote: "I am not about to turn over a $25 million complex to people who never had a grammar school education." This same gentleman attempted to head off the union organizing drive a few months ago. He offered some goodies to the workers. Dr. McCord announced an additional paid holiday—the birthday of Robert E. Lee. Listen to the columnist of the Charleston News and Courier, Ashley Cooper, who wrote last week, and again I am quoting:

"It seems—at least to me—that the only way the illegal uprising can be stopped is by force. That may have the ring of fascism—which I hate—but honestly, what other conclusion is there?"

It is perfectly clear that we are dealing with a combination of stupidity, arrogance and insults from a group of powerful people who refuse to join the 20th century.

Last week, when I arrived at the Charleston airport, I was greeted by a reporter just back from covering the war in Southeast Asia. He came up to me and said, "Mrs. King, welcome to Charleston, South Vietnam." The plain truth is that the city of Charleston is an armed camp. More than 1,000 national guardsmen wearing gas masks and flashing bayonets encircle the black community. Armored tanks rumble through the streets. Helmeted state troopers surround Charleston's churches and hospitals. And hundreds of decent men and women, young and old, black and white, have suffered jailings and mass arrests. Why?

Simply because a courageous group of terribly exploited hospital workers have dared to stand up and say to the people who run the city of Charleston and the state of South Carolina that they are sick and tired of being sick and tired.

And once you have seen these people. Once you have talked to them. Once you have marched at their side—you know that they are determined to continue this fight no matter what it takes. Because these people just ain't gonna let anybody turn them around. And Charleston and the rest of the nation had better believe it.

For the hospital strikers and their supporters have faced the tanks and the bayonets with the unity of their souls. And with that spirit—the spirit of non-violence, militantly conceived and massively organized, they shall never give up until they win.

I wish I had time to tell you about the new breed of union leaders that has emerged in this struggle. For one of the most remarkable aspects of this most remarkable strike is that it has dramatized the emergence of black women leaders like Miss Mary Ann Moultrie, Miss Rosetta Simmons, and many others.

When you talk with people like Mary Moultrie, the dynamic president of Local 1199B, it is hard to escape the conclusion that the hospitals stubborn resistance is doomed. The only question is how much blood and tears must be shed before the victory is won. For these women are determined to achieve a measure of dignity on this earth. And there comes a moment in their lives when they decide to assert their humanity, no matter how large the risks, and the prospect of defeat becomes unendurable, no matter how rough the road.
These women are following in the footsteps of Harriet Tubman and Sojourner Truth—of Rosa Parks and Daisy Bates and Fannie Lou Hamer. And they will be a source of great pride to the black people and to the entire labor movement.

The hospital workers and the people of Charleston are making history. For Charleston, like Selma and Memphis, has now become a national test of conscience.

I believe with all my heart that what is now at stake in the state of Strom Thurmond and in the city of Mendel Rivers is more than just a battle for union and human rights for oppressed hospital workers. For Charleston now represents a tremendous challenge and a great opportunity.

These magnificent women, these courageous people are prepared to fight on, no matter how long it takes. The question before us now is whether we will understand the nature of this challenge and face up to this test. For if we win—and I know we can win—Charleston may become that moment in our history when the unity of black and white, of the civil rights movement with organized labor, may be recaptured. That unity was my husband's dream. That dream will never die. And I know that if my husband were alive today, he would tell you that this dream can be realized today in Charleston, South Carolina. All of which brings me back to our honored guest, Phil Randolph.

I believe that the best way we can honor Phil Randolph is by advancing the principles for which he has devoted his entire life. With that in mind, I say that at this moment in our history, the single most important issue before the forces of progress in this nation—the labor movement, the civil rights movement, our political leaders, the clergy—is the cause of the hospital workers in Charleston, South Carolina.

I want to appeal to you, and through you, to the organizations you represent, to demonstrate in whatever way you can your solidarity and support for these workers. I do not pretend to be an organizer of mass movements. But you are. The strikers and the people of Charleston are poor. They need funds desperately. I want to urge you to contribute as much money as you can to help these strikers. There are other ways in which you can personally identify with this struggle. There will be opportunities for you to participate in marches and demonstrations in Charleston and elsewhere. I am confident that you will meet this challenge.

I learned last week that Charleston was the birthplace of the tune that now bears the title—"We Shall Overcome." Deep in my heart, I believe we will overcome in Charleston. That victory will be the best birthday gift that we can give to a true fighter for the rights of oppressed people everywhere—our good friend, Phil Randolph.
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23. THE CHARLESTON COALITION

George Meany and Walter P. Reuther have parted company in organized labor, but they are standing together in support of striking Negro hospital workers in Charleston, S.C. A similar unity has been established by all the country's major civil rights groups, which rarely agree on tactics these days. They have put aside their differences to help a wretchedly underpaid work force win union recognition and a measure of human dignity.

The coalition that has been forged between the labor movement and the civil rights organizations in the Charleston struggle is as firm as the one that existed on Capitol Hill through the long fight to put across the Civil Rights Act of 1964. That coalition was re-formed during the Memphis sanitation strike before the assassination of the Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Its emergence now suggests that the coalition is no one-time thing and that, in easing the plight of the exploited black worker, both unions and civil rights groups have a role to play. Each can draw strength from the other in a period when both have seemed to flounder in many of their recent efforts.

Unions have been lagging in membership. Civil rights groups such as
Dr. King's Southern Christian Leadership Conference, now headed by the Rev. Ralph David Abernathy, need fresh victories. They have a mutual interest in the 500 striking Charleston hospital workers who are mostly women, mostly blacks, employed as non-professional nurses' aides, orderlies, cooks, at wages as little as $1.30 an hour or thirty cents below the Federal minimum wage which establishes a floor for most jobs. Unions calculate that there are 2.5 million non-union black, Puerto Rican and Mexican hospital workers across the country suffering similar wage injustices.

The best hope for ending the Charleston strike without a racial explosion or a tragedy of the type that struck down Dr. King lies in intervention by the Federal Government—precisely the kind of intervention that brought labor peace after tragedy struck in Memphis a year ago. At a meeting in the White House yesterday, Dr. King's successor, Mr. Abernathy, urged President Nixon "to use his great and powerful office" to speed a settlement. "He said nothing," was Mr. Abernathy's report after the session. That is not a good enough answer; it cannot be the final one.


24. CHARLESTON'S RIGHTS BATTLEGROUND

By Ronald Sarro

CHARLESTON, S.C.—Mary Moultrie is a soft-spoken, almost shy black woman who is trying to move a mountain of Southern tradition and economic power.

The 27-year-old nurses' aid, a native of this historic city where the Civil War started 108 years ago, is the leader of workers who have been striking two hospitals in this city of 80,000—half Negro, half white—since late March.

"She has always been quiet," said a fellow worker at the South Carolina Medical College Hospital. "But she was brave enough to take the leadership."

The 500 hospital workers, mostly Negro women, went on strike over union recognition, discrimination and wages. Since Miss Moultrie took them out, there have been these developments:

The Southern Christian Leadership Conference, which had other civil rights plans for the spring, has committed itself to helping the Charleston strikers indefinitely.

The AFL-CIO Executive Council last week established a Charleston Hospital Strike Fund with $25,000, and urged all affiliates to support the strike.

United Auto Workers President Walter Reuther has given $10,000 to the strikers as a "down payment," and is providing $500 a week to SCLC to aid Charleston activities.

President Nixon has sent Justice Department representatives here to apprise him of strike developments, and has called for the disputing parties to "resolve their differences in a calm atmosphere of mutual good faith."

Seventeen U.S. senators have urged Nixon to send a federal mediator to Charleston, emphasizing that the strike "is a test of the principle of non-violence at a time when many in America are losing faith in that principle as a strategy for social change." South Carolina's two senators objected.

A Mothers Day rally and march supporting the strikers was attended by 7,000 to 10,000 persons, including union and civil rights officials from throughout the nation and five congressmen. SCLC officials said they were surprised by the number of Charleston whites who hand-signaled the "V" for victory during the march. "We've never had this in a Southern town, said the Rev. Andrew Young, executive vice president.

What started out essentially as a labor dispute has developed into the number one civil rights test of the year. It promises to equal Montgomery, Selma, Birmingham, and Memphis as a milestone of the movement led by SCLC.

Although the workers have been seeking union recognition since last August, the crisis didn't develop until March 17, when 12 of them, including Miss Moultrie, were fired in a dispute with hospital officials.

As a result, about 400 janitors, kitchen workers, laundry workers, maids,
nurses' aides, orderlies and practical nurses walked out of the 550-bed Medical College Hospital, largest of six in the city, on March 20. Another 100 struck Charleston County Hospital, which is the city's second largest with 150 beds, on March 28.

Both hospitals have been struggling along since with the aid of volunteers and extra duty by working employes. The College Hospital has cut back its patients by 35 percent.

The conflict boils down to this: The workers want Hospital and Nursing Home Workers Local 1199 or some other agreed-upon association to represent them. The state's policy is that union recognition for any government employes is against the public interest.

Union officials say meetings with Gov. Robert E. McNair are fruitless, and the latest attempt--on May 8—to get the workers and hospital trustees together disintegrated in a dispute over the presence of national union officials.

The prospect for another meeting? "We have met with Miss Moultrie before," said William Hoff, vice president at the Medical College.

Meantime, Charleston's economy has been crippled by the effects of the strike.

There has been sporadic violence, and about 300 state highway patrolmen and 700 National Guardsmen have patrolled the city day and night since April 25, when they were sent in to curb the threat of further violence.

A curfew has been in effect since May 1.

More than 650 persons have been arrested on charges of violating the curfew or a court injunction, which first prohibited strike activities, then was modified to allow picketing.

Unionism at Issue

The stakes in South Carolina are considerably bigger than those sought by the hospital workers alone. Only about 7 percent of the workers in the state are unionized, despite its growing industrial development.

Textile magnates fear the labor movement could spread in a state where cheap labor and a "right to work" law have helped attract industry. State and local government officials fear all government employes from garbage workers to teachers, would organize once the door was opened.

The union movement, with its support from civil rights and union officials, have been severely attacked. Leaders have been accused of Communist connections, "using" poor people, and Nazi and Mafia tactics. Local newspapers and politicians have emphasized what they see as divisions in the movement.

Racial slurs from the patrolling troops--most, if not all white, and many from rural areas--are not uncommon.

But the strikers' supporters vow to stand by them to the end.

Dr. Martin Luther King's widow, Coretta, and 13 other civil rights leaders issued a public statement saying, "We view the struggle in Charleston" as "part of the larger fight in our nation . . . against all forms of degradation that result from poverty and misery."

The Rev. Ralph David Abernathy, SCLC president, told the strikers, 'As long as there is life in my body, I will never desert you until you are recognized."

When asked the minimum the strikers would accept, Mary Moultrie says, "We are going to have some kind of recognition" even if it is only some kind of grievance committee.

WAGE RISE SOUGHT

On wages, the strikers seek an unspecified increase in their $1.30 an hour minimum, which hospital officials say most exceed handsomely and which is scheduled to rise to $1.45 on July 1.

Unless the dispute is settled soon, South Carolina, which has escaped major civil rights confrontations could be in for greater economic losses and mounting tensions as the summer gets hotter.

SCLC could get serious about a boycott of stores it now describes as "half-hearted." And it is the kind of fight that could attract college students who soon will be getting out of school for the summer.
In the battle, the county government, which has a similar policy against unionizing, sits back and lets the state government fight it out with the strikers. Most of the strikers' attacks are aimed at the governor, and the Medical College and its president, Dr. William M. McCord.

McCord is quoted as telling Business Week Magazine, "I am not about to turn a $25 million complex over to a bunch of people who don't have a grammar school education."

Union officials say McCord has upset scheduled meetings with them, and they point to a staff memo he sent out saying:

"I have notified this union that I am sure that a majority of you would not want to get mixed up in an outfit such as this and I, of course, have no intention of meeting with this tobacco workers' union."

The parent union also represents tobacco workers.

Gov. McNair, whose position is supported by 16 statewide business and industrial groups and was backed up by a state legislature resolution April 30, gave a major speech on the strike early this month.

"This is a test really of our whole government system as we have known it in South Carolina," he said.

Reuther Takes Stand

The UAW's Reuther told the Mothers Day rally: "Before we are finished, we are going to have the governor of this state catch up to the 20th century."

In addition to state policy, the governor's office also points to the scheduled increase in the hospital workers pay, saying the strikers' demand for bargaining would upset plans to equalize pay for similar jobs.

The scene of the dispute is a quiet Southern city which boasts the traditions of the Old South. It is noted for its magnolia, cypress and azalea gardens and old plantation mansions. The Cooper and Ashley Rivers flow by the city into Charleston Bay, where Fort Sumter, site of the start of the civil war, is located on an island.

Miss Moultrie went to Burke High School in Charleston and has lived in the same area all of her life except for five years in New York City. She has been a nurses' aide for three years at College Hospital.

Her movement to organize workers there started in January 1968, after three practical nurses and two nurses' aides were fired, then reinstated.

Workers started discussing a union and meeting weekly with organizers, Miss Moultrie said, and in August, a letter was sent Dr. McCord asking for an initial meeting to discuss a union. Other meetings—with the governor, citizens groups, "anyone who could help us"—followed.

A key session was the one set 10 a.m. on March 17 which led to the strike.

Union Foes at Talk

McCord brought eight workers all "definitely against the union," Miss Moultrie said, and she and her committee of seven objected.

And, because she had informed her membership of the meeting, some 265 on-duty personnel also showed up. McCord called off the session, the workers staged a sit-in, police were called and they returned to work by noon.

At quitting time, Miss Moultrie and the 11 others who worked on the same floor with her were dismissed because of the incident—for abandoning patients on an entire floor.

Miss Moultrie said she then issued a call for help from the SCLC. "There was no other group we could think of, and then do it in a nonviolent way," she said.

But as strike activities increased, with marches and rallies scattered violence tension in the city rose, and Gov. McNair first sent in the patrolmen and Guard, then on May 1 put into effect a 9 p.m. to 5 a.m. curfew.

The parking lot of the Francis Marion Hotel on Calhoun Square looks like a used car lot for police cruisers. In the lobby, where there is a display of Civil War antiques including a rebel flag, police gather and trade stories and eat.

But "we wouldn't have any business at all if the highway patrol wasn't staying here," said the owner of the hotel, reflecting the bitter complaints of other hotelmen, cab drivers and bar owners about the way business has fallen off.
F. William Broome, executive director of the Charleston Chamber of Commerce, minimized the effect of the strike on the city's business in general and its $34-million-a-year tourist trade. "Only four or five conventions actually cancelled," he said, although he acknowledged a heavier impact on night-time business.

Pressure Discounted

He maintained that business in Charleston was not putting on pressure for a settlement.

Businessmen are more concerned with principle than economics, he said. On May 12, Gov. McNair shortened the curfew hours to 11 p.m. to 5 a.m. Wayne Seal, his press secretary, said, "Businessmen have been suffering pretty badly financially. We want to keep the economy moving."

SCLC officials say the situation ultimately is going to have to be settled by the businessmen.

"It is only when you create the same kind of a crisis in the life of the community as you have in the lives of the workers that the community will give in," Rev. Young said.

The official center for this community's business, the city hall, is, like many buildings here, historic. It was built in 1801 as a bank, with solid brick walls. The city council chambers doubles as a gallery for portraits of Southern heroes.

Presiding as mayor the past 10 years has been J. Palmer Gaillard, Jr. A Democrat, he supported Republican Richard M. Nixon for president last year. He is a longtime friend of the area's congressman, conservative Democrat L. Mendel Rivers.

On the strike—an issue between the state and its workers—Gaillard is a man in the middle in a racially split city where political futures could be decided by a man's stance during the dispute.

Asked where he stands, he said hospital officials and the state "made it abundantly clear they will not recognize the union." What will eventually happen, he said, is that the workers and administrators will get together and talk it out.

Gaillard set up a special committee, not to recommend a solution, but "to get the problem off the streets and onto the conference table." But it failed in its attempt to get the two sides to a meeting.

Streets Cleared

Despite the businesses losses, the curfew and troops "did the trick," he said. "It cleared the streets and got the troublemakers off the streets."

The chief of Charleston's police, John F. Conroy, agrees the troops have prevented violence from erupting. "I don't question their sincerity in not wanting violence," he said of the SCLC. "I question their ability to prevent it."

Conroy, a native of New York State who was a Marine for 22 years and studied criminology at Florida State University, is in his rookie year as chief of the 150-man department which includes 22 blacks.

He and the SCLC have one big thing in common—they find each other easy to work with, as he puts the emphasis on restraint.

He has used plainclothesmen to hold down vandalism and small fires, instead of more provocative uniformed men in cars with flashing lights. He did not make arrests when stragglers in the Mothers Day march technically violated the 9 p.m. curfew.

Overall, he said, "We are trying to avoid the racial aspect of the thing and keep in it the labor context—a dispute between the workers and the hospitals."

The labor headquarters for the strikers is the Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union's hall at 655 East Bay St.

New York Minimum

The local, 1199B, is named after another arm of the RWDSU, Local 1199 in New York City, which was formed 18 months ago to represent hospital workers
ranging from janitors to research technicians. Last July it negotiated a $100 a week minimum covering 30,000 workers in private New York City hospitals.

The rundown union hall here—a former VFW building which has several bullet holes in the walls, apparently some of the livelier dances—is the scene of constant activity and daily meetings.

Many of the strikers start coming in around 6 a.m. They help process contributions and handle a growing number of union applications coming in from other parts of the state.

The strikers are allowed two meals a day, plus snacks, and $15 a week in benefits. Churches and members of the community help keep them in food.

There are outdoor rallies at churches and almost daily marches led by SCLC officials along King Street, a main business street where the featured attraction at the Lincoln Theater last week was "Uncle Tom's Cabin."

At one union meeting, in February, Mrs. King said, "My husband always used to say that 1199 was his favorite union because 1199 is always out front, always in the lead in our battle for justice . . . You see a nation in which two million hospital and nursing home workers earn as little as $50 or $60 a week and you want them to do better . . ."

Beyond Charleston, there is the prospect of organizing the more than two million similar hospital workers throughout the nation—many of them blacks, Puerto Ricans and poor whites—who constitute the largest block of unorganized workers in the nation.

As one of the signs carried in Mother's Day march: "THE WORLD IS WATCHING 1199B."


25. TEXT OF ADDRESS BY MRS. CORETTA SCOTT KING TO RALLY AT CHARLESTON'S STONEY FIELD STADIUM, THURSDAY EVENING, MAY 29, 1969

I am happy to be back in Charleston.

On the plane this morning, I was reading a magazine article with the title, "South Carolina Is Charming." It went on and on about Charleston's "soft and pervasive charm, its lovely gardens and colonial homes," and so on.

You know, I just couldn't find one word about some of Charleston's other attractions—thu national guard, the tanks, the state police, the curfew and those terribly awful people, those outside agitators and foreign agents. You know who I mean. I'm referring to those remarkable women—the heroines of 1969—the hospital strikers.

I spent several hours with these women this afternoon over at the headquarters on East Bay Street. And I can really understand why the city and state officials are so worried about them. I was impressed by their determination and their dedication to continue this struggle no matter how long it takes. One of the strikers put it this way. She said, "Mrs. King, you know if this strike had never taken place I guess nobody would ever have heard of us. We would have lived out our lives as nurses aides, dietary and housekeeping workers. But now the whole world seems to know what we're trying to do here. We never knew too much about demonstrations and picketing, about going to jail and having to suffer for what's right. But we do now. And, we also know a great deal more about ourselves."

"And Mrs. King, we're grateful to all the thousands of people from all over America who are helping us. Please tell them tonight, because the television people will be there. Tell them for us that we know how important this fight is—not only for ourselves and our families—but for people everywhere. Please tell them for us that we are going to keep on marching and we're going to keep on fighting until we win." So I want to say to you that these remarkable women just ain't gonna let anybody turn them around. And Charleston and the state of South Carolina had better believe it.

After talking to the strikers today I had a feeling that in addition to such phrases as soul power, black power and green power, we're going to have to add another one—and that's woman power. For these women and their leaders are displaying the kind of quiet determination that can move mountains.
I guess that's why I was happy to become the honorary chairman of the National Organizing Committee of Hospital and Nursing Home Employees of which Local 1199B is a part.

The hospitals of our nation are staffed by hundreds of thousands of women—black women, white women, Mexican women and Puerto Rican women. They have one thing in common. All of them are poor. Most of them earn as little as $50 and $60 a week. They are sick and tired of working full-time jobs at part-time pay. And as Mary Moultrie has put it, they are simply sick and of being sick and tired.

You know, we always hear so much about menial labor. My husband used to say that no labor is really menial unless you're not making adequate wages. And if you're making four or five dollars an hour, that isn't menial labor. What makes it menial is the income, the wages. And the time has come for people of good will to understand the dignity of labor. The time has come to see that a black person working in a hospital, even if she happens to be scrubbing floors, is in the final analysis as important to that hospital as the physician.

Very frankly, I am not impressed by complaints from the Charleston County Medical Society that the union and the SCLC have raised the issues of race and poverty in this strike. They say these issues are not what the strike is all about.

Well, I should like to ask these distinguished physicians how many of them would like to try to support their families on $1.30 an hour? And when was the last time they took care of a patient and charged a fee of $1.30 an hour? And since they have raised the issue of race, how is it that black hospital workers earn less pay and get poorer treatment than white workers who do the identical jobs? And when is the federal government going to do its duty and expose this injustice? After all, the Medical College is receiving federal funds and I don't know why they should be permitted to continue to violate the federal law with federal funds.

Personally, I feel a bond of true friendship with the members of Local 1199B. I feel a bond of firm friendship with the wonderful students of Charleston who have made so great a contribution to this struggle. I am proud to be identified with the civil rights organizations of this city, with the concerned clergy, with the organized labor movement all of whom have united together behind 1199B and the Southern Christian Leadership Conference under the inspiring leadership of my husband's close associate and friend, Rev. Ralph David Abernathy.

For ours is a common struggle. A struggle to make it possible for all of God's children to walk the earth in dignity and self-respect. Everywhere I go people ask me about this strike. For what began as a little known strike by black hospital workers has captured the imagination and touched the conscience of millions of Americans.

The truth is that you are making history here in Charleston. You have won the active support of every major civil rights organization in the nation. You have won the full support of the organized labor movement. Just last week, the AFL-CIO Executive Council set up a National AFL-CIO Charleston Strike Fund with an initial contribution of $25,000. You have won the support of all major religious groups. In Washington last week, 17 United States Senators, including Senators Kennedy, Muskie, Brooke, Mondale, Javits, Harris, Yarborough and others, endorsed your campaign and urged President Nixon to intervene. Most of the major national newspapers have published editorials backing you in your just fight.

Every day, every week, more organizations add their voices to your struggle. Mrs. Jackie Kennedy Onassis sent an unsolicited contribution to express her support. And hardly a day goes by that I do not receive letters or telegrams from young people in schools all over America informing me that they are contributing their lunch monies, or organizing cake sales to help the Charleston hospital strikers. School teachers write and ask how they may obtain your beautiful blue 1199 hat for their students. Your 1199 hat has become a symbol of freedom and dignity wherever decent people gather. And it has become the most fashionable hat of the spring season.

The fact is that millions of plain, ordinary, decent people want very much to be able to identify with you, to extend their hands in friendship and solidarity. They do so because your cause is so genuinely decent, so inherently just and so supremely right. It is one of those clear causes which united all decent-minded Americans.
That is why I say without any hesitation that at this moment in history your fight is the single most important social issue facing the forces of progress in America. Unfortunately, those in positions of power in this state have failed completely to understand what is happening here in Charleston. They have already spent close to three-quarters of a million dollars to prevent black hospital workers from obtaining their inherent rights of first-class citizenship. They have failed to understand that the black people of Charleston will never give up this struggle for justice and dignity. And understand that fact, they will continue to send in troops and police against people who are determined to reply with the power of their souls. And no amount of force can overcome that determination.

Good people all over America have a right to ask some important questions. How many times must the terribly exploited hospital workers be compelled to go on strike and suffer jailings for the simple right to have a union? How many times must heroic men and women and children be compelled to place their lives on the line in daily confrontations with national guardsmen for this elementary right? And how long will it be before the leaders of this city, state and nation finally understand that the tensions now building up here in Charleston may explode into another horrible tragedy?

I expect that this will not be my final visit to Charleston. For I am determined to see this fight through to its final victory. There will be stormy days ahead. Freedom doesn't come easy. Freedom is never voluntarily handed down by the oppressor. It must be demanded. That is the lesson that history teaches us.

As I said at the beginning I am now supremely confident that the hospital strikers are going to carry on until they win this fight. Such leaders as Mary Moultrie and Rosetta Simmons are not going to stop fighting no matter how stormy the days ahead, no matter how great the sacrifice.

I thought about that as I read a report on this strike by the editor of the South Carolina Methodist Advocate. I recommend this article for careful study by the white citizens of this city and state. Here is what he wrote: "Why has it happened? And to this everyone will have his own answer. Mine is simply to say that too many whites have not had the kind of experience which will enable them to understand the depth and strength of the black resolution to have a part in shaping his own destiny, even if it means that he chooses death."

Something deep inside of me tells me that this is an accurate statement of the problem now confronting many thoughtful and troubled white citizens of this city. And in this connection, I should like to read you a statement my husband wrote several years ago.

"If a man has not discovered something that he will die for, he isn't fit to live. And if a man happens to be 36 years old, as I happen to be, and he refuses to stand up because he wants to live a little longer, or he is afraid his home will get bombed, or he is afraid he will get shot, he may go on and live until he's 80. But a man dies when he refuses to stand up for that which is right. A man dies when he refuses to take a stand for that which is true. So we are going to stand up right here letting the world know that we are determined to be free."

I know that if my husband were alive today he would be at your side. He would be marching with Rev. Abernathy, with the hospital strikers, with the students, with the clergy, with the labor movement, demonstrating to this city and to the nation that Charleston can become that moment in our history when the unity of black and white, of the civil rights movement with organized labor may be recaptured. For that unity was my husband's dream. That dream will never die.

And if we will continue to work together, to march together and to fight together we will win this historic fight and help bring into being that day when America will no longer be two nations, but one nation, indivisible with liberty and justice for all.

District 1199 Archives.
26. CHARLESTON: OUR STRIKE FOR UNION AND HUMAN RIGHTS

A strike of 550 hospital workers in Charleston, S.C. has captured national attention as a test for the civil rights and labor movements. The strike combines within it some of the most important issues facing Americans everywhere today. The black community of Charleston knows this, and has put its whole heart and soul into the struggle. Starting out as a fight for the simple right to have a union, the strike has become a nationally important struggle for the right of black people to live as first class citizens in the city where the first shot of the civil war was fired and where slaves first sang to the tune of what became "We Shall Overcome."

National television has brought Charleston's marching thousands with their blue and white 1199 hats into millions of American homes. Daily press coverage throughout the country has stressed the significance of the unity forged between labor and the civil rights movement. For their continued unity spells victory in Charleston and powerful inspiration to poor and black Americans everywhere to organize for a better life.

Charleston's black community is united as never before. When Mrs. Martin Luther King, Jr. came to address a rally April 29, two churches overflowed with a total of 7,500 people, nearly 30 percent of the city's able-bodied Negro population. Thousands of Charleston's people turn out regularly for marches along a route lined with local police, state troopers and national guardsmen brandishing fixed bayonets. Standing at the ready in side streets are more troops with tanks and other armored vehicles.

Heavily armed National Guardsmen patrol the streets. A curfew is in effect. Boycotts of stores and schools by black people have slowed Charleston's normal activity to a trickle. A dozen scheduled conventions have been canceled.

Members of the state legislature have criticized the unyielding anti-union position of Gov. Robert E. McNair, but state and county officials have refused to give an inch.

At first the opposition treated the movement with contempt. A sample was the offer by Dr. McCord, head of the Medical College Hospital, to give the workers an additional holiday—the birthday of Robert E. Lee.

When these actions backfired, contempt was replaced by force. The mood was expressed by Charleston and Courier columnist Ashley Cooper, who wrote, "It seems—at least to me—that the only way the illegal uprising can be stopped is by force. That may have the ring of fascism, which I hate, but honestly, what other conclusion is there?"

Close to 500 people have been arrested—mainly black women, whom Mrs. King called "these magnificent women"— but the arrests have become another symbol of the people's readiness to sacrifice freedom temporarily in order to win it permanently.

The black churches of Charleston are at the heart of the strike there. Nightly rallies overflow churches such as the Rev. Z. L. Grady's Morris Brown A.M.E. Strikers and young people who march and picket all day return at night to sing, talk and listen at hand-clapping, cheer-filled church meetings. Speakers include dedicated local ministers, union leaders like Mary Moultrie and SCLC leaders like Coretta King and Rev. Ralph Abernathy. One black minister announced from the pulpit that scabs in the congregation had two choices: get out of the hospitals or get out of the church.

Local Catholic schools were closed so students, nuns and priests could participate in marches. Several priests were sentenced to jail, including Father Duffy, the prison chaplain.

Charleston's Catholic Bishop Ernest Unterkoefler affirmed the right of workers to decent pay and union membership, and urged hospitals to negotiate.

The interracial Concerned Clergy of Charleston continued strike support despite nonsense like an open letter from 59 right-wingers who accused clergymen of cooperating with "the forces of the anti-Christ."

Song propelled much of the strike, songs of freedom and of unions. Churches shook to "We Shall Not Be Moved" as well as "We're Gonna Roll the Union On." One thousand National Guardsmen turned Charleston into what the New York Times called an "armed camp."

Tanks, armored troop carriers, carbines and bayonets were used to seal off the black community from the rest of the city.
Gov. McNair declared a state of emergency May 1 and imposed a curfew from 9 p.m. to 5 a.m. The state which can't afford more than $1.30 for hospital workers admitted it was paying $10,000 a day to keep National Guardsmen in Charleston.

The military build-up was, as the Washington Post said in an April 30 editorial, "out of scale with the issue." A prominent State Senator from Charleston is reported to have denounced the show of force and told Gov. McNair, "If anything happens the blood is on your hands."

Black students, who said the city was like a "concentration camp," were led by the SCLC in daily marches despite the attempted reign of terror. The marches were directed by Rev. Andrew Young of the SCLC.

In one confrontation the students ran full speed at rows of helmeted, masked, rifle-carrying Guardsmen. The startled Guardsmen lifted their bayonets to the ready. Inches from the extended bayonets the students stopped their rush and dropped to their knees in prayer.

"The jails of Charleston are jammed—not only with such prominent figures as the Rev. Ralph Abernathy and Local 1199 leader Leon Davis but with hundreds of anonymous strikers for whom this is the battle of a lifetime." New York Post editorial, May 2.

It was the battle of a lifetime, not just for Charleston's strikers but for the city's entire black community and its allies. Jails bulged with almost 500 strikers, students, white priests, black ministers, longshoremen, white garment workers and housewives.

They sang spirituals, freedom songs and union songs. They slept among roaches on stinking mattresses and ate meals that at times were literally bread and water. But their courage and spirit of cooperation never wavered.

"I feel cleansed," Davis said, "by the friendliness, the unity, determination and understanding of people ready to make every sacrifice until victory is won." He explained, "I'm going to jail but I haven't got an 1199 hat. Can you get me one?"

Sadie Brown, with ladies like Ernestine Bryant and Luraline Terry, works 18-hour days at union headquarters. Asked what she did on a long-overdue day off, she said, "I marched."

Besides Miss Moultrie, other hard-working local leaders are Local 1199B Vice Pres. Jack Bradford, and Alma Hardin and Rosetta Simmons, co-chairman of the Charleston County Hospital unit.

"Hey, Mister," shouted a Charleston student to NOC Director Elliott Godoff, "I'm going to jail but I haven't got an 1199 hat. Can you get me one?" Black students boycotted schools and volunteered for jail. And blue and white 1199 hats have been everywhere in Charleston.

"We're so happy for the kind of people you've sent us," said Mary Moultrie about the New York 1199 staffers sent to help. Working efficiently with a minimum of fanfare were NOC Director Godoff and Ass't. Director Henry Nicholas, Guild Area Director Dave White, Exec. Sec. Moe Foner, Vice Pres. Doris Turner and press aide Dave Prosten.

From Dave White's strike diary: "The grass roots support is terrific. Donations come from the poorest people. Morale is excellent. . . ."

America took notice late in April when Coretta Scott King arrived in Charleston. A year earlier her husband was killed in a similar struggle in Memphis. Now she told 7,500 rapt listeners:

"I want to make it clear that I am in this historic struggle no matter what the consequences." Her audience, nearly 30% of Charleston's black population, overflowed a church that once served as an underground railway center for escaping slaves.

"I believe that Charleston, like Selma and Memphis, has now become a national test of purpose, with tremendously important implications for decent-minded Americans everywhere," she said.

"After we win here in Charleston," she added, "I would like to see our organizing crusade extend to other cities and states. The newly formed locals can be called Local 1199C, Local 1199D, until we run out of letters."

The New York Post said editorially May 1 that Mrs. King's very appearance in Charleston "dramatically underlined the national dimensions of this conflict."

Mrs. King led a march to Medical College Hospital April 30 through a cordon of heavily armed National Guardsmen, state troopers and local police.
She had been invited to Charleston by 1199B Pres. Mary Moultrie and 1199 Vice
Pres. Doris Turner.

A key breakthrough in Charleston is the way the strike story has zoomed
to national prominence through press, television and radio coverage.

National television networks have had several crews in Charleston. Their
nightly newscasts brought marchers wearing the blue and white 1199 hat into
millions of American living rooms.

Newspaper readers from New York to Los Angeles read thorough and often
sympathetic stories written from Charleston by special correspondents as well
as wire service reporters. Veteran newsmen repeatedly remarked in private that
they were amazed and moved by the dedication and unity of the strikers.

*Newsweek*, *Business Week* and *Time* magazines gave the story major coverage.
It also appeared in such diverse places as *Stars and Stripes*, radio reports
in Jamaica, W.I. and a daily newspaper in Santo Domingo.

Constitution and Charlotte (N.C.) Observer urged President Nixon to intervene
in Charleston.

N.Y. Post columnist James Wechsler in his April 24 column summed up the
role of publicity by observing that the Charleston story was escalated from
a local to a national matter largely due to the work of Exec. Sec. Moe Foner,
1199's public relations director. Wechsler added that the publicity "mingled
with the extraordinary solidarity of the strikers, is why there will be a
hospital union in Charleston. They shall overcome."

The hospital strike in Charleston saw the first joint action by the
nation's major civil rights groups since the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther
King over a year ago.

"We cannot fail to recall that the right of workers to be represented
by a union is precisely the same issue that led to the tragedy in Memphis
last year," the rights leaders said in a statement that received national
press coverage. The 14 leaders pictured at right signed the statement.

Other strike support actions:

* AFL-CIO Pres. George Meany telegraphed Mary Moultrie: "The AFL-CIO
fully supports your struggle for decent wages and a sense of dignity as first
class citizens."

The South Carolina AFL-CIO and several predominantly white unions in
Charleston have given money. An anonymous white plumber sends $10 every week.

White motorists occasionally flash a V-for-victory signal while passing
pickets.

In Washington, 21 Democratic Congressmen appealed to Pres. Nixon to intervene in the strikers' behalf.

Strike support was also expressed in a letter to the *New York Times*
April 16 from first black Congresswoman Shirley Chisholm, Manhattan Borough
President Percy Sutton and actor Ossie Davis.

Editorials in both the black press and the general press backed the
strikers. Many called for intervention from Washington.

The outside of strike headquarters at 655 E. Bay St. is shabby, but the
spirit inside is pure gold.

Busy strikers and their leaders labor up to 18 hours a day and often
spend the rest of the night there on a tattered sofa. When someone suggested
a party for a change of pace, he was told by the workers no party was necessary
and there were more urgent uses for the money.

Meals at headquarters for strikers and their families consist of Kool-
aid, rice, beans, turkey necks and bologna sandwiches. Sometimes there's real
meat. But whatever there is, all say the cooks do a magnificent job. Some
food is donated by local merchants.

Ladies who answer the phone at headquarters frequently use the greeting:
"Union recognition."

Around-the-clock security is provided at headquarters by young men who
have volunteered their services. Area Dir. Dave White's strike diary notes
that "We are not permitted to stand in front of union headquarters at night
for fear of being shot from a passing car. The local leaders sleep from
place to place on different nights. It is not exactly fear, but precautions
the local people feel must be observed."

1199ers in New York feel especially close to the Charleston strike for
more reasons than you can shake a picket sign at.
Many fought a similar battle when 1199 was brought to New York hospitals in 1959 and 1962. Others have relatives in Charleston or places like it.

New York and Charleston 1199ers were brought closer when Vice-Pres. Doris Turner, veteran of the 1959 strike, introduced 1199B Pres. Mary Moultrie to last month's General Delegate Assembly. Recently released from 11 days in jail, Miss Moultrie moved many in the audience to tears. "We're asked to be treated equal and as human beings," she said. "And we are not going to let anybody turn us around, jail or no jail."

In a few weeks members in all divisions had collected more than $10,000 in badly needed cash support for the Charleston strikers.

Margaret Carter, a delegate from Mt. Sinai and a veteran of the '59 strike happens to be at home now recuperating from a heart ailment, but she said, "I wish I could go down there and help out." Bernest McRae of Brooklyn Jewish, another '59 veteran, said "we're raising money every payday for the strike. We remember what it was like before we had the union, and we know it's even worse in Charleston. We're proud our union is down there."

Marie Umstead, of Knickerbocker Hospital, who has relatives in Charleston, said, "Down there whites talk to blacks like they talk to dogs. Those workers aren't just fighting for better pay, but for self-respect as human beings."


27. 113-DAY HOSPITAL STRIKE IN CHARLESTON

"The strike enabled me to be enlightened about life itself. It helped me to realize how important I am as a person, which I'm afraid I didn't quite realize before . . . . I further realized that the power structure isn't all-powerful, but that they are ready to do the bidding of the people and the people can make them do it."

The lady who wrote this is Mrs. Claire G. Brown, a worker at Charleston's Medical College Hospital, wife, and mother of five. She and several of her children were jailed for strike activity. "For anything else," she wrote, "I would never permit myself to be jailed. Looking back, I know if I had it to do again I would do the same thing."

Dozens of other strikers wrote similar letters to the union. They represent on the scale of the individual the changes that the 113-day strike brought about among Charleston's blacks as a community. As a result, Charleston, with its magnolia-scented memories of plantation days, will never be the same.

What happened in Charleston couldn't have happened in a more appropriate place. The city is full of history. The kind of history is suggested by the lovely old mansions and the gardens with moss-hung trees. It is plainly stated by the Old Slave Mart, a museum on the site where human beings used to be bought and sold. Recently, during the strike, the city dedicated as a historic site an island that used to be a prison for captured Union soldiers in the Civil War. Part of the ceremony was the raising of a Confederate flag.

But the strike, and the workers' victory brought the message to Charleston loud and clear: The times they are a-changin'.

Before the strike, Charleston whites considered that the black population was "happy." The fact is, the civil rights movement of the 1950's pretty much passed the city by. When desegregation became a legal fact in the early 1960's Charleston desegregated public facilities without too much pushing by blacks. Efforts to organize a civil rights movement in the city were never successful. It was said the people weren't interested in coming out to meetings, or marching on picket lines.

To say that Charleston was shocked by what it saw during the hospital strike is to put it mildly. 1199 Vice-Pres. Elliott Godoff, head of the National Organizing Committee of Hospital and Nursing Home Employees, said the first 100 days of the strike saw more than 100 rallies that brought out tens of thousands of people. "This was something that was considered
impossible to accomplish—and this was the opinion of blacks as well as whites," he said.

A Fighting Community is Born

Not only the rallies and marches held day after day, but the numerous confrontations with police and National Guardsmen showed that the black community of Charleston was far from quiet and "happy." Any question about the people's willingness to put everything on the line for this struggle was answered by the hundreds who went to jail for its sake. Many were jailed several times in what finally totaled about 1,000 arrests.

How this was accomplished has been documented in virtually all of the countless news articles and editorials about the Charleston hospital strike that appeared all over the country. Local 1199B Pres. Mary Ann Moultrie calls it "The combination that loves the people and that the people love." It's 1199's ability to organize hospital workers and call public attention to their need for decent wages and union rights, plus the SCLC's ability to "turn a town around" by organizing all parts of the community -- students, poor people, middle class people, churchmen -- to come to the hospital workers' support.

This combination itself marks a sharp change in the way black people have organized before. In an analysis of the strike for the magazine New South, Charlotte (N.C.) Observer reporter Jack Bass wrote of the strike victory, "... it may have opened a new era in the struggle for economic improvement for poor people in the South.

Labor, Rights Movement Unite

The New York Times joined many other observers in pointing out that "The broader significance of the (Charleston) affair is that it successfully brought into cooperation the civil rights movement and organized labor, segments of American society that have too often viewed their interests as conflicting rather than common ... They not only expect to win further economic gains in the South, but work together for voter registration and other goals."

As elsewhere in the South, Charleston politics has been the unchallenged activity of whites. Although they are a majority of Charleston proper, not counting suburbs, Negroes have no black representative on the County Council. The reason, say Asst. NOC Dir. Henry Nicholas and Area Dir. Dave White, who have been working on this problem, is that few are registered and fewer vote.

A registration campaign was started by the union, and since the College Hospital settlement July 1, more than 500 people have registered as voters. The campaign is community-wide, including everyone, not just 1199B members.

Lesson in Political Action

The idea of connecting politics with the strike came quite naturally, Godoff said. He told how the workers, trying to see state, county and city officials to explain their grievances before the strike, were repeatedly ignored by the politicians. "The fact that most of these officials got their jobs with the considerable help of the Negro vote meant nothing. The strike made the politicians sit up and take notice, and the workers began to realize that they had to form as solid a block in voting as they did in marching in order to get results in the future."

The strike also provided firsthand experience in organizing a movement to hundreds of people who never did anything of the kind before. The results of this on-the-job training were seen mainly among hospital workers, who helped organize the church rallies, marches, and shopping boycotts in Charleston. But the idea spread quickly and widely during those 113 days to other groups—in the city and elsewhere. Several strike rallies were held outside Charleston by citizens of Johns Island, James Island, Edisto Island and other communities, some as far away as 35 miles.

All of the strike activities, of course, were held under the banner that is becoming nationally if not world-famous — 1199's blue and white hat. It became the height of fashion in Charleston to wear the hat as a badge of support not only for the strike but for the whole freedom movement around it. One group of women, not union members, organized a demonstration to protest
cutbacks in the federal food stamp program. They delayed their action until they could get 1199 hats to wear for the occasion.

The courage and willingness to sacrifice that led to the victory in Charleston have been translated by the enormous press and TV coverage of the strike into inspiration for many thousands of hospital workers in other cities. As a result, requests for help in organizing have come to the NOC from a dozen cities in the Midwest and the South. Meanwhile, NOC continues to expand existing campaigns in Baltimore, Philadelphia and other cities. Godoff noted that the emphasis everywhere is on voluntary hospitals, unlike the situation in Charleston. There, he explained, NOC was thrust into the organization of workers in the State College and County Hospitals.

The outlook for widespread organization, Godoff said, is great. "There are about 2½ million unorganized hospital workers in this country, most of them members of minority groups, mostly women, and mostly victims of poverty wages," he said. "I think it's clear to everyone after the Charleston experience that these workers want to and can be organized. There's just no question that the combination of the NOC and SCLC, with support from the labor movement, churches and other groups of decent people have set the stage for a campaign to end poverty among hospital workers."


28. LETTERS FROM CHARLESTON STRIKERS

What the Strike Meant

Letter 1

I feel that the Charleston hospital strike was one of the most exciting, hardest and important period of my life. I went places I had never been before in my life. There were times I even experienced how the other half lives. I met people from all walks of life which enable me to be enlightened about life itself. It help me to realize how important I am as a person, which I'm afraid I didn't quite realize before. I saw that when people are determined to do or get something accomplished, they can if they would just ban together and work hard for it. I further realized that the power structure isn't all powerful, but that they are to do the bidding of the people, and the people can make them do it.

It surprised me, and maybe it did because I never lived with anything like this before, that people like Reverend Abernathy, Mrs. King, our ministers, some of our teachers, a couple of whom was fired from their jobs, our precious students, and organizations like 1199 and SCLC would risk jail, time, money and their very lives to help poor people like me and hundreds of poor people like me, whom a lot of other people may think wasn't important enough even to consider. And all the thousands of people who came to aid us in our troubles. Our own people in Charleston and the wonderful people all across the country. God bless all of you.

It wasn't all exciting, however. There was some hard work involved. The walking, walking and more walking. The hours and efforts spent trying to get programs together for mass meetings. The sacrifice to my husband and children. Many times my husband performed many of the duties that were mine as a wife and mother, and at times became quite upset, but he beared with me. There were times my children didn't see me all day and sometimes not even at night because of the time I had to spend away from home. But I believe the sacrifice was well worth while because it has made me a better and stronger person due to the fact that I will now stand up and fight for their rights and mine if the need arises.

I have learned to accept criticisms and not worry about it. I have learned to disagree with anything, and say I don't agree, if I don't believe it's right and don't worry about it.
There were days I wanted to cry, I was so depressed, because it seemed that in spite of all the hard work and sweat, that we weren't accomplishing anything, but I knew within myself that I had to keep on working even harder because 1199 didn't lie to us, they laid it on the line and let us know just how hard it was going to be, and that it might have been harder than it was. I felt that I was prepared, maybe that's why I kept on pushing.

I had to go to jail a couple of times, for anything else, I know if I could help it, I would never permit myself to be jailed, looking back I know if I had it to do again I would do the same thing.

Working around and with people of all sorts have been most rewarding, especially with that bond binding us together.

Now if I didn't learn but one thing from this strike it was, if you are willing to help yourself, people will be more than willing to help you.

Mrs. Claire G. Brown
in charge of program
Obstetric Technician
in jail 6 times
5 kids, some of kids in jail

Letter 2

Having gone thru most of my young life, working as a slave for white power structure type people, being a member of 1199B, I feel that I am reborn. I have learn from the hospital strike in Charleston that black people can be united together as one. And as brothers and sisters.

I have also learn that when united Black people mind is set upon an effort to better its way of living, it doesn't matter who is on the other side of the street, and it doesn't matter what's being said about them on the other side of the street. They just keep on doing their thing and bring victory their way. That's 1199B.

I've seen sometimes in 1199B meetings and picket-line Satan comes our way. But it appears to me that whenever Satan comes 1199B has a prepared way to deal with him. That's the good part about being 1199B member.

SCLC, students of Charleston, our many friends here and abroad will always remain in my heart. Because their helping hands help the united Black people at the Medical College receive their goal.

Jail once

Lottie Mae Glover
Aide
Medical College

Letter 3

1. First of all to me the union is like a guidance counselor.
2. It's a lover.
3. It's a friend.

Now to explain the above statements.

The union guides its people to better their conditions, to stand behind them and with them in any struggle that might ensue and believe me they are many. The unionist are sincere people because they have lived your struggle long before now.

They were little people too. But the time came when they weren't going to be foot mats any longer so on thought came to mind. Get all the little people together and decide now or forget forever the hope of becoming a real American Citizen to say what you believe in and be what you want to be without having anyone push you around because you see no one dares to push a crowd. And being bonded together with your fellow man gives you a wonderful feeling of strength. And with the union a wonderful feeling of self respect, love for your fellow man and security. The union give us its complete aid and guidance 24 hours a day. When we are asleep the union was walking sentry doing our thing. I will never forget the people from 1199B because they have truly been my guidance counselor.
To belong to the union is to love the people. There is always someone to talk to. Someone who understands and after your little talk they make you glad that you came.

To belong to the union is to have millions of friends. Friends that will come to your aid and stand with you through trials and tribulation surrounding you with strength and love. The union takes care of its own. The union is like an oak tree in a petrified forest and I am so happy to be a leaf on it. May it stand forever and ever. 1199B and 1199. I love you both.

Mrs. D.P. Heyward

P.S. You will notice that I did not call names of any one of the union leaders. Well that's because they are all so wonderful and I'm in love with each and every one of them and I want to give you ladies and gents a chance to express your love so my hopes are that wherever I go from here that I will remain a member of 1199B and see them in every city in America doing their thing. And when it's my turn to go and take my stand I have confidence that was given to me free of charge by the union 1199B and my thoughts are elevated to new heights. I am somebody now!!

Letter 4

To me, the strike was a means of letting the city of Charleston, the state of South Carolina, as well as the nation, know that I, along with about 550 black hospital workers were standing up for justice and human dignity.

We were 550 black men and women committed to the well-being of the sick men, women, and children of Charleston. As a reward, we only asked to be paid decently so that we could invest in a better way of life for ourselves and our families; we only asked for the justified right to unite and organize ourselves into a stronger body of hard working people who could walk down the hospital corridors, as well as our community with dignity and self-respect and with the assurance of representation in a time of grievance.

The strike was also symbolic of the fact that the hospital workers at the Medical University Hospital and the Charleston County Hospital would no longer accept part-time wages for a full-time job and that they would no longer stand quietly in a life of poverty, exploitation and discrimination. Yes, this was the time to stand up and yell loudly for an end to these conditions, this was a time to stand up and be counted for what we know in our heart was right.

As the strike continued with the expectations of a long, hard struggle, I realized that this was not just a fight for union, dignity, self-respect and higher wages for us, but it was a fight for union, dignity, self-respect and higher wages for black and oppressed poor people.

A hidden slogan in the far corners of my mind during the strike was "If Mr. Charlie can have union representation, Annie Lou can have hers too." With this in mind, I continued in a fight for justice through a strike to better my conditions, hospital workers' (present and future) condition, and most important, my fellow brothers and sisters' condition with the vow to hold on, keep my eyes on the price and let nobody turn me around.

I would like to take this time also to express my thanks to 1199 of New York and the Southern Christian Leadership Conference for their undying spirit and undying willingness to help in this struggle.

lots of arrests, in jail twice
31 days - 11+9+11
photo (being dragged in street)
3rd sister, student, also in jail

Donna M. Whack
Ward Clerk
Charleston County Hospital
Letter 5

The meaning of this strike to me, can be summarized in two incidents. First: one of my church sisters, whom I have much respect for, didn't understand why I saw fit to picket or join in a march. She said, "I would walk out but picketing and marching are against my religion. You should pray to God for what you want instead of picketing and marching."

Then one day as we demonstrated on King Street telling people not to shop, a black woman came bursting through the crowd with a package under her arms. Well, she was pretty mad but my soul Sister gave me some great advice. She said "I don't know what you fussing for, you ought to be out working for what you want." I think I got more out of that statement than all of the beautiful expression ever said in our strike. "You ought to be out working for what you want." It can't get any plainer. Praying is not the only answer. You have to work for what you want.

Right there and then I felt a new meaning to the hospital strike which in itself was a very delicate situation in which sick people were involved. But it is plain that South Carolina is a sick society and maybe a strike like ours was God's way of making his people realize that. It just wasn't a matter of higher wage or love of a job. Discrimination against Black "non-professional workers," who were intrusted with the lives of sick people, became our main target which really made us unite and love unity.

In church, the one thing I did learn was that salvation didn't come on a silver platter. There would be suffering and sacrifice like what Jesus spoke of and if wanted victory you had to work for it and hold out to the perfect end; keep you eyes on the prize! And Soul Power!

During this strike my eyes were open to new strength I didn't know I had. Now that it is over I pray that I never fall into the same old routine but that I be as new born and tell black people everywhere that our strikers stuck together. There was unity through love and respect for each other. This is the only way to survive; through each other.

long terms in jail twice  
Miss Virgie Lee Whack  
Ward Clerk  
Charleston County Hospital

Letter 6

The best thing happen to Charleston is when Medical College and County Hospital walk out on strike. We were fighting for what we'll believe in. The strike was a hard struggle. We picket, day and night. We went to jail. We did not have a fair fight, but we kept the faith in what we believed in, but in this struggle we found out that the mayor of Charleston, S.C. and the government is not our friend. Who we voted for, they proved to us that black and poor white people belong in poverty, but 1199B and SCLC proved to them that they are wrong. We have made it possible that we can walk in dignity and respect. The strike have brought us together to love each other and try to understand ourself as a person, to believe in our self that we are somebody. No matter how things may be with us, the strike have brought strength to us to love each other as sisters and brothers. 1199B and SCLC made it possible that the nation was looking at Charleston, the struggle had made it possible that the salary was raised from $1.30 - $1.60 which is great. We the 1199B and SCLC have brought a new day to Charleston through the strike so that black and poor white people can walk in dignity and respect in 1969.

I would like to say to the organizer Mr. Nicholas May God Bless you for trying to help others to live a better and happier life in places where things look so hopeless.

Keep the faith.

Nurses' Aide at County  
Mrs. Georgetta Waye  
87 Line St.  
Charleston, S.C.
Letter 7

I feel that the strike was a great experience for me. It gave me the opportunity of learning how unions are operated and mainly how to deal with people. It helped to motivate me to the point where I actually wanted to participate in all the activities involved in awakening the people of our community and acting as "strike leader."

It also eliminated any fear I might have had about speaking out in public, before large groups or being firm with the power structure.

During this struggle I was really shocked by the support given by some of our local leaders who I heretofore had considered our leaders, only to find that some of these people were really Dr. Thomases and Miss and Mrs. Ann. There were really only a few real leaders. But I was very much elated at the interest and support shown by the grass-root people in our community.

The strike also made me conscious of other people around me. Although the majority of the people that were out on strike worked in the same hospital with me and attended membership meetings, I really didn't get to know them individually until the strike came about. I feel that walking the picket line, participating in the various demonstrations, mass rallies and sharing problems have really created a closer relationship between me and the other members.

It also made me aware of how important it is to make certain sacrifices, if one wants to accomplish his goal. When one is involved in a struggle it is not a crime to go to jail without bail, it's a pleasure. When one is in a struggle such as the one we were in, it is not to difficult to live on $15.00 a week, as we didn't earn much more than that anyway.

The fact that people all over the country made sacrifices and supported us financially and morally made me aware that there are still people that are concerned about poverty and the poor. It has injected in me the desire of wanting to make right that which is wrong. Whenever or wherever people are struggling to be treated with dignity and respect and the right to be free is where I want to be.

By working with the great Rev. Ralph D. Abernathy and the Southern Christian Leadership Conference my comprehension of their philosophy of non-violence have been made much clearer. I, too have adopted non-violence as a way of life and intend to work with this organization as close as I can.

But most of all, I have discovered that although we have already put in a lot of work, there is still a lot more to be done. I am not worried because I know that "only the strong survives."

Mary A. Moultrie

Letter 8

I was asked on several occasions why was I participating in the strike of non-professional workers against the Medical College and Charleston County Hospitals, by both relatives and friends ... in my reply I was never hesitant, I answered them the same as I would answer you or any questioning agent, by saying, that it is true that I am not a non-professional worker, but neither were the LPN's who are affiliated with this union. These LPN's along with several of my co-strikers required as much training as I did for my secretarial position.

My sincere reason for becoming a part of Local 1199B is because I was tired doing professional work and receiving the wages of a non-professional.

I will say now and again that 1199B has been and will be the greatest organization that has ever come to Charleston, and I am immensely overwhelmed to be a part of such a terrific union.

In my conclusion I must not forget to say "Thanks" to the SCLC staff who worked so diligently to see that we as a union ... seek and win this great battle. I must add that it took a long time coming, but Thank God it is here and not for only a month, but to stay.

A quote from our great Black leader, Dr. Martin L. King, Jr.
"Free at last, Free at last
Thank God we are free at last."

in jail 9 days

Brenda Brothers
Pediatric Secretary

Letter 9

This has been the most astonish struggle in the history for poor black and poor white people in the world.

Let me start from the beginning. On September of 1968 I heard of about the organizing of a union for all hospital workers. I went to the meetings and took cards to let all interested persons working at Charleston County Hospital Workers to join. The High Noon and sitting on the lawns at Medical College Hospital was showing, but was not effective. The politicians was changing one way or the other. This took place all because twelve (12) members were fired from the Medical College Hospital. We took an oath "That an injury to one - is an injury to all." Then we came out to support the Medical College Hospital Strike. We had a lot of support from Local 15A for letting us use their hall and really you wouldn't think it belong to Local 1199B.

The people in the community felt that the struggle was worth fighting for.

At first I felt tense being with people that I didn't know. But after being with them, I got to know and understand their problems; and try to listen to them. I really went through a struggle. During the struggle I met a lot of people of importance. I've seen interesting places. I really enjoy the hit and run demonstrations. This was very offensive to me, especially blocking the traffic on the historic Cooper River Bridge, finding out where the Governor was having luncheon; which I think was very funny.

The young girls and men with Civil War clothing on, you should have seen them.

The strike have taught me to take criticism and take a lot of kidding from other people. I have help other members from the medical establishment sign cards and even visit them in their homes. One member was so afraid of the boss it hurt very much to see how a person can be like that. Mr. Bradford and I would keep going and talk with them but so far I haven't succeeded as yet. We will keep on especially since we won our freedom from both County and Medical College Hospitals.

One thing I enjoy, is that the community was with us and that no matter what we have done - Charleston, S.C. will never be the same. The historic sights will not be historic any more. The sacrificing Black people in Charleston has been historic.

I thank everyone for their help. I will start from 1199, SCLC and the community and the Concerned Clergy, we will remember that God takes care of his own.

coc-chairman,
1199B chapter at County Hospital

Alma Harden
aide
County Hospital

Letter 10

The way that I feel about the strike and surrounded events is I'm certain the views of many of the poor people of Charleston. I'm sorry that so many innocent people had to suffer, but I'm also glad that it was experienced.

The strike brought a lot of things to light. And although the strike involved whites as well as blacks, the press here made a special note of "Striking Negro Workers." I was present on King Street when the State troopers brutally beat Miss Richards in the open eye of the public, the press failed to inform the public of this.
The strike maybe outwardly settled, but the scar which it left will always be evident. Civil rights had a great part to play in the strike, but the principles of integrity played a much stronger part. I believe that as long as people are subjugated the inevitable is protest.

I enjoy helping people, that's why I became a nurse. I didn't go on strike to hurt the patients, I feel I did what was necessary to make award conditions which were unfair. We live (supposedly) in a democratic society and that gives everybody the right to a fair share and opportunity, and we did no more than execute our rights.

Everyone pays taxes to pay for the protection of the police, and their job is to keep peace and quiet and enforce constituted laws. And when I see State troopers beating on women and the youth of the community (Black) I wonder who they are really protecting.

I feel that the curfew was mainly for the Blacks of Charleston, and the tensions were greatly focused on black and not white. I thought South Africa was the only place where blacks couldn't exercise the same rights as whites.

Everyone on earth wants their children to have, and be able to live like humans. I believe a sincere effort is being made to better the conditions, and I'm sure it will pay off for everybody all the way around.

The strike had a real meaning, and it's up to all involved to warrant its success.

Mrs. Beverly Kennedy
Nurses' Aide
Medical College

Letter 11

First of all it gives me the opportunity to meet new people with knowledge of unions, someone whom I can lean on where I have lack of understanding. It means hope for better living, recognition as a human being and my ability to serve humanity. I wish to say also that it had brought more community relationship, people who we had seen each and every day, were strangers until this union unite and brought us so close together, close enough for us to become sisters and brothers. It gives me a pride which I wish to show off proudly, with dignity and honor. I will never disregard or disrespect its concrete meaning, as long as I am a member.

One moment that instill within me a determination, and to fight whatever may come, "was" one afternoon in New York City, I went to make a speech there, in behalf of our President, "Miss Mary Moultrie," which I felt very honored! I met a group of people hard at work sorting and packing clothing to send to the striking hospital workers in Charleston, S.C. To see how faithful and untiring these people were at work, shock my mind to thinking and as I was being introduced to the other members of 1199 National Vice-President Mrs. Doris Turner they said to her she is our sister that is all that matters, one young lady in particular, "Isabelle," said to me, and I can hear her voice ringing in my ear, Mama, there are lots of work to do. Come over here and start folding and separating things in like manner because before time for the rally we want to have the most of these things packed in boxes and tied up. She was right because with the supervision of Mrs. Turner work was a pleasure when it was nearing the time for the Rally. I was invited upstairs to the "Office of the National 1199." Where we refreshed ourselves and got dress and on to the rally, which I thought was beautiful, the moral support given me, I couldn't but shed some tears of joy and happiness. People who were strangers, treated me as though I was one of them and as if they had known me all of their lives. People there were very generous financially as well as morally. I must mention Mr. Leon Davis, a great guy! I met him before, in Charleston, S.C. Also when he went to jail with Rev. Ralph Abernathy, but this night he was different, but still sincere. We said, we are going to dinner and have a good time. This night is ours to remember. After a very healthy meal, I went to Mrs. Turner's home for the night, here is where I really got to know her. Here is a woman with a family, a home, and every day problems, same as many of us, but finds time to share in the problem of
humanity and not just sharing but are doing much to deflate poverty.

Another thing I will always remember and I learn this in Baltimore the moment I step off the plane. I didn't know who was suppose to meet me, but as I was walking across the ramp and into the airport building, this beautiful well attractive young lady was standing inside holding a Local 1199 hat in her hand, I went over to her, and she said to me, Are you the delegate from Charleston, S.C.? No answer was necessary, we embraced with happiness, and I think at that moment, we both found out that this is a good code. If you are lost and you are a sister or a brother, Local 1199 hat will find you a guide. Then Gwendolyn and another Associate drove me to the church where the rally was held. The next day was when I really got to know Mr. Elliott Godoff. Waiting for plane time, we had a chance to talk and get to know each other better. I wanted to know that the advice given to me by him will go a long way. I learn also that people variated, I found this out in Washington, D.C. when I was a guest at the ALA Convention at the Washington Hilton Hotel, but we are greatful for people like Mr. Myers; a delegate from New York City who was very helpful. Also Iran Brown and Mr. Robinson from Detroit who made me very comfortable. Then Mr. T. presented a check for $25,000 to me for Local 1199B in support of the striking workers. The person or persons who are responsible for me to enjoy this wonderful experience are Mr. Henry Nicholas and Miss Mary Ann Moultrie, in the past, few months I learn to rely on these two person's ability for guidance. This union means togetherness. We have had good day, bad days very trying day, days when some of our demonstrators were man handle, and thrown in prison: But, as our president always say, "and I quote": only the strong survive. "Unquote". I find myself working with people, using their ideas that were helpful, going to jail working with young people who were eager to assist, what ever the outcome may be. I also know that it takes sacrifice, loyalty, dedication, and money to build a union. And this is what it means to me. Plus the determination of people who for sake themselves to help someone who are not able to help themself but with the right help and guidance, can do wonders. And in turn do the same for someone else.

photo appears on May 1969 cover

Mrs. Hermina Traeye
LPN
County Hospital

Letter 12

The strike as a whole brought out a lot of changes in me. It has taught me how to economize, how to go without things you really don't have. It has taught me really to understand people and their well beings. Probably one time or another if someone would've come to me with a problem I might 've shun them a little or never even considered their problems, but as the strike went on I found myself being a little more consideration.

It also made me look more at the political aspects of the situation in Charleston and all over the country.

Also with the help of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference and with their great President Rev. Ralph David Abernathy, I've also learned the concept of non-violence. Without their help the strike would've lasted probably for a long time. Most of all I could never thanked the members from Local 1199 in New York for their support and their moral support and their financial support.

I would like to thank everyone from 1199 for their help.
Thank God. Bless you all!

Mrs. Ernestine Bryant
LPN
Medical College
Letter 13

I cannot express what the strike means to me as we progressed as best we could during the 100 days. I was not able to march or picket very much but I was able to pray. This is some of the words that came to me.

O Lord God to whom vengeance belongeth show thyself. Lift up thyself, thou Judge of the earth, how long shall the wicked triumph, how long shall they utter and speak things, and all the workers of iniquity boast themselves. Lord who will rise up for me against the evil doers, or workers of iniquity. Who did rise up for me against these - 1199B and SCLC did. With God's guidance, and our prayers together, we won. Today I am glad for the small part I had in breaking the states' right to work law.

I can now go forward with dignity and respect.

I am somebody. I am still praying that we can still go further.

Soul Sister
Mrs. Annie G. Fobbs, LPN
4-13 Felix Street
Charleston, S.C. 29403
July 4, 1969

Letter 14

To me, personally, the strike was an eye opener. During the strike I was able to see how long the Black and poor people of Charleston and the State of South Carolina were asleep.

I knew that, we as Black people were not getting our equal rights, decent wages, nor were we able to enjoy the many privileges and opportunities that were made possible for us but now that the strike has open our eyes to the fact that if we as black and poor people do not stand up and fight and be counted for, we will remain in slavery.

I also learned during the strike that the "Light of Freedom begin to shine for the poor and black people of Charleston and South Carolina and if we are to keep it shining we will have to unite and remain united. And when we say that: "An injury to one, is an injury to all." We will have to show it whenever the times come in.

We will have to let the white men know that slavery is gone forever and that the black men and women of America will stand united and will fight and also be ready to pay any penalty that is required to maintain their freedom.

Forever Grateful,
Mrs. Rosabelle Deas (NA)

Letter 15

To me the strike show the way to fight for my dignity and freedom. It also gave me the strength to fight for my rights.

By going on strike, it unite the workers together, giving us security and courage to stand up for what we believe in, and this something we don't have before.

The strike has taught me that deep down, we had the ability and the power we need to fight slaveries.

These 100 days has taught me that the love of my Black brothers is greater than all the money in this mix up world.

Now that we are united, we have the ability to change unjust laws.

I believe that with unity we have, we should not stop at Medical College Hospital and County Hospital, but united all workers in South Carolina.
We are organized now and with help of our brothers we will make it.

Mrs. Pearl R. Canty
July 31, 1969

District 1199 Archives.

BREAD AND ROSES

29. IS THIS ANY WAY TO RUN A UNION?
Results of Local 1199’s Cultural Activities Say 'Yes'

In this organization, members seem to be going to one continual round of cultural happenings—dramatic presentations, concerts, recitals, poetry readings, forum discussions, exhibits, film festivals—all of them (except the last) live events sponsored and produced by the organization itself.

Is this a cultural society? An educational institution? A club for self-improvement? Yes and no. While it does have some elements of all of them within it, the organization in question is basically, and very functionally, a trade union.

In the labor community of New York City, it's not exactly a revelation that Local 1199, Drug and Hospital Employees Union (AFL-CIO) has been blending all of this cultural ferment with bread-and-butter trade unionism for many years.

But a bewildered outsider wonders: "Is this any way to run a union?"

And the answer given by Local 1199 President Leon J. Davis is: "You bet it is!"

"What our union and our members are doing," Mr. Davis explains, "merely is demonstrating again what most labor leaders know—that the fight for a living wage and decent working conditions is the start of the struggle for all the good things in life."

So pervasive throughout the organization are the cultural activities of Local 1199 that now they are part of its day-to-day operations. The union, which started out as a small group of retail drug store employes 35 years ago, has a current membership of almost 27,000 and is affiliated with the AFL-CIO Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union.

The great growth of Local 1199 has taken place in the last eight years as it organized more than 20,000 workers employed in New York's hospitals as orderlies, nurses' aides, porters, kitchen and housekeeping staff; plus a mounting number of their technical, professional and clerical employes.

It was during the early part of its hospital period -- marked by strikes in 1959 and 1972 -- that the cultural program underwent its first modern expansion. Since most of the non-medical hospital workers are Negroes and Puerto Ricans, the fights became intertwined with the escalating battle for civil rights, which in turn furnished its own impetus to the program.

Reporting on 1199's monthly live theater series featuring top stage and screen stars, The New York Times observed that "the members have dug the drama to a degree that could have the motivation research folks uncovering a whole new set of motives to research."

But 1199 Executive Secretary Moe Foner, who directs the program, thinks that organized labor folks do not have to dig too far back in their own experience to know what those motives are; and to know, too, that they've been there all the time.

"Long before our hospital organizing campaigns, we in 1199 had learned that speeches and reports at meetings alone are not enough," says Mr. Foner.
"And we developed some educational and cultural activities pretty early in our history. So part of the foundation of our present program lay there."

But, Mr. Foner emphasizes, the breadth and depth of the new needs could not be filled simply by more of the same things the union had been doing. "What continues to be needed," he said, "are quality programs bringing to our members the best there is by way of information and inspiration. 'A good union doesn't have to be dull,' is the way we looked at it ... So, in addition to stepping up and expanding the education and other programs already in operation, we developed two major new projects—Theater 1199 and the forum-discussion series."

Local 1199, whose headquarters are located in Manhattan's theater district, has had contacts with stage, screen and TV stars who have appeared at its social events over the years. Of this group, the now famous theater couple, actor-playwright Ossie Davis and actress Ruby Dee, have been devoted friends of the union for 15 years. They have participated in the union's happenings throughout that period—writing, directing and presenting dramatic material for the union's Negro History Week celebrations and other events.

Other early members of Local 1199 "repertory" group included Sidney Poitier, Godfrey Cambridge, Sam Levenson, Ricardo Montalban, Alan Alda, John Randolph, Morris Carnovsky and Will Geer.

"With this wonderful talent available to us and our union headquarters containing a 400-seat auditorium," Mr. Foner said, "we had the primary ingredients for a program of live theater."

Time: January 1965—Enter Theater 1199. A panarama of the two years of the series would show scenes from Sean O'Casey's plays performed by John Randolph and Sarah Cunningham, annotated by notes on Mr. O'Casey's life and work by Professor Frederick Ewen ... scenes from works by Twain and Whitman performed by actor Will Geer ... the Group Theater Workshop with Robert Hooks in scenes from "In White America" ... readings from an 1199 organizer's poetry by Davis and Dee ... scenes from Shakespeare by the Theater-in-Education ... and a folk-song concert by The Mitchell Trio.

It has been an enriching experience for everyone involved in Theater 1199, Mr. Foner says, especially the patrons. Most of them, he pointed out, never had seen a live play and they found in these performances a remarkable rapport with "those who show us to ourselves."

That the actors have enjoyed these events "as much as the audience," he added, has been happily evident in the post-performance coffee klatches, when they mingle with the spectators.

Certainly no one has enjoyed it more than Mr. Foner, who in addition to being a talent scout, producer and impresario-at-large for Theater 1199, is editor of the union's award-winning magazine, 1199 Drug & Hospital News, and producer of the union's two 30-minute organizing movies.

Program credits for the success of Theater 1199 would not be complete without a strong one for the rank-and-file Affairs Committee, Mr. Foner underlines. "This group," he says, "acts as a sounding board for project proposals and provides the brains, arms and legs for the many small but vital jobs."

Right now, there's a long intermission at Theater 1199. And this, paradoxically, is due to the union's organizing successes of the past few years. So much extra office space has been needed to take care of all the expanded administrative work for the union's increased membership, that much of the auditorium area in the headquarters had to be expropriated for this purpose.

However, a new home for Theater 1199 is on the way. It will be part of the union's new home, a 14-story building to be constructed just around the corner from its present building and scheduled for completion in 1969.

The other new segment of the union's cultural program is the series of Friday night forum-discussions. Designed mainly for 1199 delegates and active members, these events have presented talks on poverty by Michael Harrington, on the civil rights movement by Bayard Rustin, on peace by Norman Thomas, and on other key issues of the day by other prominent Americans. The floor is thrown open for comment and questions after each lecture and the lively exchanges that follow show that speakers and audience have definitely communicated.

A union publishing a book of poems? That may seem far-out even for such a heavily culture-oriented institution as Local 1199. But one finds that it's not really so distant when the volume's 60 poems deal with union life and
experience, specifically the 1959 and 1962 strikes of hospital workers during the initial organizing drives.

The press acclaimed the event of publication, if not necessarily the contents of the book, "Talking With My Feet," in 1965. The former New York Herald Tribune did a long feature article on the volume and its author, Marshall Dubin of the 1199 organizing staff; The New York Times gave it a proper notice; and many union publications applauded, some with awe.

The Machinist, publication of the AFL-CIO International Association of Machinists, in an editorial on the book, said the poems "will warm the heart of anyone who has ever walked a union picket line." The editorial quoted several of the poems, ending with the lines:

"Where is the union now,  
friend,
At the strike's end?  
Inside my heart, friend . . ."

and, added, "It's the only place where a labor union has ever lived. We're indebted to Marshall Dubin for saying it so well."

A favorite poem of Mr. Davis in "Talking With My Feet" is one that he thinks helps workers "to understand what they've won -- and, more important, what it means to be organized into a force whose very numbers bring a sense of dignity and self-respect unknown before." It reads:

"I've been called 'boy' for  
thirty years;  
Chained to the broom and  
mop, my trade.  
No wonder 'Mr. Charlie' is  
dismayed.  
To see a picket line led  
by his porter.  
A cyclone has upset the  
social order."

Incidentally, the 1962 hospital strikes led to historic legislation in whose enactment Governor Rockefeller was instrumental. Intervening to end the work stoppage, the Governor promised a State bill that would give hospital workers something that was not available to them at that time--collective bargaining rights. Such a law was enacted in 1963, giving those rights to employees of non-profit hospitals in cities of one million or more. In 1965 the law was extended to all voluntary nonprofit hospitals throughout the State.

One of the earliest expressions of the union's cultural aspirations was the annual celebration of Negro History Week in February. In recent years, the title of the event was changed to "Salute to Freedom"; but under either name, it has been an SRO highlight on the 1199 calendar for 17 years.

This year, the event broke all records. The doors of the 2,000-seat auditorium of the High School of Fashion Trades had to be closed an hour before curtain time with hundreds outside seeking admission. Reason: Miriam Makeba, Pete Seeger, the La Rocque Bey Dancers, and an address by Cleveland Robinson, national president of the Negro American Labor Council. Local 1199 contributed $2,650 to major civil rights organizations that night including three $500 NAACP life memberships awarded to George H. Fowler, chairman of the State Commission for Human Rights, Herman Badillo, Bronx Borough president, and Rev. Milton A. Galamison, pastor of Brooklyn's Siloam Presbyterian Church. Mr. Fowler is a former deputy industrial commissioner of the State Labor Department.

The most recent event on the 1199 cultural calendar is a photo exhibit. Under the title, "Never to Forget; Never to Forgive!" the photos dramatically depict the extermination of six million Jews by Nazi Germany. With this event, Local 1199 commemorated the Warsaw Ghetto uprising 24 years ago.

Generally, management people at the hospitals organized by Local 1199 have come to acknowledge the union as a social agency dedicated to constructive social ends and serving the social and economic needs of low-income wage earners who largely are members of minority groups.

Industrial Bulletin of the New York State Department of Labor (May, 1967), copy in District 1199 Archives.
The lines are from a poem by James Oppenheim, commemorating the famous strike in 1912 by more than 20,000 multi-ethnic textile workers in Lawrence, Massachusetts. Young female workers carried picket signs reading, "We want bread, and roses too."

That bread and roses theme has become the focal point of the most ambitious project ever undertaken by a union in this country to bring culture directly to its rank-and-file members. The union is District 1199, National Union of Hospital and Health Care Employees.

Many of these union members represent a new audience for the arts and humanities in this country. They are workers who, because of their educational, economic, racial and ethnic backgrounds, have previously had severely limited access and exposure to these areas of our cultural life.

District 1199's project, aptly titled Bread and Roses, delivers the arts and humanities to workers in hospitals and nursing homes, where they are employed, at union headquarters (the Martin Luther King, Jr. Labor Center on West 43rd Street in New York City), and in their homes (1199 Plaza, the union-sponsored 1600 family housing development in East Harlem).

The project will cost about $1.3 million over its two-year period. About $550,000 is expected to be contributed in grants by the National Endowment for the Arts, National Endowment for the Humanities, New York State Council on the Arts, and the Pennsylvania Council on the Arts. District 1199 will provide $350,000 in matching grants and services. Other funding has come from several private foundations, including: The Ford Foundation, the United Hospital Fund, United Church Board for Homeland Ministries, the Prudential Foundation, Boehm Enterprises, Carol and W. H. Ferry, Fund for Tomorrow, Inc., the J. J. Kaplan Fund, Inc., the Louise L. Ottinger Charitable Trust, the New York Times Foundation, the Shubert Foundation, Inc., the John Golden Fund, Inc., the Edna McConnell Clark Foundation, the Lila Acheson Wallace Fund, and the North Shore Unitarian Veatch Program. Other funding is being sought from similar private foundations.

So that all monies may be channeled directly into the various Bread and Roses programs, the project is administered by the smallest possible staff. Staff members include this writer, as project director; Mordecai Bauman, administrator; Nonnie Perry, coordinator, and Abigail Booth Gerdts, who is developing special projects for Gallery 1199, the only permanent art gallery at any labor union headquarters in the United States.

The staff is assisted by a group of distinguished performing artists and scholars who helped shape the original proposal. The staff also works with rank-and-file Bread and Roses committees, numbering 450. These committees were organized during the planning period and continue to meet regularly. Among them are an overall committee and special Hispanic, Retiree, and 1199 Plaza committees.

Bread and Roses began in January 1979 and will extend through 1980. It seeks to bring to members and their families a cultural diversity that will encourage them to reach beyond their daily tasks toward a fuller involvement in the arts and humanities.

The union has some 70,000 members in the New York metropolitan area, and about 30,000 in seventeen other states. More than 70 percent of the members are black and Hispanic, and almost 85 percent are women.

Artists and entertainers who have performed for Bread and Roses thus far emphasize the emotional experience they feel in bringing their art directly to the people. The fact that many in the audiences had never before witnessed a concert or a photography exhibition underscores the implications Bread and Roses holds for the future of the arts in the U.S. And despite the fact that many are being introduced to such events for the first time, Bread and Roses makes no move to "water down" the cultural content to make the programs more palatable. There is no pandering to so-called popular taste.
and, perhaps not surprisingly, the enthusiastic response by workers to Bread and Roses events is as high as the quality of those events.

Even with the concentration of members in the New York area, Bread and Roses has taken on national -- even international -- ramifications. The federal and state endowments regard the project as a prototype, one that might serve as a model for other U.S. unions. And a group of Swedish labor union members recently visited 1199 headquarters to determine if Bread and Roses is applicable to their country.

Cultural diversity is the goal of the Bread and Roses program. Included in the project are drama, music, poetry, art and photography exhibits; a celebration of the union's twentieth anniversary at New York's Lincoln Center, an original topical musical revue, a Labor Day Street Fair, conferences, seminars, films, and recordings.

Bread and Roses has also created a ripple effect, one that may affect hospital labor relations and health-care costs. Among the various project conferences is a series on the responsibility of hospital workers for patient care, which is sponsored by a grant from the United Hospital Fund.

Historically, the attempt to bring culture into the daily lives of union workers is not a new concept. Herbert C. Gutman, a historian and member of the Bread and Roses advisory board, has suggested that the deep cultural cohesiveness among workers helped the labor movement succeed during the long, difficult strikes of the late 1800s, a time when there were no strike benefits and most of our society was hostile to unions.

A *Business Week* article in January dealing with the Bread and Roses project noted that poetry readings, song festivals, and dramatic performances were an important part of community life for Welsh coal miners, German cigar makers, New England shoe workers, and Baltimore bricklayers. "But that tradition has long since died out -- and for a variety of reasons," said the *Business Week* writer.

That Bread and Roses has succeeded in involving its audiences in reviving that cultural cohesiveness among workers is illustrated by their enthusiastic response. A sellout crowd of 2,800, for example, attended a Bread and Roses anniversary concert by Harry Belafonte on Sunday evening, 18 March 1879 at Avery Fisher Hall in Lincoln Center. It is estimated that more than half of the audience had never before been to Lincoln Center.

A major Bread and Roses program is Theater-in-the-Hospitals, for which a variety of music and drama groups perform free for workers, hospital staffs, and some patients during lunch hours. The first such group to perform was the Howard Roberts Chorale, and the reaction of its in-hospitals audiences proves the point.

A lab technician attending a performance at one hospital described District 1199 as "a little United Nations," and added: "This kind of fine performance brings us together to learn about each other's cultures and enrich ourselves during working hours."

A patient at another hospital called the Theater-in-Hospitals program "a wonderful idea." He said: "I've been bored silly staring at the four walls and watching T.V. This livened up the day. It was relaxing and I liked the togetherness." And a nurse's aide said the lunchtime performances "give us a good incentive to go back to work full of spirit."

That, in essence, is what Bread and Roses is all about. District 1199 is proud of its record as a militant organizer and its alliance in social causes with liberal and civil rights organizations. This involvement has helped us enlist the aid of many professionals in planning Bread and Roses, such as Ossie Davis, the actor-director-writer; actress Ruby Dee; and Harry Belafonte.

Belafonte, for example, would accept no fee for his Bread and Roses concert, which marked his first New York appearance in eighteen years. "1199 means a great deal to me," he told the cheering crowd. "I come here with passion and sentiment. On every issue worth fighting for, your union has been there. I have to be involved with 1199 as long as there is an 1199."

Ossie Davis, allied with 1199 through two decades, serves as master of ceremonies for most Bread and Roses entertainment events. He is also playing an important role in one of the project's most ambitious programs, "Take Care," the oral history musical revue.

Raw material for the revue, which will have original songs, lyrics, and
sketches, has been developed at workshop sessions by union members and retirees under the guidance of author and teacher Lewis Cole. Davis, together with Eve Merriam and Micki Grant, all members of the Bread and Roses advisory board, are writing the revue based on materials obtained at the workshops. Davis will then direct a professional cast which will present the revue in 1980 at hospitals and nursing homes as part of the Theater-in-Hospitals program.

The Roberts Chorale performed before some 15,000 enthusiastic workers and patients in thirty-five hospitals and nursing homes in New York, on Long Island, and in New Jersey. The group also performed at 1199 headquarters for retired members and at the Brooklyn Museum for 1199 retirees and other groups. The Labor Theater was next on the Theater-in-Hospitals tour with a production of "I Just Wanted Someone to Know," a 40-minute play with music about the role of women in the American labor movement. After visiting five hospitals and nursing homes in New York, the play traveled to Philadelphia and other Pennsylvania cities under the Bread and Roses banner before resuming its New York tour.

The other labor unions may launch similar programs is illustrated by the fact that three other unions have sponsored The Labor Theater production in seven other cities during the group's Pennsylvania travels.

On 27 April 1979 Odetta, the noted folk-singer, launched Theater 1199, a series of Friday evening Bread and Roses entertainments at union headquarters for members and guests. Following an Ossie Davis, Ruby Dee performance 18 May, this season's schedule concluded 15 June with an evening with humorist Sam Levenson.

Other Theater 1199 entertainers this year and in 1980 will include Judy Collins, the Billy Taylor Trio, Professor Irwin Corey, Pete Seeger, Elizabeth Swados and Friends, Eli Wallach and Anne Jackson, Arlo Guthrie, and others.

Another Bread and Roses series, presented in Spanish primarily for the benefit of Hispanic members, is staged on Sunday afternoons at union headquarters. Featuring well-known Hispanic entertainers, the series began in April with Mongo Santamaria and his band, followed in May by the Puerto Rican Traveling Theater, directed by Miriam Colon.

Bread and Roses programs at the union's Gallery 1199 opened in January with an exhibition of paintings by children from seventy-eight countries, arranged by the U.S. Committee for UNICEF to salute the International Year of the Child. Classes from seventy-nine elementary and private schools visited the exhibit, where an integrated program of story-telling, film, and active participation was conducted by a UNICEF-trained instructor.

The visits by school children were organized with the cooperation of the New York City Board of Education and the United Federation of Teachers, together with the Amalgamated Clothing & Textile Workers Union.

These same groups also arranged for high school and college classes and union groups to visit the second exhibition, entitled "Rise Gonna Rise: Portraits of Southern Textile Workers" by noted photographer Earl Dotter. Rank-and-file workers from J.P. Stevens plants, who were subjects of Dotter's photographs, came up from the South to discuss their work experiences. Pointing out the Bread and Roses ripple effect, the Dotter photographs have also widened their impact past 1199. Forty pages of his photos are included in a book published by Doubleday/Anchor, also entitled Rise Gonna Rise, with text by Mimi Conway. The United Church Board for Homeland Ministries is publishing a portfolio of the photographs for commercial distribution.

Beginning in October 1979, "The Working American" will occupy Gallery 1199. This is an exhibition of original paintings by American artists depicting the lives of American workers from 1850 to 1950, and will include works borrowed from the Metropolitan, Whitney, and Hirshhorn museums.

"The Working American" will also carry Bread and Roses beyond union confines. The exhibition is scheduled to visit a number of major museums in the U.S. under the auspices of the Smithsonian Institution's Traveling Exhibition Service (SITES).

Other Gallery 1199 exhibits for Bread and Roses will include a photo-essay of hospital workers by Georgeen Comerford and a series of paintings by Ralph Fasanella entitled "Bread and Roses: Lawrence 1912," in which the artist has rendered scenes of the workplace and the strike.
Also taking Bread and Roses nationwide is "The Legacy of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.," a series of four dialogues (two each year) dealing with Dr. King's contributions as they relate to current issues. The series is sponsored jointly by District 1199, the King Center for Social Change, and Columbia University.

The initial dialogue on Sunday, 1 April 1979 featured UN Ambassador Andrew J. Young, interviewed by Bill Moyers on "Dr. King: A Personal Memoir." The program was videotaped for presentation the following evening on Bill Moyers Journal, televised by more than 200 member stations on the Public Broadcasting Service across the country.

The exploration of Dr. King's philosophy as part of Bread and Roses is considered especially fitting. Dr. King appeared often before 1199 audiences and constantly referred to 1199 as "my favorite union."

Guest speakers in future King dialogues will include Coretta Scott King, Mayor Coleman Young of Detroit, and Douglas Fraser, President of the United Automobile Workers. They will be interviewed by Eleanor Holmes Norton, Chairperson of the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunities Commission; Roger Wilkins, urban affairs specialist for the New York Times; and author Studs Terkel.

A series of Bread and Roses conferences and seminars to investigate the ethical aspects of hospital work will directly involve rank-and-file members of 1199. A unionwide all-day conference scheduled for later this year and entitled "Patient Care: the Hospital Worker's Responsibility," will probe ethical, moral, and practical questions as they affect workers and patients. This is to be followed by a series of fifty mini-conferences at as many selected hospitals and nursing homes.

The conferences are being planned by a task representative of 1199 members and hospital representatives, assisted by staffs of the United Hospital Fund, the Hastings Center Institute of Society, Ethics and the Life Sciences, and by the Baruch School of Hospital Administration.

A series of five lecture-discussions exploring "Health Care in the U.S. - What's Ahead?" is taking place at union headquarters this year sponsored by 1199's Professional and Health Care Committees. The first, attended by 125 members, heard Professor Uwe Reinhardt of Princeton University discuss the economics of health care. A second session, dealing with women in health care, was held in May.

Scheduled for 1980 is a two-day conference involving 1199 members, officers of other unions, and prominent figures in the arts and humanities. They will talk about "Labor and the Arts and Humanities."

One of the more ambitious Bread and Roses events, which will involve the entire community, is a Labor Day Street Fair, the first ever organized by a labor union. It's scheduled for Labor Day this year, and will take place on New York's West 42nd Street between Ninth and Eleventh Avenues.

Sharing sponsorship with 1199 will be Manhattan Plaza, located one block west of the Union, and the New York City Central Labor Council. Most of the 1800 tenants of Manhattan Plaza are members of the performing arts guilds.

The street fair will feature the casts of Broadway shows, the Big Apple Circus, the Bread and Puppet Theater, jazz bands, and folk singers. Workers will offer live demonstrations of their skills, using actual machines and tools.

Much of Bread and Roses will be preserved for union and other archives. Videotapes, for example, are being prepared on the following subjects:

- An overview of the entire Bread and Roses project, with special emphasis on the involvement, participation, and reaction of members.
- The patient care conference, from the planning and preparation stages to the union-wide all-day conference and the fifty mini-conferences.
- The Labor Day 1979 Street Fair.
- The 1199 Retirees. Besides oral history interviews, this tape will describe how retirees participate in an atmosphere where they may continue friendships developed during their working days, and discover how the learning process continues after retirement.
A documentary film is being produced, depicting how hospital workers of diverse skills, races, and backgrounds work together to achieve common goals. The film, incorporating many Bread and Roses activities and programs, is being produced and directed by John Schultz.

Two LP recordings will help preserve Bread and Roses for the archives. One, based on the program of dramatic readings by Ossie Davis and Ruby Dee, will include poems about hospital workers by 1199's Marshall Dubin. A recording will be produced next year based on selections from literature, poetry, speeches, and oral history interviews describing the efforts of American workers to win "Bread and Roses." A cast of Broadway actors and actresses will participate.

Essays by artists and scholars about the arts and humanities as they relate to working people are appearing monthly in 1199 News. The essays will be reprinted as a Bread and Roses history under the title, A Primer for Labor in the Arts and Humanities.

A theme Bread and Roses poster, designed by artist Paul Davis, was featured earlier this year in most New York City subway stations and in New York City public schools. Artist Milton Glaser is designing the Labor Day Street Fair poster.

Bread and Roses challenges the idea that culture must be elitist, alien to working people, and it does so not only with the support of governmental agencies but also with help from private organizations, including corporations. This project has established a pattern that leads the way for other labor unions to bring both bread and roses to their memberships.

It also opens up new horizons for artists by giving them the opportunity to practice their art in the area and among the people where it is most needed, and most appreciated. As one performer commented, quoting the lyricist Ira Gershwin, "Who could ask for anything more?"


31. BREAD AND ROSES UNION BRINGS CULTURAL EVENTS TO MEMBERS

By Kay Bartlett

Content removed at rightsholder's request.
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explains Foner, downplaying his role in making this project work. He prefers to point to the union's reputation as an aggressive organizer and its strong alignment with liberal and civil rights organizations. That has provided access to many performers who identify with these causes. Jane Fonda, Davis and his wife Ruby Dee, Muhammad Ali, former U.N. Ambassador Andrew Young, and folksinger Odetta are among its many friends.

District 1199, whose membership is about 65 percent black and Hispanic and nearly 85 percent female, has a history of fighting for everything it has gained — including the right for hospital workers to organize. Foner cites this as another big factor for the support these activities have received from the rank and file, many of whom had never been to Lincoln Center before 1199 brought them Harry Belafonte.

The union began in 1932 and was called the Pharmacists Union, representing only pharmacists and drug store clerks. In 1936, the fledgling organization with some 500 members joined the American Federation of Labor and acquired the name it keeps today — Local 1199. It later broke with the AFL and joined the Congress of Industrial Organizations.

Today it is part of the Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union, AFL-CIO.

It was not until 1959 when a handful of orderlies from Montefiore Hospital in the Bronx asked for help in organizing that 1199 moved into the health care field, now the bulk of its membership.

No other union wanted to get involved. A strike was inevitable, a strike any union could see would drain its funds and give it a black eye. "We went in where angels feared to tread," Foner said at the time. For bread.

Now it's the roses turn.


32. IMAGES OF LABOR (GALLERY 1199)

By Cynthia Nadelman

When the executive secretary of District 1199 of the National Union of Hospital and Health Care Employees and a former art director of The New York Times op-ed page (the person who assigns the illustrations) get together to match 32 artists with that many labor-related phrases and quotations, the results are bound to be engrossing. This is what Moe Foner, also the director of District 1199's Bread and Roses cultural program, and Pamela Vassil have done. Given some strong and eloquent phrases, which are seen alongside the art, the artists have opted to be thoughtful and resourceful rather than shrill. The notion of working around a phrase has become a challenging exercise, directing the work and instilling a certain humility. We are once again able to accept work with a subject, a theme or a program— and we are, not incidentally, also looking at 19th-century Realism, with its depictions of working classes and working conditions, without the acute embarrassment that this would have caused during the era of high modernism. While the 19th-century movement was something short of an active crusade, one might feel that anything produced now would have to be historical and definitely post-crusade. Sponsored by a union filled with women and minorities, though, the themes presented here are not passe and could currently use retelling.

While most of the work is two-dimensional, the sculptures stand out. Robert Arneson's ceramic mask of Samuel Gompers, first president of the American Federation of Labor, is a knockout, more serious than his customary work; Ed McGowin's mound of coal with a pickax at the top has as its "inscape" (an interior view through various openings) a shoe and a cone marked with the maps of states to accompany labor agitator Mother Jones' remark: "My address is like my shoes; it travels with me. I abide where there is a fight against wrong"; and Bill King shows a funny sculpture of Abraham Lincoln.
What would images of labor be without a strike? Sue Coe has scrawled the word across the bottom of a painting in which workers have just shut off their machines and stand with arms folded. This accompanies an Arkon, Ohio, sit-down striker’s 1936 description of how it felt to shut down the machines in a rubber-tire plant. A statement by Eleanor Roosevelt expressing the need for women to find fulfillment is illustrated by Jacqueline Chwast in a paper cutout showing a weary black woman in silhouette dreaming of a liberated self who reaches for the stars. A handsome collage by Anita Siegel shows a black man’s head brimming with ideas and the trappings of civilization in the machine age: this illustrates Socialist leader Eugene Debs’ statement, "Intelligent discontent is the mainspring of civilization." Audrey Flack shows a striking black and white pseudo-photo realist painting of abolitionist and suffragette Sojourner Truth.

The one disappointing work is that of Ralph Fasanella, only because the smallish painting here does not live up to this folk artist’s earlier exhaustive and triumphant versions of factory life, especially the Lawrence, Massachusetts, textile workers’ strike of 1912. Works by, among others, Jack Beal, May Stevens, Mimi Gross, Barbara Nessim, Robert Grossman, Benny Andrews and Alice Neel also bear mentioning.

After closing in New York on June 5, the exhibition will be on view from July 16 to August 20 at the Smithsonian’s National Museum of American History, under whose auspices it will travel for two more years to museums across the country. All of the images are presented in color in the book *Images of Labor* (Pilgrim Press, $29.95, cloth; $16.95, paper), with a preface by Joan Mondale, an introduction by author Irving Howe and background material on the quotations that are used.

For those who question the mutual significance of unions and artists, it is timely to consider the recent arrival in this country of a Polish poet. After trying unsuccessfully for three years to get to Harvard, where he had been invited to teach, Stanislaw Baranczak, finally here, was quoted in The New York Times as saying, "Only after Solidarity [the Polish independent labor union] was created was it possible for people like me to obtain passports." Unions do affect the overall quality of life and, as 1199 has been demonstrating, they don't live by bread alone.

*Art News*, 80 (June 1981).

33. STRONG 'IMAGES OF LABOR'

By Benjamin Forgey

"You can always measure the level of democracy in any country by the freedom its workers have to organize unions of their own choosing," writes Irving Howe in the catalog for the exhibition "Images of Labor," which opens today at the National Museum of American History.

An obvious parallel measurement is freedom of expression, which includes not only political advocacy but also the freedom of artists to treat subjects of their own choosing, and in styles and techniques they deem appropriate. The ugly history of totalitarian regimes in our century can be written in the violence done to creative, as well as economic and other freedoms.

Ironically, except for this fundamental parallel, perhaps even because of it, the history of the American labor movement and the history of American art have in large measure gone their separate directions. There was a time, in the 1930s, when the causes of economic justice and artistic expression did dovetail; but in the long run, the alliance foundered upon the built-in stresses between political advocacy and artistic individualism.

The decline of what was called social realism was part of the larger, complex story of the decline of figurative art, generally, in the minds of many of the best and most original artists in the land. But the labor movement played its part, too, either failing to give the issue much thought or
demanding an art that conformed to stringent and explicit social goals.

The intrinsic worthiness of the goals is not the point. There is no more effective way to diminish or to kill creativity than to require it to adhere to a set of non-artistic standards, whatever they are. Again, the examples of Nazism and Soviet Communism write large the lessons that, in America, were written small.

The bedrock of artistic achievement is the freedom of the individual to choose. Artists can be as blindly conformist as any other social group, but left for long enough to their own devices, they will find new and wonderful ways to express ideas, including those of social justice and solidarity.

This is why the "Images of Labor" exhibition is refreshing. In a very healthy way, it reflects an important transformation in the visual arts that has taken place in the past 20 years or so, a period in which we have seen artists gradually cast away the self-set blinders that decreed the predominance of a given style -- abstract expressionism, say, or color field painting.

The fact is that artists today, especially when given a helpful nudge, have no difficulty in responding to the call for an imagery that richly, if sometimes ironically, expresses important social ideas. The nudge, in this case, was supplied by the Bread and Roses cultural project of District 1199, National Union of Hospital and Health Care employees, which commissioned works from 32 contemporary artists.

Wisely, the union established only one important condition: The images were to relate in some way to a specific quotation culled from the troubled and triumphant history of labor unions. Admittedly, in a few cases, the result is a somewhat bizarre friction between the verbal and visual messages, as when Ralph Fasanella paints a tribute to the cacophony of urban life alongside Phillip Murray's dire description: "Disease, sickness and poverty were rampant, and death stalked in the wake of every worker's family. ..."

Still, on its own, Fasanella's painting is a celebration of working-class life -- the flip side, in a way, of Murray's impassioned sympathy.

Most of the artists chose either to illustrate the quotation, more or less directly, or to invoke its spirit in an imaginative and stylistically freer way. A number of the social-protest illustrations are brilliant: Ed Sorel's haunting, corrosive pen-and-ink drawing to go with a railroad mogul's cruel epigram, "They don't suffer; they can't even speak English," as well as works by Mimi Gross, Jack Beal, Milton Glaser and Benny Andrews. Gross' work is especially inventive, a tableau whose hominess heightens the terror of Walter Reuther's question, "What good is another $100 pension if the world goes up in atomic smoke?"

Ed McGowin's free-standing "inscape," a coal-black pyramid containing a tableau based upon the life of the itinerant labor activist Mother Jones -- "My address is wherever there is a fight against oppression" -- is the most forcefully original of the commissioned works (although, unfortunately, it will not go on view until some minor damage is repaired). Also outstanding are Miriam Wosk's wall construction and Anton van Dalen's hip and scary painting of a skeletal figure (based upon John L. Lewis' zinger, "The open shop is a harlot with a wig and artificial limbs, and her bones rattle").

Four of the artists chose simply to record the race of the person who supplied the words, and in each case produced a tremendously persuasive, if stylistically divergent, reading of a personality: Alice Neel's expressively blue portrait of Frances Perkins, Audrey Flack's photo-based painting of Sojourner Truth, May Stevens' lyrical and tough painting of Lucy E. Parsons and most mightily of all, Robert Arneson's ceramic version of Samuel Gompers, clearly one of the more important portrait busts created in our time.

Due to the enlightenment of its organizers and the talent of the artists they chose, the exhibition does indeed help us to recognize, as Howe puts it, "the centrality of the American working class ... in our cultural arrangements and our cultural experience." Supported by a grant from the National Endowment for the Humanities, the exhibition is on a two-year tour organized by the Smithsonian Institution Traveling Exhibition Service. Its appearance in Washington through Aug. 21 will be accompanied by concerts and film programs.

34. "TAKE CARE, TAKE CARE"
A New Musical Revue About Hospital Workers
Music, Lyrics and Sketches by
Lewis Cole, Ossie Davis, Micki Grant
Barbara Garson, Alan Menken and Michael Posnick

CAST
(In Alphabetical Order)

David Berman (yellow shirt) ..............Ambulance Driver, Doctor, Lecturer
Ann Duquesnay (purple shirt) ............Nurse's Aide from Barbados, Delegate, Housekeeper
Jack Landron (blue shirt) ..................Pot Washer, Spanish Patient, Soap Opera Doctor
Barbara Niles (green shirt) ...............Lab-technician from Kansas, Patient, Social Worker
Corliss Taylor-Dunn (orange shirt) ...........Nurse, Burnout Nurse
With Billy McDaniel, Carline Ray and Ralph Dorsey

The performance will consist of a selection from the following numbers

Opening: Take Care, Take Care Song by Alan Menken;
Dialogue by Lewis Cole .........................The Company
Back Home Monologue: Pot Washer by Lewis Cole .........................Jack
Soap Opera (skit) by Barbara Garson .........................The Company
(song) by Alan Menken and Barbara Garson .................Corliss and Ann
Back Home Monologue: Lab-technician by Lewis Cole .................Barbara
Save the Race by Ossie Davis .........................Ann and Company
Back Home Monologue: Ambulance Driver by Lewis Cole .................David
Medical Abbreviations by Alan Menken .........................The Company
Back Home Monologue: Nurse’s Aide by Lewis Cole .................Ann
Back Home by Micki Grant .........................Corliss and Company
Reaganitis by Barbara Garson .........................The Company
Hello! Florence Nightingale (Linda’s Song) Music by Alan Menken;
Lyrics by Lewis Cole .........................Barbara and Corliss
Burnout by Micki Grant; Dialogue by Lewis Cole .................The Company
Hands by Michael Posnick .........................The Company

PRODUCTION STAFF

Arranger and Orchestrator .........................Howard Roberts
Conductor/Pianist ..................................William Foster McDaniel
Stage Manager .....................................Stephen Howe
Assistant Stage Manager .........................Peter Brosius
Publicist ..........................................Matthew Alperin

Although Ossie Davis, Micki Grant, Lewis Cole, Alan Menken, Barbara Garson and Michael Posnick are given credit for the lyrics and sketches, the indispensable creators of "Take Care, Take Care" are a group of 17 hospital workers including housekeepers, social workers, technicians, nurses, maintenance and dietary workers. These workers, members of District 1199, participated in a series of oral history workshops talking and writing about their lives. Their discussions and writings are the foundation of "Take Care, Take Care."

District 1199 Archives.

35. UNITED WE LAUGH

By Barbara Garson

Fifty dietitians, lab technicians, nurses aides and orderlies met weekly last year to make notes on their lives; the results were turned over to
professional writers who created a popping musical revue for the hospital workers' union, District 1199. *Take Care*, now touring hospitals at lunch hours, is a series of skits and spiffy songs in soul, calypso, salsa, and plain white musical-comedy American (to match the union's membership). The response from unprepared hospital workers reminded me of myself, accidentally encountering the Mime Troupe in a San Francisco park—"My God! Real actors saying our words, right in the middle of the street!"

The revue includes some numbers you'd expect in any union show (if there were any other union shows). For instance, "Young People Today," a sarcastic song about the good old days before the union. It also includes things you'd never expect. At one quiet moment the black piano player becomes a hospital porter. He explains why he always seeks night shifts and overtime. "No, not for the money like everyone guesses," but because "I can't sleep." He had been a paramedic in Nam. He thought he'd grown "cold to it all." But suddenly, 10 years later, he can't close his eyes, at least not at night, not in the dark. So he spends those hours checking monitors, soothing patients, finding pillows. "I got a mind for little things."

Women's consciousness is expressed by talking together about getting out in the morning, not having the energy to smile at the kids' surprise rainbow cake, and finding no sympathy from women supervisors. "They get promoted and then it's like we're talking a different language." Feminist understanding here is far more subtle than you'd expect from most unions and far more substantial than you'd get from most feminists.

The skits and musical numbers are held together by five "characters," representative hospital workers embellished or streamlined from the original 50 workshop participants: Mildred, fiftyish West Indian dietitian; Gloria, black admitting clerk; Eileen, pretty Irish RN, twenties; Mac, Midwest lab technician; Rosita, Hispanic occupational therapist. Though the union and the writers are committed to the individuality of these workers, the five characterizations waver from skit to skit and their best speeches often get lost in the staging, which is uniformly fast-paced and concentrates on gimmicks rather than what's happening between people.

Only by listening hard the second time around (at each hospital the show is performed for both lunch hour shifts) did I glean that Gloria, 40, divorced and a union delegate, is supposed to be a no-nonsense character who makes the hospital run by standing up the bosses, while bouncy Rosita cajoles the doctors into doing their jobs. Implied in both their techniques, of course, is the idea that it's the union members who make the hospital function—when it does. Mac, the white lab technician is teased, as a ladies' man, though he actually longs to be accepted in the girls' lives. These and other potentially interesting qualities are suggested fleetingly and with little consistency from scene to scene.

Some "individual" traits might be considered out and out racist slurs if we saw them on television, like Rosita's superstitious gambling—"Play your birthday, play your address, play your pension plan." And Mildred, the round, maternal, West Indian cafeteria worker, is really not too different from Beulah: She has the strength to feed the whole world, absorb its sorrow into her bulk, and still sing a rousing chorus of "Lookin' Good."

Clearly no one at 1199 was offended. Maybe the characters are stereotypes, but this isn't television. As people in the audience told me, "It's the union." "It's us." "That makes it different." Personally I accept this double standard. "Us" does make it different. Writing for insiders, for people you really like, allows you to work through, above, around, and under the stereotypes until you come up with truer truths. Writing for people you like also inspires a healthy desire to entertain. That's the most obvious feature of Take Care.

Another product of writing for "us" is in-jokes. Critics generally consider in-jokes, at least the ones they don't get, to be unintelligent, illegitimate, cheap laughs. (The kind of cheap laughs Aristophanes went for with a Spartan walk-on). But good theatre is always written for a particular audience. People from groups that normally produce writers are used to finding their private jokes and insights in plays. Others almost never do. What a wave of shock, surprise, and finally wild joy sweeps over the 1199 audience when one of their own special words is heard on the stage for the first time! Whether you get it or not, who can deny the howls when anyone says, "Take it to the delegate?!"
1199 cultural officials deserve extraordinary credit. *Take Care* contains not one single call to "build the union." Someone up there understood that laughing together automatically builds the union.


36. UNION MUSICAL TO PREMIERE AT BORO HOSPITAL

By Mark Liff
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37. HOSPITAL REVUE HITS 'HOME' FOR EMPLOYEES

By Mark Finston
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"It would have to be broadened to include doctors and administrators," says Gillotte. "But that leaves most of us out..." And patients," concludes Gillotte.


38. A REVUE THAT'S GOOD MEDICINE
By Lucinda Fleeson

Not in the gauze-filtered world of TV's "General Hospital" would the starring roles be given to hospital housekeepers who moan about the drudgery of their jobs or the inconsiderateness of doctors.

But in the musical revue, Take Care, Take Care, produced by the national hospital workers' union, it is those anonymous dietary aides and orderlies who are on center stage, talking about the hard realities of hospital work, and mixing it with a spectacular combination of humor, rock music, blues singing and dancing.

The revue, which is making an 11-week national tour, is part of the union's highly praised Bread and Roses cultural project, and was created to bring culture and the arts directly to union members. "This is for the people who can't afford $50 to see a Broadway show. This is their history," said Corliss Taylor-Dunn, one of the five professional actors and actresses in the cast.

Although usually the revue is staged during lunch hours at hospitals and nursing homes around the county, on Tuesday night it was performed for more than 150 delegates and shop stewards of District 1199C, Hospital and Nursing Home Employees Union, in Philadelphia headquarters at 1319 Locust St. The show was so enthusiastically received that it was interrupted with clapping and laughs of recognition as the play captured the joys and sometimes the boredom of health-care work.

The dialogue is culled from taped autobiographical workshop sessions with members of the National Union of Hospital and Health Care Employees. Characters range from the pot scrubber who really wants to be a poet to the lab technician who fled from Kansas to seek a career in the Big City and the Vietnam veteran who thrills to his job as an ambulance driver. But the skits also include biting commentary on racial prejudice and on the pathos of workers who try to deliver care to an endless stream of patients while hospital budgets are shrinking.

A Ronald Reagan figure appears in a coal-black rubber wig seeking emergency room care because his hand is stuck in a jellybean jar. The President sheepishly explains, "The black ones are always at the bottom," to which a nurse's aide snaps, "Don't you know it, sugar."

With a nearly nonexistent set, and costumes that consist mostly of hospital whites, the five-member cast and three musicians have taken hard-core reality and made something of it that is not only entertaining, but also has a strain of astute commentary on the politics of working-class America.

Moe Foner, executive producer of the show (and also executive secretary of the national union), says the revue -- which premiered two years ago in a shorter version -- is the first musical produced by a labor union since the famed Pins and Needles by the International Ladies' Garment Workers Union hit Broadway in the 1930s. Using the technique that spawned A Chorus Line, the authors held workshops in New York with 17 health and hospital workers, who talked about their frustrations, aspirations and the daily hassles of modern health-care work. The result was a rich oral history that formed the lyrics and dialogue of the songs and skits. "There's not a word in it that didn't come out of the mouths of the workers themselves," said Foner.

It is those 17 workers -- aides, dieticians, clerks, nurses and other workers -- who are identified as the "indispensable creators" of the revue,
although the official credit for lyrics and sketches goes to some of the union's more famous friends in the theater — Ossie Davis, Micki Grant, Alan Menken, Barbara Garson and Lewis Cole. Micki Grant, for instance, is author of Your Arms Too Short to Box With God.

Interspersed with the autobiographies and rousing union solidarity songs, there are other sketches, such as "Soap Opera," in which hospital aides and nurses fantasize about working at General Hospital, where the doctors thank the housekeepers for doing a good job and deliver flowers to the nurses.

In the song "Florence Nightingale," the nurses beseech their inspiration, "Did your back ever ache?" Actress Ann Duquesnay, as a nurse's aide, reminisces about being a child bride in Barbados. About her job, she says, "They used to call us maids, but we got them to drop the 'M'."

The five actors — all Actor's Equity, naturally — say they find the experience of playing to union members to be uplifting. Taylor-Dunn, formerly of Bubbling Brown Sugar, gives a particularly strong performance in one skit as a nurse suffering from "burnout," and says she based the character on her mother, a non-union nurse in Cleveland. "My mother died of burnout, so I can relate," she said just before Tuesday's show.

And the audience certainly relates. Emma Thomas, a dietary worker of the Philadelphia Geriatric Center, said, "I liked the part about the pot washer," referring to the worker who moaned, "I'm not going to be a pot washer all my life; I'm a man of sophistication."

Her co-worker, a housekeeper, seconded the appraisal. "It put it like it is, You take what you get until the right job comes along."

The show's one problem, Foner acknowledges, is that the audiences are primarily restricted to hospital patients, workers and union members. But that problem may be resolved, he says. Although he cautions that "nothing is definite," the union is discussing the possibility of opening Off-Broadway.

That's one possibility that Philadelphia should hope comes true, because, unfortunately, Tuesday night's performance was the city's one and only appearance of Take Care, Take Care.

Philadelphia Inquirer, April 15, 1982.
Whitney M. Young, Jr. (1921-1971) was a graduate of Kentucky State College and earned a masters degree from the University of Minnesota in 1947. He spent his professional career with the National Urban League and served as executive director from 1961 to 1971.

Jacob K. Javits (b. 1904) received a law degree from New York University in 1927. From 1946 to 1954 he was a U.S. representative from New York, and from 1955 to 1957 attorney general of New York. He was elected to the U.S. Senate from New York in 1957, and served until 1980.

Joseph S. Clark (b. 1901) graduated from Harvard University in 1923, and the University of Pennsylvania Law School in 1926. He commenced the practice of law in Philadelphia, and in 1952 was elected mayor of the city until 1956 when he became a Democratic senator from Pennsylvania. He held that position until 1969 when he was unsuccessful in his bid for re-election.

Lyndon B. Johnson (1908-1973) graduated from Southwest State Teachers College in 1930. After teaching in the public schools of Houston, Texas, he was elected to the U.S. House of Representatives (1937-1949), and then to the U.S. Senate (1953-61). He was elected vice president in 1961, and upon the assassination of President John F. Kennedy in 1963, Johnson became the thirty-sixth President. As president, Johnson secured passage of the 1964 Civil Rights Bill, and initiated the Great Society programs of the sixties. His term was marred by the unsuccessful war in Vietnam.

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination in employment because of race, religion, sex, or national origin.

Executive Order 11246 requires government contractors to follow nondiscriminatory employment practices and to take "affirmative action" to ensure that job applicants and employees are not discriminated against on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin.

Vernon E. Jordan, Jr. (b. 1935) graduated from DePaul University and then Howard Law School in 1960. Jordan practiced law, and served in various civil rights capacities including director of the Voter Education Project of the Southern Regional Council (1964-1968), and executive director of the United Negro College Fund (1970-1971), before heading the National Urban League from 1972 to 1981. Following an attempted assassination by a deranged white supremist, Jordan resigned to practice law in Washington, D.C.

James D. Hodgson (b. 1915) graduated from the University of Minnesota in 1938, and did post-graduate work at the same institution and UCLA. He held several positions in industrial relations before being appointed undersecretary of labor in the cabinet of President Richard Nixon in 1969, and then secretary of labor in 1970.

Maynard H. Jackson, Jr. (b. 1938) graduated from Morehouse College in 1956, and received a J.D. degree from North Carolina Central School of Law in 1959. He founded a law firm in Atlanta, Ga., and became vice mayor of the city, before being elected mayor of Atlanta, one of a growing number of Afro-American city mayors.

Andrew Young (b. 1932) graduated from Howard University, and received a B.Div. from Hartford Theological Seminary and became a pastor in Alabama and Georgia. He became a close advisor of Martin Luther King in the civil rights movement, and became executive vice president of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference. Young was elected to the U.S. House of Representatives from Atlanta, Ga. from 1973 to 1977, and was appointed U.S. ambassador to the United Nations from 1977-1979. After leaving that post he was elected mayor of Atlanta.
Walter G. Davis (b. 1920), an Afro-American, graduated from Columbia University in 1956, and attended law school for two years. From 1952 to 1958 he served as president of Local 290, United Transportation Service Employees, C.I.O. He became a national organizer for the UTSE in 1958, but left that post to become assistant director of the AFL-CIO Civil Rights Department (1961-1965). In 1966 he became director of the AFL-CIO Department of Education, and served on the board of directors of the A. Philip Randolph Institute.

John R. Lewis (b. 1940) received a B.A. from the American Baptist Theological Seminary in 1961, and a B.A. from Fisk University in 1967. A leading figure in the civil rights movement, Lewis served as chairman of the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee (1963-1966), and has served in numerous public interest efforts. An Afro-American, he has been director of the Voter Education Project since 1970.

William Willard Wirtz (b. 1912) received a B.A. from Beloit College in 1933, and an LL.B. from Harvard University in 1937. He served as a professor of law from 1937 to 1942. In 1946 he became chairman of the National Wage Stabilization Board, but returned to the Northwestern University School of Law from 1946 to 1954. He advised Adlai Stevenson in his bid for the presidency in 1952, then joined his law firm. From 1962 to 1969 he served as secretary of labor under Presidents Kennedy and Johnson.

Eleanor Holmes Norton (b. 1938) graduated from Antioch College, and received a M.A. (1963) and a J.D. (1964) from Yale University. From 1970 to 1976 she was New York commissioner of Human Rights, and in 1977 was appointed chairperson of the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

William Brennan (b. 1906) received his law degree from Harvard Law School in 1931, and practiced law in Newark, N.J., until 1949 when he became a judge. He served as a justice of the New Jersey Supreme Court from 1952 to 1956 when he was appointed justice of the U.S. Supreme Court. He has consistently sided with liberals in cases involving civil rights.

Warren E. Burger (b. 1907) received his law degree from St. Paul College of Law in 1931, and practiced law in Minnesota until 1953. He served as assistant attorney general from 1953 to 1956 when he was appointed judge of the U.S. Court of Appeals in Washington, D.C. In 1969 President Nixon appointed him Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court. Burger is an advocate of judicial restraint in social reform cases.

William H. Rehnquist (b. 1924) graduated from Stanford University, and then Stanford Law School in 1952. He practiced law in Phoenix, Arizona, until 1969 when he was appointed assistant attorney general. Rehnquist held that post until 1972 when he was appointed justice of the U.S. Supreme Court by President Richard Nixon. His nomination was opposed by civil rights groups because he holds a limited view of the equal rights clause.

Lewis F. Powell, Jr. (b. 1907) graduated from Washington and Lee University, and received his law degree there in 1931. A specialist in securities law, he practiced in Richmond, Virginia, served in numerous public and professional capacities, and was appointed justice of the U.S. Supreme Court in 1972. He has consistently favored judicial restraint in cases involving social reform.

John Paul Stevens (b. 1920) graduated from the University of Chicago, and received a law degree from Northwestern University Law School in 1947. An authority on anti-trust law, he was appointed judge of the U.S. Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals (1970-1975), and then justice of the U.S. Supreme Court in 1975. An independent, he has sided with both liberals and conservatives on various civil rights cases.


In the case of University of California v. Bakke (1978) the U.S. Supreme Court held that even though universities may consider race as a factor in evaluating candidates for admission, universities may not
establish fixed racial quotas. The case arose when the medical school of the University of California at Davis rejected Allan Bakke's application while admitting members of racial minorities who had lower test scores.

14 For background on Emanuel Celler (D-N.Y.), see Vol. VI, note 52.

15 Hubert H. Humphrey, Jr. (1911-1978) graduated from the University of Minnesota in 1939, and earned a Masters Degree from Louisiana State University in 1940. From 1945 to 1948 he served as mayor of Minneapolis, and was elected U.S. Senator from Minnesota from 1948 to 1964, and 1971 to 1978. He was elected to one term of vice president in 1964, and was the unsuccessful presidential nominee of the Democratic Party in 1968. Humphrey was considered a strong defender of civil rights, and a friend of organized labor.

16 Thomas H. Kuchel (b. 1910), a graduate of the University of Southern California, and U.S.C. law school in 1935, held several state offices in California before his election as a Republican to the U.S. Senate from 1953 to 1969. Kuchel took a liberal position on civil rights.

17 Leverett Saltonstall (1892-1979), a graduate of Harvard University, and Harvard Law School in 1917, served in several state posts prior to his election as governor of Massachusetts on the Republican ticket (1938-1944). From 1944 to 1967 he served as U.S. senator from Massachusetts and voted as a moderate liberal on civil rights issues.

18 Ronald Reagan (b. 1911) graduated from Eureka College in 1932, and became a sports announcer and actor. From 1947 to 1952 he was president of the Screen Actors Guild. A Republican, he served as governor of California from 1967 to 1974, and in 1980 was elected president of the United States.

19 John F. Kennedy (1917-1963), a graduate of Harvard University, served as a representative in the U.S. House from Massachusetts from 1947 to 1953. He was elected to the U.S. Senate and served from 1953 to 1960, when he became a successful candidate for U.S. president. His administration was committed to the disestablishment of racial segregation.

20 For background on President Richard M. Nixon, see Vol. VII, note 227. Gerald R. Ford, Jr. (b. 1913) graduated from the University of Michigan, and received a law degree from Yale University in 1941. He practiced law in Grand Rapids, Michigan, until 1949 when he was elected to the U.S. House. He served in the House until 1973 when he became vice president. Upon the resignation of Richard Nixon in 1974, Ford became president of the United States, serving out the remainder of Nixon's term. He failed to be elected to his own full term.

21 James Earl Carter, Jr. (b. 1924) graduated from the U.S. Naval Academy in 1947. He was elected to the Georgia State Senate from 1963 to 1967, and then governor of Georgia from 1971 to 1975. In 1977 he successfully fought for the Democratic nomination for the presidency, and defeated Gerald Ford for that office.

22 David Stockman, a one-time congressman from Michigan, became President Ronald Reagan's director of the Office of Management and Budget. The reference to "supply-siders" relates to the notion that the economy will be better stimulated from the supply, rather than the demand, side.

23 For background on President Herbert Hoover, see Vol. VI, note 51.

24 For background on Frank R. Crosswaith, see Vol. VI, note 135.

25 For background on Roy Wilkins, see Vol. VII, note 5.

26 For background on President Dwight D. Eisenhower, see Vol. VII, note 205.
27 For background on the Ku Klux Klan, see Vol. II, pp. 183-239.

28 For background on Joseph E. Curran, see Vol. VII, note 63.


Thurgood Marshall (b. 1908) graduated from Lincoln University and Howard Law School in 1933. As chief counsel of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Marshall argued numerous important civil rights cases before the U.S. Supreme Court. He was appointed judge of the U.S. Court of Appeals Second Circuit (1961-1965), and U.S. solicitor general (1965-1967) before taking a seat on the U.S. Supreme Court.

30 For background on President Harry S. Truman, see Vol. VII, note 181. Boris Shishkin was an economist and legislative consultant of the AFL, and then the AFL-CIO.

"Operation Dixie" was launched in 1946 by the CIO to organize southern industries.

32 George Leon-Paul Weaver (b. 1912), an Afro-American, attended Roosevelt University and Howard University Law School during World War II. He was a member of the CIO Relief Commission in 1941-1942, and was appointed assistant to the secretary-treasurer of the CIO from 1942 to 1955. From 1955 to 1958 he served as executive secretary of the AFL-CIO. In 1961 he served as a special assistant to the U.S. secretary of labor, and as assistant secretary of labor for international affairs in 1969. That year he became special assistant to the director-general of the International Labor Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. See also, Vol. VII, pp. 374-75.

33 For background on Milton P. Webster, see Vol. VI, note 44.

34 For background on Chandler Owen, see Vol. V, note 110.

35 For the March on Washington Movement referred to here, see Vol. VII, pp. 251-62.

36 Anna Eleanor Roosevelt (1884-1962) married President Franklin Roosevelt in 1905, and was First Lady from 1933 to 1945. A champion of the underprivileged and minorities, she distinguished herself in their service, was a representative to the United Nations General Assembly in 1945, 1949-1952, and 1961-62, and served as chairperson of the Commission on Human Rights.

37 For background on David J. McDonald, see Vol. VII, note 47.

38 For background on Charles S. Zimmerman, see Vol. VII, note 204.

39 For background on Walter P. Reuther, see Vol. VII, note 190.

40 For background on Samuel Gompers, see Vols. IV and V.

41 For background on Arthur J. Goldberg, see Vol. VII, note 195.

42 Martin Luther King, Jr. (1929-1968) graduated from Morehouse College in 1948, Crozier Theological Seminary in 1951, and received a Ph.D. from Boston University in 1955. As pastor of the Dexter Avenue Baptist Church in Montgomery, Alabama, he came to national prominence by leading the successful Montgomery Bus Boycott of 1955-1956. Founder of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, King played the leading role in the struggle to desegregate southern institutions. In 1964 he was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize for his work, but was assassinated by a white racist in 1968.
NAM refers to the National Association of Manufacturers, a conservative business organization.

Charles A. Hayes (b. 1918), a member of the Amalgamated Meat Cutters and Butcher Workmen, became vice president of that organization in 1968. An Afro-American, Hayes also served as director of District 12, MCBW, as director of District 1, United Packinghouse, Food and Allied Workers of America, from 1954 to 1968, and as vice president of Operation Push in 1972.

For background on George M. Harrison, see Vol. VII, note 184.


Frank W. Schnitzler (b. 1904) of Newark, N.J., a member of the Bakery and Confectionery Workers International Union, became secretary-treasurer of the AFL-CIO from 1953 to 1969, when he retired with emeritus status.

Eugene Frazier (b. 1905) moved from his birthplace of Birmingham, Alabama, to Chicago, Illinois, and became vice president of the United Transport Service Employees, CIO.

Lee W. Minton (b. 1911), a member of the Glass Bottle Blowers Association, was elected to its executive board in 1938, became union treasurer in 1945, vice president in 1946, and from 1946 to 1971 he served as president of the GBBA. In 1956 Minton became AFL-CIO vice president and member of the executive council.

Richard F. Walsh (b. 1900) of Brooklyn, New York, was elected president of Local 4, International Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employees and Moving Picture Operators from 1924 to 1937. In 1934 he became a vice president of the union, and in 1941 was elected its president. Walsh became an AFL-CIO vice president and executive council member in 1955.

Albert J. Hayes (b. 1900) of Milwaukee, Wisconsin, rose through the ranks of the International Association of Machinists to become president in 1949, a position he held until 1965 when he retired. As president he led the IAM back into the AFL, and served as AFL vice president and executive council member.

Ralph Helstein (b. 1908) graduated from the University of Minnesota Law School in 1934, and served as general counsel for the United Packinghouse Workers of America before becoming president in 1946. He also served as an AFL-CIO vice president and executive council member. When the Amalgamated Meat Cutters and Butcher Workmen absorbed the UPWA in 1968, Helstein became president of the union for one term before he retired in 1969.

Joseph D. Keenan (b. 1896) of Chicago, Illinois, was a member of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, and rose through the ranks to become IBEW secretary in 1955. Considered a premier labor politician, Keenan also served as secretary of the Chicago Federation of Labor, director of Labor's League for Political Education (AFL), and was elected secretary-treasurer of the AFL Building and Construction Trades Department, 1951-1954.

Emil Mazey (b. 1913), an international representative for the United Automobile, Aircraft, and Agricultural Implement Workers, organized Briggs Local 212 in 1937 and served as local president until 1941. He transferred his loyalties to the United Automobile Workers, however, and became a co-director of UAW Region 1, a member of the UAW executive board, UAW secretary-treasurer, and acting president in 1948.

James A. Suffridge (b. 1909) was chief executive officer of an Oakland, California, local of the Retail Clerks International Protective Association, before becoming president of the state RCIA, and international president in 1944. Suffridge also served as an AFL-CIO vice president, and as vice president of the Union Label Department before he retired in 1968.

David Sullivan (1904-1976) immigrated to the United States from Ireland in 1925, and settled in New York City. One of the founders of New York Local 32B of the Building Service Employees International Union in 1934,
Sullivan served in various local posts, became international vice-president of the BSEIU in 1941, and international president from 1960 to 1971 when he retired. He also served as an AFL-CIO vice president and executive council member.

The Taft-Hartley Act was passed June 23, 1947. Also known as the Labor-Management Relations Act, it reflected the anti-labor sentiment of the post-war period. The Act passed over the veto of President Truman. It regulated the following labor activities: 1) banned the closed shop; 2) allowed employers to sue unions for broken contracts and damages during strikes; 3) established the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service and required employers to submit a 60 day notice for termination of contract; 4) authorized the U.S. Government to obtain injunctions imposing a cooling off period of 80 days on any strike imperiling the national health or safety; 5) required unions to make public their financial statements; 6) forbade union contributions to political campaigns; 7) ended the check-off system for union dues; 8) required union leaders to take an oath that they were not communists.

The Landrum-Griffin Act was passed September 14, 1959. Also known as the Labor Management Reporting and Disclosure Act, it was designed to eliminate gangsterism, racketeering, and blackmail in labor organizations. In addition it included the following provisions: 1) contained a Bill of Rights for union members which provided for freedom of speech and assembly; 2) guaranteed union members the right to elect their officials; 3) revised the ban on secondary boycotts under the Taft-Hartley Act to prohibit unions from inducing an employer or employee to stop doing business with another firm or handling its goods and to extend the secondary boycott prohibitions to all unions.

Cleveland L. Robinson (b. 1914) was born in Jamaica, and after immigrating to the U.S., became secretary-treasurer of District 65, United Auto Workers of New York and New Jersey. He is one of the founders and a past president of the Distributive Workers of America, a founder and president of the National Negro Labor Council, and a founder and first vice president of the Coalition of Black Trade Unionists. He also served as a labor advisor to Martin Luther King, and was administrative chairman of the 1963 March on Washington.

For background on Matthew Woll, see Vol. VI, note 72. For David Dubinsky, see Vol. VI, note 136. For William Green, see Vol. VI, note 52.

C.O.R.E. is the acronym for Congress of Racial Equality, a civil rights organization founded in 1942 by James Farmer at the University of Chicago. Its tactics included sit-ins and freedom rides. In the late-1960s it changed from an integrationist organization to one of militant self-determination.

James C. Petrillo (b. 1892) of Chicago, Illinois, learned to play the trumpet at Hull House, joined the American Musicians Union, and became its president from 1914 to 1917. Defeated for reelection, he joined the American Federation of Musicians and by 1932 had become a member of the AFM national executive board. In 1940 he was elected president of the AFM, and served as an AFL-CIO vice president from 1958 to 1962.

For background on Clarence M. Mitchell, see Vol. VI, note 186.

Jack T. Conway (b. 1917) graduated from the University of Chicago in 1940. A member of the American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees, he became executive director of the union in 1975. Conway also served as director of the Industrial Union Department, AFL-CIO, as president of Common Cause, and as deputy director of the Office of Equal Opportunity.

Andrew J. Biemiller (1906-1982) graduated from Cornell University in 1926, and served as an organizer for the Wisconsin State Federation of
Labor and the Milwaukee Federation of Trade Councils from 1932 to 1942. In 1936 he was elected to the Wisconsin State Assembly as a Socialist-Progressive, and then won a seat in the U.S. House in 1945-46, and again in 1949-1950. He became the chief lobbyist for the AFL-CIO, the position he held upon retirement in 1978.

Donald Slaiman (b. 1919) of New York graduated from the University of Buffalo, was a member of the Newspaper Guild, and became deputy director of Organizations and Field Service of the AFL-CIO in 1974. He also served as assistant director of the Civil Rights Department from 1964 to 1974.

Jerry Wurf (1919-1981) was an organizer for the New York Hotel and Restaurant Employees before becoming an organizer for the American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees after World War II. He became executive director of AFSCME District Council 37 in 1959, and vice president of the AFL-CIO Industrial Union Department. Wurf served as president of AFSCME from 1964 to 1981.

Wilbur Daniels (b. 1923) graduated from City College of New York, and New York University Law School in 1950. He became executive vice president of the International Ladies' Garment Workers Union in 1973, and served as a member of the board of directors of the New York Urban Coalition, and of the executive committee, New York City Manpower Board from 1970 to 1973.

Murray H. Finley (b. 1922) graduated from the University of Michigan in 1946, and Northwestern Law School in 1949. A member of the Amalgamated Clothing Workers, he became general president of the union in 1972, AFL-CIO vice president in 1973, and served on the board of directors of the A. Philip Randolph Institute.

Arthur F. Burns (b. 1904), an economist born in Austria, taught at Rutgers and Columbia universities, and for many years was associated with the National Bureau of Economic Research. He served as an economic advisor to Presidents Eisenhower and Nixon, and as chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System from 1970 to 1978.

Benjamin L. Hooks (b. 1925) graduated from Howard University in 1944, and took his law degree from DePaul University in 1948. An Afro-American, Hooks practiced law in Memphis, Tennessee, until 1966 when he became county judge. Hooks also became a Baptist pastor in Memphis and Detroit from 1956 to 1964, and 1964 to 1972 respectively. In 1972 he was appointed a member of the Federal Communications Commission (1972-1978), and in 1977 became the executive director of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People.

Proposition 13 was a ballot initiative approved by voters in California in June, 1978 to reduce property taxes about 57%. The measure went into effect July 1, 1978 but was immediately challenged by school districts, educational groups, counties and cities. They argued that the proposition was unconstitutional based on the equal protection clause (inequitable treatment of property owners). The proposition was also challenged on the grounds that it was not restricted to one topic. The California Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of the legislation on September 22, 1978. It was estimated that local governments lost approximately 7 billion dollars in property tax revenue. The proposition was attacked by civil rights groups for its disastrous effect on social welfare programs.

Joseph Lane Kirkland (b. 1922) graduated from the Merchant Marine Academy and worked as a seaman before joining the AFL's research staff in 1948. In 1953 he became director of the AFL Social Security Department, and served as executive assistant to George Meany, president of the AFL-CIO. Kirkland became secretary-treasurer of the AFL-CIO in 1969, and when Meany retired in 1979, became president of the federation.

Thomas R. Donahue graduated from Manhattan College in 1949, and took his law degree from Fordham in 1956. A member of Local 32 B of the
Service Employees International Union, he was appointed assistant secretary for labor management relations, U.S. Department of Labor, from 1967 to 1969. From 1969 to 1973 he served as executive secretary and first vice president of the SEIU, then became executive assistant to the president of the AFL-CIO in 1973.

Frederick D. O'Neal (b. 1905), Afro-American actor and director, co-founder of American Negro Theater (New York 1940) was elected international president of the Associated Actors and Artists of America in 1970, and had served as president of the Actors Equity Association when he became president emeritus in 1973. O'Neal also was an AFL-CIO vice president, and chairman of the AFL-CIO Civil Rights Committee.

Eugene T. ("Bull") Connor was the police commissioner of Birmingham, Alabama, during the spring of 1963 when civil rights demonstrations led to the incarceration of Martin Luther King. A staunch segregationist, Connor turned loose K-9 dogs, fire hoses, and police clubs against the civil rights demonstrators in a fashion which shocked the nation.

For background on Michael J. Quill, see Vol. VII, note 14.

For background on John L. Lewis, see Vol. VI, note 68 and note 126.

Joseph L. Rauh, Jr. (b. 1911) graduated from Harvard University in 1932, and Harvard Law School in 1935. He served as vice chairperson of Americans for Democratic Action, and on the board of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, and as general counsel for the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights. From 1969 to 1972 Rauh acted as general counsel for Miners for Democracy, a reform group within the United Mine Workers of America.

John C. Stennis (b. 1901), a graduate of Mississippi State University, and the University of Virginia Law School in 1928, he immediately entered Mississippi politics. Stennis was elected to the state House of Representatives, became a judge, and was elected U.S. senator from Mississippi in 1947. A Democrat, he strenuously resisted the civil rights measures of the Kennedy and Johnson administrations.

Richard B. Russell (1897-1971) took his law degree from the University of Georgia in 1918 and entered politics. He was a state assemblyman from 1921 to 1930, governor of Georgia from 1930 to 1933, and Democratic U.S. senator from Georgia from 1933 to 1971. Russell was a major foe of civil rights reforms, and led numerous filibusters against such reforms.

Andrew F. Brimmer (b. 1926) graduated from the University of Washington, and received a Ph.D. from Harvard University in 1957. A member of the Board of Governors of the U.S. Federal Reserve System since 1966, Brimmer, an Afro-American, had served in various positions including a professorship at Harvard, and in Business Economic Research at DuPont Company.

For background on Marcus Garvey, see Vol. VI, note 32. For background on W. E. B. Du Bois, see Vol. IV, note 136.


John H. Rousselot (b. 1927), a graduate of Principia College, 1949, was a congressman from California from 1961 to 1963, and from 1970 to 1983. The owner of a public relations firm, Rousselot was an arch-conservative in politics, a member of the John Birch Society, and fought to have another California congressman, Thomas H. Kuchel, removed from office for being too liberal.

Ross R. Barnett (b. 1898) graduated from Mississippi College in 1922, and the University of Mississippi Law School in 1926. He practiced law
in Jackson, Mississippi, and made two unsuccessful bids for governor before winning the office in 1959. An adamant segregationist, he forcefully resisted desegregation, perhaps attracting the most attention in 1962 for his role in attempting to prevent James Meredith from becoming the first Afro-American to enroll in the University of Mississippi.

James O. Eastland (b. 1904) was educated at the University of Mississippi (1922-1924), Vanderbilt University (1925-1926), and the University of Alabama (1926-1927). He entered the practice of law in Mississippi, and was elected to the state House of Representatives from 1928 to 1932. In 1941 he was appointed to the U.S. Senate to fill an unexpired term, and subsequently won election to the Senate from 1943 to 1978. An arch-opponent of desegregation, Eastland opposed all civil rights reforms, including the acts of 1964, 1965, and 1968.

74 Paul Hall (1914-1980) was one of the founding members of the Seafarers International Union of North America in 1938, became its first vice president in 1948, and president in 1957. He also served as president of the AFL-CIO Maritime Trades Department, and an AFL-CIO vice president in 1962.

75 For background on Adam Clayton Powell, Jr., see Vol. VII, note 36. Luigi Antonini (1883-1968) was born in Italy, immigrated to New York, and founded Local 89 International Ladies' Garment Workers Union in 1919. From 1934 to 1968 he served as vice president of the international. Antonini was active politically. He was a founder of the Liberal Party in New York, served as state chairperson of the American Labor Party, and was president of the Italian-American Labor Council.

76 Herbert Zelenko (b. 1906) graduated from Columbia College in 1926, and Columbia Law School in 1928. He was appointed assistant U.S. attorney in 1933-1934, and elected to the U.S. House of Representatives from New York from 1954 to 1962.

77 Louis D. Brandeis (1856-1941) received his law degree from Harvard University in 1878 and conducted private practice in Boston until 1916, when President Woodrow Wilson appointed him to the U.S. Supreme Court. As a justice from 1916 to 1939, Brandeis was aligned with Justices Holmes and Cardozo in supporting social reforms.

78 Douglas A. Fraser (b. 1916) immigrated to the United States from Scotland. In 1943 he was elected president of Local 227, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America. He rose through the ranks of the union as administrative assistant to UAW president Walter Reuther, co-director of UAW Region 1-A in 1959, vice president in 1970, and UAW president from 1977 to 1983. As president of his union, Fraser was a member of the AFL executive council.

79 The John Birch Society is an ultraconservative organization founded in 1958 by Robert Welch, Jr., a retired businessman. The society has actively campaigned for U.S. withdrawal from the United Nations, repeal of social security and the income tax, and withdrawal of recognition of the Soviet Union, among other right-wing causes.

80 Rosa Parks (b. 1913) attended Alabama State College, and became active in the Montgomery NAACP. Her refusal to give up her seat on a Montgomery city bus to a white passenger triggered her arrest, and the Montgomery Bus Boycott which resulted in the desegregation of city buses in 1956. For her action she has come to be known as "the Mother of the Modern Civil Rights Movement."

81 For background on Joseph R. McCarthy, see Vol. VII, note 206.

82 SNCC is the acronym for Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee, a civil rights organization founded in 1960. It was particularly effective
in desegregating lunch counters in the South. By 1966, under the leadership of Stokely Carmichael, SNCC changed its orientation from integration to militant self-determination. After 1969 it became virtually defunct.

Malcolm X (1925-1965), born Malcolm Little, served six years in prison from 1946 to 1952. In prison he became a Black Muslim and, after his release, joined the New York Muslim Temple. He soon became the leading minister in the national organization, but resigned in 1964 because of personal and ideological differences between himself and Elijah Muhammad, the leader of the Muslims. Malcolm X adopted a black nationalist and confrontational approach, rather than withdrawal which characterized the Muslims, and Malcolm had great appeal among the black urban masses. He was assassinated on February 21, 1965.

CPUSA refers to the Communist Party of the U.S.A.

The Black Panther Party was organized in October 1966 in Oakland, Ca., by Bobby Seale and Huey Newton. They spearheaded a revolutionary movement which departed from the nonviolent philosophy of the civil rights movement, and appealed to the poor black urban ghetto dweller. Self-defense and self-determination for the black community became their watchwords, and they often referred to themselves as "the children of Malcolm X." The Panthers were Marxists as well, and frequently quoted the works of Mao Tse-Tung, Lenin, and Marx. Numerous confrontations between police and Panthers in the big cities resulted in many of them being jailed or killed.

The Attica prison riot occurred Sept. 9-13, 1971 at New York State's maximum-security facility near Buffalo. The revolt involved 1,000 inmates who seized control of the prison taking 38 guards and employees. On Sept. 13 state troopers and prison guards took the prison by force, killing 29 prisoners and 10 hostages in the process. An investigation criticized the state's methods.

James Forman (b. 1929) graduated from Roosevelt University in 1951, taught school in Chicago, and became executive secretary of the Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee from 1964 to 1968, and director of International Affairs in 1969. He was the author of the "Black Manifesto" calling for reparations to blacks for past wrongs, and wrote The Making of Black Revolutionaries (1972).

For background on Joseph V. Stalin, see Vol. VII, note 201.

Ho Chi Minh (Nguyen That Thanh, 1890-1969), organized the Indochinese Communist Party in 1930, and later the Vietminh. He led a guerrilla war against the Japanese during World War II, and assisted in the liberation of Hanoi in 1945. After the war he became president of the Independent Republic of Vietnam in 1945, but relinquished the title in 1955 when the country was split, and became the leader of North Vietnam. He led the nation through two wars with France and the United States for political reunification. He was regarded as the embodiment of an independent, unified Vietnam.


George C. Wallace (b. 1919) received his law degree from the University of Alabama in 1942, and was appointed assistant attorney general of Alabama in 1946-1947. From 1947 to 1953 he served in the state legislature, and as a state judge from 1953 to 1958. Wallace was elected

91 Cyril Lionel Robert James (c. 1901), a prominent West Indian scholar active in the Pan-African movement, and the struggle to decolonize the West Indies and Africa, came to the U.S. in the 1960s and attracted a following of young radical scholars. A marxist, James is the author of numerous books, one of the best known of which is *The Black Jacobins, Toussaint L'Ouverture and the San Domingo Revolution* (1938).

92 Frank E. Fitzsimmons (1908-1981), a member of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen, and Helpers of America, rose through the ranks to become vice president and member of the Executive Board in 1961. In 1967 he become president of the Teamsters, and held that office until 1981 when he was forced to resign under charges of fraud.

William Anthony ("Tony") Boyle (b. 1904-1984)) attended schools in Montana and Idaho and then became a coal miner. He was elected president of United Mine Workers of America District 27 (Montana), and held that position until 1948 when he became a regional director for the CIO and UMWA District 50. From 1948 to 1960 he was John L. Lewis' assistant, and UMWA vice-president, 1960-1963. He was acting president of the UMWA from 1962-1963, and elected international president in 1963. He was opposed for the UMWA presidency in 1969 in a close, bitter election by Joseph Yablonski who was murdered shortly thereafter, and Boyle was defeated by Arnold Miller in 1972. Subsequently, Boyle was convicted for ordering the murder and sentenced to life in prison.


93 Jesse M. Unruh (b. 1922) graduated from the University of Southern California in 1948, and was elected to the California Assembly for 1954-1970. He was Southern California manager of John F. Kennedy's presidential campaign in 1960, and chairperson of Robert Kennedy's California presidential campaign in 1968. In 1970 he was an unsuccessful candidate for governor of California. Organized labor considered him an unreliable supporter.

For George Wallace, see note 90.

Spiro T. Agnew (b. 1918), 39th vice president of the U.S. (1969-1973), resigned from office when he was fined for income tax evasion. Born in Baltimore, he received a law degree from the University of Baltimore in 1947, practiced law in that city, and became active in local politics. A reform Republican, he was elected chief executive of predominantly Democratic Baltimore County in 1962. Democrats also helped elect him governor of Maryland in 1966. In 1968 Richard Nixon tapped him as his running mate. While vice president he made numerous controversial speeches denouncing the press, liberals, and college students and faculty.

For Joseph McCarthy, see note 81. For Ronald Reagan, see note 18.

Richard J. Daley (1902-1976) took a law degree at DePaul University in 1933. He was elected as a Democrat to the Illinois House of Representatives in 1936-1938, and the state Senate in 1939-1946. From 1950 to 1955 he served as clerk for Cook County, and was elected mayor of Chicago from 1955 to 1976. He was one of the most powerful city bosses in American history.

For background on *Plessy v. Ferguson*, see Vol. IV, p. 298.

This sentence is incomplete in the original.
Jesse Jackson (b. 1941) graduated from North Carolina A&T College in 1964, and received a D.D. from the Chicago Theological Seminary in 1966. Jackson was active in the civil rights movement, and in 1967 was appointed national director of SCLC's Operation Breadbasket by Dr. Martin Luther King. Later he became national president of Operation PUSH. In 1984 he became the first serious contender for the presidential nomination of the Democratic Party.

James Farmer (b. 1920) graduated from Wiley College in Texas, and received a B.D. from Howard University in 1941. Farmer was the founder of the Congress of Racial Equality in 1941, and became National Chairman from 1941 to 1944, and national director from 1961 to 1966. He also served as race relations secretary of the Fellowship of Reconciliation from 1941 to 1945, and as program director of the NAACP from 1959 to 1961. He helped plan the Freedom Rides of 1961, and has served in numerous other public capacities.

"Mr. Hayes" refers to Charles Hayes. For Hayes see note 44.

Operation PUSH refers to the organization founded by Jesse Jackson in Chicago. The acronym stands for People United to Save Humanity, and is involved in various programs to assist poor blacks.

Ralph Metcalf (b. 1910), a graduate of Marquette University, and the University of Southern California, was a member of the 1931 and 1936 U.S. Olympic track teams. He was director of the Department of Civil Rights Commission on Human Relations in Chicago from 1945 to 1949, and alderman from 1955 to 1971. In 1970 he was elected to the U.S. House of Representatives, serving until 1979. He openly broke with Mayor Richard Daley over the issue of police brutality concerning the death of two members of the Black Panther Party in Chicago in 1969.

Operation Breadbasket was founded by the Southern Christian Leadership Conference in 1967 to assist in the distribution of care to poor, primarily black people in need of food.

Paul H. Douglas (1892-1976) received a Ph.D. from Columbia University in 1921. He was a professor of economics at several universities, and received the Sidney Hillman Award in 1957. From 1949 to 1966 he served as Democratic senator from Illinois.

George S. McGovern (b. 1922) received a Ph.D. from Northwestern University in 1953, and was a professor of history. After serving as a congressman from South Dakota, he became U.S. senator from 1963 to 1981. He was the unsuccessful Democratic candidate for president in 1972, and an unsuccessful candidate for the Democratic nomination for president in 1984.

Sargent Shriver (Robert Sargent, Jr., b. 1915) received a law degree from Yale University in 1941, and was assistant editor of Newsweek in 1945-1946. A brother-in-law of President John Kennedy, Shriver was appointed director of the Peace Corps in 1961, and director of the Office of Economic Opportunity from 1964 to 1968. He was ambassador to France in 1968-1970, and Democratic candidate for vice president in 1972.

Edward Todd was appointed Midwest director of the Textile Workers Union of America in 1966, and served on the executive board of the Coalition of Black Trade Unionists.

Nelson Jack Edwards (1923?-1974) of Detroit, Michigan, was a member of the United Auto Workers for thirty-seven years, and the first black vice president of the UAW. He was murdered in a Detroit bar following an argument with another patron.

Hilton E. Hanna (b. 1907) was born in the West Indies, immigrated to the United States, and received degrees from Talladega College (1933),
and the University of Wisconsin (1949). A member of the Amalgamated Meat Cutters and Butcher Workmen, he became international vice president and executive assistant to the MCBW president, and international secretary-treasurer in 1972. Hanna also was president of the Eugene V. Debs Foundation, and president of the Madison, Wisconsin, Urban League, 1969–1972.

106 William L. Clay (b. 1931) graduated from St. Louis University in 1953, and entered city politics. He served as business agent for AFSE from 1961 to 1964, and as Democratic committeeman of the 26th Ward. He was active in the NAACP and CORE, and helped to open many unions to blacks before being elected to the U.S. House of Representatives.

107 Horace Julian Bond (b. 1940) of Atlanta, Georgia, graduated from Morehouse College in 1971. The son of the prominent black scholar, Horace Mann Bond, Julian has served in numerous public capacities, and was a founder of the Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee (SNCC) in 1960. He is best known for having been elected to the Georgia House of Representatives in 1966 but barred, elected again and barred. After the third election in 1967 he was seated and still holds that post.

108 "Zieglers, Hartovans, Erlichmans, Schultzes, Kissingers, Klines" refers to President Richard Nixon's White House assistants some liberals characterized as "Nixon's Gestapos."

109 James McGregor Burns (b. 1918) graduated from Williams College in 1939, and received a Ph.D. from Harvard University in 1947. He joined the faculty of Williams College in 1941, and remained there throughout his professional career with several periods of public service. A prominent author, his books include Roosevelt: The Lion and the Fox (1956), John Kennedy: A Political Profile (1960), and Roosevelt: The Soldier of Freedom (1970). He won the 1971 Pulitzer Prize, and the National Book Award in History.

110 E. Franklin Frazier (1894-1962) received a Ph.D. from the University of Chicago in 1931. He was director of the Atlanta School of Social Work from 1922 to 1927, professor of Sociology at Fisk University (1927-1954), and chairperson of the Department of Sociology at Howard University from 1934 to 1959. His books include: The Negro Family in Chicago (1932); The Free Negro Family (1932); The Negro Family in the United States (1939); Negro Youth at the Crossroads (1940); The Negro in the United States (1949); Race and Culture Contacts in the Modern World (1957); Black Bourgeoisie (1957); The Negro Church in America (1964).

111 For background on Benjamin ("Pitchfork Ben") Tillman, see Vol. III, note 60.

112 Michael J. Mansfield (b. 1903-1972) graduated from the University of Montana in 1933, and received a M.A. from the same institution in 1934. After working as a mining engineer, and as a professor of history at U.M. (1933-1942), he was elected to the U.S. House of Representatives (1943-1953), and then to the U.S. Senate (1953-1976). A Democrat, Mansfield was majority leader of the Senate from 1961 to 1976. In 1977 he was appointed ambassador to Japan.

Edward M. Kennedy (b. 1932) graduated from Harvard University in 1954, and received a law degree from the University of Virginia in 1959. The youngest brother of President John F. Kennedy, he entered politics, and in 1962 was elected U.S. senator from Massachusetts. He is one of the most liberal senators on civil rights issues.

Rupert Vance Hartke (b. 1919) graduated from Evansville College, and received a law degree from Indiana University. He practiced law in Evansville, Indiana, from 1948 to 1958. He also was elected mayor of Evansville from 1956 to 1958 before his election to the U.S. Senate in 1958, where he served until 1976. In 1972 he was a candidate in the Democratic presidential primary. He was an opponent of the Vietnam war.
Philip Hart (1912-1976) graduated from Georgetown University, and received a law degree from the University of Michigan in 1937. He practiced law in Michigan until 1955 when he was elected Lt. Governor, a post he held until he was elected to the U.S. Senate, 1959-1976. He was instrumental in shaping Democratic legislation on civil rights.

Howard M. Metzenbaum (b. 1917) graduated from Ohio State University, and OSU Law School in 1941. A native of Cleveland, he served in the Ohio House of Representatives 1943-1946, and in the Ohio State Senate 1947-1950. In 1974 he was elected as a Democrat to the U.S. Senate, where he is considered a strong supporter of civil rights, and a friend of organized labor.

Edmund Muskie (b. 1914) graduated from Bates College, and received a law degree from Cornell University in 1939. He practiced law in Waterville, Maine, until 1955 when he was elected governor. From 1959 to 1980 he served as U.S. senator from Maine, and was appointed secretary of state in 1980. He was the unsuccessful Democratic nominee for president in 1968.

For background on Philip Murray, see Vol. VII, note 34.

Peter Bommarito (b. 1915) of Detroit, Michigan, a member of the United Rubber, Cork, Linoleum and Plastic Workers of America, rose through the ranks to become international president of the URW in 1966. He has also served as vice president of the AFL-CIO, and as vice president of its Industrial Union Department.

Mahatma Gandhi (1869-1948) of India practiced law in Bombay, and in South Africa between 1893 and 1915. He gained international fame as the leader of protests against British colonial rule in India, and spent most of his adult life working for Indian independence through nonviolent acts of civil disobedience. One year after independence was achieved in 1947 he was assassinated.

Anatoly B. Scharansky (b. 1948) graduated from the Moscow Physical-Technical Institute in 1972, and was employed at the Oil and Gas Research Institute. A major figure in the struggle of Russian Jews to emigrate from the Soviet Union, he was denied a visa, and convicted of treason and espionage in 1978. He was sentenced to three years of prison and ten years of forced labor. Scharansky's case received wide publicity during President Carter's campaign to improve human rights in the world.

Iorwith W. Abel (b. 1908) of Magnolia, Ohio, helped to organize the first CIO local at Canton Timken Roller Bearing Co. in 1936, and participated in the "Little Steel" strike in 1937. In 1942 he became director of the Canton District of the United Steelworkers of America. He was elected secretary-treasurer of the United Steelworkers of America, and then president of the union in 1965. A vice president of the AFL-CIO, he became president of the Industrial Union Department in 1968.

William W. Scranton (b. 1917) received a B.A. from Yale University in 1939, and an LL.B. from Yale Law School in 1946. He was elected to the U.S. House of Representatives from Pennsylvania in 1961-1963, and as governor of Pennsylvania 1963-1967. He held numerous special appointments under Presidents Johnson and Nixon.

Wade H. McCree, Jr. (b. 1920) graduated from Fisk University in 1941, and received a law degree from Harvard Law School in 1948. He served as a commissioner on the Workmen's Compensation Commission from 1948-1954, and as judge on the Wayne County, Michigan, Circuit Court 1954-1961. From 1961 to 1966 he served as a justice on the U.S. District Court, and was appointed to the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals in 1966.

Charles C. Diggs, Jr. (b. 1922) graduated from Wayne State University in 1946, and the Detroit College of Law in 1952. From 1951 to 1956 he was a state senator to the Michigan House from Detroit, and in 1955 he was elected to the U.S. House of Representatives. He is a founder and past chairman of the Congressional Black Caucus.
Rule 22 of the U.S. Senate required 2/3 of the total Senate body to limit and to close debate. After the merger of the AFL-CIO the federation supported a curb on filibustering by "strongly supporting" a change in Rule 22 to require 1/2 of the Senators to vote to close debate.

For background on Wayne L. Morse, see Vol. VII, note 119.

Ken Bannon (b. 1914) of Scranton, Pennsylvania, became vice president of the United Auto Workers in 1970. He had served as a member of the UAW International Executive Board, and as director of the UAW National Ford Department, and as an organizer in Detroit prior to this position.


For background on Wayne L. Morse, see Vol. VII, note 119.

Ken Bannon (b. 1914) of Scranton, Pennsylvania, became vice president of the United Auto Workers in 1970. He had served as a member of the UAW International Executive Board, and as director of the UAW National Ford Department, and as an organizer in Detroit prior to this position.


For background on Robert F. Wagner, see Vol. VII, note 136.


Peter J. Brennan (b. 1918) of New York, a member of the Brotherhood of Painters, Decorators and Paperhangers of America, became president of the Construction Trades Council of Greater New York in 1957, and vice president of the New York State AFL-CIO. He was criticized by civil rights leaders for discriminatory hiring practices in the New York Building Trades, and organized New York construction workers support for President Nixon's Vietnam war policies. Nixon appointed him U.S. Secretary of Labor in 1972.

Harry Van Arsdale, Jr. (b. 1905) of New York was a member of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers. From 1933 to 1968 he was business manager of Local 3, New York, and became president of the Greater New York Central Trades and Labor Council in 1957. After successfully organizing the New York City Taxi Drivers Union in 1965, he became president of Local 3036. He also served as treasurer of the IBEW, and was a member of the executive board of the Building and Construction Trades Council.

For background on Robert F. Wagner, see Vol. VII, note 136.

Gordon M. Freeman (b. 1896) was international vice president of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers. Peter T. Schoemann (b. 1893) was a member of the United Association of Journeymen and Apprentices of the Plumbing and Pipe Fitting Industry of the United States and Canada who rose through the ranks to become president of the union. From 1955 to 1972 he served as vice president of the AFL-CIO, and was a member of its Committee on Education. Edward J. Leonard (b. 1904), a member of the Operative Plasterers and Cement Masons' International Association of the United States and Canada, became president of the union from 1958 to 1970. John H. Lyons (b. 1919), a graduate of the Missouri School of Mines, and a member of the International Association of Bridge and Structural Iron Workers, became president of the union in 1961. Hunter P. Wharton (b. 1900), a member of the International Union of Operating Engineers, became its president in 1962, and served as an AFL-CIO vice president.

Thomas F. Murphy (b. 1910), a member of the Bricklayers, Masons and Plasterers International Union of America, rose in the organization to the position of president in 1966. He also became vice president of the Union Label and Service Trades Department, AFL-CIO, in 1974.
Peter Fosco was president of the 60,000-member Laborers International Union of North America. He was a staunch supporter of the Nixon Administration, and President Nixon presented him with the Columbus Day Man of the Year Award in 1972.

Lawrence M. Raftery (b. 1895), a member of the International Brotherhood of Painters and Allied Trades of the United States and Canada, became its president between 1957 and 1965.

Wilbur D. Mills (b. 1909) attended Harvard Law School (1930-1933), and became a county and probate judge in Arkansas. From 1939 to 1977 he was elected U.S. Representative from Arkansas, and served as chairman of the powerful Ways and Means Committee from 1957 to 1974. Personal indiscretions and alcoholism prompted his party to strip him of power.

James Haughton (b. 1930) received a B.A. from City College of New York, and an M.A. from New York University. He helped organize the Negro-American Labor Council, and became identified as a militant champion of black construction workers, leading protests against builders, unions, and the government for more equal opportunity for blacks on construction sites in New York. Working out of his headquarters at the Harlem Unemployment Center, in 1969 he was involved in negotiations to hire more minorities at the building site of the World Trade Center in New York City.

Walter E. Williams (b. 1936) of Philadelphia, Pa., received a B.A. from California State University, and a Ph.D. in economics from UCLA in 1972. A professor of economics, Williams is one of the few black conservatives in that fraternity.

Leon J. Davis (b. 1912) was born in Russia and immigrated to New York where he attended Columbia School of Pharmacy (1927-1929), and became a pharmacist. He helped organize and became president of District 1199, National Union of Hospital and Health Care Employees, an affiliate of the Retail, Wholesale, and Department Store Union. He also served as international vice president of RWDSU. In 1981 he resigned as president of NUHHCE, and as president of 1199 in 1982.

For background on Herbert H. Lehman, see Vol. VII, note 143.

Moe Foner (b. 1915), executive secretary of Local 1199, National Union of Hospital and Health Care Employees, retired and was granted emeritus status in 1983. He is also deeply involved in the cultural program maintained by 1199.

James Baldwin (b. 1924), a prominent Afro-American novelist, was active in the civil rights movement during the 1960s. Among his numerous works are: Go Tell It on the Mountain (1953); Notes of a Native Son (1955); Giovanni's Room (1958); Nobody Knows My Name (1960); Another Country (1962); The Fire Next Time (1963); Going To Meet the Man (1966); Tell Me How Long the Train's Been Gone (1968); No Name in the Street (1972); One Day When I Lost (1973); If Beale Street Could Talk (1974); The Devil Finds Work (1976); Just Above My Head (1979); one play, Blues for Mr. Charlie (1964), and numerous articles.

For background on Norman Thomas, see Vol. VI, note 52.

William F. Ryan (1922-1972) received a law degree from Columbia University, and was assistant district attorney in Manhattan from 1950 to 1957. He was elected to the U.S. House of Representatives from New York in 1961 and served in that post until 1972. A liberal, he backed most of the civil rights bills which came before Congress. He was an early proponent of a permanent civil rights commission, and fought hard to end segregation in interstate travel.

For background on Frederick Douglass, see Vol. I, notes 8, 12, and 21.

Bella S. Abzug (b. 1920) of New York graduated from Hunter College in 1942, and took a law degree from Columbia University in 1945. She has practiced law since then, except for the period from 1971 to 1977 when she was elected to the U.S. House of Representatives from New York. She practiced labor law in the 1950s, became known as an outspoken opponent of the Vietnam war, and a flamboyant feminist.
Len Seelig was an organizer for 1199 in New York and Connecticut, and a vice president of the union. On October 3, 1971 he was killed in an automobile accident at age 41.

Robert E. McNair (b. 1923) graduated from the University of South Carolina in 1947, and received a law degree from U.S.C. in 1948. He entered politics as a Democrat, and was elected state representative 1951-1962, lt. governor of South Carolina 1962-1965, and governor 1965-1971. After leaving office he continued to practice law, and sat on the board of directors of several corporations.

Ossie Davis (b. 1917) attended Howard University (1935-1938) and became an actor and writer. In 1948 he married actress Ruby Dee, and they have worked closely since then. He has acted on stage, film, television, and radio. He was writer, director, and actor in the film *Purlie Victorious*, and wrote the screenplay for *Cotton Comes to Harlem* (1969). He was closely associated with the civil rights movement and its leaders.

Ruby Dee (b. 1923) graduated from Hunter College in 1945 and became an actress on stage, film, television, and radio. She won the Obie Award in 1971, and the Drama Desk Award in 1974 among other honors. She also wrote *Glowchild*, and *Uptight*.

Ralph D. Abernathy (b. 1926) graduated from Alabama State College in 1950, received an M.A. in sociology from Atlanta University in 1951, and was ordained a Baptist minister in 1948. He organized numerous civil rights demonstrations during the 1950s and 1960s, and initiated the Montgomery Bus Boycott of 1955. He was organizer, secretary-treasurer, vice president, and president of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference.

Strom Thurmond (b. 1902) was born in Edgefield, South Carolina, and received a B.S. from Clemson University in 1923. After teaching for several years during the twenties, he was admitted to the bar and practiced law during the thirties. He was elected to the South Carolina state senate from 1933 to 1938, and became a circuit judge from 1938 to 1946, with several years break in his tenure while serving in the armed forces. From 1947 to 1951 he served as governor of South Carolina, and became a U.S. senator in 1954. A staunch states rights conservative, he became known for his resistance to the civil rights movement.

L. Mendel Rivers (1905-1970) attended the College of Charleston, and law school at the University of South Carolina. He commenced to practice law in Charleston in 1932, and was elected to the state House of Representatives from 1933 to 1936. In 1936 he was elected to the U.S. Congress from South Carolina where he served until his death. He was a political ally of Strom Thurmond and resisted the civil rights movement.

Dorothy I. Height (b. 1913) of Richmond, Virginia, received the B.S. and the M.S. degrees from New York University. She began her career with the YWCA in 1937, and rose through the ranks to become director of the Center for Racial Justice (YWCA). In 1957 she became national president of the National Council of Negro Women.

Shirley Anita St. Hill Chisholm (b. 1924) graduated from Brooklyn College, and received an M.A. from Columbia University. She became a congresswoman from the 12th Cong. Dist. of New York, and in 1972 became the first woman to ever actively run for the presidency. She is the author of two books: *Unbought and Unbossed* (1970), and *The Good Fight* (1973).

John Conyers (b. 1929) of Detroit, Michigan, graduated from Wayne State University in 1957, and received a law degree from that institution in 1958. He has served in the U.S. House of Representatives since 1964. He clashed with the Nixon administration in 1969 over extending the 1965 Voting Rights Act, and over Nixon's Vietnam war politics. Conyers also served as general counsel for the Trade Union Leadership Council.

Carl B. Stokes (b. 1927) graduated from the University of Minnesota in 1954, and received a law degree from Cleveland-Marshall Law School in 1956. From 1958 to 1962 he was assistant prosecutor of Cleveland, and...
served in the Ohio House of Representatives from 1962 to 1967. Stokes was elected mayor of Cleveland in 1967 and served until 1971, the first black mayor of a large American city.

144 For Harriet Tubman, see Vol. VII, note 70. For Sojourner Truth, see Vol. II, note 86. For Rosa Parks, see note 80. Fannie Lou Hamer was forty-four when she learned about SNCC at a meeting on voter registration in 1962. Born the youngest of twenty children of black sharecroppers in Sunflower County, Mississippi, she joined the organization and became a stalwart and able staff member. She was arrested while attempting to register to vote in Indianola, and while in jail she was beaten so severely that she never completely recovered from her injuries.

145 Fred R. Harris (b. 1930) received a B.A. degree from the University of Oklahoma, and an LL.B. in 1954 from the same institution. From 1956 to 1964 he served in the Oklahoma State Senate, and was elected to the U.S. Senate from Oklahoma in 1964 serving until 1972. He is the author of two books on politics, and is a professor of political science at the University of New Mexico.

Ralph W. Yarborough (b. 1903) received a law degree from the University of Texas in 1927. He became assistant attorney general of Texas, 1931-1934, and then a district judge, 1936-1941. In 1957 Texans elected him to the U.S. Senate where he served until 1971. In 1975 he became a professor of political science at the University of Texas.

Edward W. Brooke (b. 1919) graduated from Howard University in 1941, and received a law degree from Boston University in 1948. He served as the attorney general of Massachusetts from 1963 to 1967, and was elected to the U.S. Senate from 1967 to 1979, the first Afro-American to gain a seat in that body in the 20th century.
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